

Cancer Patient Experience Survey

2022 Results

North East London Cancer Alliance

Published July 2023

Executive Summary

North East London Cancer Alliance has no scores above expected range

Questions Below Expected Range

	Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	60%	61%	70%	65%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	80%	80%	88%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	94%	94%	97%	95%
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	67%	67%	75%	71%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	74%	74%	83%	78%
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	86%	87%	92%	89%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	81%	82%	88%	85%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	69%	70%	86%	78%
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	38%	40%	50%	45%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	57%	58%	67%	62%

Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2022 is the 12th iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2022 survey involved 133 NHS Trusts. Out of 115,662 people, 61,268 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 53%.

Methodology

Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2022. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between November 2022 and February 2023.

As in the previous seven years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

How Alliance and ICB results are generated

Alliance and ICB results are derived using the post code of each patient, rather than by mapping trust results to ICBs or Alliances. This mapping is achieved using lookup files released by the Office for National Statistics.

Alliance and ICB results therefore reflect the experience of people referred from within the geographical footprint.

Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allow us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how an Alliance is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, ethnicity, deprivation, and cancer type.

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the Alliance. Case-mix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

Scoring methodology

Sixty-one questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q59), the score shows the percentage of respondents who gave the most favourable response to a question. For Q59, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

Please note that following a review of the scoring methodology, a change was made to the scoring of Q12 such that the response option "No, I was told by letter or email" is no longer considered neutral.

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2022 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by chance.

Suppression

Data is suppressed for two reasons: to ensure unreliable results based on very small numbers of respondents are not released, and to prevent individuals being identifiable in the data.

In cases where a result is based on fewer than 10 responses, the result has been suppressed. For example, where fewer than 10 people answered a question from a particular Alliance, the results are not shown for that question for that Alliance.

For Alliances with an eligible population of 1,000 or fewer, data relating to the respondent and their condition has been suppressed where 5 people or fewer were in a particular category. In instances where only one has been suppressed, the next lowest category has been suppressed to prevent back calculation from the total number of responses.

Additional suppression

Additional suppression happens if only **one** Alliance has a score suppressed. If this happens, we will suppress another Alliance's results (both the Alliance level and subgroup results for the question) based on the next lowest number of respondents for the score. We do this so that the national score cannot be used to work out the score for the individual Alliance.

The same rule applies to groups in each subgroup breakdown. For example, if only **one** Alliance has the 85+ age group suppressed for Q25 we will need to suppress another Alliance's results for the 85+ age group on Q25. This suppression is based on the 85+ age group with the next lowest number of respondents for Q25.

Understanding the results

This report shows how this Alliance scored for each question in the survey compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual Alliances to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

Expected range charts

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (within the grey bar) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this Alliance.

Alliances whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the Alliance performs better than what Alliances of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the Alliance's size and demographics.

Comparability tables

The comparability tables show the 2021 and 2022 unadjusted scores for this Alliance for each scored question. If there is a statistically significant change from 2021 an arrow will be presented for the direction of change.

The adjusted 2022 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

Sub-group breakdowns

Unadjusted scores are shown for tumour type, Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, IMD quintile, long-term condition status and ethnicity breakdowns. Unadjusted scores for the same sub-group across different Alliances may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

Tumour type tables

The tumour type tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

Age group tables

The age group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the eight age groups.

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

These tables show the unadjusted scores for the following groups male; female; non-binary; prefer to self-describe; and prefer not to say.

Ethnicity tables

The ethnicity tables show the unadjusted scores for six ethnicity groups.

Long-term condition status tables

The long-term condition status tables show the unadjusted scores for two groups: those who indicate they have one or more long term conditions and those who indicate that they have no long-term conditions.

IMD quintile tables

The IMD quintile tables show the unadjusted scores for five quintiles based on relative disadvantage, with quintile 1 being the most deprived and quintile 5 being the least deprived.

Year on year charts

The year on year charts show two columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last two years (2021 and 2022) for each scored question.

Trust Expected Range Summary

The number of scored questions that fell below, within and above the expected range for each Trust within the Alliance.

