

# **Cancer Patient Experience Survey**

2022 Results

## **Wessex Cancer Alliance**

Published July 2023

## Executive Summary

### Questions Above Expected Range

	Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	<b>86%</b>	81%	86%	<b>83%</b>
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	<b>81%</b>	77%	80%	<b>78%</b>
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	<b>82%</b>	77%	80%	<b>78%</b>
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	<b>78%</b>	75%	78%	<b>76%</b>
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	<b>88%</b>	84%	86%	<b>85%</b>
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	<b>86%</b>	82%	85%	<b>84%</b>
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	<b>82%</b>	77%	82%	<b>79%</b>
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	<b>83%</b>	78%	82%	<b>80%</b>
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	<b>88%</b>	81%	87%	<b>84%</b>
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	<b>82%</b>	75%	82%	<b>78%</b>
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	<b>87%</b>	81%	87%	<b>84%</b>
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	<b>83%</b>	76%	83%	<b>79%</b>
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	<b>77%</b>	72%	77%	<b>74%</b>
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	<b>62%</b>	55%	61%	<b>58%</b>

Wessex Cancer Alliance has no scores below expected range

## Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2022 is the 12th iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2022 survey involved 133 NHS Trusts. Out of 115,662 people, 61,268 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 53%.

## Methodology

### Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2022. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between November 2022 and February 2023.

As in the previous seven years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

### How Alliance and ICB results are generated

Alliance and ICB results are derived using the post code of each patient, rather than by mapping trust results to ICBs or Alliances. This mapping is achieved using lookup files released by the Office for National Statistics.

Alliance and ICB results therefore reflect the experience of people referred from within the geographical footprint.

### Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allow us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how an Alliance is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, ethnicity, deprivation, and cancer type.

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the Alliance. Case-mix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

### Scoring methodology

Sixty-one questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q59), the score shows the percentage of respondents who gave the most favourable response to a question. For Q59, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

Please note that following a review of the scoring methodology, a change was made to the scoring of Q12 such that the response option "No, I was told by letter or email" is no longer considered neutral.

### Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2022 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by chance.

## Suppression

Data is suppressed for two reasons: to ensure unreliable results based on very small numbers of respondents are not released, and to prevent individuals being identifiable in the data.

In cases where a result is based on fewer than 10 responses, the result has been suppressed. For example, where fewer than 10 people answered a question from a particular Alliance, the results are not shown for that question for that Alliance.

For Alliances with an eligible population of 1,000 or fewer, data relating to the respondent and their condition has been suppressed where 5 people or fewer were in a particular category. In instances where only one has been suppressed, the next lowest category has been suppressed to prevent back calculation from the total number of responses.

## Additional suppression

Additional suppression happens if only **one** Alliance has a score suppressed. If this happens, we will suppress another Alliance's results (both the Alliance level and subgroup results for the question) based on the next lowest number of respondents for the score. We do this so that the national score cannot be used to work out the score for the individual Alliance.

The same rule applies to groups in each subgroup breakdown. For example, if only **one** Alliance has the 85+ age group suppressed for Q25 we will need to suppress another Alliance's results for the 85+ age group on Q25. This suppression is based on the 85+ age group with the next lowest number of respondents for Q25.

## Understanding the results

This report shows how this Alliance scored for each question in the survey compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual Alliances to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

### Expected range charts

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (within the grey bar) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this Alliance.

Alliances whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the Alliance performs better than what Alliances of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the Alliance's size and demographics.

### Comparability tables

The comparability tables show the 2021 and 2022 unadjusted scores for this Alliance for each scored question. If there is a statistically significant change from 2021 an arrow will be presented for the direction of change.

The adjusted 2022 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

### Sub-group breakdowns

Unadjusted scores are shown for tumour type, Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, IMD quintile, long-term condition status and ethnicity breakdowns. Unadjusted scores for the same sub-group across different Alliances may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

### Tumour type tables

The tumour type tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

### **Age group tables**

The age group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the eight age groups.

### **Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables**

These tables show the unadjusted scores for the following groups male; female; non-binary; prefer to self-describe; and prefer not to say.

### **Ethnicity tables**

The ethnicity tables show the unadjusted scores for six ethnicity groups.

### **Long-term condition status tables**

The long-term condition status tables show the unadjusted scores for two groups: those who indicate they have one or more long term conditions and those who indicate that they have no long-term conditions.

### **IMD quintile tables**

The IMD quintile tables show the unadjusted scores for five quintiles based on relative disadvantage, with quintile 1 being the most deprived and quintile 5 being the least deprived.

### **Year on year charts**

The year on year charts show two columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last two years (2021 and 2022) for each scored question.

### **Trust Expected Range Summary**

The number of scored questions that fell below, within and above the expected range for each Trust within the Alliance.

### **ICB Expected Range Summary**

The number of scored questions that fell below, within and above the expected range for each ICB within the Alliance.

## **Further information**

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2012; certificate number GB08/74322). The 2022 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2022 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at [www.ncpes.co.uk](http://www.ncpes.co.uk). For all other outputs at Alliance level, please see the Excel tables and dashboards at [www.ncpes.co.uk](http://www.ncpes.co.uk).

