

Cancer Patient Experience Survey

2022 Results

Thames Valley Cancer Alliance

Published July 2023

Executive Summary

Questions Above Expected Range

	Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	97%	94%	97%	95%
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	93%	88%	92%	90%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	91%	84%	91%	88%
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	49%	41%	48%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	23%	18%	23%	21%

Questions Below Expected Range

	Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	89%	90%	93%	92%

Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2022 is the 12th iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2022 survey involved 133 NHS Trusts. Out of 115,662 people, 61,268 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 53%.

Methodology

Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2022. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between November 2022 and February 2023.

As in the previous seven years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

How Alliance and ICB results are generated

Alliance and ICB results are derived using the post code of each patient, rather than by mapping trust results to ICBs or Alliances. This mapping is achieved using lookup files released by the Office for National Statistics.

Alliance and ICB results therefore reflect the experience of people referred from within the geographical footprint.

Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allow us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how an Alliance is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, ethnicity, deprivation, and cancer type.

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the Alliance. Case-mix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

Scoring methodology

Sixty-one questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q59), the score shows the percentage of respondents who gave the most favourable response to a question. For Q59, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

Please note that following a review of the scoring methodology, a change was made to the scoring of Q12 such that the response option "No, I was told by letter or email" is no longer considered neutral.

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2022 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by chance.

Suppression

Data is suppressed for two reasons: to ensure unreliable results based on very small numbers of respondents are not released, and to prevent individuals being identifiable in the data.

In cases where a result is based on fewer than 10 responses, the result has been suppressed. For example, where fewer than 10 people answered a question from a particular Alliance, the results are not shown for that question for that Alliance.

For Alliances with an eligible population of 1,000 or fewer, data relating to the respondent and their condition has been suppressed where 5 people or fewer were in a particular category. In instances where only one has been suppressed, the next lowest category has been suppressed to prevent back calculation from the total number of responses.

Additional suppression

Additional suppression happens if only **one** Alliance has a score suppressed. If this happens, we will suppress another Alliance's results (both the Alliance level and subgroup results for the question) based on the next lowest number of respondents for the score. We do this so that the national score cannot be used to work out the score for the individual Alliance.

The same rule applies to groups in each subgroup breakdown. For example, if only **one** Alliance has the 85+ age group suppressed for Q25 we will need to suppress another Alliance's results for the 85+ age group on Q25. This suppression is based on the 85+ age group with the next lowest number of respondents for Q25.

Understanding the results

This report shows how this Alliance scored for each question in the survey compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual Alliances to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

Expected range charts

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (within the grey bar) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this Alliance.

Alliances whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the Alliance performs better than what Alliances of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the Alliance's size and demographics.

Comparability tables

The comparability tables show the 2021 and 2022 unadjusted scores for this Alliance for each scored question. If there is a statistically significant change from 2021 an arrow will be presented for the direction of change.

The adjusted 2022 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

Sub-group breakdowns

Unadjusted scores are shown for tumour type, Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, IMD quintile, long-term condition status and ethnicity breakdowns. Unadjusted scores for the same sub-group across different Alliances may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

Tumour type tables

The tumour type tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

Age group tables

The age group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the eight age groups.

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

These tables show the unadjusted scores for the following groups male; female; non-binary; prefer to self-describe; and prefer not to say.

Ethnicity tables

The ethnicity tables show the unadjusted scores for six ethnicity groups.

Long-term condition status tables

The long-term condition status tables show the unadjusted scores for two groups: those who indicate they have one or more long term conditions and those who indicate that they have no long-term conditions.

IMD quintile tables

The IMD quintile tables show the unadjusted scores for five quintiles based on relative disadvantage, with quintile 1 being the most deprived and quintile 5 being the least deprived.

Year on year charts

The year on year charts show two columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last two years (2021 and 2022) for each scored question.

Trust Expected Range Summary

The number of scored questions that fell below, within and above the expected range for each Trust within the Alliance.

ICB Expected Range Summary

The number of scored questions that fell below, within and above the expected range for each ICB within the Alliance.

Further information

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2012; certificate number GB08/74322). The 2022 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2022 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at www.ncpes.co.uk. For all other outputs at Alliance level, please see the Excel tables and dashboards at www.ncpes.co.uk.