ICB Expected Range Summary

The number of scored questions that fell below, within and above the expected range for each ICB within the Alliance.

Further information

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2012; certificate number GB08/74322). The 2022 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2022 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at www.ncpes.co.uk. For all other outputs at Alliance level, please see the Excel tables and dashboards at www.ncpes.co.uk.

Response Rate

Overall Response Rate

881 patients responded out of a total of 2,192 patients, resulting in a response rate of 40%.

	Sample Size	Adjusted Sample	Completed	Response Rate
Overall response rate	2,332	2,192	881	40%
National	123,632	115,662	61,268	53%

Respondents by Survey Type

	Number of Respondents
Paper	676
Online	202
Phone	2
Translation Service	1
Total	881


Respondents by Tumour Group

	Number of Respondents
Brain / CNS	2
Breast	186
Colorectal / LGT	101
Gynaecological	34
Haematological	84
Head and Neck	31
Lung	70
Prostate	115
Sarcoma	12
Skin	31
Upper Gastro	41
Urological	52
Other	122
Total	881






Respondents by Ethnicity

	Number of Respondents
White	
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	528
Irish	10
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	*
Any other White background	54
Mixed / Multiple Ethnicity	
White and Black Caribbean	6
White and Black African	*
White and Asian	*
Any other Mixed / multiple ethnic background	*
Asian or Asian British	
Indian	31
Pakistani	23
Bangladeshi	20
Chinese	12
Any other Asian background	24
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British	
African	33
Caribbean	31
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	*
Other Ethnicity	
Arab	*
Any other ethnic group	*
Not given	
Not given	89
Total	881





Expected Range Charts




Expected Range Charts






Expected Range Charts



Expected Range Charts



Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.	▲ or ▼ Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.	 Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range
** No score available for 2021.		 Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges
		 Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	474	70%	375	72%		74%	73%	82%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	620	59%	533	59%		60%	61%	70%	65%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	810	90%	700	91%		91%	90%	94%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	843	79%	750	79%		81%	80%	87%	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	850	75%	754	75%		76%	75%	81%	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	854	74%	756	77%		79%	75%	81%	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	850	92%	758	94%		94%	93%	96%	95%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	945	67%	811	75%	▲	74%	73%	79%	76%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	995	71%	866	71%		71%	70%	77%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	1011	74%	871	75%		76%	73%	79%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	992	83%	868	86%		86%	83%	87%	85%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	892	79%	761	82%		82%	81%	86%	84%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	985	92%	840	92%		92%	89%	94%	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	865	81%	721	78%		80%	80%	88%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	883	94%	745	94%		94%	94%	97%	95%

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

** No score available for 2021.

▲ or ▼ Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

	Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range
	Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges
	Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	961	80%	817	79%		81%	79%	85%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	996	76%	861	76%		77%	76%	83%	79%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	796	71%	713	80%	▲	80%	77%	83%	80%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	593	50%	469	55%		51%	47%	57%	52%

CARE PLANNING	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	913	69%	776	67%		67%	67%	75%	71%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	573	91%	482	90%		91%	91%	95%	93%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	432	97%	370	99%		99%	97%	100%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	865	87%	744	89%		90%	87%	93%	90%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	998	70%	862	74%		75%	71%	79%	75%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	613	69%	522	63%		65%	63%	72%	67%

HOSPITAL CARE	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	476	74%	420	81%	▲	82%	74%	83%	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	390	56%	337	64%		63%	61%	71%	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	465	68%	403	68%		68%	65%	74%	69%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	467	72%	406	73%		73%	66%	79%	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	451	62%	393	64%		65%	59%	70%	64%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	422	81%	355	84%		85%	80%	89%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	474	85%	419	86%		87%	84%	92%	88%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	452	87%	407	88%		88%	85%	91%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	865	71%	744	72%		74%	74%	83%	78%

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

▲ or ▼

Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

** No score available for 2021.

	Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range
	Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges
	Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

