

## **National Cancer Patient Experience Survey**

**2016 Results**

**The Queen Elizabeth Hospital King's Lynn  
NHS Foundation Trust**

**Published July 2017**

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey is  
undertaken by Quality Health on behalf of NHS England



## Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2016 is the sixth iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor national progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development.

The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Quality Health, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

Full national results and other reports are available at [www.ncpes.co.uk](http://www.ncpes.co.uk).

Further details on the survey methodology and changes to the 2016 survey can be found in the Annex.

## This report

The report shows how this Trust scored for each question in the survey, compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual Trusts to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement.

Note that responses for questions with 1-20 respondents have been suppressed. This is to protect patient confidentiality and because uncertainty around the result is too great.

## Data tables

The data tables presented in this report show the following for each question:

- **Column 1** shows the number of respondents for 2015 to this question
- **Column 2** shows the unadjusted 2015 score for this Trust
- **Column 3** shows the number of respondents for 2016 to this question
- **Column 4** shows the unadjusted 2016 score for this Trust
- **Column 5** shows whether a score has significantly increased or decreased compared with the last survey
- **Column 6** shows the case-mix adjusted 2016 score for this Trust
- **Column 7** shows the lower limit of the expected range of case-mix adjusted scores for this Trust (the top of the pale blue section on the comparability chart - see below)
- **Column 8** shows the upper limit of the expected range of case-mix adjusted scores for this Trust (the bottom of the dark blue section on the comparability chart - see below)
- **Column 9** shows the national average score for this question.

## 2016 National Cancer Patient Experience Survey

### The Queen Elizabeth Hospital King's Lynn NHS Foundation Trust

Results for individual response options are presented in the detailed data tables available at [www.ncpes.co.uk](http://www.ncpes.co.uk) . Confidence Intervals for unadjusted and case-mix adjusted data are provided in these tables.

Expected ranges and 95% confidence intervals highlight the uncertainty around the results. The size of the expected ranges and confidence intervals will be different for each question, and depends on the number of respondents and the range of their responses.

For further details on case-mix adjustment and the scoring methodology used, please refer to the Annex.

### Comparability charts

For the 2016 survey, we have adopted the CQC standard for reporting comparative performance, based on calculation of "expected ranges". This means that Trusts will be flagged as outliers only if there is statistical evidence that their scores deviate (positively or negatively) from the range of scores that would be expected for Trusts of the same size.

The comparability charts in this report show a bar with these expected ranges (in grey), higher than expected (in dark blue), and lower than expected (in pale blue). A black dot represents the actual score of this Trust.

The same colour convention has been used in Column 6 of the data tables.

For further details on expected ranges, please refer to the technical document at [www.ncpes.co.uk](http://www.ncpes.co.uk) .

### Tumour group tables

The final set of tables in this report show the scores for each question for each of the 13 tumour groups, with a comparative national score for that tumour group.

These breakdowns are intended as additional information for Trusts to understand the differences between the experiences of patients with different types of cancer. The numbers are generally relatively small and may not be statistically significant. They should therefore be treated with some caution.

### Notes on specific questions

Questions used to direct respondents to different parts of the survey (questions 4, 24, 27, 40, 43, 46) and other demographic and information questions are not reported.

### **How to use the data**

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the Trust.

Case-mix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results.

Case-mix adjusted data, together with (case-mix adjusted) confidence intervals (presented in the detailed data tables at [www.ncpes.co.uk](http://www.ncpes.co.uk) ), should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than the results for another Trust.

### **Response rates**

Numbers of respondents by tumour group, age and gender can be found in the Annex.

## Executive Summary

Asked to rate their care on a scale of zero (very poor) to 10 (very good), respondents gave an average rating of **8.8**.

The following questions are included in phase 1 of the Cancer Dashboard developed by Public Health England and NHS England\*:

- **81%** of respondents said that they were definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment
- **88%** of respondents said that they were given the name of a Clinical Nurse Specialist who would support them through their treatment
- **90%** of respondents said that it had been 'quite easy' or 'very easy' to contact their Clinical Nurse Specialist
- **84%** of respondents said that, overall, they were always treated with dignity and respect while they were in hospital
- **93%** of respondents said that hospital staff told them who to contact if they were worried about their condition or treatment after they left hospital
- **70%** of respondents said that they thought the GPs and nurses at their general practice definitely did everything they could to support them while they were having cancer treatment.