Response Rate

Overall Response Rate

2,408 patients responded out of a total of 4,264 patients, resulting in a response rate of 56%.

	Sample Size	Adjusted Sample	Completed	Response Rate
Overall response rate	4,551	4,264	2,408	56%
National	123,632	115,662	61,268	53%

Respondents by Survey Type

	Number of Respondents
Paper	1,860
Online	548
Phone	0
Translation Service	0
Total	2,408


Respondents by Tumour Group

	Number of Respondents
Brain / CNS	15
Breast	598
Colorectal / LGT	272
Gynaecological	125
Haematological	292
Head and Neck	45
Lung	148
Prostate	309
Sarcoma	24
Skin	92
Upper Gastro	98
Urological	173
Other	217
Total	2,408


Respondents by Ethnicity

	Number of Respondents
White	
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	2,039
Irish	33
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	*
Any other White background	76
Mixed / Multiple Ethnicity	
White and Black Caribbean	6
White and Black African	6
White and Asian	7
Any other Mixed / multiple ethnic background	*
Asian or Asian British	
Indian	20
Pakistani	9
Bangladeshi	*
Chinese	16
Any other Asian background	14
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British	
African	9
Caribbean	12
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	*
Other Ethnicity	
Arab	6
Any other ethnic group	*
Not given	
Not given	146
Total	2,408





Expected Range Charts




Expected Range Charts



Expected Range Charts



Expected Range Charts






Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

** No score available for 2021.

▲ or ▼ Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

	Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range
	Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges
	Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	982	79%	1233	80%		79%	75%	80%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	1277	70%	1629	69%		68%	62%	69%	65%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	1532	93%	1924	93%		93%	91%	94%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	1614	86%	2017	85%		85%	81%	86%	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	1606	83%	2016	78%	▼	78%	76%	80%	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	1616	80%	2026	79%		79%	76%	80%	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	1610	96%	2023	96%		95%	94%	96%	95%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	1761	69%	2205	75%	▲	76%	74%	78%	76%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	1883	73%	2357	73%		74%	71%	76%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	1900	78%	2374	78%		78%	75%	78%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	1880	83%	2361	85%		85%	84%	86%	85%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	1665	83%	2063	84%		84%	82%	85%	84%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	1815	89%	2281	89%		89%	90%	93%	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	1471	84%	1831	85%		85%	80%	87%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	1553	96%	1938	97%		97%	94%	97%	95%

Comparability tables

<p>* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.</p> <p>** No score available for 2021.</p>	<p>▲ or ▼ Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.</p>	<p> Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range</p> <p> Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges</p> <p> Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range</p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	1784	82%	2214	84%		83%	80%	84%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	1884	80%	2357	81%		82%	77%	82%	79%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	1553	76%	2006	82%	▲	81%	78%	82%	80%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	875	52%	1085	52%		53%	49%	55%	52%

CARE PLANNING	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	1664	71%	2103	72%		72%	68%	74%	71%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	929	93%	1197	94%		95%	91%	95%	93%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	727	98%	939	98%		99%	98%	99%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	1585	92%	2008	93%		93%	88%	92%	90%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	1880	76%	2350	76%		76%	72%	79%	75%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	800	73%	1125	68%		68%	64%	71%	67%

HOSPITAL CARE	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	841	85%	977	79%	▼	79%	75%	82%	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	662	63%	755	66%		68%	62%	69%	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	827	70%	963	69%		69%	66%	73%	69%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	822	77%	954	77%		78%	67%	78%	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	781	67%	929	67%		68%	60%	68%	64%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	712	87%	822	87%		87%	81%	88%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	832	91%	973	91%		91%	84%	91%	88%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	827	90%	952	90%		90%	86%	90%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	1629	78%	2108	79%		79%	75%	82%	78%

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

** No score available for 2021.

▲ or ▼ Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

	Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range
	Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges
	Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