YOUR TREATMENT	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	578	86%	504	85%		86%	87%	92%	89%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	533	86%	449	84%		84%	82%	88%	85%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	340	85%	259	87%		87%	85%	92%	88%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	173	76%	122	75%		75%	71%	86%	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	136	80%	105	84%		84%	77%	91%	84%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	577	82%	500	81%		81%	82%	88%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	533	79%	450	78%		78%	75%	82%	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	336	81%	254	81%		81%	76%	85%	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	171	71%	120	72%		72%	64%	81%	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	135	79%	107	82%		83%	72%	87%	79%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	980	70%	845	67%		69%	70%	86%	78%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	958	74%	816	72%		72%	71%	78%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	939	65%	776	66%		67%	65%	73%	69%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	776	81%	656	84%		85%	82%	90%	86%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	922	57%	769	57%		55%	55%	63%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	800	50%	679	51%		51%	48%	58%	53%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	658	51%	599	58%		57%	53%	62%	58%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	509	43%	369	47%		47%	44%	59%	51%

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

** No score available for 2021.

▲ or ▼

Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

	Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range
	Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges
	Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	599	42%	482	39%		38%	40%	50%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	945	23%	808	24%		21%	17%	24%	21%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	292	30%	211	25%		25%	23%	39%	31%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	492	74%	382	76%		78%	74%	83%	78%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	778	58%	694	55%		57%	58%	67%	62%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	957	88%	823	88%		88%	87%	92%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	991	82%	850	85%		84%	84%	90%	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	612	47%	534	49%		48%	34%	52%	43%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	979	8.6	826	8.7		8.8	8.7	9.0	8.9

Tumour type tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	83%	63%	72%	61%	85%	52%	69%	*	94%	82%	72%	62%	72%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	75%	52%	67%	33%	39%	38%	71%	*	69%	72%	44%	51%	59%

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	93%	88%	83%	95%	91%	88%	93%	*	100%	86%	92%	90%	91%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	79%	73%	86%	87%	67%	84%	85%	*	86%	76%	80%	75%	79%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	74%	79%	76%	82%	77%	79%	80%	*	66%	75%	78%	61%	75%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	81%	71%	72%	71%	74%	81%	74%	*	97%	73%	82%	76%	77%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	97%	87%	100%	93%	89%	95%	95%	*	97%	90%	84%	98%	94%

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	75%	81%	93%	84%	79%	78%	68%	64%	46%	73%	59%	78%	75%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	79%	72%	84%	72%	74%	64%	67%	50%	84%	71%	62%	64%	71%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	83%	72%	85%	68%	81%	71%	78%	58%	90%	71%	69%	68%	75%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	93%	86%	97%	86%	90%	81%	86%	64%	94%	83%	76%	79%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	86%	82%	81%	87%	90%	78%	86%	83%	79%	76%	75%	75%	82%

Tumour type tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	96%	95%	97%	97%	94%	83%	92%	92%	93%	88%	81%	92%	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	75%	81%	90%	89%	78%	74%	73%	73%	83%	82%	68%	75%	78%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	92%	92%	97%	97%	96%	92%	93%	100%	92%	90%	97%	93%	94%

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	82%	79%	81%	80%	77%	87%	73%	73%	90%	83%	78%	74%	79%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	74%	72%	76%	79%	77%	73%	81%	67%	84%	71%	78%	75%	76%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	78%	83%	72%	91%	89%	75%	77%	45%	85%	76%	79%	81%	80%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	54%	51%	20%	69%	71%	54%	59%	*	67%	57%	52%	48%	55%

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	64%	67%	63%	72%	77%	69%	70%	83%	86%	58%	62%	60%	67%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	85%	87%	94%	94%	87%	94%	94%	100%	100%	95%	90%	90%	90%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	96%	100%	91%	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	94%	100%	100%	99%

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	88%	88%	100%	93%	86%	87%	91%	100%	95%	92%	78%	89%	89%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	70%	70%	79%	80%	81%	75%	78%	83%	87%	56%	80%	70%	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	68%	59%	48%	62%	71%	72%	54%	*	64%	67%	52%	69%	63%

