

Cancer Patient Experience Survey

2022 Results

Maidstone and Tunbridge Wells NHS Trust

Published July 2023

Executive Summary

Maidstone and Tunbridge Wells NHS Trust has no scores above expected range

Questions Below Expected Range

	Case	Mix Adjusted S	Scores	
	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	70%	71%	81%	76%
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	66%	67%	76%	71%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	79%	81%	90%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	73%	73%	84%	79%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	39%	43%	59%	51%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	82%	82%	91%	87%

Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2022 is the 12th iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2022 survey involved 133 NHS Trusts. Out of 115,662 people, 61,268 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 53%.

Methodology

Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2022. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between November 2022 and February 2023.

As in the previous seven years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allow us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a Trust is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, ethnicity, deprivation, and cancer type.

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the Trust. Casemix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

Scoring methodology

Sixty-one questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q59), the score shows the percentage of respondents who gave the most favourable response to a question. For Q59, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

Please note that following a review of the scoring methodology, a change was made to the scoring of Q12 such that the response option "No, I was told by letter or email" is no longer considered neutral.

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2022 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by chance.

Suppression

Data is suppressed for two reasons: to ensure unreliable results based on very small numbers of respondents are not released, and to prevent individuals being identifiable in the data.

In cases where a result is based on fewer than 10 responses, the result has been suppressed. For example, where fewer than 10 people answered a question from a particular Trust, the results are not shown for that question for that Trust.

For Trusts with an eligible population of 1,000 or fewer, data relating to the respondent and their condition has been suppressed where 5 people or fewer were in a particular category. In instances where only one has been suppressed, the next lowest category has been suppressed to prevent back calculation from the total number of responses.

Additional suppression

Additional suppression happens if only **one** Trust has a score suppressed. If this happens, we will suppress another Trust's results (both the Trust level and subgroup results for the question) based on the next lowest number of respondents for the score. We do this so that the national score cannot be used to work out the score for the individual Trust.

The same rule applies to groups in each subgroup breakdown. For example, if only **one** Trust has the 85+ age group suppressed for Q25 we will need to suppress another Trust's results for the 85+ age group on Q25. This suppression is based on the 85+ age group with the next lowest number of respondents for Q25.

Understanding the results

This report shows how this Trust scored for each question in the survey compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual Trusts to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

Expected range charts

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (within the grey bar) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this Trust.

Trusts whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the Trust performs better than what Trusts of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the Trust's size and demographics.

Comparability tables

The comparability tables show the 2021 and 2022 unadjusted scores for this Trust for each scored question. If there is a statistically significant change from 2021 an arrow will be presented for the direction of change.

The adjusted 2022 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

Sub-group breakdowns

Unadjusted scores are shown for tumour type, Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, IMD quintile, long-term condition status and ethnicity breakdowns. Unadjusted scores for the same sub-group across different Trusts may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

Tumour type tables

The tumour type tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

Age group tables

The age group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the eight age groups.

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

These tables show the unadjusted scores for the following groups male; female; non-binary; prefer to self-describe; and prefer not to say.

The ethnicity tables show the unadjusted scores for six ethnicity groups.

Long-term condition status tables

The long-term condition status tables show the unadjusted scores for two groups: those who indicate they have one or more long term conditions and those who indicate that they have no long-term conditions.

IMD quintile tables

The IMD quintile tables show the unadjusted scores for five quintiles based on relative disadvantage, with quintile 1 being the most deprived and quintile 5 being the least deprived.

Year on year charts

The year on year charts show two columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last two years (2021 and 2022) for each scored question.

Further information

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2012; certificate number GB08/74322). The 2022 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2022 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at www.ncpes.co.uk. For all other outputs at Trust level, please see the Excel tables and dashboards at www.ncpes.co.uk.

Response Rate

Overall Response Rate

440 patients responded out of a total of 770 patients, resulting in a response rate of 57%.