YOUR TREATMENT	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	1098	90%	1253	90%		90%	87%	91%	89%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	770	85%	1160	85%		86%	83%	87%	85%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	778	87%	909	89%		89%	86%	91%	88%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	430	78%	458	81%		81%	75%	83%	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	213	82%	314	88%		88%	80%	88%	84%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	1087	86%	1255	85%		86%	83%	87%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	764	78%	1164	78%		79%	76%	81%	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	766	79%	905	81%		81%	78%	83%	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	420	70%	440	70%		71%	68%	77%	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	211	78%	312	80%		81%	75%	84%	79%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	1859	82%	2344	83%		83%	71%	85%	78%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	1812	76%	2267	76%		76%	72%	77%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	1713	71%	2175	70%		71%	66%	72%	69%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	1375	89%	1754	88%		88%	83%	89%	86%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	1685	59%	2114	60%		61%	56%	62%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	1404	55%	1790	54%		55%	49%	57%	53%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	1184	56%	1530	59%		60%	55%	61%	58%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	677	51%	821	54%		54%	45%	58%	51%

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size. ▲ or ▼ Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.
** No score available for 2021.

	Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range
	Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges
	Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	1065	49%	1372	49%		49%	41%	48%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	1816	22%	2287	23%		23%	18%	23%	21%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	379	35%	468	30%		31%	24%	38%	31%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	991	81%	1197	80%		80%	75%	81%	78%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	1561	61%	1902	62%		63%	59%	66%	62%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	1814	92%	2262	91%		91%	88%	91%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	1882	87%	2349	87%		87%	84%	89%	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	1180	51%	1505	48%		49%	35%	51%	43%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	1846	8.9	2287	8.9		8.9	8.8	9.0	8.9

Tumour type tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	90%	84%	72%	69%	81%	68%	77%	82%	84%	80%	82%	77%	80%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	80%	68%	73%	54%	68%	54%	75%	87%	72%	61%	69%	67%	69%

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	82%	91%	96%	89%	89%	93%	95%	94%	95%	99%	92%	94%	95%	93%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	67%	86%	89%	77%	75%	81%	86%	88%	90%	91%	93%	84%	85%	85%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	58%	78%	83%	79%	80%	79%	76%	79%	76%	64%	76%	76%	73%	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	58%	82%	83%	78%	70%	74%	79%	82%	81%	80%	81%	83%	73%	79%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	75%	97%	94%	94%	94%	93%	97%	98%	100%	97%	91%	97%	93%	96%

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	86%	78%	77%	71%	76%	76%	83%	71%	87%	67%	80%	68%	73%	75%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	64%	77%	75%	64%	69%	80%	71%	72%	88%	77%	67%	67%	76%	73%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	53%	80%	81%	70%	69%	78%	80%	81%	79%	80%	76%	80%	77%	78%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	60%	88%	82%	74%	82%	86%	85%	87%	83%	89%	82%	83%	85%	85%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	92%	88%	86%	80%	81%	83%	82%	90%	85%	89%	76%	80%	78%	84%

Tumour type tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour Type													All Cancers
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	100%	88%	88%	93%	95%	81%	93%	87%	91%	92%	94%	86%	81%	89%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	71%	84%	90%	88%	87%	90%	85%	80%	84%	84%	92%	82%	82%	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	93%	95%	99%	96%	97%	90%	97%	97%	95%	97%	98%	99%	95%	97%

	Tumour Type													All Cancers
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	60%	85%	86%	79%	82%	85%	84%	83%	87%	87%	81%	87%	79%	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	60%	81%	84%	82%	78%	86%	82%	82%	88%	92%	85%	78%	79%	81%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	57%	80%	81%	81%	81%	84%	86%	84%	88%	85%	85%	78%	82%	82%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	47%	54%	55%	49%	62%	51%	59%	58%	51%	63%	46%	47%	52%

	Tumour Type													All Cancers
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	73%	73%	73%	74%	68%	78%	74%	70%	75%	81%	70%	72%	69%	72%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	93%	96%	97%	96%	95%	93%	93%	91%	100%	96%	97%	93%	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	100%	97%	100%	100%	97%	98%

	Tumour Type													All Cancers
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	93%	93%	92%	94%	91%	100%	91%	97%	94%	97%	89%	91%	89%	93%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	36%	73%	77%	79%	75%	84%	78%	80%	63%	85%	75%	77%	73%	76%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	75%	69%	65%	70%	67%	70%	78%	61%	67%	68%	75%	59%	69%	68%