Tumour type tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	80%	81%	75%	77%	79%	84%	93%	*	*	88%	88%	74%	81%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	58%	64%	59%	70%	42%	63%	74%	*	*	65%	62%	69%	64%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	68%	69%	65%	66%	50%	62%	81%	*	*	68%	76%	66%	68%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	77%	68%	80%	65%	64%	74%	88%	*	*	76%	71%	62%	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	68%	68%	50%	69%	64%	50%	67%	*	*	65%	61%	60%	64%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	91%	84%	88%	83%	75%	77%	87%	*	*	91%	77%	75%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	89%	84%	85%	87%	79%	83%	93%	*	*	92%	85%	79%	86%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	94%	83%	90%	87%	86%	82%	93%	*	*	82%	94%	77%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	72%	57%	80%	82%	82%	74%	76%	82%	82%	64%	67%	72%	72%

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	88%	84%	86%	82%	81%	78%	86%	*	88%	86%	92%	85%	85%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	83%	84%	83%	90%	80%	83%	83%	*	*	81%	82%	87%	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	89%	96%	86%	100%	86%	76%	78%	*	*	*	*	92%	87%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	72%	*	*	*	*	*	75%	*	*	*	*	80%	75%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	75%	*	*	*	*	86%	*	*	*	*	92%	79%	84%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	83%	79%	89%	91%	74%	69%	83%	*	84%	80%	82%	79%	81%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	80%	75%	83%	88%	80%	80%	66%	*	*	68%	91%	76%	78%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	83%	87%	85%	92%	85%	76%	78%	*	*	*	*	79%	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	73%	*	*	*	*	*	69%	*	*	*	*	69%	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	47%	*	*	90%	*	81%	*	*	*	*	85%	93%	82%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	59%	74%	64%	68%	70%	79%	77%	36%	77%	63%	70%	61%	67%

Tumour type tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	72%	63%	75%	73%	76%	72%	76%	70%	89%	60%	69%	76%	72%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	65%	65%	69%	70%	68%	58%	74%	*	71%	61%	57%	66%	66%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	86%	83%	92%	88%	95%	79%	85%	*	94%	68%	62%	91%	84%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	56%	55%	59%	57%	67%	45%	67%	*	65%	51%	55%	54%	57%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	48%	45%	48%	52%	65%	45%	60%	*	67%	52%	50%	52%	51%

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	55%	57%	61%	68%	70%	53%	66%	*	60%	52%	52%	55%	58%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	48%	53%	50%	56%	67%	38%	53%	*	*	48%	44%	33%	47%

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	37%	39%	46%	40%	19%	24%	46%	*	60%	50%	32%	39%	39%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	25%	23%	35%	24%	33%	17%	27%	18%	21%	36%	13%	20%	24%

Tumour type tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	28%	23%	38%	27%	19%	6%	32%	*	*	20%	25%	27%	25%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	68%	75%	87%	95%	76%	71%	79%	*	94%	47%	79%	77%	76%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	48%	45%	45%	76%	60%	55%	62%	90%	79%	50%	38%	59%	55%

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	90%	86%	91%	92%	87%	88%	91%	82%	97%	75%	86%	86%	88%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	85%	84%	85%	94%	94%	74%	87%	82%	94%	83%	80%	82%	85%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	38%	48%	63%	80%	57%	41%	51%	*	36%	60%	43%	51%	49%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	8.7	8.6	8.9	9.0	8.9	8.7	8.8	8.7	9.2	8.3	8.5	8.6	8.7

Age group tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	*	67%	73%	64%	68%	84%	*	72%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	65%	64%	61%	59%	54%	39%	59%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	70%	90%	91%	91%	92%	90%	96%	91%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	80%	81%	73%	80%	79%	81%	77%	79%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	45%	61%	73%	72%	77%	78%	80%	75%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	64%	74%	76%	78%	78%	75%	77%	77%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	73%	84%	96%	96%	93%	96%	93%	94%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	67%	72%	74%	69%	76%	80%	77%	75%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	75%	71%	71%	68%	66%	81%	72%	71%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	54%	71%	70%	77%	73%	82%	75%	75%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	69%	81%	86%	86%	85%	88%	94%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	73%	89%	84%	84%	82%	80%	69%	82%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	100%	92%	89%	94%	93%	91%	86%	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	77%	72%	71%	72%	80%	86%	76%	78%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	92%	85%	88%	93%	96%	96%	100%	94%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	85%	76%	79%	78%	79%	79%	93%	79%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	69%	69%	69%	73%	82%	75%	78%	76%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	*	80%	73%	81%	79%	80%	96%	80%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	30%	43%	58%	64%	57%	46%	42%	55%