## Response Rate

### Overall Response Rate

3,227 patients responded out of a total of 5,503 patients, resulting in a response rate of 59%.

	Sample Size	Adjusted Sample	Completed	Response Rate
Overall response rate	5,853	5,503	3,227	59%
National	123,632	115,662	61,268	53%

### Respondents by Survey Type

	Number of Respondents
Paper	2,585
Online	642
Phone	0
Translation Service	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,227</b>


### Respondents by Tumour Group

	Number of Respondents
Brain / CNS	8
Breast	803
Colorectal / LGT	388
Gynaecological	141
Haematological	503
Head and Neck	54
Lung	219
Prostate	316
Sarcoma	36
Skin	121
Upper Gastro	151
Urological	257
Other	230
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,227</b>

## Respondents by Ethnicity






	Number of Respondents
<b>White</b>	
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	2,870
Irish	*
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	*
Any other White background	59
<b>Mixed / Multiple Ethnicity</b>	
White and Black Caribbean	11
White and Black African	*
White and Asian	*
Any other Mixed / multiple ethnic background	*
<b>Asian or Asian British</b>	
Indian	9
Pakistani	*
Bangladeshi	*
Chinese	*
Any other Asian background	16
<b>Black / African / Caribbean / Black British</b>	
African	6
Caribbean	*
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	*
<b>Other Ethnicity</b>	
Arab	7
Any other ethnic group	*
<b>Not given</b>	
Not given	215
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,227</b>

## Expected Range Charts










## Expected Range Charts






## Expected Range Charts



## Expected Range Charts



## Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.	▲ or ▼ Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.	 Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range
** No score available for 2021.		 Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges
		 Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range




SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	1455	77%	1598	79%		78%	76%	80%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	1931	65%	2123	68%		68%	62%	69%	65%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	2367	94%	2628	93%		93%	91%	94%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	2490	85%	2763	86%		86%	81%	86%	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	2483	84%	2764	81%		81%	77%	80%	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	2494	79%	2770	82%		82%	77%	80%	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	2494	96%	2788	96%		95%	94%	95%	95%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	2684	67%	2974	75%	▲	76%	74%	78%	76%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	2861	73%	3193	75%		75%	71%	76%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	2865	77%	3197	78%		78%	75%	78%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	2851	86%	3186	88%		88%	84%	86%	85%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	2530	84%	2824	86%		86%	82%	85%	84%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	2793	92%	3087	92%		92%	90%	93%	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	2378	87%	2581	86%		85%	80%	87%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	2462	96%	2717	96%		96%	94%	96%	95%

## Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.	▲ or ▼ Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.	 Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range
** No score available for 2021.		 Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges
		 Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	2679	82%	2995	84%		84%	80%	84%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	2843	81%	3164	82%		82%	77%	82%	79%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	2300	76%	2641	83%	▲	83%	78%	82%	80%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	1282	51%	1381	53%		54%	49%	55%	52%

CARE PLANNING	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	2569	72%	2875	73%		73%	68%	74%	71%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	1445	94%	1655	94%		94%	92%	95%	93%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	1106	99%	1298	99%		99%	98%	99%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	2310	90%	2629	92%		92%	88%	92%	90%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	2850	77%	3169	78%		78%	72%	79%	75%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	1294	69%	1494	67%		67%	64%	71%	67%




HOSPITAL CARE	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	1417	80%	1545	80%		80%	75%	82%	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	1111	63%	1216	66%		66%	63%	68%	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	1389	72%	1524	70%		70%	67%	72%	69%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	1391	77%	1519	74%		74%	67%	78%	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	1350	69%	1470	65%		65%	61%	68%	64%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	1206	87%	1317	88%		88%	81%	87%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	1411	91%	1542	90%		90%	85%	91%	88%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	1374	90%	1507	88%		88%	86%	90%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	2411	79%	2751	82%		82%	75%	82%	78%

## Comparability tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

▲ or ▼ Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

\*\* No score available for 2021.

	Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range
	Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges
	Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

YOUR TREATMENT	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	1691	89%	1840	90%		90%	88%	91%	89%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	1406	87%	1580	86%		86%	83%	87%	85%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	835	87%	918	89%		89%	86%	91%	88%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	510	76%	548	79%		80%	75%	83%	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	366	84%	507	87%		87%	81%	87%	84%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	1674	86%	1824	85%		86%	83%	87%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	1399	79%	1575	79%		80%	76%	81%	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	830	79%	906	80%		80%	78%	83%	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	501	72%	543	71%		71%	69%	76%	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	361	78%	504	83%		83%	76%	83%	79%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	2791	83%	3125	82%		81%	71%	85%	78%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	2702	74%	3023	76%		77%	72%	77%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	2544	71%	2886	70%		71%	66%	72%	69%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	2064	87%	2338	89%		89%	83%	89%	86%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	2507	60%	2836	60%		61%	56%	62%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	2134	53%	2403	55%		56%	49%	57%	53%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	1834	57%	2069	62%	▲	62%	55%	61%	58%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	1025	54%	1200	57%		57%	45%	57%	51%






## Comparability tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

▲ or ▼

Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

\*\* No score available for 2021.

	Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range
	Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges
	Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	1471	<b>42%</b>	1718	<b>45%</b>		<b>45%</b>	41%	48%	<b>45%</b>
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	2753	<b>15%</b>	3042	<b>19%</b>	▲	<b>20%</b>	18%	23%	<b>21%</b>

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	619	<b>32%</b>	682	<b>34%</b>		<b>34%</b>	25%	38%	<b>31%</b>
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	1365	<b>80%</b>	1477	<b>79%</b>		<b>79%</b>	75%	81%	<b>78%</b>
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	2252	<b>63%</b>	2553	<b>65%</b>		<b>66%</b>	59%	66%	<b>62%</b>

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Unadjusted Scores					Case Mix Adjusted Scores			England Score
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	2747	<b>91%</b>	3025	<b>90%</b>		<b>90%</b>	88%	91%	<b>90%</b>
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	2834	<b>90%</b>	3149	<b>87%</b>	▼	<b>87%</b>	84%	89%	<b>87%</b>
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	1662	<b>39%</b>	1943	<b>39%</b>		<b>39%</b>	35%	51%	<b>43%</b>
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	2752	<b>9.0</b>	3074	<b>9.0</b>		<b>9.0</b>	8.8	9.0	<b>8.9</b>

## Tumour type tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	94%	78%	77%	60%	84%	77%	75%	75%	83%	74%	80%	71%	<b>79%</b>
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	82%	70%	66%	46%	76%	60%	73%	77%	81%	64%	68%	64%	<b>68%</b>

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	93%	96%	88%	89%	90%	95%	94%	96%	96%	94%	93%	93%	<b>93%</b>
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	89%	88%	78%	80%	88%	86%	89%	67%	83%	89%	86%	86%	<b>86%</b>
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	75%	89%	81%	83%	69%	82%	83%	64%	75%	88%	89%	78%	<b>81%</b>
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	84%	87%	79%	76%	76%	85%	81%	77%	90%	80%	83%	77%	<b>82%</b>
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	97%	95%	94%	94%	100%	95%	96%	96%	97%	94%	95%	95%	<b>96%</b>

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	80%	80%	62%	75%	70%	82%	72%	66%	67%	80%	63%	72%	<b>75%</b>
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	78%	79%	70%	71%	74%	80%	75%	78%	80%	68%	68%	75%	<b>75%</b>
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	81%	85%	76%	69%	81%	79%	80%	69%	87%	77%	78%	76%	<b>78%</b>
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	93%	88%	82%	83%	89%	89%	89%	89%	93%	83%	84%	81%	<b>88%</b>
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	89%	85%	79%	82%	85%	91%	91%	72%	92%	84%	80%	82%	<b>86%</b>



## Tumour type tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	89%	95%	99%	94%	92%	98%	90%	86%	91%	95%	87%	95%	<b>92%</b>
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	87%	91%	83%	85%	81%	86%	73%	89%	92%	89%	83%	89%	<b>86%</b>
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	97%	97%	92%	96%	93%	95%	93%	100%	98%	96%	97%	97%	<b>96%</b>

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	85%	87%	86%	80%	80%	89%	86%	81%	92%	85%	83%	76%	<b>84%</b>
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	80%	86%	75%	79%	77%	90%	83%	86%	89%	85%	81%	78%	<b>82%</b>
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	83%	84%	77%	81%	76%	91%	83%	76%	89%	84%	80%	82%	<b>83%</b>
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	47%	53%	44%	51%	64%	59%	61%	50%	66%	59%	53%	53%	<b>53%</b>

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	72%	76%	74%	69%	83%	76%	71%	88%	84%	79%	69%	66%	<b>73%</b>
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	93%	98%	90%	96%	100%	93%	92%	100%	97%	94%	94%	94%	<b>94%</b>
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	97%	99%	98%	99%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	<b>99%</b>

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	94%	92%	92%	89%	92%	92%	93%	97%	98%	91%	86%	92%	<b>92%</b>
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	74%	81%	76%	77%	87%	86%	77%	74%	86%	84%	77%	75%	<b>78%</b>
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	70%	71%	68%	69%	68%	76%	47%	85%	75%	71%	49%	68%	<b>67%</b>

## Tumour type tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	77%	82%	76%	77%	83%	83%	86%	81%	89%	82%	80%	76%	<b>80%</b>
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	66%	67%	63%	59%	58%	75%	59%	71%	71%	77%	62%	69%	<b>66%</b>
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	66%	73%	64%	68%	77%	70%	78%	71%	71%	74%	64%	69%	<b>70%</b>
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	71%	73%	66%	76%	79%	75%	79%	90%	92%	77%	74%	66%	<b>74%</b>
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	60%	65%	59%	69%	72%	65%	69%	62%	69%	72%	67%	61%	<b>65%</b>
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	91%	91%	82%	90%	88%	84%	89%	85%	94%	84%	89%	81%	<b>88%</b>
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	88%	93%	84%	90%	97%	89%	94%	86%	96%	89%	91%	90%	<b>90%</b>
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	90%	88%	83%	85%	90%	94%	89%	85%	82%	93%	86%	85%	<b>88%</b>
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	78%	85%	80%	83%	85%	85%	86%	83%	90%	86%	81%	80%	<b>82%</b>