Tumour type tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	80%	82%	79%	75%	80%	79%	78%	64%	75%	83%	79%	72%	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	63%	73%	67%	58%	75%	72%	66%	*	67%	77%	61%	64%	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	73%	75%	59%	61%	77%	67%	71%	73%	75%	73%	63%	64%	69%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	81%	78%	73%	77%	73%	80%	80%	64%	79%	73%	77%	68%	77%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	71%	69%	58%	61%	67%	78%	67%	70%	63%	67%	67%	67%	67%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	93%	87%	92%	80%	92%	87%	88%	*	87%	80%	91%	83%	87%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	90%	95%	90%	89%	93%	91%	92%	82%	100%	87%	91%	88%	91%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	93%	92%	90%	84%	97%	89%	92%	91%	80%	89%	91%	89%	90%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	50%	76%	79%	74%	81%	78%	82%	85%	74%	82%	84%	80%	76%	79%

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	93%	91%	88%	91%	83%	84%	90%	70%	92%	86%	87%	88%	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	64%	86%	86%	93%	82%	100%	89%	83%	83%	*	80%	90%	84%	85%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	86%	89%	87%	90%	85%	91%	88%	91%	91%	*	77%	90%	83%	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	81%	80%	*	*	*	*	82%	*	*	*	*	81%	81%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	83%	92%	90%	84%	*	85%	*	*	93%	100%	93%	84%	88%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	87%	88%	90%	83%	76%	80%	85%	50%	95%	83%	86%	80%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	57%	76%	80%	83%	79%	100%	84%	74%	75%	*	81%	84%	69%	78%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	64%	82%	85%	84%	85%	88%	91%	78%	73%	*	77%	63%	67%	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	71%	*	*	*	*	*	69%	*	*	*	*	59%	70%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	70%	67%	90%	80%	*	76%	*	*	95%	100%	88%	70%	80%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	71%	79%	85%	83%	79%	86%	83%	90%	75%	84%	91%	83%	81%	83%

Tumour type tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour Type													
	Brain/ CNS	Breast	Colorectal/ LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	79%	76%	76%	83%	68%	81%	82%	77%	87%	79%	81%	73%	71%	76%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	53%	70%	74%	75%	65%	83%	73%	67%	82%	76%	75%	73%	67%	70%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	79%	89%	89%	93%	84%	89%	90%	88%	94%	95%	93%	87%	80%	88%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	64%	58%	55%	60%	49%	74%	68%	70%	68%	63%	63%	60%	57%	60%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	50%	52%	49%	59%	47%	73%	62%	56%	63%	69%	54%	60%	49%	54%

	Tumour Type													
	Brain/ CNS	Breast	Colorectal/ LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	55%	51%	58%	58%	58%	79%	66%	61%	71%	61%	65%	68%	60%	59%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	40%	54%	60%	57%	49%	68%	56%	57%	50%	58%	63%	44%	48%	54%

	Tumour Type													
	Brain/ CNS	Breast	Colorectal/ LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	55%	49%	52%	53%	49%	40%	43%	52%	62%	55%	43%	46%	47%	49%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	42%	25%	19%	27%	20%	21%	23%	22%	42%	20%	20%	22%	24%	23%

Tumour type tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour Type													All Cancers
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	34%	25%	38%	18%	40%	19%	30%	*	*	50%	16%	39%	30%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	79%	82%	79%	76%	85%	77%	81%	70%	88%	83%	86%	78%	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	64%	55%	60%	57%	69%	66%	67%	54%	68%	78%	63%	79%	66%	62%

	Tumour Type													All Cancers
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	93%	90%	93%	90%	94%	96%	92%	89%	87%	95%	87%	88%	88%	91%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	73%	85%	90%	89%	93%	89%	90%	83%	75%	87%	88%	83%	84%	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	38%	40%	47%	46%	64%	32%	54%	37%	67%	73%	62%	47%	49%	48%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.1	8.9	9.0	8.9	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.8	8.8	9.0	9.0	8.8	8.8	8.9