Age group tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

CARE PLANNING	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	33%	60%	65%	70%	68%	65%	73%	67%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	90%	79%	94%	93%	90%	89%	93%	90%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	*	100%	98%	98%	99%	99%	100%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	91%	79%	91%	90%	93%	85%	90%	89%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	62%	69%	67%	75%	75%	74%	87%	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	*	67%	72%	69%	64%	47%	77%	63%

HOSPITAL CARE	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	*	80%	78%	79%	83%	87%	82%	81%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	*	45%	54%	66%	67%	66%	*	64%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	*	63%	62%	68%	73%	71%	50%	68%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	*	73%	72%	66%	77%	76%	80%	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	*	50%	61%	64%	71%	58%	*	64%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	*	74%	84%	89%	87%	81%	*	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	*	81%	83%	87%	87%	90%	80%	86%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	*	87%	89%	86%	90%	84%	100%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	30%	56%	66%	74%	76%	74%	84%	72%

Age group tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

YOUR TREATMENT	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	70%	82%	84%	85%	86%	88%	82%	85%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	*	88%	75%	83%	87%	86%	*	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	*	79%	86%	87%	85%	91%	*	87%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	73%	65%	63%	81%	80%	*	75%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	81%	78%	88%	*	84%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	80%	79%	81%	81%	81%	82%	75%	81%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	*	77%	82%	72%	84%	76%	*	78%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	*	83%	80%	78%	84%	78%	*	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	*	73%	72%	69%	64%	79%	*	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	77%	93%	77%	*	82%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	50%	46%	50%	65%	71%	76%	88%	67%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	83%	71%	72%	76%	70%	70%	70%	72%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	45%	60%	69%	71%	66%	63%	60%	66%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	80%	87%	83%	85%	86%	80%	76%	84%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	45%	50%	59%	61%	58%	52%	54%	57%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	45%	36%	52%	52%	57%	50%	36%	51%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	*	46%	47%	59%	63%	59%	57%	58%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	45%	42%	46%	43%	54%	50%	47%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	*	27%	30%	44%	39%	40%	50%	39%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	20%	33%	18%	30%	22%	20%	21%	24%

Age group tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	20%	34%	26%	24%	25%	*	25%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	*	65%	66%	75%	81%	78%	80%	76%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	36%	38%	51%	55%	58%	57%	77%	55%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	82%	84%	92%	87%	88%	89%	94%	88%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	91%	78%	83%	85%	88%	83%	87%	85%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	40%	45%	45%	52%	50%	50%	50%	49%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	8.0	8.4	8.6	8.7	8.9	8.7	8.9	8.7

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	71%	72%	*	*	*	88%	72%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	60%	58%	*	*	*	54%	59%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	90%	93%	*	*	*	87%	91%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	80%	78%	*	*	*	83%	79%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	73%	77%	*	*	*	79%	75%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	76%	*	*	*	81%	77%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	95%	92%	*	*	*	95%	94%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	77%	71%	*	*	*	82%	75%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	74%	66%	*	*	*	81%	71%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	75%	75%	*	*	*	77%	75%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	87%	84%	*	*	*	94%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	82%	80%	*	*	*	91%	82%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	95%	90%	*	*	*	93%	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	78%	78%	*	*	*	76%	78%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	93%	95%	*	*	*	94%	94%

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	80%	79%	*	*	*	79%	79%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	76%	76%	*	*	*	76%	76%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	80%	79%	*	*	*	81%	80%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	48%	62%	*	*	*	58%	55%

CARE PLANNING							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	65%	69%	*	*	*	70%	67%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	90%	91%	*	*	*	92%	90%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	98%	99%	*	*	*	100%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	88%	90%	*	*	*	95%	89%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	72%	76%	*	*	*	70%	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	66%	60%	*	*	*	63%	63%