	Sample Size	Adjusted Sample	Completed	Response Rate
Overall response rate	819	770	440	57%
National	123,632	115,662	61,268	53%

Respondents by Survey Type


	Number of Respondents
Paper	363
Online	77
Phone	0
Translation Service	0
Total	440


Respondents by Tumour Group


	Number of Respondents
Brain / CNS	0
Breast	179
Colorectal / LGT	62
Gynaecological	36
Haematological	48
Head and Neck	13
Lung	32
Prostate	23
Sarcoma	*
Skin	0
Upper Gastro	15
Urological	*
Other	22
Total	440


Respondents by Ethnicity

	Number of Respondents
White	
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	395
Irish	*
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	*
Any other White background	11
Mixed / Multiple Ethnicity	'
White and Black Caribbean	*
White and Black African	*
White and Asian	*
Any other Mixed / multiple ethnic background	*
Asian or Asian British	
Indian	*
Pakistani	*
Bangladeshi	*
Chinese	*
Any other Asian background	*
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British	
African	*
Caribbean	*
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	*
Other Ethnicity	
Arab	*
Any other ethnic group	*
Not given	
Not given	19
Total	440









Comparability tables

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

available due to suppression or a low base size. ** No score available for 2021.	▲ or ▼	Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.	Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range
		Unadjusted Scores	 Case Mix Adjusted Scores

	Unadjusted Scores						Case Mix Adjusted Scores			
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score	
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	176	85%	230	86%		83%	72%	83%	78%	
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	223	68%	319	70%		67%	60%	71%	65%	

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M			
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	265	90%	344	92%		92%	89%	95%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	288	83%	365	82%		82%	80%	87%	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	289	84%	366	79%		79%	74%	83%	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	290	78%	366	78%		77%	74%	83%	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	289	93%	367	95%		94%	92%	97%	95%

	Unadjusted Scores						Case Mix Adjusted Scores			
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	304	51%	413	71%	A	70%	71%	81%	76%	
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	316	70%	437	74%		73%	69%	78%	74%	
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	316	76%	437	78%		78%	72%	80%	76%	
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	310	84%	436	86%		85%	82%	88%	85%	
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	281	83%	398	83%		82%	80%	87%	84%	

		Una	djusted So	Case M					
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	303	91%	420	89%		89%	88%	95%	91%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	250	89%	343	82%		81%	79%	88%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	266	97%	360	97%		97%	93%	98%	95%

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

** No score available for 2021.

▲ or ▼

Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges

Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

	Unadjusted Scores						Case Mix Adjusted Scores			
DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	292	81%	410	80%		79%	78%	86%	82%	
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	307	77%	434	77%		77%	75%	83%	79%	
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	243	68%	372	81%	A	81%	76%	84%	80%	
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	139	48%	196	49%		51%	45%	59%	52%	

	Unadjusted Scores						Case Mix Adjusted Scores			
CARE PLANNING	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	284	70%	397	66%		66%	67%	76%	71%	
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	152	93%	195	91%		91%	89%	97%	93%	
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	123	98%	153	99%		99%	97%	100%	99%	

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	d Scores		
SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	261	89%	366	90%		89%	87%	93%	90%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	309	73%	435	71%		72%	71%	80%	76%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	140	69%	191	65%		61%	58%	77%	67%

		Una	djusted So	cores	Case M				
HOSPITAL CARE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	144	79%	156	76%		76%	72%	85%	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	108	47%	120	66%		67%	57%	74%	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	137	69%	154	72%		72%	62%	77%	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	136	77%	154	73%		73%	65%	80%	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	130	66%	151	63%		63%	57%	72%	64%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	121	88%	131	84%		83%	78%	91%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	140	85%	156	85%		85%	83%	93%	88%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	133	89%	153	91%		90%	83%	93%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	262	74%	392	77%		77%	74%	83%	78%

Comparability tables

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range
Case Mix Adjusted Scores
_

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M			
YOUR TREATMENT	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	206	91%	250	90%		89%	86%	93%	89%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	161	80%	242	78%		79%	81%	90%	85%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	145	90%	185	86%		86%	84%	93%	88%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	79	77%	105	75%		78%	71%	87%	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	41	63%	68	79%		82%	75%	93%	84%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	206	81%	247	85%		85%	80%	89%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	158	69%	241	72%		73%	73%	84%	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	145	79%	184	77%		76%	75%	86%	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	77	70%	100	71%		73%	64%	81%	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	40	57%	66	76%		79%	70%	89%	80%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	306	75%	422	74%		75%	70%	86%	78%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	d Scores		
IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	297	76%	418	72%		73%	70%	78%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	287	73%	399	67%		68%	65%	74%	69%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	243	86%	330	84%		83%	82%	90%	86%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	293	57%	393	55%		57%	54%	64%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	250	51%	333	50%		51%	47%	59%	53%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME		Una	djusted So	cores	Case M				
	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	197	45%	271	52%		54%	52%	64%	58%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	122	52%	155	39%		39%	43%	59%	51%