Age group tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	78%	84%	82%	76%	76%	85%	82%	80%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	60%	78%	72%	70%	66%	71%	64%	69%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	78%	92%	87%	94%	95%	93%	93%	93%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	70%	80%	86%	86%	86%	84%	84%	85%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	57%	65%	70%	73%	79%	82%	88%	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	78%	59%	73%	81%	83%	79%	78%	79%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	91%	84%	92%	95%	97%	96%	97%	96%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	71%	74%	71%	73%	77%	78%	73%	75%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	76%	69%	71%	71%	74%	74%	76%	73%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	56%	70%	72%	78%	80%	78%	81%	78%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	80%	75%	82%	81%	86%	87%	92%	85%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	76%	85%	88%	86%	88%	80%	77%	84%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	92%	88%	84%	89%	91%	88%	78%	89%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	90%	86%	82%	85%	86%	85%	81%	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	96%	92%	94%	96%	97%	98%	97%	97%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	79%	69%	78%	84%	86%	84%	84%	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	68%	69%	73%	80%	85%	83%	87%	81%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	62%	71%	79%	79%	81%	86%	85%	82%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	57%	50%	48%	46%	57%	52%	46%	52%

Age group tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

CARE PLANNING	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	57%	58%	70%	71%	75%	71%	76%	72%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	94%	89%	89%	93%	96%	95%	100%	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	100%	100%	96%	97%	99%	100%	100%	98%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	96%	94%	93%	94%	94%	91%	90%	93%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	64%	67%	66%	76%	76%	79%	79%	76%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	77%	57%	68%	71%	70%	64%	78%	68%

HOSPITAL CARE	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	64%	63%	71%	79%	82%	78%	85%	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	*	52%	53%	68%	69%	70%	71%	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	50%	53%	60%	69%	73%	69%	64%	69%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	71%	78%	65%	79%	80%	75%	85%	77%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	62%	61%	58%	70%	71%	65%	58%	67%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	85%	90%	76%	87%	91%	85%	97%	87%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	79%	88%	85%	91%	91%	93%	95%	91%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	100%	97%	89%	92%	91%	87%	87%	90%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	83%	69%	74%	78%	80%	82%	82%	79%

Age group tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

YOUR TREATMENT	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	93%	85%	89%	89%	92%	90%	81%	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	85%	79%	91%	83%	88%	82%	89%	85%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	85%	80%	89%	87%	92%	88%	86%	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	65%	82%	80%	79%	82%	100%	81%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	94%	92%	91%	86%	84%	*	88%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	67%	83%	85%	84%	88%	86%	82%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	70%	74%	79%	78%	80%	77%	79%	78%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	75%	85%	81%	80%	81%	80%	82%	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	*	57%	65%	79%	66%	68%	90%	70%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	*	76%	84%	83%	80%	77%	*	80%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	63%	76%	83%	84%	83%	83%	83%	83%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	75%	76%	76%	77%	77%	75%	64%	76%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	79%	66%	66%	73%	72%	69%	68%	70%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	90%	94%	88%	88%	89%	86%	88%	88%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	65%	60%	56%	59%	63%	59%	52%	60%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	67%	44%	51%	51%	57%	55%	54%	54%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	56%	52%	52%	55%	63%	62%	53%	59%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	68%	55%	56%	53%	56%	52%	56%	54%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	43%	54%	56%	49%	46%	51%	44%	49%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	30%	25%	27%	25%	24%	19%	19%	23%

Age group tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	18%	35%	32%	20%	36%	32%	31%	30%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	75%	68%	74%	74%	82%	87%	82%	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	47%	59%	49%	60%	64%	65%	73%	62%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	96%	94%	90%	89%	91%	92%	93%	91%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	92%	89%	82%	86%	86%	88%	85%	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	53%	59%	48%	48%	50%	46%	38%	48%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	8.8	8.4	8.8	8.9	9.0	9.0	8.7	8.9

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE								Male/Female/Non-binary/Other							
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	80%	79%	*	*	*	75%	80%								
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	73%	66%	*	*	*	60%	69%								

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS								Male/Female/Non-binary/Other							
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	92%	95%	*	*	*	93%	93%								
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	84%	86%	*	*	*	85%	85%								
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	75%	80%	*	*	*	74%	78%								
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	80%	79%	*	*	*	76%	79%								
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	95%	96%	*	*	*	96%	96%								

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER								Male/Female/Non-binary/Other							
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	76%	75%	*	*	*	77%	75%								
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	74%	73%	*	*	*	68%	73%								
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	78%	*	*	*	71%	78%								
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	84%	86%	*	*	*	83%	85%								
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	85%	84%	*	*	*	85%	84%								