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						All
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	78%	88%	*	*	*	70%	81%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	60%	70%	*	*	*	47%	64%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	67%	69%	*	*	*	65%	68%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	70%	79%	*	*	*	68%	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	63%	66%	*	*	*	58%	64%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	86%	84%	*	*	*	72%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	84%	89%	*	*	*	86%	86%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	89%	88%	*	*	*	76%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	72%	74%	*	*	*	70%	72%

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						All
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	86%	84%	*	*	*	91%	85%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	85%	82%	*	*	*	91%	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	87%	87%	*	*	*	*	87%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	73%	76%	*	*	*	*	75%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	85%	81%	*	*	*	*	84%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	82%	80%	*	*	*	82%	81%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	80%	74%	*	*	*	87%	78%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	81%	80%	*	*	*	*	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	71%	73%	*	*	*	*	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	80%	85%	*	*	*	*	82%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	61%	74%	*	*	*	73%	67%

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	70%	75%	*	*	*	69%	72%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	63%	69%	*	*	*	68%	66%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	85%	83%	*	*	*	79%	84%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	56%	59%	*	*	*	55%	57%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	49%	53%	*	*	*	59%	51%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	57%	58%	*	*	*	68%	58%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	45%	48%	*	*	*	53%	47%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	39%	39%	*	*	*	30%	39%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	23%	24%	*	*	*	30%	24%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	28%	22%	*	*	*	18%	25%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	73%	80%	*	*	*	79%	76%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	52%	60%	*	*	*	55%	55%

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	87%	90%	*	*	*	82%	88%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	83%	87%	*	*	*	85%	85%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	44%	55%	*	*	*	67%	49%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.7	8.7	*	*	*	9.1	8.7

Ethnicity tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	77%	*	55%	72%	*	65%	72%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	61%	*	55%	45%	*	56%	59%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	92%	92%	92%	88%	*	87%	91%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	79%	93%	82%	77%	*	76%	79%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	75%	86%	71%	79%	*	76%	75%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	80%	60%	74%	63%	*	73%	77%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	94%	93%	92%	95%	*	96%	94%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	74%	73%	79%	70%	*	78%	75%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	71%	53%	72%	72%	*	75%	71%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	60%	71%	68%	*	66%	75%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	86%	80%	87%	85%	*	88%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	81%	93%	85%	79%	*	81%	82%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	92%	100%	93%	97%	*	93%	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	79%	71%	79%	76%	*	74%	78%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	94%	79%	96%	95%	*	92%	94%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	80%	80%	72%	75%	*	85%	79%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	77%	93%	73%	71%	*	75%	76%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	80%	83%	79%	76%	*	78%	80%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	51%	*	58%	58%	*	62%	55%

Ethnicity tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

CARE PLANNING	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	67%	71%	63%	66%	*	66%	67%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	90%	90%	91%	100%	*	88%	90%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	99%	*	97%	100%	*	98%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	88%	92%	92%	94%	*	94%	89%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	75%	60%	71%	73%	*	73%	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	61%	64%	65%	65%	*	74%	63%

HOSPITAL CARE	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	85%	*	71%	82%	*	74%	81%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	65%	*	51%	73%	*	66%	64%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	69%	*	58%	76%	*	68%	68%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	75%	*	64%	71%	*	75%	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	67%	*	57%	53%	*	57%	64%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	90%	*	75%	74%	*	76%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	88%	*	79%	89%	*	89%	86%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	90%	*	84%	85%	*	82%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	76%	50%	67%	59%	*	70%	72%