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	91%	90%	94%	78%	89%	96%	88%	85%	91%	91%	91%	87%	<b>90%</b>
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	84%	87%	84%	86%	88%	93%	82%	88%	*	87%	89%	88%	<b>86%</b>
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	87%	97%	97%	87%	85%	90%	88%	100%	91%	90%	100%	94%	<b>89%</b>
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	76%	*	*	91%	*	*	83%	*	*	*	*	83%	<b>79%</b>
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	83%	100%	92%	87%	*	93%	*	*	87%	83%	87%	80%	<b>87%</b>
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	86%	87%	82%	81%	89%	82%	82%	81%	90%	86%	86%	89%	<b>85%</b>
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	74%	82%	82%	79%	71%	89%	76%	76%	*	83%	84%	76%	<b>79%</b>
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	79%	89%	84%	78%	75%	81%	77%	82%	73%	86%	79%	76%	<b>80%</b>
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	67%	*	*	*	*	*	79%	*	*	*	*	68%	<b>71%</b>
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	71%	87%	83%	82%	*	92%	*	*	91%	72%	91%	76%	<b>83%</b>
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	76%	83%	80%	81%	79%	87%	86%	83%	79%	83%	88%	82%	<b>82%</b>

## Tumour type tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	74%	77%	85%	70%	71%	84%	81%	74%	86%	79%	75%	77%	<b>76%</b>
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	66%	76%	73%	67%	79%	77%	71%	80%	78%	74%	67%	70%	<b>70%</b>
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	88%	93%	89%	88%	89%	92%	88%	96%	83%	91%	84%	87%	<b>89%</b>
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	55%	64%	56%	54%	58%	63%	70%	68%	75%	62%	65%	59%	<b>60%</b>
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	49%	57%	50%	52%	53%	59%	60%	59%	73%	62%	57%	56%	<b>55%</b>

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	57%	65%	53%	65%	64%	73%	61%	53%	69%	73%	56%	58%	<b>62%</b>
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	51%	65%	53%	52%	65%	73%	49%	63%	61%	61%	60%	59%	<b>57%</b>

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	46%	43%	50%	37%	66%	39%	48%	48%	56%	49%	54%	41%	<b>45%</b>
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	18%	23%	18%	13%	23%	25%	22%	29%	21%	26%	18%	17%	<b>19%</b>

## Tumour type tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	31%	41%	31%	31%	36%	38%	35%	*	36%	45%	28%	33%	<b>34%</b>
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	76%	83%	74%	84%	75%	85%	78%	93%	93%	84%	75%	79%	<b>79%</b>
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	60%	64%	60%	73%	64%	69%	62%	73%	82%	61%	74%	63%	<b>65%</b>

	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	91%	91%	86%	88%	94%	92%	88%	82%	94%	94%	89%	89%	<b>90%</b>
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	86%	89%	85%	90%	91%	91%	88%	75%	90%	88%	83%	85%	<b>87%</b>
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	28%	44%	37%	51%	26%	50%	35%	45%	33%	48%	36%	40%	<b>39%</b>
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	9.0	9.0	8.8	9.0	9.1	9.1	8.9	8.7	9.2	9.2	9.0	8.8	<b>9.0</b>

## Age group tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

<b>SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE</b>	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	74%	79%	80%	78%	75%	82%	92%	<b>79%</b>
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	81%	76%	71%	75%	67%	64%	68%	<b>68%</b>

<b>DIAGNOSTIC TESTS</b>	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	90%	88%	91%	95%	94%	93%	92%	<b>93%</b>
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	73%	78%	84%	88%	87%	85%	88%	<b>86%</b>
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	59%	61%	75%	77%	83%	86%	88%	<b>81%</b>
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	68%	62%	77%	83%	84%	82%	86%	<b>82%</b>
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	86%	90%	93%	95%	97%	96%	98%	<b>96%</b>

<b>FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER</b>	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	88%	66%	63%	76%	76%	76%	85%	<b>75%</b>
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	73%	67%	69%	73%	74%	79%	84%	<b>75%</b>
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	73%	62%	71%	78%	79%	80%	84%	<b>78%</b>
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	85%	76%	80%	87%	87%	91%	93%	<b>88%</b>
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	82%	86%	87%	88%	87%	84%	80%	<b>86%</b>

<b>SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON</b>	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	96%	87%	90%	93%	93%	93%	91%	<b>92%</b>
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	82%	82%	84%	85%	87%	85%	87%	<b>86%</b>
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	95%	92%	94%	96%	97%	97%	97%	<b>96%</b>

<b>DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT</b>	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	79%	73%	80%	83%	85%	86%	87%	<b>84%</b>
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	81%	69%	74%	79%	84%	84%	88%	<b>82%</b>
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	79%	69%	76%	80%	84%	85%	93%	<b>83%</b>
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	53%	50%	41%	48%	53%	60%	57%	<b>53%</b>

## Age group tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

<b>CARE PLANNING</b>	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	83%	64%	68%	72%	73%	75%	75%	<b>73%</b>
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	94%	88%	91%	93%	95%	96%	98%	<b>94%</b>
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	100%	97%	97%	99%	98%	100%	98%	<b>99%</b>

<b>SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF</b>	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	96%	93%	93%	92%	93%	91%	90%	<b>92%</b>
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	77%	58%	68%	75%	81%	82%	84%	<b>78%</b>
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	76%	68%	74%	67%	70%	63%	50%	<b>67%</b>