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON								Male/Female/Non-binary/Other							
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	89%	89%	*	*	*	85%	89%								
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	86%	84%	*	*	*	83%	85%								
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	97%	*	*	*	95%	97%								

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	84%	83%	*	*	*	83%	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	81%	82%	*	*	*	85%	81%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	80%	84%	*	*	*	83%	82%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	51%	54%	*	*	*	45%	52%

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	72%	72%	*	*	*	74%	72%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	94%	95%	*	*	*	92%	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	97%	100%	*	*	*	100%	98%

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	92%	95%	*	*	*	87%	93%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	72%	80%	*	*	*	77%	76%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	70%	68%	*	*	*	53%	68%

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	78%	80%	*	*	*	77%	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	64%	69%	*	*	*	68%	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	68%	70%	*	*	*	57%	69%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	75%	80%	*	*	*	71%	77%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	65%	69%	*	*	*	56%	67%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	88%	87%	*	*	*	73%	87%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	89%	93%	*	*	*	88%	91%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	91%	89%	*	*	*	85%	90%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	76%	83%	*	*	*	81%	79%

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	90%	89%	*	*	*	92%	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	85%	85%	*	*	*	81%	85%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	87%	90%	*	*	*	94%	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	80%	83%	*	*	*	73%	81%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	87%	90%	*	*	*	83%	88%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	85%	85%	*	*	*	95%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	77%	81%	*	*	*	70%	78%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	81%	80%	*	*	*	82%	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	71%	69%	*	*	*	53%	70%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	79%	83%	*	*	*	58%	80%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	79%	88%	*	*	*	82%	83%

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	77%	76%	*	*	*	70%	76%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	71%	71%	*	*	*	65%	70%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	88%	87%	*	*	*	89%	88%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	57%	63%	*	*	*	56%	60%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	52%	57%	*	*	*	48%	54%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	54%	66%	*	*	*	49%	59%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	52%	58%	*	*	*	52%	54%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	49%	50%	*	*	*	41%	49%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	25%	21%	*	*	*	11%	23%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	31%	30%	*	*	*	16%	30%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	77%	84%	*	*	*	77%	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	58%	67%	*	*	*	54%	62%

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	90%	92%	*	*	*	93%	91%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	86%	87%	*	*	*	89%	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	45%	52%	*	*	*	53%	48%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.9	8.9	*	*	*	8.9	8.9

Ethnicity tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	81%	69%	59%	*	*	68%	80%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	70%	75%	58%	86%	*	68%	69%

	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS							
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	93%	95%	94%	95%	*	92%	93%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	85%	95%	78%	86%	*	84%	85%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	78%	70%	75%	77%	*	78%	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	80%	80%	75%	73%	*	75%	79%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	96%	95%	94%	91%	*	96%	96%

	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER							
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	75%	71%	78%	82%	*	81%	75%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	73%	67%	76%	71%	*	71%	73%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	78%	68%	81%	*	72%	78%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	84%	87%	84%	86%	*	87%	85%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	85%	82%	81%	83%	*	85%	84%

	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON							
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	89%	91%	89%	91%	*	85%	89%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	85%	89%	83%	78%	*	83%	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	97%	100%	96%	95%	*	95%	97%

	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT							
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	84%	86%	83%	77%	*	82%	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	82%	87%	72%	68%	*	85%	81%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	82%	83%	79%	61%	*	82%	82%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	51%	69%	68%	38%	*	48%	52%

Ethnicity tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

CARE PLANNING	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	72%	90%	73%	76%	*	76%	72%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	95%	100%	88%	93%	*	91%	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	98%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	98%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	93%	90%	96%	100%	*	86%	93%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	76%	74%	76%	62%	*	77%	76%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	69%	75%	61%	53%	*	61%	68%

HOSPITAL CARE	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	79%	79%	79%	60%	*	75%	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	66%	75%	58%	*	*	71%	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	69%	86%	59%	50%	*	63%	69%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	78%	71%	78%	60%	*	71%	77%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	68%	57%	67%	30%	*	60%	67%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	87%	92%	88%	80%	*	84%	87%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	91%	100%	93%	80%	*	93%	91%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	90%	93%	100%	*	*	89%	90%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	80%	77%	71%	83%	*	76%	79%