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

▲ or **▼**

Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges
Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

**	No score	available	for 2021.
	IND SCOLE	avallable	101 2021.

		Una	djusted So	cores	Case M				
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	189	45%	266	45%		44%	38%	52%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	300	14%	417	21%		22%	17%	25%	21%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M			
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	81	25%	107	27%		28%	22%	40%	31%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	168	76%	224	75%		75%	73%	84%	78%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	252	59%	331	55%		59%	57%	68%	62%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	d Scores		
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	307	90%	413	89%		89%	87%	93%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	312	85%	430	82%		82%	82%	91%	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	191	30%	246	37%		40%	32%	54%	43%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	299	8.8	422	8.9		8.9	8.7	9.1	8.9

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE						Tumour Type								
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	96%	86%	88%	59%	*	85%	92%	*	*	*	*	77%	86%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	78%	85%	52%	73%	30%	56%	74%	*	*	67%	*	60%	70%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	Tumour Type													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	91%	94%	93%	90%	*	100%	90%	*	*	85%	*	94%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	86%	83%	90%	77%	55%	75%	90%	*	*	62%	*	78%	82%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	79%	89%	83%	74%	55%	75%	86%	*	*	46%	*	83%	79%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	80%	88%	86%	70%	73%	68%	81%	*	*	38%	*	82%	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	96%	91%	100%	98%	91%	93%	100%	*	*	85%	*	83%	95%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	69%	93%	72%	66%	33%	76%	73%	*	*	87%	*	64%	71%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	76%	79%	77%	77%	54%	69%	83%	*	*	53%	*	68%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	82%	89%	74%	71%	77%	69%	83%	*	*	60%	*	73%	78%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	88%	85%	86%	87%	85%	78%	91%	*	*	73%	*	82%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	88%	88%	88%	84%	77%	74%	73%	*	*	60%	*	80%	83%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N						Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	82%	95%	97%	91%	92%	97%	91%	*	*	93%	*	90%	89%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	81%	88%	83%	74%	67%	86%	85%	*	*	64%	*	89%	82%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	97%	96%	97%	98%	92%	100%	95%	*	*	82%	*	100%	97%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	81%	92%	88%	78%	67%	70%	70%	*	*	46%	*	80%	80%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	80%	79%	81%	72%	69%	68%	83%	*	*	53%	*	81%	77%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	79%	91%	87%	73%	83%	80%	95%	*	*	77%	*	78%	81%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	53%	57%	50%	35%	*	47%	36%	*	*	30%	*	57%	49%

CARE PLANNING							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	70%	68%	67%	64%	69%	71%	67%	*	*	29%	*	63%	66%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	90%	93%	93%	94%	*	100%	80%	*	*	*	*	82%	91%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	98%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	*	*	*	*	*	*	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	91%	96%	87%	75%	*	90%	95%	*	*	87%	*	89%	90%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	69%	74%	74%	69%	54%	84%	87%	*	*	47%	*	80%	71%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	69%	53%	50%	61%	*	68%	80%	*	*	*	*	*	65%

HOSPITAL CARE							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	67%	80%	93%	57%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	76%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	56%	71%	68%	60%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	71%	77%	73%	64%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	72%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	67%	80%	77%	69%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	52%	70%	67%	69%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	63%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	78%	84%	91%	83%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	81%	88%	87%	86%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	85%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	86%	95%	97%	86%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	91%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	74%	88%	76%	70%	92%	81%	85%	*	*	64%	*	67%	77%