Ethnicity tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

YOUR TREATMENT	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	87%	*	83%	75%	*	86%	85%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	85%	*	81%	84%	*	89%	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	87%	*	84%	93%	*	83%	87%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	78%	*	69%	*	*	*	75%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	84%	*	*	*	*	*	84%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	82%	*	82%	74%	*	79%	81%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	79%	*	75%	81%	*	84%	78%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	80%	*	76%	100%	*	78%	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	72%	*	77%	*	*	*	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	83%	*	*	*	*	82%	82%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	69%	73%	56%	62%	*	72%	67%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	74%	71%	69%	67%	*	70%	72%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	66%	43%	66%	69%	*	67%	66%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	84%	75%	85%	86%	*	83%	84%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	57%	50%	61%	61%	*	51%	57%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	52%	31%	52%	46%	*	57%	51%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	55%	*	69%	63%	*	60%	58%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	47%	*	49%	52%	*	39%	47%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	40%	*	46%	35%	*	28%	39%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	18%	54%	37%	33%	*	32%	24%

Ethnicity tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	24%	*	32%	14%	*	25%	25%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	77%	62%	72%	84%	*	78%	76%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	55%	46%	65%	58%	*	46%	55%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	88%	86%	89%	92%	*	85%	88%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	85%	73%	85%	89%	*	84%	85%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	46%	36%	57%	58%	*	64%	49%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.9	7.9	8.4	8.5	*	8.7	8.7

IMD quintile tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	68%	72%	71%	71%	81%	*	72%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	44%	63%	62%	58%	61%	*	59%

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS							
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	91%	93%	89%	92%	88%	*	91%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	82%	75%	81%	82%	80%	*	79%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	76%	72%	73%	80%	75%	*	75%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	72%	76%	83%	81%	*	77%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	95%	93%	96%	95%	89%	*	94%

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER							
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	77%	77%	74%	69%	75%	*	75%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	78%	71%	66%	73%	67%	*	71%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	76%	71%	81%	74%	75%	*	75%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	88%	84%	86%	89%	83%	*	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	78%	83%	83%	85%	79%	*	82%

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON							
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	91%	93%	90%	94%	93%	*	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	74%	77%	74%	84%	82%	*	78%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	95%	95%	92%	92%	94%	*	94%

IMD quintile tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	80%	76%	84%	78%	*	79%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	70%	74%	76%	86%	77%	*	76%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	80%	76%	78%	86%	81%	*	80%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	63%	56%	44%	59%	51%	*	55%

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	69%	65%	66%	71%	65%	*	67%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	92%	93%	88%	91%	84%	*	90%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	100%	97%	100%	98%	100%	*	99%

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	88%	90%	89%	92%	86%	*	89%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	77%	71%	71%	80%	74%	*	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	66%	64%	64%	64%	55%	*	63%

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	88%	74%	83%	89%	84%	*	81%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	67%	60%	66%	71%	56%	*	64%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	72%	61%	72%	76%	68%	*	68%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	82%	65%	75%	85%	74%	*	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	70%	60%	59%	76%	62%	*	64%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	86%	81%	84%	98%	81%	*	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	91%	85%	82%	93%	86%	*	86%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	92%	88%	83%	94%	83%	*	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	71%	70%	70%	83%	70%	*	72%

IMD quintile tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

YOUR TREATMENT	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	79%	87%	83%	88%	87%	*	85%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	84%	82%	85%	93%	78%	*	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	88%	87%	91%	83%	84%	*	87%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	71%	82%	70%	61%	88%	*	75%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	92%	85%	95%	78%	64%	*	84%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	83%	78%	78%	87%	84%	*	81%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	76%	77%	80%	86%	72%	*	78%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	82%	80%	74%	87%	84%	*	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	71%	72%	68%	70%	81%	*	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	85%	82%	79%	89%	79%	*	82%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	64%	62%	71%	72%	72%	*	67%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	74%	72%	73%	73%	67%	*	72%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	66%	66%	61%	73%	66%	*	66%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	84%	85%	82%	88%	82%	*	84%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	62%	58%	57%	56%	50%	*	57%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	50%	51%	53%	54%	46%	*	51%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	68%	60%	55%	57%	47%	*	58%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	45%	53%	43%	43%	42%	*	47%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	35%	37%	36%	48%	44%	*	39%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	26%	24%	25%	21%	22%	*	24%

IMD quintile tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	24%	28%	22%	13%	32%	*	25%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	75%	78%	69%	78%	81%	*	76%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	58%	55%	50%	65%	52%	*	55%