Detailed results for these and other questions are set out in the sections that follow.

\* [www.cancerdata.nhs.uk/dashboard](http://www.cancerdata.nhs.uk/dashboard)


The questions were selected in discussion with the national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group and reflect four key patient experience domains: provision of information; involvement in decisions; care transition; interpersonal relations, respect and dignity. The figures presented above are all case-mix adjusted.

## Questions which scored outside expected range

Question	Number of respondents for this Trust	2016 Case-mix Adjusted			National Average Score	
		2016 Percentage for this Trust	Lower limit of expected range	Upper limit of expected range		
<b>Finding out what was wrong with you</b>						
Q9	Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer	399	89%	81%	88%	84%
<b>Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient</b>						
Q45	Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	70	75%	48%	71%	60%
<b>Care from your general practice</b>						
Q53	Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient	245	70%	54%	69%	62%

## Trust results

### Seeing your GP



Question		Unadjusted Scores				2016 Case Mix Adjusted				
		2015		2016		Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	2016 Score	Expected range - lower					
Q1	Saw GP once / twice before being told had to go to hospital	275	80%	305	77%		76%	72%	81%	77%
Q2	Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	352	85%	396	85%		83%	80%	87%	83%

↑ or ↓

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score


(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

## Trust results

### Diagnostic Tests




Question	Unadjusted Scores					2016 Case Mix Adjusted			
	2015		2016		Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score						
Q5	-	-	357	94%		95%	92%	97%	94%
Q6	311	90%	364	89%		88%	84%	91%	87%
Q7	311	84%	366	80%		79%	75%	83%	79%

↑ or ↓ Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score  
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)  
Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available  
\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.



## Trust results

### Finding out what was wrong with you




Question		Unadjusted Scores				2016 Case Mix Adjusted				
		2015		2016		Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	2016 Score	Expected range - lower					
Q8	Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	-	-	380	76%		74%	70%	82%	76%
Q9	Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer	362	86%	399	89%		89%	81%	88%	84%
Q10	Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong	364	75%	407	77%		77%	69%	78%	73%
Q11	Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	320	76%	351	77%		76%	68%	77%	72%

↑ or ↓ Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score  
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)  
Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available  
\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

## Trust results

### Deciding the best treatment for you (Part 1 of 2)




Question		Unadjusted Scores				2016 Case Mix Adjusted				
		2015		2016		Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	2016 Score	Expected range - lower					
Q12	Patient felt that treatment options were completely explained	314	83%	341	83%		83%	79%	87%	83%
Q13	Possible side effects explained in an understandable way	343	73%	374	73%		73%	68%	77%	72%
Q14	Patient given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment	343	74%	374	68%		68%	61%	71%	66%

↑ or ↓ Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score  
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)  
Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available  
\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

## Trust results

### Deciding the best treatment for you (Part 2 of 2)



Question	Unadjusted Scores					2016 Case Mix Adjusted			
	2015		2016		Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score						
Q15 Patient definitely told about side effects that could affect them in the future	317	58%	341	58%		57%	49%	60%	54%
Q16 Patient definitely involved in decisions about care and treatment	352	82%	387	81%		81%	74%	82%	78%

↑ or ↓

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score


(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

## Trust results

### Clinical Nurse Specialist




Question	Unadjusted Scores					2016 Case Mix Adjusted			
	2015		2016		Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score						
Q17 Patient given the name of the CNS who would support them through their treatment	346	87%	388	87%		88%	87%	94%	90%
Q18 Patient found it easy to contact their CNS	246	91%	281	90%		90%	81%	91%	86%
Q19 Get understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time	237	89%	266	90%		90%	85%	92%	88%

↑ or ↓ Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score  
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)  
Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available  
\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

## Trust results

### Support for people with cancer




Question	Unadjusted Scores					2016 Case Mix Adjusted			
	2015	2016	2016		Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score						
Q20 Hospital staff gave information about support groups	248	86%	281	84%		85%	78%	90%	84%
Q21 Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities	231	82%	254	83%		83%	76%	86%	81%
Q22 Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help	185	60%	206	56%		57%	48%	65%	56%
Q23 Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	129	84%	182	85%		84%	75%	86%	80%

↑ or ↓ Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score  
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)  
Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available  
\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

## Trust results

### Operations



Question	Unadjusted Scores					2016 Case Mix Adjusted			
	2015		2016		Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score						
Q25	-	-	210	94%		94%	93%	98%	96%
Q26	174	82%	208	83%		83%	73%	84%	79%