<b>HOSPITAL CARE</b>	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	75%	56%	75%	75%	83%	84%	85%	<b>80%</b>
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	80%	50%	56%	58%	64%	74%	86%	<b>66%</b>
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	68%	48%	68%	66%	73%	72%	74%	<b>70%</b>
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	75%	51%	69%	69%	76%	78%	86%	<b>74%</b>
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	70%	46%	55%	64%	67%	69%	66%	<b>65%</b>
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	94%	77%	86%	86%	88%	90%	95%	<b>88%</b>
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	85%	78%	86%	87%	92%	92%	97%	<b>90%</b>
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	84%	84%	89%	86%	90%	87%	90%	<b>88%</b>
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	88%	61%	74%	80%	84%	84%	90%	<b>82%</b>

## Age group tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

YOUR TREATMENT	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	94%	77%	92%	86%	92%	92%	96%	<b>90%</b>
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	87%	73%	88%	85%	88%	87%	89%	<b>86%</b>
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	100%	74%	86%	88%	90%	90%	94%	<b>89%</b>
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	52%	80%	77%	79%	87%	85%	<b>79%</b>
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	80%	87%	87%	89%	86%	*	<b>87%</b>
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	81%	69%	85%	80%	88%	90%	88%	<b>85%</b>
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	83%	62%	79%	77%	82%	81%	78%	<b>79%</b>
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	82%	63%	78%	80%	82%	78%	90%	<b>80%</b>
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	*	38%	70%	66%	71%	82%	80%	<b>71%</b>
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	*	67%	81%	79%	85%	86%	*	<b>83%</b>
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	69%	65%	79%	78%	84%	84%	86%	<b>82%</b>

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	92%	64%	80%	77%	77%	75%	70%	<b>76%</b>
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	84%	53%	70%	71%	70%	71%	74%	<b>70%</b>
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	96%	84%	90%	87%	90%	89%	85%	<b>89%</b>
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	77%	47%	53%	60%	61%	63%	62%	<b>60%</b>
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	77%	40%	49%	55%	56%	57%	59%	<b>55%</b>

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	56%	48%	55%	61%	62%	63%	77%	<b>62%</b>
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	70%	44%	50%	59%	56%	61%	57%	<b>57%</b>

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	56%	49%	49%	51%	42%	42%	51%	<b>45%</b>
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	23%	29%	22%	20%	19%	17%	16%	<b>19%</b>



## Age group tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	50%	24%	24%	35%	35%	37%	42%	<b>34%</b>
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	82%	68%	63%	78%	83%	82%	86%	<b>79%</b>
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	48%	41%	54%	65%	68%	68%	74%	<b>65%</b>

	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	84%	86%	86%	91%	90%	91%	91%	<b>90%</b>
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	69%	74%	83%	86%	90%	88%	87%	<b>87%</b>
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	48%	31%	36%	38%	41%	40%	35%	<b>39%</b>
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	8.5	8.3	8.7	8.9	9.1	9.1	9.0	<b>9.0</b>



## Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	82%	74%	*	*	*	79%	<b>79%</b>
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	72%	64%	*	*	*	72%	<b>68%</b>

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	93%	94%	*	*	*	93%	<b>93%</b>
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	84%	88%	*	*	*	83%	<b>86%</b>
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	78%	85%	*	*	*	82%	<b>81%</b>
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	81%	83%	*	*	*	81%	<b>82%</b>
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	95%	96%	*	*	*	94%	<b>96%</b>

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	75%	75%	*	*	*	72%	<b>75%</b>
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	76%	75%	*	*	*	74%	<b>75%</b>
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	79%	*	*	*	74%	<b>78%</b>
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	87%	87%	*	*	*	91%	<b>88%</b>
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	85%	87%	*	*	*	81%	<b>86%</b>

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	92%	93%	*	*	*	92%	<b>92%</b>
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	87%	84%	*	*	*	88%	<b>86%</b>
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	96%	*	*	*	97%	<b>96%</b>

## Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	84%	85%	*	*	*	81%	<b>84%</b>
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	81%	84%	*	*	*	80%	<b>82%</b>
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	82%	84%	*	*	*	85%	<b>83%</b>
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	48%	60%	*	*	*	53%	<b>53%</b>

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	72%	74%	*	*	*	73%	<b>73%</b>
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	94%	95%	*	*	*	93%	<b>94%</b>
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	98%	99%	*	*	*	99%	<b>99%</b>

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	92%	93%	*	*	*	93%	<b>92%</b>
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	75%	82%	*	*	*	84%	<b>78%</b>
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	69%	66%	*	*	*	61%	<b>67%</b>

## Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	78%	84%	*	*	*	71%	<b>80%</b>
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	64%	67%	*	*	*	74%	<b>66%</b>
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	68%	71%	*	*	*	74%	<b>70%</b>
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	69%	78%	*	*	*	82%	<b>74%</b>
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	61%	69%	*	*	*	68%	<b>65%</b>
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	87%	89%	*	*	*	85%	<b>88%</b>
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	88%	93%	*	*	*	90%	<b>90%</b>
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	86%	90%	*	*	*	89%	<b>88%</b>
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	80%	85%	*	*	*	84%	<b>82%</b>

