

# Cancer Patient Experience Survey

2022 Results

# NHS North East and North Cumbria Integrated Care Board

Published July 2023

The Cancer Patient Experience Survey is undertaken by Picker on behalf of NHS England

# **Executive Summary**

### **Questions Above Expected Range**

	Case	Mix Adjusted S	Scores	
	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	England Score
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	80%	72%	80%	76%
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	84%	81%	84%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	81%	77%	81%	79%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	82%	78%	82%	80%
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	75%	69%	73%	71%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	70%	63%	68%	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	72%	67%	72%	69%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	69%	61%	67%	64%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	88%	84%	87%	85%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	83%	75%	82%	79%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	88%	83%	86%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	81%	77%	80%	79%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	78%	69%	76%	72%
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	76%	72%	76%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	72%	67%	72%	69%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	62%	57%	61%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	57%	50%	57%	53%
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	50%	40%	49%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	25%	19%	23%	21%
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	37%	27%	36%	31%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	68%	60%	65%	62%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	89%	84%	89%	87%

NHS North East and North Cumbria Integrated Care Board has no scores below expected range

### Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2022 is the 12th iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2022 survey involved 133 NHS Trusts. Out of 115,662 people, 61,268 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 53%.

### **Methodology**

#### Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2022. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between November 2022 and February 2023.

As in the previous seven years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

#### How Alliance and ICB results are generated

Alliance and ICB results are derived using the post code of each patient, rather than by mapping trust results to ICBs or Alliances. This mapping is achieved using lookup files released by the Office for National Statistics.

Alliance and ICB results therefore reflect the experience of people referred from within the geographical footprint.

#### **Case-mix adjustment**

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allow us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how an ICB is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, ethnicity, deprivation, and cancer type.

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the ICB. Casemix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

#### Scoring methodology

Sixty-one questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q59), the score shows the percentage of respondents who gave the most favourable response to a question. For Q59, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

Please note that following a review of the scoring methodology, a change was made to the scoring of Q12 such that the response option "No, I was told by letter or email" is no longer considered neutral.

#### **Statistical significance**

In the reporting of 2022 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by chance.

#### **Suppression**

Data is suppressed for two reasons: to ensure unreliable results based on very small numbers of respondents are not released, and to prevent individuals being identifiable in the data.

In cases where a result is based on fewer than 10 responses, the result has been suppressed. For example, where fewer than 10 people answered a question from a particular ICB, the results are not shown for that question for that ICB.

For ICBs with an eligible population of 1,000 or fewer, data relating to the respondent and their condition has been suppressed where 5 people or fewer were in a particular category. In instances where only one has been suppressed, the next lowest category has been suppressed to prevent back calculation from the total number of responses.

#### **Additional suppression**

Additional suppression happens if only **one** ICB has a score suppressed. If this happens, we will suppress another ICB's results (both the ICB level and subgroup results for the question) based on the next lowest number of respondents for the score. We do this so that the national score cannot be used to work out the score for the individual ICB.

The same rule applies to groups in each subgroup breakdown. For example, if only **one** ICB has the 85+ age group suppressed for Q25 we will need to suppress another ICB's results for the 85+ age group on Q25. This suppression is based on the 85+ age group with the next lowest number of respondents for Q25.

### Understanding the results

This report shows how this ICB scored for each question in the survey compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual ICBs to understand their performance and identify areas for local and regional improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

#### **Expected range charts**

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (within the grey bar) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this ICB.

ICBs whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the ICB performs better than what ICBs of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the ICB's size and demographics.

#### **Comparability tables**

The comparability tables show the 2021 and 2022 unadjusted scores for this ICB for each scored question. If there is a statistically significant change from 2021 an arrow will be presented for the direction of change.

The adjusted 2022 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

#### Sub-group breakdowns

Unadjusted scores are shown for tumour type, Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, IMD quintile, longterm condition status and ethnicity breakdowns. Unadjusted scores for the same sub-group across different ICBs may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

#### **Tumour type tables**

The tumour type tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

#### Age group tables

The age group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the eight age groups.

#### Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

These tables show the unadjusted scores for the following groups male; female; non-binary; prefer to self-describe; and prefer not to say.

#### **Ethnicity tables**

The ethnicity tables show the unadjusted scores for six ethnicity groups.

#### Long-term condition status tables

The long-term condition status tables show the unadjusted scores for two groups: those who indicate they have one or more long term conditions and those who indicate that they have no long-term conditions.

#### **IMD** quintile tables

The IMD quintile tables show the unadjusted scores for five quintiles based on relative disadvantage, with quintile 1 being the most deprived and quintile 5 being the least deprived.

#### Year on year charts

The year on year charts show two columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last two years (2021 and 2022) for each scored question.

### **Further information**

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2012; certificate number GB08/74322). The 2022 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2022 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at <u>www.ncpes.co.uk</u>. For all other outputs at ICB level, please see the Excel tables and dashboards at <u>www.ncpes.co.uk</u>.

### **Response Rate**

#### **Overall Response Rate**

4,324 patients responded out of a total of 7,920 patients, resulting in a response rate of 55%.

	Sample Size	Adjusted Sample	Completed	Response Rate
Overall response rate	8,542	7,920	4,324	55%
National	123,632	115,662	61,268	53%

### **Respondents by Survey Type**

	Number of Respondents
Paper	3,670
Online	652
Phone	2
Translation Service	0
Total	4,324

#### **Respondents by Tumour Group**

	Number of Respondents
Brain / CNS	10
Breast	903
Colorectal / LGT	525
Gynaecological	213
Haematological	607
Head and Neck	144
Lung	391
Prostate	344
Sarcoma	19
Skin	149
Upper Gastro	209
Urological	370
Other	440
Total	4,324

### **Respondents by Ethnicity**

	Number of Respondents
White	
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	3,977
Irish	6
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	*
Any other White background	26
Mixed / Multiple Ethnicity	1
White and Black Caribbean	6
White and Black African	*
White and Asian	6
Any other Mixed / multiple ethnic background	*
Asian or Asian British	I
Indian	8
Pakistani	8
Bangladeshi	*
Chinese	8
Any other Asian background	7
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British	I
African	12
Caribbean	*
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	*
Other Ethnicity	I
Arab	8
Any other ethnic group	6
Not given	
Not given	233
Total	4,324