↑ or ↓

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score


(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

## Trust results

### Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 1 of 3)




Question	Unadjusted Scores					2016 Case Mix Adjusted			
	2015	2016	2016		Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score						
Q28 Groups of doctors or nurses did not talk in front of patient as if they were not there	186	83%	228	82%		81%	77%	87%	82%
Q29 Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them	186	86%	233	83%		83%	80%	89%	85%
Q30 Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor	147	76%	186	78%		78%	67%	79%	73%
Q31 Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses	184	68%	232	73%		71%	68%	80%	74%

↑ or ↓ Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score  
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)  
Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available  
\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

## Trust results

### Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 2 of 3)




Question		Unadjusted Scores				Change from 2015	2016 Case Mix Adjusted			
		2015		2016			2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score					
Q32	Always / nearly always enough nurses on duty	184	63%	230	68%		67%	59%	74%	67%
Q33	All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by	184	77%	230	73%		72%	58%	77%	68%
Q34	Always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment	185	85%	231	82%		81%	81%	90%	85%
Q35	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	128	55%	179	50%		49%	45%	59%	52%

↑ or ↓ Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score  
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)  
Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available  
\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.



## Trust results

### Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 3 of 3)




Question	Unadjusted Scores					2016 Case Mix Adjusted			
	2015	2016	2016		Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score						
Q36 Hospital staff definitely did everything to help control pain	154	83%	198	83%		82%	79%	89%	84%
Q37 Always treated with respect and dignity by staff	183	89%	235	85%		84%	84%	92%	88%
Q38 Given clear written information about what should / should not do post discharge	173	82%	221	86%		85%	81%	90%	86%
Q39 Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge	178	93%	226	93%		93%	91%	97%	94%

↑ or ↓ Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score  
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)  
Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available  
\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

## Trust results

### Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (Part 1 of 2)




Question	Unadjusted Scores					2016 Case Mix Adjusted			
	2015	2016	2016		Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score						
Q41 Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	270	73%	288	73%		73%	65%	76%	70%
Q42 Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them	323	98%	349	96%		96%	94%	98%	96%
Q44 Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment	71	92%	84	87%		87%	79%	93%	86%
Q45 Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	59	71%	70	76%		75%	48%	71%	60%

↑ or ↓ Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score  
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)  
Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available  
\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

## Trust results

### Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (Part 2 of 2)



Question	Unadjusted Scores				Change from 2015	2016 Case Mix Adjusted			
	2015		2016			2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score					
Q47	164	85%	198	85%		84%	79%	89%	84%
Q48	158	75%	184	70%		69%	61%	74%	67%

↑ or ↓

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score


(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

## Trust results

### Home care and support




Question	Unadjusted Scores					2016 Case Mix Adjusted			
	2015	2016	Change from 2015		2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score	
	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score					
Q49 Hospital staff gave family or someone close all the information needed to help with care at home	285	62%	319	59%		59%	52%	63%	58%
Q50 Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment	174	56%	203	49%		50%	44%	62%	53%
Q51 Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	89	44%	106	42%		42%	34%	55%	45%

↑ or ↓ Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score  
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)  
Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available  
\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

## Trust results

### Care from your general practice



Question	Unadjusted Scores					2016 Case Mix Adjusted			
	2015		2016		Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score					
Q52 GP given enough information about patient's condition and treatment	289	97%	309	93%		93%	93%	98%	95%
Q53 Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient	231	68%	245	71%		70%	54%	69%	62%

↑ or ↓

Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score


(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available

\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

## Trust results

### Your overall NHS care (Part 1 of 2)




Question	Unadjusted Scores					2016 Case Mix Adjusted			
	2015	2016		Change from 2015	2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score	
Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score						
Q54 Hospital and community staff always worked well together	341	67%	385	68%		66%	55%	67%	61%
Q55 Patient given a care plan	274	36%	303	35%		34%	27%	40%	33%
Q56 Overall the administration of the care was very good / good	357	89%	397	88%		87%	86%	93%	89%
Q57 Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	352	77%	394	73%		72%	59%	76%	67%


↑ or ↓ Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score  
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)  
Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available  
\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

## Trust results

### Your overall NHS care (Part 2 of 2)



Question		Unadjusted Scores				Change from 2015	2016 Case Mix Adjusted			
		2015		2016			2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score					
Q58	Taking part in cancer research discussed with patient	334	25%	382	26%		26%	19%	38%	29%