YOUR TREATMENT							Tumo	ur Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	88%	95%	90%	*	70%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	76%	82%	93%	72%	*	84%	*	*	*	60%	*	90%	78%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	83%	*	100%	*	82%	100%	79%	*	*	*	*	*	86%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	78%	*	*	*	*	*	92%	*	*	*	*	*	75%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	77%	*	*	*	*	89%	*	*	*	*	*	*	79%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	84%	95%	83%	*	70%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	72%	85%	64%	68%	*	73%	*	*	*	47%	*	80%	72%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	77%	*	80%	*	64%	83%	69%	*	*	*	*	*	77%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	72%	*	*	*	*	*	77%	*	*	*	*	*	71%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	85%	*	*	*	*	72%	*	*	*	*	*	*	76%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	68%	80%	88%	79%	77%	66%	78%	*	*	73%	*	90%	74%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	TS						Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	77%	68%	74%	74%	62%	69%	68%	*	*	40%	*	71%	72%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	65%	70%	73%	67%	67%	78%	71%	*	*	40%	*	62%	67%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	85%	83%	92%	84%	*	81%	88%	*	*	69%	*	76%	84%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	56%	59%	53%	53%	54%	45%	74%	*	*	31%	*	60%	55%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	49%	59%	54%	44%	42%	48%	81%	*	*	21%	*	42%	50%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME							Tumo	ur Ty _l	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	50%	58%	67%	56%	27%	59%	*	*	*	*	*	43%	52%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	35%	48%	45%	55%	*	47%	*	*	*	*	*	33%	39%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	ur Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	49%	45%	37%	46%	*	48%	60%	*	*	45%	*	27%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	20%	21%	26%	20%	15%	30%	26%	*	*	21%	*	20%	21%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	26%	27%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	74%	82%	80%	70%	*	64%	73%	*	*	*	*	*	75%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	56%	64%	48%	50%	27%	42%	67%	*	*	58%	*	69%	55%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	91%	91%	91%	87%	75%	73%	95%	*	*	77%	*	90%	89%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	84%	82%	89%	77%	69%	84%	78%	*	*	53%	*	90%	82%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	37%	23%	33%	41%	*	39%	70%	*	*	42%	*	27%	37%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	9.0	9.1	9.1	8.5	8.8	8.8	9.0	*	*	7.9	*	9.0	8.9

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Age									
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All	
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	*	*	75%	92%	86%	87%	*	86%	
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	68%	74%	72%	67%	53%	70%	

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				Age						
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All	
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	*	*	94%	91%	94%	91%	76%	92%	
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	*	*	83%	81%	84%	81%	79%	82%	
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	*	*	72%	74%	80%	87%	89%	79%	
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	69%	78%	76%	85%	83%	78%	
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	*	*	93%	96%	94%	95%	100%	95%	

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	*	*	60%	67%	71%	75%	92%	71%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	*	*	58%	73%	76%	82%	75%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	68%	78%	82%	78%	78%	78%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	*	*	81%	83%	86%	87%	91%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	*	*	92%	86%	82%	77%	81%	83%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	*	*	93%	81%	92%	92%	84%	89%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	*	*	82%	82%	81%	83%	80%	82%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	*	*	96%	95%	97%	97%	100%	97%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	67%	78%	79%	88%	79%	80%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	*	*	68%	72%	81%	83%	67%	77%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	*	*	72%	77%	77%	93%	86%	81%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	*	*	40%	45%	50%	61%	42%	49%

CARE PLANNING				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	*	*	58%	65%	68%	73%	56%	66%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	*	*	82%	91%	89%	100%	*	91%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	*	*	100%	100%	98%	100%	*	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Age										
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All		
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	*	*	89%	91%	88%	93%	89%	90%		
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	*	*	58%	69%	74%	80%	65%	71%		
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	*	*	71%	60%	70%	63%	*	65%		

HOSPITAL CARE				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	*	*	59%	80%	81%	78%	*	76%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	*	*	63%	67%	71%	64%	*	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	*	*	71%	60%	74%	82%	*	72%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	*	*	59%	70%	72%	83%	*	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	*	*	56%	58%	69%	60%	*	63%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	*	*	73%	86%	92%	80%	*	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	*	*	100%	84%	82%	90%	*	85%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	*	*	81%	88%	95%	93%	*	91%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	*	*	72%	74%	74%	84%	73%	77%

YOUR TREATMENT				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	*	*	85%	84%	91%	96%	91%	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	*	*	74%	77%	82%	71%	*	78%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	*	*	88%	75%	93%	90%	*	86%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	*	70%	65%	81%	76%	*	75%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	93%	75%	72%	75%	*	79%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	*	*	74%	89%	90%	92%	60%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	*	*	68%	77%	68%	76%	*	72%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	*	*	82%	71%	80%	81%	*	77%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	*	*	63%	65%	73%	82%	*	71%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	*	*	100%	73%	63%	67%	*	76%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	*	*	68%	66%	77%	82%	89%	74%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	ΓS			Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	*	*	72%	75%	70%	71%	61%	72%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	*	63%	67%	69%	72%	53%	67%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	*	89%	86%	83%	81%	67%	84%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	*	*	51%	54%	60%	53%	50%	55%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	*	*	42%	44%	52%	53%	50%	50%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Age									
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All	
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	*	*	38%	49%	57%	63%	47%	52%	
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	*	28%	39%	39%	47%	36%	39%	