Lower Expected Range Within Expected Rang The left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all ICBs		ght oute		Expecte of the ba		,		Case M achieve			core
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twi before cancer diagnosis	ce								78% ◆		
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand							66	6% ◆			
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance										93% •	6
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient									84 <sup>0</sup>	%	
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right									79% ◆		
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand									79% •		
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results										95	°%
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer of friend with them when told diagnosis	or							70	80%		
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	r							15	5% •		
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand								7	7% ◆		
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place									86	5% •	
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis									849	%	
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team										91% ♦	
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person									8	7% •	
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	or									90	6% ◆

Lower Expected Range Within Expected Range The left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all ICBs.		ght oute	••	Expecte of the ba				Case M achieve	,		core
DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80% 849		100%
<ul> <li>Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment</li> <li>Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options</li> <li>Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before</li> </ul>						55	5%		81%		
making decisions about their treatment options											
CARE PLANNING Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	0% ir	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90% 94'	100% % 99%
<ul> <li>SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF</li> <li>Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support</li> <li>Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff</li> <li>Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits</li> </ul>	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70% 58% ◆	80% 78% ♦	90% 38%	100%
<ul> <li>HOSPITAL CARE</li> <li>Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital</li> <li>Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospita</li> <li>Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital</li> <li>Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed</li> <li>Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff</li> <li>Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain</li> <li>Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while hospital</li> <li>Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital</li> <li>Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case</li> </ul>	in	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70% 70% 72% 69% ♦	6% ♦ 86	90% 5% ♦ 89% ♦ 89%	100%

Lower Expected Range Within Expected Range The left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all ICBs		riaht ou			•	ed Ran ars is th	-			Mix Adju ed of all		core
YOUR TREATMENT	0%	10%		•	30%	40%		60%	70%			100%
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery											91%	
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy											88%	
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy											89% •	
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy										83%		
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy										84	%	
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery										ł	88%	
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy										81%	, D	
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with radiotherapy										81%	)	
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with hormone therapy										78% ◆		
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with immunotherapy										79% ♦		
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	t									81% ◆		
MMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	0%	10%	20	% :	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand									7	6% ◆		
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing wi any immediate side effects from treatment	th								72%	6		
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access abo support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	ut									5	87% ◆	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment								62%	, D			
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managin he impact of any long-term side effects	g						Ę	57% •				
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	0%	10%	20	% :	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the nformation needed to help care for the patient at home								60%				
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care a support at home from community or voluntary services	nd						5	6%				

Lower Expected Range Within Expected Range The left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all ICBs.		ight oute	•••	•	ed Rang ars is th		st score		vlix Adju ed of all		core
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	n		25			50%					
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary service	es			13	87%						
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment									80%		
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	/							58%			
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q56. The whole care team worked well together										90% ♦	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good										89% ♦	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patien	t				39% •						
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good										9.0	

# **Comparability tables**

 Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

▲ or ▼ Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

	Unadjusted Scores						Case Mix Adjusted Scores				
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	England Score		
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	1851	78%	2021	78%		78%	76%	79%	78%		
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	2504	64%	2803	64%		66%	62%	68%	65%		
		Lina	djusted So	ores		Case M	1ix Adjusted	Scores			
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper	England Score		
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	3025	93%	3341	93%		93%	91%	93%	92%		
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	3182	85%	3535	84%		84%	81%	86%	83%		
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	3195	84%	3539	79%	▼	79%	76%	81%	78%		
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	3207	80%	3549	79%		79%	77%	80%	78%		
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	3201	94%	3549	95%		95%	94%	95%	95%		
		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	1ix Adjusted	d Scores			
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	England Score		
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	3578	76%	4045	80%		80%	72%	80%	76%		
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	3766	76%	4275	75%		75%	71%	76%	74%		
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	3775	76%	4270	77%		77%	75%	78%	76%		
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	3751	86%	4264	85%		86%	84%	86%	85%		
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	3346	84%	3813	84%		84%	82%	85%	84%		
		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	1ix Adjusted	d Scores			
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected	England Score		
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	3638	92%	4119	92%		91%	89%	94%	92%		
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	3074	87%	3407	87%		87%	80%	87%	84%		
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	3212	96%	3583	96%		96%	94%	97%	95%		

# **Comparability tables**

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

▲ or ▼ Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	lix Adjuste	d Scores	
DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	England Score
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	3579	83%	4028	84%		84%	81%	84%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	3732	81%	4224	82%		81%	77%	81%	79%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	3173	77%	3650	82%		82%	78%	82%	80%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	1731	57%	1966	56%		55%	49%	55%	52%
		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	lix Adjuste	d Scores	
CARE PLANNING	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower	Upper Expected Range	England Score
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	3410	74%	3846	75%		75%	69%	73%	71%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	2033	94%	2351	94%		94%	92%	94%	93%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	1666	99%	1947	99%		99%	98%	99%	99%
		Una	djusted So	cores	Case N				
SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower	Upper Expected Range	England Score
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	3022	89%	3466	88%		88%	88%	92%	90%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	3736	78%	4225	78%		78%	73%	78%	75%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	2076	68%	2502	68%		68%	63%	72%	67%
		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	/ix Adjuste	d Scores	
					Change	00001			England

	Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	lix Adjuste	d Scores	
2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	England Score
1613	84%	1708	81%		81%	75%	82%	79%
1307	65%	1430	71%		70%	63%	68%	66%
1582	73%	1675	72%		72%	67%	72%	69%
1574	79%	1674	76%		76%	68%	77%	73%
1539	69%	1632	69%		69%	61%	67%	64%
1427	85%	1508	86%		86%	82%	86%	84%
1604	91%	1699	89%		89%	86%	90%	88%
1575	89%	1650	89%		89%	86%	90%	88%
3264	81%	3742	81%		81%	75%	81%	78%
	n 1613 1307 1582 1574 1539 1427 1604 1575	2021     2021       n     Score       1613     84%       1307     65%       1582     73%       1574     79%       1539     69%       1427     85%       1604     91%       1575     89%	2021         2021         2022           n         Score         n           1613         84%         1708           1307         65%         1430           1582         73%         1675           1574         79%         1674           1539         69%         1632           1427         85%         1508           1604         91%         1699           1575         89%         1650	n         Score         n         Score           1613         84%         1708         81%           1307         65%         1430         71%           1582         73%         1675         72%           1574         79%         1674         76%           1539         69%         1632         69%           1427         85%         1508         86%           1604         91%         1699         89%           1575         89%         1650         89%	2021       2021       2021       2022       Change 2021-2022         1613       84%       1708       81%       2022         1613       84%       1708       81%       ▲         1307       65%       1430       71%       ▲         1582       73%       1675       72%       ▲         1574       79%       1674       76%       ▲         1539       69%       1632       69%       ▲         1427       85%       1508       86%       ▲         1604       91%       1699       89%       ▲         1575       89%       1650       89%       ▲	2021         2021         2022         2022         Change 2021- 2022         2022         Score           1613         84%         1708         81%         81%         81%           1307         65%         1430         71%         ▲         70%           1582         73%         1675         72%         72%         72%           1574         79%         1674         76%         69%         69%           1539         69%         1632         69%         69%         69%           1427         85%         1508         86%         69%         86%           1604         91%         1699         89%         69%         89%           1575         89%         1650         89%         69%         89%	2021       2021       2022       2022       Change 2021- 2022       2022 Score       Expected Range         1613       84%       1708       81%       81%       75%         1307       65%       1430       71%       ▲       70%       63%         1582       73%       1675       72%       72%       67%         1574       79%       1674       76%       68%         1539       69%       1632       69%       69%       61%         1427       85%       1508       86%       86%       88%       86%         1575       89%       1650       89%       68%       86%       86%	2021       2021       2022       2022       Change 2021-2022       2022       Lower Expected Expected Range       Expected Expected Range         1613       84%       1708       81%       81%       75%       82%         1307       65%       1430       71%       ▲       70%       63%       68%         1582       73%       1675       72%        67%       72%         1574       79%       1674       76%       68%       77%         1539       69%       1632       69%       69%       61%       67%         1427       85%       1508       86%       86%       88%       86%       90%         1575       89%       1650       89%        89%       86%       90%

# **Comparability tables**

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score. ▲ or ▼

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	ix Adjuste	d Scores	
YOUR TREATMENT	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	England Score
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	2044	90%	2166	91%		91%	88%	91%	89%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	1976	86%	2286	89%		88%	84%	87%	85%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	1103	86%	1251	89%		89%	87%	90%	88%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	552	80%	586	83%		83%	75%	82%	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	476	83%	603	85%		84%	81%	87%	84%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	2031	85%	2138	88%		88%	83%	86%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	1949	80%	2274	82%		81%	77%	80%	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	1099	78%	1239	81%		81%	78%	83%	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	548	75%	571	78%		78%	69%	76%	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	470	79%	592	79%		79%	76%	83%	79%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	3685	83%	4171	82%		81%	71%	85%	78%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	ix Adjustee	d Scores	
IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	England Score
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	3602	76%	4064	77%		76%	72%	76%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	3429	73%	3907	73%		72%	67%	72%	69%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	2773	86%	3126	87%		87%	84%	88%	86%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	3366	63%	3811	63%		62%	57%	61%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	2961	58%	3357	58%		57%	50%	57%	53%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	ix Adjusted	d Scores	
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	England Score
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	2520	57%	2909	61%		60%	55%	61%	58%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	1579	56%	1793	56%		56%	45%	58%	51%

# **Comparability tables**

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

n or a ▲ or ▼

Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

						Lyber	leu Kange	,	
		Una	djusted So	cores		Case N	lix Adjuste	d Scores	
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	Englan Score
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	2248	48%	2662	49%		50%	40%	49%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	3605	20%	4036	25%		25%	19%	23%	21%
		Una	djusted So	ores		Case M	lix Adjuste	d Scores	
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	Englan Score
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	820	36%	1002	37%		37%	27%	36%	31%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	1598	79%	1819	80%		80%	75%	81%	78%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	2936	66%	3281	68%		68%	60%	65%	62%
		Una	djusted So	cores		Case N	lix Adjuste	d Scores	
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	Englan Score
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	3606	92%	4082	90%		90%	88%	91%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	3713	90%	4198	89%		89%	84%	89%	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	2045	41%	2382	40%		39%	34%	52%	43%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	3621	9.0	4088	9.0		9.0	8.7	9.0	8.9

# **Tumour type tables**

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	our Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	93%	76%	80%	62%	82%	72%	78%	70%	89%	76%	74%	68%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	78%	62%	65%	54%	64%	56%	68%	54%	75%	63%	58%	59%	64%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS							Tumo	our Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	93%	94%	87%	94%	91%	94%	94%	73%	94%	92%	92%	93%	93%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	85%	83%	80%	86%	87%	87%	87%	88%	83%	84%	84%	78%	84%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	82%	83%	77%	88%	74%	77%	86%	88%	74%	74%	75%	69%	79%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	83%	82%	77%	79%	78%	79%	83%	88%	80%	78%	76%	71%	79%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	97%	96%	94%	95%	91%	95%	96%	88%	96%	96%	92%	93%	95%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER		-	-			-	Tumo	our Ty	pe					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	85%	83%	76%	77%	80%	86%	80%	78%	60%	85%	70%	76%	80%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	80%	78%	80%	74%	76%	73%	78%	71%	58%	79%	75%	66%	73%	75%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	70%	81%	82%	71%	73%	78%	79%	77%	68%	80%	76%	76%	69%	77%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	80%	90%	87%	78%	84%	81%	86%	86%	74%	90%	86%	85%	79%	85%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	89%	83%	81%	85%	87%	85%	84%	78%	90%	82%	74%	77%	84%

# **Tumour type tables**

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N						Tumo	our Ty	pe					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	95%	92%	91%	92%	88%	95%	91%	89%	89%	91%	86%	88%	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	88%	92%	84%	88%	91%	88%	84%	88%	92%	88%	80%	84%	87%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	96%	98%	95%	98%	97%	95%	97%	88%	96%	98%	95%	93%	96%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT			-			-	Tumo	our Ty	pe					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	85%	86%	84%	85%	86%	86%	77%	89%	87%	86%	81%	81%	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	80%	83%	83%	78%	83%	83%	83%	78%	84%	86%	89%	79%	77%	82%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	81%	84%	83%	82%	88%	87%	78%	89%	75%	89%	76%	80%	82%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	56%	58%	52%	60%	56%	61%	49%	64%	57%	63%	49%	51%	56%