Question		Unadjusted Scores				Change from 2015	2016 Case Mix Adjusted			
		2015		2016			2016 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score					
Q59	Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	351	8.8	396	8.8		8.8	8.6	8.9	8.7

↑ or ↓ Indicates where 2016 score is significantly higher or lower than 2015 score  
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)  
Where no score is displayed, no 2015 data is available  
\* Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

## Comparisons by tumour group for this Trust

The following tables show the unadjusted Trust and the national percentage scores for each question broken down by tumour group. Where a cell in the table contains an asterisk this indicates that the number of patients in that group was below 21 and too small to display. Where a cell in the table contains "n.a." this indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

### Seeing your GP

Cancer type	Q1. Saw GP once / twice before being told had to go to hospital		Q2. Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	
	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	63%	n.a.	79%
Breast	90%	94%	95%	90%
Colorectal / LGT	59%	71%	69%	81%
Gynaecological	*	75%	*	79%
Haematological	69%	65%	82%	81%
Head and Neck	*	77%	*	79%
Lung	*	70%	81%	83%
Prostate	73%	78%	80%	86%
Sarcoma	*	66%	*	67%
Skin	*	90%	*	86%
Upper Gastro	*	72%	*	78%
Urological	95%	82%	92%	85%
Other	71%	72%	83%	79%
<b>All Cancers</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>83%</b>

<sup>§</sup> These are unadjusted scores



## Diagnostic tests

Cancer type	Q5. Received all the information needed about the test		Q6. The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right		Q7. Given complete explanation of test results in understandable way	
	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	90%	n.a.	81%	n.a.	70%
Breast	98%	95%	98%	92%	90%	82%
Colorectal / LGT	94%	95%	91%	87%	82%	80%
Gynaecological	*	93%	*	85%	*	75%
Haematological	94%	94%	90%	89%	68%	77%
Head and Neck	*	93%	*	85%	*	78%
Lung	90%	94%	*	87%	71%	78%
Prostate	88%	95%	70%	86%	77%	80%
Sarcoma	*	93%	*	79%	*	74%
Skin	*	95%	*	88%	*	85%
Upper Gastro	*	93%	*	82%	*	77%
Urological	98%	94%	90%	87%	80%	79%
Other	97%	95%	91%	86%	85%	76%
<b>All Cancers</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>79%</b>

<sup>§</sup> These are unadjusted scores

## Finding out what was wrong with you

Cancer type	Q8. Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer		Q9. Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer		Q10. Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong		Q11. Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	
	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	83%	n.a.	73%	n.a.	63%	n.a.	63%
Breast	80%	82%	94%	88%	84%	78%	82%	77%
Colorectal / LGT	79%	80%	84%	86%	85%	78%	85%	71%
Gynaecological	*	71%	*	82%	*	72%	*	69%
Haematological	70%	71%	86%	83%	58%	60%	70%	74%
Head and Neck	*	70%	*	86%	*	75%	*	64%
Lung	67%	78%	76%	83%	81%	75%	*	65%
Prostate	79%	77%	92%	84%	73%	78%	90%	81%
Sarcoma	*	72%	*	81%	*	67%	*	64%
Skin	*	63%	*	89%	*	79%	*	83%
Upper Gastro	*	77%	*	80%	*	72%	*	66%
Urological	79%	72%	94%	83%	86%	77%	88%	72%
Other	78%	74%	89%	82%	79%	70%	59%	62%
<b>All Cancers</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>72%</b>

<sup>§</sup> These are unadjusted scores

## Deciding the best treatment for you

	Q12. Patient felt that treatment options were completely explained		Q13. Possible side effects explained in an understandable way		Q14. Patient given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment	
Cancer type	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	78%	n.a.	72%	n.a.	61%
Breast	90%	84%	83%	75%	75%	69%
Colorectal / LGT	96%	85%	72%	75%	60%	68%
Gynaecological	*	84%	*	74%	*	66%
Haematological	76%	81%	62%	69%	59%	64%
Head and Neck	*	85%	*	70%	*	68%
Lung	*	83%	*	74%	*	68%
Prostate	82%	81%	68%	72%	67%	62%
Sarcoma	*	83%	*	72%	*	66%
Skin	*	88%	*	76%	*	70%
Upper Gastro	*	83%	*	73%	*	67%
Urological	83%	81%	83%	72%	71%	62%
Other	77%	79%	70%	70%	66%	63%
<b>All Cancers</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>66%</b>