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	90%	91%	*	*	*	88%	<b>90%</b>
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	85%	87%	*	*	*	91%	<b>86%</b>
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	88%	91%	*	*	*	90%	<b>89%</b>
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	78%	82%	*	*	*	82%	<b>79%</b>
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	86%	88%	*	*	*	90%	<b>87%</b>
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	85%	86%	*	*	*	82%	<b>85%</b>
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	79%	80%	*	*	*	78%	<b>79%</b>
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	80%	80%	*	*	*	79%	<b>80%</b>
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	67%	81%	*	*	*	67%	<b>71%</b>
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	79%	89%	*	*	*	81%	<b>83%</b>
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	79%	85%	*	*	*	81%	<b>82%</b>

## Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS								Male/Female/Non-binary/Other							
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	76%	77%	*	*	*	76%	76%								
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	68%	74%	*	*	*	71%	70%								
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	88%	90%	*	*	*	86%	89%								
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	57%	64%	*	*	*	60%	60%								
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	51%	59%	*	*	*	57%	55%								

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME								Male/Female/Non-binary/Other							
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	58%	67%	*	*	*	60%	62%								
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	54%	60%	*	*	*	61%	57%								

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE								Male/Female/Non-binary/Other							
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	43%	48%	*	*	*	41%	45%								
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	18%	20%	*	*	*	20%	19%								

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER								Male/Female/Non-binary/Other							
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	29%	41%	*	*	*	43%	34%								
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	78%	83%	*	*	*	75%	79%								
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	64%	68%	*	*	*	61%	65%								

## Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	89%	90%	*	*	*	93%	<b>90%</b>
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	87%	87%	*	*	*	93%	<b>87%</b>
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	34%	45%	*	*	*	40%	<b>39%</b>
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	9.0	9.0	*	*	*	9.0	<b>9.0</b>

## Ethnicity tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
<b>SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE</b>							
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	78%	81%	87%	*	*	80%	<b>79%</b>
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	68%	67%	61%	*	*	72%	<b>68%</b>

	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
<b>DIAGNOSTIC TESTS</b>							
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	93%	100%	93%	100%	*	93%	<b>93%</b>
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	86%	80%	82%	82%	*	79%	<b>86%</b>
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	82%	68%	71%	50%	*	81%	<b>81%</b>
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	82%	80%	70%	67%	*	79%	<b>82%</b>
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	96%	90%	100%	92%	*	92%	<b>96%</b>

	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
<b>FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER</b>							
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	75%	62%	87%	62%	*	75%	<b>75%</b>
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	75%	68%	88%	79%	*	73%	<b>75%</b>
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	50%	84%	71%	*	75%	<b>78%</b>
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	87%	82%	94%	79%	*	88%	<b>88%</b>
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	86%	71%	84%	80%	*	81%	<b>86%</b>

	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
<b>SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON</b>							
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	93%	82%	94%	86%	*	91%	<b>92%</b>
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	86%	93%	83%	75%	*	83%	<b>86%</b>
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	94%	100%	100%	*	94%	<b>96%</b>

	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
<b>DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT</b>							
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	85%	68%	91%	69%	*	81%	<b>84%</b>
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	82%	77%	88%	71%	*	76%	<b>82%</b>
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	83%	70%	83%	70%	*	82%	<b>83%</b>
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	54%	44%	57%	*	*	50%	<b>53%</b>

## Ethnicity tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

CARE PLANNING	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	73%	60%	73%	80%	*	73%	<b>73%</b>
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	94%	93%	96%	90%	*	92%	<b>94%</b>
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	99%	100%	100%	*	*	99%	<b>99%</b>

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	92%	86%	86%	100%	*	94%	<b>92%</b>
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	78%	73%	81%	71%	*	83%	<b>78%</b>
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	68%	69%	76%	70%	*	58%	<b>67%</b>

HOSPITAL CARE	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	81%	80%	85%	*	*	71%	<b>80%</b>
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	65%	70%	88%	*	*	70%	<b>66%</b>
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	69%	80%	85%	*	*	74%	<b>70%</b>
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	74%	70%	90%	*	*	77%	<b>74%</b>
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	65%	80%	90%	*	*	65%	<b>65%</b>
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	88%	90%	88%	*	*	85%	<b>88%</b>
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	90%	100%	95%	*	*	90%	<b>90%</b>
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	88%	90%	78%	*	*	86%	<b>88%</b>
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	82%	82%	84%	75%	*	83%	<b>82%</b>

## Ethnicity tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

YOUR TREATMENT	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	91%	86%	95%	*	*	87%	<b>90%</b>
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	86%	90%	87%	70%	*	88%	<b>86%</b>
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	89%	*	86%	*	*	90%	<b>89%</b>
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	79%	*	*	*	*	78%	<b>79%</b>
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	87%	*	*	*	*	85%	<b>87%</b>
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	86%	79%	91%	*	*	83%	<b>85%</b>
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	80%	90%	83%	80%	*	75%	<b>79%</b>
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	80%	*	86%	*	*	78%	<b>80%</b>
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	71%	*	*	*	*	68%	<b>71%</b>
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	83%	*	*	*	*	75%	<b>83%</b>
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	82%	59%	74%	62%	*	80%	<b>82%</b>