CARE PLANNING							Tumo	our Ty	pe					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	76%	79%	73%	78%	75%	76%	70%	76%	77%	79%	70%	69%	75%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	93%	94%	93%	97%	92%	95%	91%	92%	90%	95%	94%	92%	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	100%	99%	100%	99%	100%	98%	99%	100%	96%	100%	99%	97%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF							Tumo	our Typ	се					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	89%	87%	89%	91%	89%	86%	92%	80%	91%	86%	80%	85%	88%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	40%	76%	79%	75%	85%	79%	81%	73%	84%	82%	81%	77%	73%	78%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	80%	69%	66%	72%	72%	67%	79%	57%	75%	73%	76%	46%	63%	68%

# **Tumour type tables**

HOSPITAL CARE							Tumo	our Typ	pe					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	80%	83%	79%	83%	81%	79%	81%	90%	91%	85%	82%	76%	81%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	70%	70%	74%	69%	77%	75%	61%	*	84%	78%	64%	69%	71%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	75%	75%	70%	74%	75%	68%	67%	90%	82%	77%	67%	69%	72%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	78%	78%	68%	78%	74%	80%	78%	80%	91%	78%	77%	71%	76%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	64%	74%	67%	73%	71%	77%	60%	70%	77%	70%	68%	62%	69%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	84%	89%	87%	90%	84%	83%	84%	*	85%	85%	82%	85%	86%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	87%	90%	90%	92%	90%	88%	86%	100%	96%	90%	87%	89%	89%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	89%	90%	89%	89%	88%	85%	91%	*	87%	89%	88%	89%	89%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	80%	85%	82%	85%	85%	82%	82%	81%	81%	86%	76%	76%	81%

YOUR TREATMENT							Tumo	our Ty	pe					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	92%	93%	90%	92%	90%	88%	87%	87%	92%	95%	89%	85%	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	87%	89%	85%	91%	83%	90%	81%	*	*	92%	90%	88%	89%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	89%	89%	92%	85%	85%	90%	93%	*	*	85%	87%	85%	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	81%	*	*	70%	*	*	87%	*	*	*	*	86%	83%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	74%	76%	*	85%	80%	88%	*	*	82%	80%	93%	86%	85%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	90%	89%	86%	86%	90%	79%	82%	93%	89%	93%	84%	86%	88%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	83%	81%	78%	84%	75%	85%	75%	*	*	83%	78%	81%	82%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	85%	85%	80%	79%	80%	78%	77%	*	*	76%	76%	77%	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	78%	*	*	80%	*	*	81%	*	*	*	*	74%	78%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	65%	68%	*	83%	80%	84%	*	*	81%	80%	77%	83%	79%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	60%	80%	85%	79%	81%	84%	82%	89%	83%	83%	85%	84%	71%	82%

# **Tumour type tables**

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS						Tumo	our Ty	be					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	76%	83%	72%	76%	77%	80%	73%	81%	77%	84%	78%	72%	77%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	71%	74%	70%	75%	79%	80%	65%	67%	76%	79%	70%	70%	73%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	86%	89%	89%	90%	88%	90%	85%	79%	88%	90%	83%	87%	87%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	40%	60%	64%	60%	63%	70%	66%	65%	65%	67%	70%	55%	59%	63%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	40%	54%	57%	55%	64%	64%	61%	60%	60%	65%	68%	53%	52%	58%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	57%	63%	57%	67%	67%	65%	59%	57%	59%	69%	59%	50%	61%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	50%	57%	50%	60%	53%	64%	60%	*	65%	56%	55%	53%	56%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	our Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	52%	48%	54%	53%	44%	47%	50%	73%	64%	46%	45%	45%	49%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	20%	24%	26%	26%	19%	29%	26%	31%	22%	26%	30%	22%	25%	25%

# **Tumour type tables**

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER							Tumo	our Ty	се					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	33%	40%	38%	33%	41%	44%	43%	*	33%	46%	27%	35%	37%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	79%	82%	77%	87%	85%	73%	80%	85%	85%	85%	76%	79%	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	63%	64%	61%	81%	69%	70%	69%	87%	86%	63%	67%	64%	68%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	92%	90%	89%	92%	92%	90%	89%	83%	91%	89%	90%	88%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	88%	90%	87%	92%	94%	93%	86%	94%	88%	89%	88%	88%	89%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	30%	39%	37%	47%	51%	50%	43%	*	34%	58%	34%	36%	40%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	9.0	9.1	8.9	9.2	9.0	9.1	8.8	8.9	8.9	9.0	8.8	8.9	9.0

# Age group tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	87%	78%	83%	76%	78%	75%	88%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	61%	80%	73%	68%	61%	60%	65%	64%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	94%	86%	92%	94%	93%	92%	89%	93%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	83%	77%	85%	85%	85%	83%	85%	84%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	69%	64%	71%	76%	81%	84%	87%	79%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	83%	73%	75%	77%	80%	82%	84%	79%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	86%	91%	93%	94%	96%	95%	98%	95%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	70%	73%	78%	78%	80%	82%	85%	80%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	55%	72%	76%	73%	75%	78%	85%	75%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	68%	76%	74%	76%	76%	79%	81%	77%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	68%	84%	83%	83%	86%	88%	89%	85%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	94%	90%	89%	85%	83%	80%	83%	84%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team $% \left( {{\left[ {{{\rm{A}}} \right]}_{{\rm{A}}}}_{{\rm{A}}}} \right)$	*	97%	86%	90%	91%	93%	91%	87%	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	83%	85%	85%	86%	88%	87%	88%	87%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	89%	95%	94%	96%	96%	97%	99%	96%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	79%	83%	83%	85%	84%	84%	84%	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	72%	77%	77%	80%	83%	83%	81%	82%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	73%	79%	79%	78%	83%	85%	89%	82%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	52%	63%	53%	52%	56%	57%	57%	56%

# Age group tables

CARE PLANNING				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	65%	69%	76%	74%	76%	74%	73%	75%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	85%	87%	91%	93%	95%	95%	94%	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	100%	98%	98%	99%	99%	99%	100%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Age										
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All		
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	94%	84%	85%	88%	89%	87%	81%	88%		
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	73%	65%	66%	75%	80%	81%	81%	78%		
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	74%	70%	70%	71%	68%	64%	46%	68%		