	Q15. Patient definitely told about side effects that could affect them in the future		Q16. Patient definitely involved in decisions about care and treatment	
Cancer type	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	55%	n.a.	73%
Breast	63%	56%	85%	79%
Colorectal / LGT	48%	56%	82%	79%
Gynaecological	*	52%	*	77%
Haematological	47%	49%	70%	77%
Head and Neck	*	59%	*	78%
Lung	*	54%	*	79%
Prostate	73%	63%	87%	79%
Sarcoma	*	54%	*	80%
Skin	*	61%	*	85%
Upper Gastro	*	53%	*	77%
Urological	61%	53%	81%	77%
Other	59%	50%	83%	74%
<b>All Cancers</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>78%</b>

<sup>§</sup> These are unadjusted scores

## Clinical Nurse Specialist

	Q17. Patient given the name of the CNS who would support them through their treatment		Q18. Patient found it easy to contact their CNS		Q19. Get understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time	
Cancer type	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	95%	n.a.	82%	n.a.	83%
Breast	92%	94%	96%	86%	91%	89%
Colorectal / LGT	87%	91%	90%	88%	91%	89%
Gynaecological	*	94%	*	84%	*	87%
Haematological	82%	90%	86%	88%	89%	89%
Head and Neck	*	88%	*	87%	*	87%
Lung	*	94%	*	88%	*	88%
Prostate	89%	88%	93%	84%	96%	88%
Sarcoma	*	88%	*	87%	*	90%
Skin	*	88%	*	89%	*	90%
Upper Gastro	*	92%	*	86%	*	87%
Urological	85%	81%	93%	85%	86%	89%
Other	78%	87%	81%	85%	*	86%
<b>All Cancers</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>88%</b>

<sup>§</sup> These are unadjusted scores

## Support for people with cancer

Cancer type	Q20. Hospital staff gave information about support groups		Q21. Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities		Q22. Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help		Q23. Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	
	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	84%	n.a.	81%	n.a.	67%	n.a.	71%
Breast	92%	89%	91%	85%	63%	62%	84%	80%
Colorectal / LGT	87%	84%	75%	82%	*	54%	*	82%
Gynaecological	*	83%	*	79%	*	58%	*	77%
Haematological	77%	83%	81%	82%	68%	58%	94%	86%
Head and Neck	*	83%	*	80%	*	59%	*	79%
Lung	*	83%	*	80%	*	69%	*	84%
Prostate	84%	86%	83%	83%	*	44%	*	79%
Sarcoma	*	83%	*	82%	*	56%	*	78%
Skin	*	86%	*	82%	*	52%	*	62%
Upper Gastro	*	83%	*	80%	*	60%	*	84%
Urological	83%	74%	87%	72%	*	35%	*	67%
Other	77%	80%	71%	77%	52%	55%	*	80%
<b>All Cancers</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>80%</b>

<sup>§</sup> These are unadjusted scores

## Operations

Cancer type	Q25. Beforehand had all the information needed about the operation		Q26. Staff explained how operation had gone in understandable way	
	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	93%	n.a.	68%
Breast	92%	97%	93%	78%
Colorectal / LGT	96%	96%	85%	83%
Gynaecological	*	96%	*	79%
Haematological	*	93%	*	75%
Head and Neck	*	94%	*	78%
Lung	*	97%	*	79%
Prostate	*	96%	*	77%
Sarcoma	*	93%	*	80%
Skin	*	96%	*	83%
Upper Gastro	*	96%	*	79%
Urological	93%	95%	77%	77%
Other	*	95%	*	78%
<b>All Cancers</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>79%</b>

<sup>§</sup> These are unadjusted scores

## Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 1 of 2)

	Q28. Groups of doctors or nurses did not talk in front of patient as if they were not there		Q29. Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them		Q30. Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor		Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses	
Cancer type	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	74%	n.a.	79%	n.a.	61%	n.a.	66%
Breast	92%	89%	85%	86%	82%	76%	74%	76%
Colorectal / LGT	71%	76%	96%	85%	77%	72%	81%	70%
Gynaecological	*	85%	*	85%	*	72%	*	71%
Haematological	84%	81%	81%	81%	77%	73%	83%	74%
Head and Neck	*	79%	*	84%	*	74%	*	72%
Lung	*	77%	*	82%	*	73%	*	75%
Prostate	*	85%	*	88%	*	74%	*	79%
Sarcoma	*	80%	*	85%	n.a.	72%	*	74%
Skin	*	87%	*	92%	*	80%	*	85%
Upper Gastro	*	74%	*	82%	*	73%	*	71%
Urological	81%	80%	86%	86%	81%	71%	76%	77%
Other	*	79%	*	81%	*	70%	*	71%
<b>All Cancers</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>74%</b>