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	95%	88%	86%	88%	84%	*	88%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	84%	85%	84%	89%	81%	*	85%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	52%	55%	42%	46%	51%	*	49%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.7	8.7	8.7	9.0	8.7	*	8.7

Long term condition status tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	71%	72%	83%	72%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	54%	65%	64%	59%

	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	90%	94%	92%	91%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	76%	84%	90%	79%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	72%	77%	83%	75%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	76%	77%	81%	77%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	93%	95%	98%	94%

	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	78%	68%	82%	75%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	71%	71%	76%	71%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	74%	78%	75%	75%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	85%	87%	91%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	79%	84%	92%	82%

	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON				
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	92%	93%	92%	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	77%	81%	75%	78%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	95%	92%	91%	94%

	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	81%	83%	79%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	74%	79%	76%	76%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	80%	80%	76%	80%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	53%	57%	56%	55%

Long term condition status tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

CARE PLANNING	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	66%	67%	75%	67%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	90%	91%	92%	90%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	99%	98%	100%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	85%	95%	100%	89%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	72%	77%	75%	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	59%	71%	71%	63%

HOSPITAL CARE	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	81%	83%	73%	81%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	64%	64%	55%	64%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	67%	71%	64%	68%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	73%	74%	73%	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	64%	63%	65%	64%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	85%	86%	64%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	87%	85%	85%	86%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	87%	92%	76%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	71%	74%	75%	72%

Long term condition status tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

YOUR TREATMENT	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	84%	86%	91%	85%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	86%	81%	88%	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	87%	85%	100%	87%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	77%	72%	*	75%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	81%	89%	*	84%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	80%	81%	84%	81%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	79%	76%	85%	78%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	79%	82%	91%	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	73%	72%	*	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	81%	89%	*	82%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	67%	67%	72%	67%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	70%	77%	64%	72%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	64%	71%	67%	66%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	83%	86%	84%	84%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	55%	62%	52%	57%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	50%	55%	51%	51%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	58%	57%	63%	58%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	43%	56%	53%	47%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	40%	38%	31%	39%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	24%	23%	27%	24%

Long term condition status tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	22%	32%	27%	25%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	71%	85%	80%	76%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	52%	61%	62%	55%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	87%	91%	80%	88%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	84%	87%	88%	85%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	48%	51%	62%	49%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.6	8.9	9.0	8.7

Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE

Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis



Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand




DIAGNOSTIC TESTS

Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance



Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient



Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right



Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand



Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results




FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER

Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis



Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer



Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand




Year on Year Charts


* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place



Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis




SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON

Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team



Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person



Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful



Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.


The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT


Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand



Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment



Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options




Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options



CARE PLANNING

Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment




Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns



Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date



SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF

Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support



Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff



Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits




Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

HOSPITAL CARE

Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital



Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital



Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital



Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed



Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff



Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size. The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.


Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain



Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital



Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital



Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case



YOUR TREATMENT

Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery



Year on Year Charts


* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.


Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy



Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy




Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy



Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy



Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery



Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size. The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.


Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy



Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy




Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy



Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy



Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right



Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.


The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS

Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand




Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment



Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment



Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment



Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects




Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.


The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME

Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home



Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services




CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE

Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment



Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice



LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER

Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services



Year on Year Charts


* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment



Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading




YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE

Q56. The whole care team worked well together



Q57. Administration of care was very good or good



Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient



Year on Year Charts




* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good






Trust Expected Range Summary

<p>Data labels relate to the number of scores that fell below, within and above the expected range</p>		Number of scores below the Lower Expected Range
		Number of scores between the Upper and Lower Expected Ranges
		Number of scores above the Upper Expected Range

Trust		Expected Range Classification	
RQX	Homerton Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust	3	25
RF4	Barking, Havering and Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust	4	57
R1H	Barts Health NHS Trust	9	51

ICB Expected Range Summary

<p>Data labels relate to the number of scores that fell below, within and above the expected range</p>		<p>Number of scores below the Lower Expected Range</p>
		<p>Number of scores between the Upper and Lower Expected Ranges</p>
		<p>Number of scores above the Upper Expected Range</p>

ICB		Expected Range Classification	
QMF	NHS North East London Integrated Care Board	10	51