HOSPITAL CARE				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	76%	71%	75%	80%	82%	84%	84%	81%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	73%	63%	64%	72%	71%	73%	74%	71%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	47%	71%	65%	72%	75%	73%	75%	72%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	82%	68%	65%	76%	77%	80%	80%	76%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	47%	58%	65%	68%	71%	71%	73%	69%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	80%	79%	81%	85%	87%	89%	76%	86%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	88%	86%	82%	89%	89%	93%	88%	89%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	82%	87%	86%	88%	89%	89%	98%	89%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	65%	75%	77%	79%	83%	84%	81%	81%

# Age group tables

YOUR TREATMENT				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	86%	90%	87%	90%	91%	92%	97%	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	87%	87%	89%	89%	88%	89%	92%	89%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	94%	89%	89%	88%	89%	89%	93%	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	67%	75%	83%	88%	81%	*	83%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	69%	70%	86%	86%	85%	84%	85%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	86%	82%	86%	87%	89%	86%	92%	88%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	90%	79%	83%	82%	81%	83%	71%	82%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	89%	87%	82%	84%	81%	77%	87%	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	*	67%	70%	75%	84%	79%	82%	78%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	*	73%	67%	78%	82%	78%	83%	79%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	68%	68%	78%	79%	83%	84%	84%	82%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	15			Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	73%	80%	78%	79%	78%	74%	69%	77%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	83%	67%	71%	72%	75%	71%	72%	73%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	88%	83%	87%	87%	89%	86%	82%	87%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	61%	62%	60%	64%	66%	59%	47%	63%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	52%	57%	53%	60%	61%	55%	49%	58%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Age										
	16 - 24         25 - 34         35 - 44         45 - 54         55 - 64         65 - 74         75 - 84         85+										
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	48%	51%	56%	57%	63%	62%	67%	61%		
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	55%	56%	49%	52%	57%	59%	60%	56%		

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Age										
	16 - 24       25 - 34       35 - 44       45 - 54       55 - 64       65 - 74       75 - 84       85+       All										
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	36%	65%	51%	49%	49%	48%	45%	49%		
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	32%	30%	30%	25%	25%	23%	21%	25%		

# Age group tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	IVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	43%	31%	32%	37%	46%	32%	37%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	84%	83%	74%	75%	84%	82%	76%	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	53%	62%	62%	68%	71%	69%	67%	68%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Age											
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All			
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	87%	88%	89%	87%	90%	93%	92%	90%			
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	87%	88%	86%	87%	90%	91%	88%	89%			
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	26%	33%	36%	38%	41%	44%	49%	40%			
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	8.7	8.7	8.8	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.8	9.0			

# Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	79%	77%	*	*	*	73%	78%		
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	67%	61%	*	*	*	60%	64%		

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other							
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All			
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	92%	93%	*	*	*	92%	93%			
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	83%	86%	*	*	*	82%	84%			
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	78%	81%	*	*	*	84%	79%			
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	80%	*	*	*	78%	79%			
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	94%	96%	*	*	*	95%	95%			

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other							
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All			
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	80%	80%	*	*	*	79%	80%			
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	76%	75%	*	*	*	74%	75%			
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	76%	78%	*	*	*	72%	77%			
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	84%	87%	*	*	*	84%	85%			
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	85%	83%	*	*	*	79%	84%			

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	92%	91%	*	*	*	92%	92%	
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	87%	87%	*	*	*	85%	87%	
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	97%	*	*	*	92%	96%	

# Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	84%	84%	*	*	*	83%	84%		
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	81%	83%	*	*	*	78%	82%		
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	82%	83%	*	*	*	79%	82%		
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	54%	59%	*	*	*	47%	56%		

CARE PLANNING			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	74%	77%	*	*	*	73%	75%	
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	93%	95%	*	*	*	91%	94%	
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	99%	99%	*	*	*	99%	99%	

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	86%	90%	*	*	*	88%	88%		
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	75%	82%	*	*	*	80%	78%		
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	69%	67%	*	*	*	66%	68%		

# Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

HOSPITAL CARE				Male/Fema	lle/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	78%	85%	*	*	*	75%	81%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	71%	71%	*	*	*	77%	71%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	70%	75%	*	*	*	72%	72%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	73%	80%	*	*	*	73%	76%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	65%	74%	*	*	*	70%	69%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	84%	88%	*	*	*	81%	86%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	88%	91%	*	*	*	92%	89%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	87%	91%	*	*	*	86%	89%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	79%	84%	*	*	*	80%	81%

YOUR TREATMENT				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	91%	92%	*	*	*	83%	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	87%	90%	*	*	*	89%	89%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	88%	90%	*	*	*	89%	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	81%	87%	*	*	*	95%	83%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	78%	90%	*	*	*	91%	85%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	88%	88%	*	*	*	78%	88%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with chemotherapy	82%	82%	*	*	*	82%	82%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with radiotherapy	83%	79%	*	*	*	78%	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with hormone therapy	76%	82%	*	*	*	90%	78%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with immunotherapy	75%	84%	*	*	*	77%	79%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	80%	84%	*	*	*	83%	82%

# Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	ΓS			Male/Fema	lle/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	76%	78%	*	*	*	74%	77%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	72%	74%	*	*	*	71%	73%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	86%	89%	*	*	*	87%	87%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	60%	66%	*	*	*	61%	63%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	54%	63%	*	*	*	59%	58%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	57%	65%	*	*	*	59%	61%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	53%	60%	*	*	*	52%	56%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Male/Fema	lle/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	50%	49%	*	*	*	44%	49%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	24%	26%	*	*	*	22%	25%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	36%	38%	*	*	*	40%	37%	
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	78%	84%	*	*	*	79%	80%	
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	65%	72%	*	*	*	67%	68%	

# Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	90%	91%	*	*	*	92%	90%	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	88%	90%	*	*	*	88%	89%	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	35%	46%	*	*	*	44%	40%	
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.9	9.0	*	*	*	9.0	9.0	

# **Ethnicity tables**

\*

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Ethnicity				
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	78%	*	80%	*	*	76%	78%	
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	64%	80%	53%	90%	*	57%	64%	