	Q32. Always / nearly always enough nurses on duty		Q33. All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by		Q34. Always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment		Q35. Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	
Cancer type	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	59%	n.a.	65%	n.a.	76%	n.a.	38%
Breast	72%	71%	70%	61%	77%	86%	56%	54%
Colorectal / LGT	62%	62%	77%	70%	96%	84%	*	53%
Gynaecological	*	66%	*	65%	*	83%	*	50%
Haematological	68%	62%	74%	70%	87%	86%	50%	56%
Head and Neck	*	65%	*	69%	*	86%	*	54%
Lung	*	70%	*	72%	*	83%	*	50%
Prostate	*	73%	*	68%	*	89%	*	52%
Sarcoma	*	71%	*	71%	*	88%	*	53%
Skin	*	78%	*	67%	*	90%	*	62%
Upper Gastro	*	64%	*	74%	*	83%	*	50%
Urological	70%	68%	75%	72%	95%	87%	62%	47%
Other	*	62%	*	68%	*	83%	*	47%
<b>All Cancers</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>52%</b>

<sup>§</sup> These are unadjusted scores

## Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 2 of 2)

Cancer type	Q36. Hospital staff definitely did everything to help control pain		Q37. Always treated with respect and dignity by staff		Q38. Given clear written information about what should / should not do post discharge		Q39. Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge	
	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	79%	n.a.	79%	n.a.	76%	n.a.	91%
Breast	86%	86%	87%	88%	88%	91%	93%	96%
Colorectal / LGT	92%	84%	92%	87%	83%	84%	96%	94%
Gynaecological	*	83%	*	87%	*	87%	*	94%
Haematological	88%	83%	94%	89%	85%	80%	97%	95%
Head and Neck	*	81%	*	87%	*	85%	*	91%
Lung	*	84%	*	87%	*	81%	*	91%
Prostate	*	85%	*	91%	*	89%	*	94%
Sarcoma	*	87%	*	90%	*	84%	*	94%
Skin	*	87%	*	92%	*	89%	*	95%
Upper Gastro	*	82%	*	86%	*	82%	*	93%
Urological	70%	82%	84%	89%	83%	86%	92%	91%
Other	*	82%	*	86%	*	81%	*	93%
<b>All Cancers</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>94%</b>

<sup>§</sup> These are unadjusted scores



## Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient

	Q41. Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit		Q42. Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them		Q44. Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment		Q45. Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	
Cancer type	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	63%	n.a.	95%	n.a.	86%	n.a.	58%
Breast	84%	70%	98%	96%	93%	88%	88%	60%
Colorectal / LGT	67%	72%	93%	96%	*	86%	*	58%
Gynaecological	*	68%	*	95%	*	85%	*	62%
Haematological	75%	74%	94%	97%	*	84%	*	64%
Head and Neck	*	71%	*	96%	*	84%	*	61%
Lung	*	70%	*	95%	*	85%	*	58%
Prostate	52%	72%	97%	96%	*	89%	*	58%
Sarcoma	*	72%	*	97%	n.a.	89%	n.a.	69%
Skin	*	72%	*	97%	*	84%	*	59%
Upper Gastro	*	68%	*	94%	n.a.	86%	n.a.	57%
Urological	76%	68%	97%	96%	*	81%	*	56%
Other	72%	67%	94%	95%	*	83%	*	58%
<b>All Cancers</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>60%</b>

	Q47. Beforehand patient had all information needed about chemotherapy treatment		Q48. Patient given understandable information about whether chemotherapy was working	
Cancer type	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	80%	n.a.	59%
Breast	88%	82%	69%	62%
Colorectal / LGT	81%	85%	71%	63%
Gynaecological	*	84%	*	66%
Haematological	77%	84%	69%	75%
Head and Neck	n.a.	80%	n.a.	58%
Lung	*	84%	*	68%
Prostate	*	84%	*	67%
Sarcoma	*	86%	*	73%
Skin	n.a.	88%	n.a.	78%
Upper Gastro	*	84%	*	64%
Urological	*	84%	*	67%
Other	*	85%	*	68%
<b>All Cancers</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>67%</b>