Ethnicity tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

YOUR TREATMENT	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	90%	80%	85%	100%	*	89%	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	85%	93%	91%	93%	*	81%	85%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	88%	91%	92%	*	*	93%	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	81%	*	77%	*	*	74%	81%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	88%	*	*	*	*	89%	88%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	86%	70%	76%	92%	*	89%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	79%	87%	79%	79%	*	72%	78%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	81%	82%	64%	*	*	79%	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	71%	*	50%	*	*	53%	70%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	81%	*	*	*	*	72%	80%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	83%	96%	76%	76%	*	78%	83%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	77%	77%	69%	71%	*	68%	76%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	71%	68%	61%	82%	*	63%	70%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	88%	76%	85%	94%	*	90%	88%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	60%	64%	61%	67%	*	56%	60%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	55%	62%	47%	47%	*	48%	54%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	60%	72%	60%	57%	*	49%	59%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	54%	*	65%	69%	*	54%	54%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	50%	70%	43%	75%	*	44%	49%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	23%	26%	35%	20%	*	17%	23%

Ethnicity tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	32%	*	19%	*	*	16%	30%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	81%	58%	78%	73%	*	77%	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	63%	75%	51%	38%	*	55%	62%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	91%	91%	93%	100%	*	93%	91%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	86%	91%	93%	95%	*	89%	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	48%	54%	58%	45%	*	58%	48%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.9	9.0	8.8	8.7	*	8.8	8.9

IMD quintile tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	81%	81%	79%	78%	81%	*	80%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	71%	65%	67%	68%	70%	*	69%

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS							
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	94%	93%	93%	94%	92%	*	93%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	78%	83%	87%	85%	85%	*	85%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	84%	76%	82%	77%	77%	*	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	86%	72%	79%	81%	80%	*	79%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	100%	95%	95%	95%	96%	*	96%

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER							
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	85%	73%	77%	75%	75%	*	75%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	82%	76%	77%	72%	72%	*	73%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	82%	80%	77%	78%	77%	*	78%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	95%	84%	89%	82%	85%	*	85%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	97%	81%	87%	85%	84%	*	84%

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON							
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	95%	90%	88%	89%	89%	*	89%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	94%	84%	87%	83%	85%	*	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	97%	95%	97%	96%	97%	*	97%

IMD quintile tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	89%	79%	84%	84%	84%	*	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	85%	81%	84%	82%	80%	*	81%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	83%	75%	83%	82%	81%	*	82%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	64%	51%	60%	53%	49%	*	52%

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	76%	75%	76%	71%	71%	*	72%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	96%	94%	96%	94%	94%	*	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	96%	99%	100%	98%	98%	*	98%

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	97%	91%	93%	92%	93%	*	93%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	82%	73%	80%	74%	76%	*	76%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	71%	60%	73%	67%	69%	*	68%

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	89%	80%	80%	76%	79%	*	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	87%	65%	75%	62%	65%	*	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	94%	67%	74%	67%	67%	*	69%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	94%	80%	80%	73%	77%	*	77%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	72%	68%	71%	65%	67%	*	67%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	83%	89%	93%	84%	87%	*	87%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	94%	91%	95%	88%	91%	*	91%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	100%	87%	93%	86%	91%	*	90%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	85%	79%	85%	78%	78%	*	79%

IMD quintile tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

YOUR TREATMENT	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	100%	86%	92%	87%	90%	*	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	96%	88%	89%	86%	83%	*	85%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	89%	91%	92%	88%	87%	*	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	73%	75%	86%	80%	*	81%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	80%	95%	87%	87%	*	88%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	91%	82%	87%	85%	85%	*	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	88%	77%	87%	76%	77%	*	78%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	94%	77%	85%	79%	80%	*	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	56%	74%	73%	69%	*	70%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	80%	81%	81%	79%	*	80%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	97%	78%	86%	83%	82%	*	83%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	85%	74%	79%	76%	75%	*	76%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	84%	68%	76%	70%	69%	*	70%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	93%	87%	93%	86%	88%	*	88%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	78%	58%	66%	57%	59%	*	60%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	70%	48%	66%	51%	53%	*	54%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	63%	53%	67%	61%	57%	*	59%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	60%	51%	61%	52%	54%	*	54%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	55%	40%	54%	47%	50%	*	49%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	35%	23%	27%	22%	22%	*	23%