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	93%	92%	87%	100%	*	92%	93%		
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	84%	67%	74%	93%	80%	84%	84%		
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	79%	58%	76%	87%	73%	86%	79%		
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	80%	83%	59%	80%	80%	78%	79%		
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	95%	91%	91%	100%	82%	95%	95%		

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER		Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	80%	71%	85%	92%	91%	82%	80%		
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	75%	60%	83%	93%	69%	74%	75%		
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	80%	77%	75%	92%	73%	77%		
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	86%	87%	80%	94%	100%	82%	85%		
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	84%	86%	84%	93%	83%	79%	84%		

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Ethr	nicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	91%	93%	91%	93%	83%	93%	92%	
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	87%	93%	86%	79%	*	89%	87%	
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	93%	90%	93%	*	96%	96%	

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT			Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	84%	73%	85%	100%	92%	83%	84%	
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	82%	71%	88%	81%	92%	77%	82%	
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	82%	85%	79%	86%	83%	80%	82%	
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	55%	50%	70%	85%	*	57%	56%	

# **Ethnicity tables**

\*

CARE PLANNING			Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	75%	57%	84%	80%	*	74%	75%		
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	94%	*	96%	100%	*	95%	94%		
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	99%	*	100%	100%	*	99%	99%		

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF			Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	88%	77%	94%	100%	*	89%	88%		
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	78%	73%	83%	75%	77%	80%	78%		
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	68%	36%	81%	82%	*	69%	68%		

HOSPITAL CARE				Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	82%	*	81%	*	*	72%	81%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	71%	*	75%	*	*	74%	71%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	72%	*	75%	*	*	67%	72%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	77%	*	75%	*	*	73%	76%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	70%	*	63%	*	*	67%	69%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	86%	*	93%	*	*	78%	86%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	89%	*	94%	*	*	89%	89%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	89%	*	86%	*	*	88%	89%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	81%	71%	71%	62%	64%	83%	81%

# **Ethnicity tables**

YOUR TREATMENT				Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	91%	*	85%	100%	*	86%	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	89%	*	77%	82%	*	92%	89%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	89%	*	91%	*	*	91%	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	82%	*	*	*	*	92%	83%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	84%	*	*	*	*	91%	85%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	88%	*	79%	100%	*	79%	88%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	82%	*	79%	73%	*	82%	82%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	81%	*	83%	*	*	79%	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	78%	*	*	*	*	88%	78%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	79%	*	*	*	*	78%	79%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	82%	47%	54%	63%	55%	86%	82%

<b>IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT</b>	S			Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	77%	80%	79%	75%	55%	76%	77%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	73%	73%	77%	81%	60%	73%	73%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	87%	90%	89%	93%	*	89%	87%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	62%	50%	73%	60%	30%	64%	63%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	58%	50%	68%	47%	*	60%	58%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME			Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All			
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	61%	*	67%	67%	*	58%	61%			
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	56%	*	55%	*	*	55%	56%			

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Ethnicity						
	White	White Mixed Asian Black Other Not given					
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	49%	58%	44%	46%	*	48%	49%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	25%	50%	35%	46%	18%	23%	25%

# **Ethnicity tables**

\*

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER			Ethnicity				
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	37%	*	47%	*	*	43%	37%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	80%	*	82%	*	*	77%	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	68%	64%	83%	69%	*	65%	68%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE				Ethr	Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All			
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	90%	93%	91%	93%	92%	91%	90%			
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	89%	73%	88%	88%	77%	88%	89%			
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	40%	*	39%	*	*	52%	40%			
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	9.0	8.1	8.2	9.2	9.2	9.0	9.0			

# IMD quintile tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				IMD Quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	76%	78%	79%	80%	78%	*	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	59%	65%	65%	66%	66%	*	64%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		IMD Quintile								
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All			
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	92%	94%	93%	94%	92%	*	93%			
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	85%	84%	84%	85%	83%	*	84%			
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	80%	80%	79%	80%	79%	*	79%			
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	79%	80%	80%	78%	*	79%			
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	94%	94%	95%	95%	96%	*	95%			

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	83%	82%	79%	79%	77%	*	80%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	76%	77%	75%	75%	73%	*	75%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	77%	78%	77%	76%	*	77%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	86%	87%	83%	86%	85%	*	85%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	84%	84%	83%	83%	83%	*	84%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON			IMD Quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All		
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	93%	92%	90%	91%	91%	*	92%		
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	87%	87%	85%	89%	88%	*	87%		
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	95%	96%	96%	97%	97%	*	96%		

# **IMD** quintile tables

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	85%	83%	84%	86%	83%	*	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	81%	82%	81%	82%	81%	*	82%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	82%	82%	82%	81%	84%	*	82%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	64%	53%	54%	53%	51%	*	56%

CARE PLANNING			IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All		
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	78%	74%	74%	73%	76%	*	75%		
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	96%	94%	91%	93%	94%	*	94%		
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	100%	99%	99%	98%	99%	*	99%		

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF				IMD Quintil	е		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	90%	86%	87%	88%	88%	*	88%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	80%	77%	75%	79%	79%	*	78%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	69%	66%	64%	69%	72%	*	68%

HOSPITAL CARE				IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	83%	81%	80%	82%	81%	*	81%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	75%	73%	69%	66%	70%	*	71%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	72%	74%	69%	68%	78%	*	72%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	77%	79%	76%	73%	77%	*	76%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	73%	69%	68%	67%	69%	*	69%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	87%	88%	85%	84%	85%	*	86%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	89%	90%	90%	88%	89%	*	89%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	88%	88%	89%	87%	92%	*	89%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	84%	81%	79%	82%	80%	*	81%

# IMD quintile tables

YOUR TREATMENT				IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	92%	91%	89%	88%	93%	*	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	90%	89%	87%	90%	87%	*	89%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	89%	89%	87%	92%	85%	*	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	87%	89%	79%	83%	78%	*	83%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	84%	82%	89%	80%	86%	*	85%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	90%	89%	84%	88%	87%	*	88%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	84%	82%	78%	85%	81%	*	82%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	81%	83%	79%	83%	80%	*	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	85%	83%	68%	80%	76%	*	78%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	84%	78%	78%	75%	81%	*	79%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	80%	83%	81%	84%	80%	*	82%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS			IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	81%	77%	74%	78%	73%	*	77%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	77%	73%	69%	73%	70%	*	73%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	91%	86%	87%	86%	86%	*	87%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	66%	65%	60%	60%	60%	*	63%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	63%	60%	56%	55%	55%	*	58%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME					IMD Quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	65%	60%	58%	62%	57%	*	61%	
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	59%	53%	53%	58%	54%	*	56%	