<sup>§</sup> These are unadjusted scores

## Home care and support

Cancer type	Q49. Hospital staff gave family or someone close all the information needed to help with care at home		Q50. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment		Q51. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	
	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	49%	n.a.	42%	n.a.	41%
Breast	60%	57%	58%	53%	50%	40%
Colorectal / LGT	52%	60%	57%	61%	*	51%
Gynaecological	*	56%	*	50%	*	39%
Haematological	60%	60%	36%	51%	*	44%
Head and Neck	*	61%	*	52%	*	48%
Lung	*	57%	*	50%	*	43%
Prostate	55%	56%	*	48%	*	43%
Sarcoma	*	59%	*	55%	n.a.	48%
Skin	*	65%	*	57%	*	59%
Upper Gastro	*	59%	*	55%	*	48%
Urological	78%	58%	57%	47%	*	43%
Other	48%	54%	45%	55%	*	48%
<b>All Cancers</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>45%</b>

<sup>§</sup> These are unadjusted scores

## Care from your general practice

Cancer type	Q52. GP given enough information about patient's condition and treatment		Q53. Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient	
	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	89%	n.a.	51%
Breast	91%	96%	74%	62%
Colorectal / LGT	95%	95%	*	62%
Gynaecological	*	95%	*	61%
Haematological	93%	96%	57%	59%
Head and Neck	*	94%	*	59%
Lung	*	95%	*	61%
Prostate	91%	96%	78%	67%
Sarcoma	*	95%	*	56%
Skin	*	96%	*	67%
Upper Gastro	*	94%	*	61%
Urological	89%	95%	68%	64%
Other	97%	95%	71%	59%
<b>All Cancers</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>62%</b>

<sup>§</sup> These are unadjusted scores

## Your overall NHS care

Cancer type	Q54. Hospital and community staff always worked well together		Q55. Patient given a care plan		Q56. Overall the administration of the care was very good / good		Q57. Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	
	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	43%	n.a.	32%	n.a.	82%	n.a.	61%
Breast	76%	61%	44%	37%	91%	91%	78%	65%
Colorectal / LGT	60%	60%	35%	35%	90%	89%	76%	70%
Gynaecological	*	58%	*	30%	*	89%	*	66%
Haematological	63%	63%	25%	33%	85%	92%	56%	63%
Head and Neck	*	62%	*	36%	*	89%	*	69%
Lung	*	63%	*	33%	81%	89%	*	71%
Prostate	83%	65%	44%	35%	80%	88%	81%	73%
Sarcoma	*	56%	*	28%	*	87%	*	61%
Skin	*	69%	*	39%	*	90%	*	76%
Upper Gastro	*	58%	*	34%	*	87%	*	66%
Urological	65%	63%	26%	27%	85%	87%	73%	75%
Other	57%	55%	33%	29%	92%	88%	70%	61%
<b>All Cancers</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>67%</b>

Cancer type	Q58. Taking part in cancer research discussed with patient		Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	
	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National	This Trust <sup>§</sup>	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	24%	n.a.	8.3
Breast	33%	28%	9.1	8.8
Colorectal / LGT	27%	26%	8.6	8.7
Gynaecological	*	30%	*	8.7
Haematological	37%	34%	8.9	8.9
Head and Neck	*	19%	*	8.7
Lung	*	33%	8.2	8.7
Prostate	23%	34%	8.8	8.7
Sarcoma	*	33%	*	8.6
Skin	*	18%	*	8.9
Upper Gastro	*	33%	*	8.6
Urological	8%	15%	8.6	8.7
Other	11%	30%	8.7	8.6
<b>All Cancers</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>8.8</b>	<b>8.7</b>

<sup>§</sup> These are unadjusted scores

## Annex

### Methodology

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2016.

The patients included in the sample had relevant cancer ICD10 codes (C00-99 excluding C44 and C84, and D05) in the first diagnosis field of their patient records, applied to their patient files by the relevant NHS Trust, and were alive at the point at which fieldwork commenced. Deceased checks were undertaken on up to three occasions during fieldwork, to ensure that questionnaires were not sent to patients who had died since their treatment.