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	76%	73%	90%	54%	*	74%	<b>76%</b>
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	70%	64%	81%	67%	*	69%	<b>70%</b>
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	89%	70%	96%	100%	*	86%	<b>89%</b>
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	60%	52%	71%	58%	*	59%	<b>60%</b>
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	54%	58%	70%	73%	*	56%	<b>55%</b>

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	62%	47%	77%	58%	*	61%	<b>62%</b>
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	57%	29%	76%	*	*	56%	<b>57%</b>

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	46%	47%	56%	*	*	37%	<b>45%</b>
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	19%	32%	15%	23%	*	19%	<b>19%</b>



## Ethnicity tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

<b>LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER</b>	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	34%	*	*	*	*	42%	<b>34%</b>
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	80%	*	86%	*	*	72%	<b>79%</b>
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	66%	35%	61%	50%	*	61%	<b>65%</b>

<b>YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE</b>	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	90%	81%	100%	92%	*	90%	<b>90%</b>
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	87%	86%	90%	92%	*	91%	<b>87%</b>
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	39%	20%	72%	*	*	38%	<b>39%</b>
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	9.0	8.7	8.8	8.7	*	8.9	<b>9.0</b>

## IMD quintile tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
<b>SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE</b>							
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	77%	72%	77%	82%	80%	*	<b>79%</b>
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	59%	69%	67%	66%	72%	*	<b>68%</b>

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
<b>DIAGNOSTIC TESTS</b>							
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	91%	92%	93%	94%	93%	*	<b>93%</b>
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	85%	86%	88%	85%	85%	*	<b>86%</b>
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	88%	79%	82%	80%	81%	*	<b>81%</b>
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	82%	81%	83%	82%	82%	*	<b>82%</b>
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	94%	95%	95%	96%	96%	*	<b>96%</b>

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
<b>FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER</b>							
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	77%	77%	74%	75%	75%	*	<b>75%</b>
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	79%	75%	75%	74%	76%	*	<b>75%</b>
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	83%	80%	77%	78%	78%	*	<b>78%</b>
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	88%	86%	87%	88%	88%	*	<b>88%</b>
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	87%	86%	85%	86%	87%	*	<b>86%</b>

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
<b>SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON</b>							
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	93%	92%	92%	92%	92%	*	<b>92%</b>
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	88%	87%	84%	86%	85%	*	<b>86%</b>
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	95%	96%	96%	97%	96%	*	<b>96%</b>

## IMD quintile tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	86%	83%	87%	83%	83%	*	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	82%	82%	81%	81%	83%	*	82%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	78%	82%	82%	83%	84%	*	83%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	51%	57%	53%	53%	52%	*	53%

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	72%	74%	74%	74%	70%	*	73%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	95%	95%	93%	95%	94%	*	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	99%	100%	99%	98%	98%	*	99%

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	88%	91%	93%	94%	91%	*	92%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	82%	79%	79%	79%	77%	*	78%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	68%	68%	67%	68%	67%	*	67%

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	83%	86%	80%	79%	78%	*	80%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	67%	69%	64%	66%	65%	*	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	74%	72%	69%	70%	68%	*	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	76%	75%	77%	71%	73%	*	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	68%	67%	68%	61%	66%	*	65%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	87%	89%	86%	87%	90%	*	88%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	87%	90%	91%	90%	90%	*	90%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	90%	86%	88%	88%	88%	*	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	81%	80%	83%	83%	82%	*	82%

## IMD quintile tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

YOUR TREATMENT	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	90%	91%	90%	90%	91%	*	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	87%	87%	88%	85%	86%	*	86%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	82%	90%	89%	90%	88%	*	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	79%	80%	82%	77%	78%	*	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	88%	85%	93%	83%	87%	*	87%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	80%	89%	84%	85%	87%	*	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	84%	78%	82%	79%	78%	*	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	76%	79%	77%	81%	81%	*	80%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	75%	71%	68%	72%	72%	*	71%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	80%	84%	84%	83%	82%	*	83%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	81%	83%	81%	82%	80%	*	82%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	76%	79%	79%	77%	73%	*	76%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	71%	74%	72%	68%	69%	*	70%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	85%	88%	88%	89%	90%	*	89%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	64%	63%	61%	61%	57%	*	60%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	66%	57%	55%	54%	53%	*	55%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	63%	62%	64%	61%	61%	*	62%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	59%	59%	59%	53%	57%	*	57%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	34%	44%	49%	46%	44%	*	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	25%	21%	22%	19%	16%	*	19%

## IMD quintile tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	32%	36%	39%	28%	34%	*	<b>34%</b>
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	80%	79%	80%	79%	79%	*	<b>79%</b>
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	64%	63%	64%	67%	67%	*	<b>65%</b>

	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non-England	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	88%	90%	91%	91%	89%	*	<b>90%</b>
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	87%	87%	88%	90%	85%	*	<b>87%</b>
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	57%	41%	36%	39%	38%	*	<b>39%</b>
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.8	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	*	<b>9.0</b>

## Long term condition status tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
<b>SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE</b>				
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	78%	81%	75%	79%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	65%	74%	70%	68%