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	50%	47%	49%	50%	51%	*	49%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	28%	25%	25%	22%	23%	*	25%

# IMD quintile tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER				IMD Quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	39%	38%	37%	38%	34%	*	37%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	76%	83%	80%	83%	80%	*	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	70%	68%	67%	68%	68%	*	68%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE				IMD Quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	92%	92%	89%	89%	90%	*	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	89%	90%	89%	89%	88%	*	89%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	47%	39%	37%	36%	40%	*	40%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	9.1	9.0	8.9	9.0	9.0	*	9.0

# Long term condition status tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	77%	81%	76%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	61%	71%	61%	64%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	Long term condition	term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	92%	94%	92%	93%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	83%	86%	87%	84%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	79%	80%	84%	79%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	80%	80%	79%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	95%	95%	97%	95%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	80%	80%	81%	80%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	75%	75%	76%	75%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	76%	78%	74%	77%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	86%	85%	86%	85%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	82%	87%	82%	84%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	91%	91%	95%	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	86%	88%	88%	87%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	97%	96%	96%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	83%	86%	84%	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	81%	82%	81%	82%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	81%	83%	82%	82%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	55%	58%	52%	56%

# Long term condition status tables

CARE PLANNING				
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	74%	78%	76%	75%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	93%	95%	96%	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	99%	99%	99%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF				
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	86%	91%	89%	88%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	77%	80%	82%	78%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	66%	73%	68%	68%

HOSPITAL CARE	Long term condition status				
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	81%	82%	77%	81%	
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	70%	72%	77%	71%	
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	71%	74%	77%	72%	
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	76%	79%	73%	76%	
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	67%	74%	71%	69%	
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	85%	89%	83%	86%	
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	89%	90%	94%	89%	
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	87%	94%	89%	89%	
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	80%	83%	84%	81%	

# Long term condition status tables

YOUR TREATMENT		Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	90%	92%	90%	91%	
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	89%	88%	86%	89%	
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	88%	89%	92%	89%	
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	82%	85%	90%	83%	
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	83%	88%	89%	85%	
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with surgery	86%	91%	86%	88%	
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	81%	84%	83%	82%	
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with radiotherapy	80%	84%	84%	81%	
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with hormone therapy	77%	80%	93%	78%	
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with immunotherapy	76%	87%	84%	79%	
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	81%	83%	80%	82%	

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	Long term condition	g term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	75%	80%	76%	77%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	71%	76%	74%	73%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	87%	89%	87%	87%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	60%	67%	62%	63%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	56%	62%	61%	58%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME		Long term condition	status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	61%	62%	56%	61%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	54%	60%	56%	56%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE		Long term condition	status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	48%	51%	53%	49%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	25%	26%	23%	25%

# Long term condition status tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	34%	45%	44%	37%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	78%	84%	78%	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	67%	70%	70%	68%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	89%	92%	94%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	89%	90%	92%	89%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	40%	39%	44%	40%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.9	9.1	9.1	9.0

# **Year on Year Charts**

 Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

SUPPORT FROM YO	JPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE						
Q2. Patient only spoke to	primary care professional one	ce or twice before cancer diagnosis					
100%							
80%	78%	78%					
60%	1070	1070					
40%							
20%							
0%	2021	2022					

Q3. Referral for diagnosis	was explained in a way th	e patient could completely understar	nd	
100%				
80%				
60%	64%		64%	
40%				
20%				
0%	2021		2022	

# DIAGNOSTIC TESTS Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance 100% 80% 93% 60% 93% 40% 93% 20% 0% 20% 2021 2021 2022

85%		84%	
		-	
2024		2022	
	2021		

of time waiting for diagno	tic test results was about right		
84%		79%	
		1070	
		-	
		-	
2021		2022	L
	84%		84% 79%

Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q8. Diagno	ostic test results were explained in a wa	y the patient could completely understand	
100%			
80%	80%	79%	
60%	0070	13/0	
40%			
20%			
0% —			
0,0	2021	2022	

lways given to the patien	when receiving diagnostic test resul	lts	
94%		95%	
		_	
		_	
		_	
2021		2022	
	Iways given to the patient 94% 2021	94%	

FINDING OUT THAT Y	OU HAD CANCER	
Q12. Patient was told they c	could have a family member, carer o	r friend with them when told diagnosis
100%		
80%		80%
60%	76%	00%
40%		
20%		
0%	2021	2022
	2021	2022

Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer								
100%								
80%		700/						
60%		76%		75%				
40%								
20%								
0%		2021		2022				
		2021						

Q14. Cancer diagnosis exp	plained in a way the patie	t could completely understand		
100%				
80%	760/		77%	
60%	76%		/ // 70	
40%			—	
20%				
0%	2021		2022	

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.
---	---

Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place							
100%							
80%	86%	85%					
60%							
40%							
20%							
0%	2021	2022					

Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis							
100%							
80%	84%		84%				
60%							
40%							
20%							
0%	2021		2022				

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON							
Q17. Patient had a main	point of contact within the c	are team					
100%							
80%	92%		92%				
60%			-				
40%			_				
20%			-				
0%	0004		2000				
	2021		2022				

Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person							
100%							
80%	87%		87%				
60%							
40%							
20%							
0%	2021		2022				

from main contact person was ve	or quite helpful
96%	96%
2024	2022
	from main contact person was very 96% 2021

# Year on Year Charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT								
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand								
100%								
80%	83%	84%						
60%								
40%								
20%								
0%	2021	2022						

Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment									
100%	1%								
80%	81%	82%							
60%	0170								
40%									
20%									
0%	2021	2022							

Q22. Family and/or carers	s were definitely involved as much as the	e patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment opt	ions
100%			
80%	77%	82%	
60%	1170		
40%			
20%			
0%	2021	2022	

Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options							
100%							
80%							
60%							
40%	57%		56%				
20%							
0%							
0,0	2021		2022				