IMD quintile tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	33%	26%	34%	30%	30%	*	30%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	84%	80%	81%	77%	81%	*	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	77%	59%	67%	62%	61%	*	62%

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	97%	92%	93%	91%	90%	*	91%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	97%	89%	90%	86%	86%	*	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	68%	44%	49%	50%	47%	*	48%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	9.3	9.0	8.9	8.9	8.9	*	8.9

Long term condition status tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	79%	82%	75%	80%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	65%	76%	66%	69%

	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	93%	94%	90%	93%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	84%	86%	84%	85%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	77%	78%	77%	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	82%	78%	79%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	95%	96%	95%	96%

	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	77%	73%	74%	75%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	73%	73%	71%	73%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	76%	81%	74%	78%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	85%	85%	85%	85%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	83%	87%	85%	84%

	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON				
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	89%	90%	82%	89%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	83%	87%	86%	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	98%	96%	97%

	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	82%	85%	84%	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	80%	83%	85%	81%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	81%	83%	79%	82%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	52%	51%	51%	52%

Long term condition status tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

CARE PLANNING	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	70%	75%	73%	72%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	94%	95%	95%	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	99%	98%	95%	98%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	92%	96%	89%	93%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	73%	80%	74%	76%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	65%	74%	63%	68%

HOSPITAL CARE	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	76%	84%	72%	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	66%	69%	57%	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	64%	77%	65%	69%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	74%	82%	74%	77%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	64%	73%	64%	67%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	86%	91%	83%	87%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	90%	94%	88%	91%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	88%	94%	86%	90%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	79%	79%	82%	79%

Long term condition status tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

YOUR TREATMENT	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	88%	92%	91%	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	83%	88%	81%	85%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	86%	93%	85%	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	80%	84%	70%	81%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	88%	89%	81%	88%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	82%	89%	94%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	75%	84%	73%	78%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	77%	87%	81%	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	67%	77%	48%	70%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	80%	82%	63%	80%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	83%	83%	83%	83%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	73%	80%	75%	76%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	68%	75%	69%	70%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	86%	90%	91%	88%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	57%	65%	56%	60%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	51%	59%	52%	54%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	58%	61%	51%	59%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	49%	63%	62%	54%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	47%	54%	44%	49%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	23%	24%	17%	23%

Long term condition status tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	29%	34%	22%	30%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	79%	83%	76%	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	61%	63%	58%	62%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	89%	93%	92%	91%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	85%	89%	86%	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	47%	51%	49%	48%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.8	9.1	8.9	8.9


Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE

Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis



Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand



DIAGNOSTIC TESTS


Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance



Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient



Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right



Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size. The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand



Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results




FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER

Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis



Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer



Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand



Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place




Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis



SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON

Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team



Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person



Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful




Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT

Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand



Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment



Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options




Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options



CARE PLANNING

Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment



Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns



Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date



SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF

Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support



Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff



Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits




Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

HOSPITAL CARE

Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital




Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital



Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital



Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed



Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff



Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size. The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.


Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain



Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital



Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital



Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case



YOUR TREATMENT

Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery



Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy



Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy




Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy



Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy



Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery



Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.


Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy



Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy




Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy



Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy



Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right




Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS


Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand



Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment



Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment



Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment



Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects




Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.


The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME

Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home



Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services



CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE

Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment



Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice



LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER

Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services



Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment



Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading




YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE

Q56. The whole care team worked well together



Q57. Administration of care was very good or good



Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient



Year on Year Charts




* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good






Trust Expected Range Summary

Data labels relate to the number of scores that fell below, within and above the expected range		Number of scores below the Lower Expected Range
		Number of scores between the Upper and Lower Expected Ranges
		Number of scores above the Upper Expected Range

Trust		Expected Range Classification	
RTH	Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	32	29
RXQ	Buckinghamshire Healthcare NHS Trust	1	59
RN3	Great Western Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	5	56
RHW	Royal Berkshire NHS Foundation Trust	8	52

ICB Expected Range Summary

<p>Data labels relate to the number of scores that fell below, within and above the expected range</p>		<p>Number of scores below the Lower Expected Range</p>
		<p>Number of scores between the Upper and Lower Expected Ranges</p>
		<p>Number of scores above the Upper Expected Range</p>

ICB		Expected Range Classification		
QU9	NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire and Berkshire West Integrated Care Board	1	52	8