Trust samples were checked rigorously for duplicates and patient lists were also de-duplicated nationally to ensure that patients did not receive multiple copies of questionnaires.

The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between October 2016 and March 2017.

The survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete online. A Freephone helpline was available for respondents to ask questions about the survey, to enable them to complete their questionnaires over the phone, and to provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

The Health Research Authority supported the survey by granting Section 251 approval.

### Further information

Further information on survey methodology, as well as all of the national and local reports and data, is available at [www.ncpes.co.uk](http://www.ncpes.co.uk)

### Redevelopment of the 2016 survey

The following changes have been made to the National Cancer Patient Experience Survey in 2016:

- question 5 and 25 are no longer presented in a tick all that apply format and their response options have been revised. This has allowed the questions to be scored and presented in the comparability charts, data tables and tumour group tables. Because of these changes, no comparison with 2015 results is possible
- question 8 has had a response option removed. Because of this change, no comparison with 2015 results is possible.

### Official Statistics

The 2016 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

## Scoring methodologies

49 of the 50 questions relating directly to patient experience have been summarised as the score of the percentage of patients who reported a positive experience. For example:

- question 6 asks: "Overall, how did you feel about the length of time you had to wait for your test to be done?". Responses have been recorded as positive only for those patients who selected the first option ("It was about right")
- question 11 asks: "When you were told you had cancer, were you given written information about the type of cancer you had?". Responses have been recorded as positive only for those patients who selected the first option ("Yes, and it was easy to understand").

Where options do not provide any information on positive/negative patient experience (e.g. "Don't know / can't remember"), they are excluded from the score.

The other question (question 59) asks respondents to rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10. Scores have been given as an average on this scale.

A copy of the 2016 questionnaire, marked up with all of these scoring conventions, is available at [www.ncpes.co.uk](http://www.ncpes.co.uk)

Further details on the scoring methodology can be found in the technical document for the survey, available at [www.ncpes.co.uk](http://www.ncpes.co.uk)

## Case-mix adjustment

As in 2015, case-mix adjusted findings are being presented alongside unadjusted results for Trusts. Case-mix adjustment allows us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a Trust is performing given their patient population.

The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are gender, age, ethnic group, deprivation, and tumour group.

For further details on case-mix adjustment, please refer to the technical document for the survey, available at [www.ncpes.co.uk](http://www.ncpes.co.uk)

## Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2016 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify any changes between 2015 and 2016 unadjusted scores which are 'statistically significant'. 'Statistically significant' means that you can be very confident that any change between scores is real and not due to chance.

For further details on statistical significance, please refer to the technical document for the survey, available at [www.ncpes.co.uk](http://www.ncpes.co.uk)

## Response Rates

	Sample Size	Excluded	Adjusted Sample	Not Returned	Blank / Refused	Completed	Response Rate
National	118,253	8,590	109,663	33,035	3,840	72,788	67%
RCX	659	52	607	159	36	412	68%

## Respondents by tumour group

The tables below show the numbers of patients from each tumour group and the age and gender distribution of these patients.

Tumour Group	Number of respondents*
Brain / CNS	0
Breast	91
Gynaecological	18
Colorectal / LGT	33
Lung	21
Skin	10
Haematological	71
Upper Gastro	20
Other	38
Urological	51
Prostate	51
Sarcoma	2
Head and Neck	6

\* These figures may not match the numerator for all questions in the 'Comparisons by tumour group' section of this report, because not all questions were answered by all respondents.

## Respondents by age and gender

The questionnaire asked respondents to give their year of birth. This information has been amalgamated into 8 age bands. The age and gender distribution for the Trust was as follows:

	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65-74	75-84	85+	Total
Male	0	0	2	9	26	84	68	14	203
Female	1	2	8	37	53	54	50	4	209
Total	1	2	10	46	79	138	118	18	412



Quality Health is a specialist health and social care survey organisation, working for public, private and not-for-profit sectors, in the UK and overseas.

Quality Health works with all acute hospitals in England, all independent providers of hospital care, and all Health Boards in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland.

Quality Health is an approved contractor for the Care Quality Commission's patient survey programmes, NHS England's National Staff Survey programme, and the national Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs).

Further information on Quality Health is available at [www.quality-health.co.uk](http://www.quality-health.co.uk)

Further information on the National Cancer Patient Experience Survey, as well as all of the national and local reports and data, is available [www.ncpes.co.uk](http://www.ncpes.co.uk)