### **CARE PLANNING**

Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment						
100%						
80%			_			
60%	74%		75%			
40%						
20%						
0%	2021		2022			

# Year on Year Charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low The so base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

225. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns					
100%					
80%	94%	94%			
60%					
40%					
20%					
0%	2021	2022			

the patient's care plan with	nem to ensure it was up to date
99%	99%
2024	2022
1	the patient's care plan with th 99%

SUPPORT FROM HO	UPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF					
Q27. Staff provided the par	tient with relevant inform	ation on available support				
100%						
80%	89%		88%			
60%						
40%						
20%						
0%	2024		2022			
	2021		2022			

Q28. P	atient definitely got	the right level of support	for their overall health and well being	from hospital staff	
100%					
80%		78%		78%	
60%		1070		10/0	
40%					
20%					
0%		2021		2022	1

Q29. Patient was offered i	nformation about how to	et financial help or benefits		
100%				
80%				
60%	68%		68%	
40%				
20%				
0%	2021		2022	

# Year on Year Charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

HOSPITAL CARE			
Q31. Patient had confid	dence and trust in all of the tea	n looking after them during their stay in hospital	
100%			
80%	84%	81%	
60%			
40%			
20%			
0%	2021	2022	

Q32. Patient's family, or s	omeone close, was definite	able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital
100%		
80%		
60%	65%	71%
40%		
20%		
0%	2021	2022

233. Patient was always ir	volved in decisions about the	ir care and treatment	whilst in hospital	
100%				
80%				
60%	73%		72%	
40%				
20%				
0%	2021		2022	

Q34. Patient was always	Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed						
100%							
80%	700/						
60%	79%		76%				
40%							
20%							
0%	0004		0000				
	2021		2022				

Q35. Patient was always a	ble to discuss worries an	I fears with hospital staff		
100%				
80%				
60%	69%		69%	
40%				
20%				
0%	2021		2022	

<ul> <li>Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.</li> </ul>	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.
---	---

Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain						
85%	86%					
2021	2022					

Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital					
100%					
80%	91%		89%		
60%					
40%					
20%					
0%	2021		2022		

Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital						
100%						
89%		89%				
		-				
		-				
		-				
2021		2022				
	-	89%	89%			

Q39. Patient was alway	s able to discuss worries and fears with	hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case
100%		
80%	81%	81%
60%		
40%		
20%		
0%	2021	2022

YOUR TREATMENT							
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery							
100%							
80%	90%	91%					
60%							
40%							
20%							
0%	0004						
070	2021	2022					


*	Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.
Q4	1_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable info	ormation about chemotherapy
10	0%	

80%	86%	89%	
60%			
40%			
20%			
0%	2021	2022	

-	tient completely had enough	understandable information about rac	liotherapy	
00%				
80%	86%		89%	
60%				
40%				
20%				
0%				
	2021		2022	

Q41_4. Beforehand patier	nt completely had enough u	rstandable information about hormone therapy
100%		
80%	80%	83%
60%		
40%		
20%		
0%	2021	2022

Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy							
100%	1%						
80%		83%		85%			
60%							
40%							
20%							
0%		2021		2022			
		2021		2022			



<ul> <li>Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.</li> </ul>	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about	put progress with chemotherapy

~	i alloin completely	inda ente agri ana ente eta na	ine ine ine i ap y	
100%				
80%		80%	82%	
60%		0078	 02,0	
40%				
20%				
0%				
		2021	2022	

Q42_3. Patient compl	etely had enough understanda	ble information about progress with	radiotherapy	
100%				
80%	78%		81%	
50%	1070		-	
10%			-	
20%			-	
0%	2021		2022	

Q42_4. Patient comple	tely had enough understand	able information about progress with I	hormone therapy	
100%				
80%			78%	
60%	75%		1076	
40%				
20%				
0%	2021		2022	

had enough understandable	ormation about progress with immunotherapy
79%	79%
1370	1370
2021	2022
	79%

Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right						
100%						
80%	83%	82%				
60%						
40%						
20%						
0%	2021	2022				
	1011					

# Year on Year Charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

MMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS						
Q44. Possible side effects fr	rom treatment were defi	initely explained in a way the patient could understand				
100%						
80%						
60%	76%	77%				
40%						
20%						
0%	0004					
	2021	2022				

Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment						
100%						
80%						
60%		73%		73%		
40%						
20%						
0%		2021		2022		

Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment					
100%					
80%	86%	87%			
60%					
40%					
20%					
0%	2021	2022			

Q47. Patient felt possible	e long-term side effects we	re definitely explained in a way they c	ould understand in advar	nce of their treatment
100%				
80%				
60%	63%		63%	
40%				
20%				
0%	0004		0000	
	2021		2022	

Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects					
100%					
80%					
60%	<b>E0</b> 0/		E00/		
40%	58%		58%		
20%					
0%	2021		2022		

## **Year on Year Charts**

 Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

### SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME

Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home					
100%					
80%					
60%		<b>FTO</b> (	61%		
40%		57%	0176		
20%					
0%		2021	2022		

Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services					
100%					
80%					
60%					
40%	56%		56%		
20%			_		
0%	2021		2022		

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment							
100%							
80%							
60%							
40%	48%		49%				
20%			_				
0%	2021		2022				

Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice		
100%		
80%		
60%		
40% 20%		
20%	25%	
0% 2021	2022	

# LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services 100% 80% 60% 40% 20% 36% 37% 2021 2021 2022

# **Year on Year Charts**

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size. The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q54. The right amount of i	information and support w	as offered to the patient between fina	I treatment and the follow	v up appointment
100%				
80%	79%		80%	
60%	1370		0070	
40%				
20%				
0%	2021		2022	
	2021		2022	

255. Patient was given	enough information about the possibi	/ and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	
100%			
80%			
60%	66%	68%	
40%			
20%			
0%	2021	2022	

# YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE Q56. The whole care team worked well together 100% 80% 92% 90% 60% 40% 20% 0% 201 202

Q57. Administration of ca	are was very good or good		
100%			
80%	90%	89%	
60%			
40%			
20%			
0%	2021	2022	

Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient				
100%				
80%				
60%				
40%	41%		40%	
20%	4170		4078	
0%	2021		2022	

# Year on Year Charts

0	5.0	5.0	
6			
0			
4			
2			
0	2021	2022	
	2021	2022	