	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
<b>DIAGNOSTIC TESTS</b>				
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	93%	94%	94%	93%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	85%	89%	80%	86%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	81%	80%	83%	81%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	82%	83%	79%	82%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	96%	96%	94%	96%

	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
<b>FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER</b>				
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	75%	75%	76%	75%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	76%	75%	73%	75%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	80%	73%	78%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	88%	87%	86%	88%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	85%	89%	81%	86%

	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
<b>SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON</b>				
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	92%	93%	90%	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	85%	86%	85%	86%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	97%	94%	96%

	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
<b>DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT</b>				
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	84%	85%	82%	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	81%	84%	80%	82%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	83%	84%	83%	83%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	54%	52%	50%	53%

## Long term condition status tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

<b>CARE PLANNING</b>	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	72%	74%	73%	73%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	94%	94%	95%	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	99%	99%	98%	99%

<b>SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF</b>	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	91%	94%	91%	92%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	77%	79%	85%	78%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	66%	72%	62%	67%

<b>HOSPITAL CARE</b>	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	79%	82%	78%	80%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	64%	67%	71%	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	67%	74%	75%	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	73%	74%	83%	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	65%	65%	72%	65%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	87%	89%	91%	88%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	89%	93%	93%	90%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	86%	91%	92%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	82%	82%	85%	82%

## Long term condition status tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

<b>YOUR TREATMENT</b>	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	90%	92%	87%	<b>90%</b>
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	85%	88%	90%	<b>86%</b>
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	88%	90%	88%	<b>89%</b>
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	80%	79%	76%	<b>79%</b>
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	84%	91%	95%	<b>87%</b>
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	84%	88%	83%	<b>85%</b>
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	77%	83%	77%	<b>79%</b>
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	79%	81%	77%	<b>80%</b>
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	72%	70%	61%	<b>71%</b>
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	81%	86%	86%	<b>83%</b>
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	82%	81%	78%	<b>82%</b>

<b>IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS</b>	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	74%	81%	73%	<b>76%</b>
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	69%	73%	69%	<b>70%</b>
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	88%	91%	86%	<b>89%</b>
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	59%	63%	59%	<b>60%</b>
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	53%	59%	51%	<b>55%</b>

<b>SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME</b>	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	61%	64%	62%	<b>62%</b>
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	55%	59%	60%	<b>57%</b>

<b>CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE</b>	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	45%	48%	33%	<b>45%</b>
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	19%	20%	16%	<b>19%</b>



## Long term condition status tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

<b>LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER</b>	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	33%	36%	34%	<b>34%</b>
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	79%	81%	77%	<b>79%</b>
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	65%	67%	65%	<b>65%</b>

<b>YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE</b>	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	89%	92%	92%	<b>90%</b>
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	87%	87%	92%	<b>87%</b>
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	37%	41%	42%	<b>39%</b>
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	9.0	9.0	9.0	<b>9.0</b>

## Year on Year Charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

### SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE

Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis



Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand



### DIAGNOSTIC TESTS

Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance



Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient



Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right



## Year on Year Charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size. The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand



Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results




### FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER

Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis



Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer



Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand



## Year on Year Charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place



Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis




### SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON

Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team



Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person



Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful



## Year on Year Charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

### DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT

Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand



Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment



Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options




Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options



### CARE PLANNING

Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment




## Year on Year Charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns



Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date




### SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF

Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support



Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff



Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits




## Year on Year Charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

### HOSPITAL CARE

Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital



Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital



Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital



Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed




Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff



## Year on Year Charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size. The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain



Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital



Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital



Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case



### YOUR TREATMENT

Q41\_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery






## Year on Year Charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q41\_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy



Q41\_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy




Q41\_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy



Q41\_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy



Q42\_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery



## Year on Year Charts


\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.


Q42\_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy



Q42\_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy




Q42\_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy



Q42\_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy



Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right



## Year on Year Charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

### IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS

Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand




Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment




Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment



Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment



Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects



## Year on Year Charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

### SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME

Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home



Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services



### CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE

Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment



Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice



### LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER

Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services



## Year on Year Charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment



Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading




### YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE

Q56. The whole care team worked well together



Q57. Administration of care was very good or good



Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient



## Year on Year Charts




\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good






## Trust Expected Range Summary

Data labels relate to the number of scores that fell below, within and above the expected range		Number of scores below the Lower Expected Range
		Number of scores between the Upper and Lower Expected Ranges
		Number of scores above the Upper Expected Range

Trust		Expected Range Classification		
RHM	University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust	1	48	12
RN5	Hampshire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust		53	8
R0D	University Hospitals Dorset NHS Foundation Trust		54	7
RBD	Dorset County Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	2	50	9
RHU	Portsmouth Hospitals University National Health Service Trust	2	57	2
R1F	Isle of Wight NHS Trust	5	56	

## ICB Expected Range Summary

Data labels relate to the number of scores that fell below, within and above the expected range		Number of scores below the Lower Expected Range
		Number of scores between the Upper and Lower Expected Ranges
		Number of scores above the Upper Expected Range

ICB		Expected Range Classification	
QVV	NHS Dorset Integrated Care Board	48	13
QRL	NHS Hampshire and Isle of Wight Integrated Care Board	50	11