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Age											
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All			
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	*	*	44%	43%	51%	38%	25%	45%			
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	*	*	25%	21%	27%	15%	10%	21%			

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	*	42%	18%	31%	20%	*	27%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	*	*	75%	67%	84%	76%	67%	75%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	*	*	55%	54%	57%	61%	29%	55%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE		Age							
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	*	*	86%	92%	87%	91%	89%	89%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	*	*	86%	83%	79%	83%	85%	82%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	*	*	33%	40%	40%	38%	*	37%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	*	*	8.8	9.0	8.8	9.1	8.8	8.9

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	87%	82%	*	*	*	*	86%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	73%	64%	*	*	*	*	70%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	91%	93%	*	*	*	*	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	83%	81%	*	*	*	82%	82%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	80%	77%	*	*	*	73%	79%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	80%	73%	*	*	*	80%	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	94%	95%	*	*	*	100%	95%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	70%	73%	*	*	*	85%	71%		
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	74%	74%	*	*	*	93%	74%		
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	78%	*	*	*	85%	78%		
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	85%	86%	*	*	*	93%	86%		
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	87%	77%	*	*	*	75%	83%		

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Male/Fema	ile/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	88%	93%	*	*	*	92%	89%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	83%	79%	*	*	*	70%	82%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	97%	*	*	*	100%	97%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	81%	74%	*	*	*	91%	80%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	78%	76%	*	*	*	54%	77%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	80%	83%	*	*	*	100%	81%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	51%	48%	*	*	*	*	49%

CARE PLANNING		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other							
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	66%	68%	*	*	*	60%	66%		
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	90%	92%	*	*	*	*	91%		
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	99%	100%	*	*	*	*	99%		

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	89%	91%	*	*	*	82%	90%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	70%	74%	*	*	*	69%	71%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	63%	71%	*	*	*	*	65%

HOSPITAL CARE				Male/Fema	ile/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	76%	76%	*	*	*	*	76%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	64%	68%	*	*	*	*	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	72%	73%	*	*	*	*	72%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	70%	78%	*	*	*	*	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	60%	72%	*	*	*	*	63%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	80%	92%	*	*	*	*	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	85%	83%	*	*	*	*	85%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	92%	89%	*	*	*	*	91%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	74%	83%	*	*	*	67%	77%

YOUR TREATMENT				Male/Fema	ile/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	90%	84%	*	*	*	*	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	77%	79%	*	*	*	*	78%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	86%	87%	*	*	*	*	86%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	76%	73%	*	*	*	*	75%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	73%	88%	*	*	*	*	79%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	86%	81%	*	*	*	*	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with chemotherapy	70%	75%	*	*	*	*	72%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	78%	76%	*	*	*	*	77%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	71%	64%	*	*	*	*	71%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	73%	79%	*	*	*	*	76%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	73%	78%	*	*	*	71%	74%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	TS			Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	74%	71%	*	*	*	58%	72%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	65%	73%	*	*	*	82%	67%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	86%	78%	*	*	*	*	84%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	53%	63%	*	*	*	40%	55%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	46%	59%	*	*	*	*	50%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	52%	54%	*	*	*	*	52%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	39%	39%	*	*	*	*	39%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	45%	44%	*	*	*	40%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	22%	18%	*	*	*	31%	21%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	28%	20%	*	*	*	*	27%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	74%	75%	*	*	*	*	75%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	53%	61%	*	*	*	*	55%

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE				Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	90%	86%	*	*	*	93%	89%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	82%	81%	*	*	*	86%	82%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	34%	44%	*	*	*	*	37%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.9	8.9	*	*	*	9.2	8.9

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	86%	*	*	*	*	*	86%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	69%	*	*	*	*	64%	70%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	92%	*	*	*	*	92%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	82%	*	*	*	*	75%	82%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	79%	*	*	*	*	75%	79%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	*	*	*	*	80%	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	94%	*	*	*	*	100%	95%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	72%	*	*	*	*	71%	71%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	74%	*	*	*	*	84%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	*	*	*	*	72%	78%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	85%	*	*	*	*	89%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	83%	*	*	*	*	76%	83%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Ethr	icity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	89%	*	*	*	*	88%	89%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	83%	*	*	*	*	71%	82%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	97%	*	*	*	*	100%	97%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT			Ethnicity				
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	*	*	*	*	75%	80%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	77%	*	*	*	*	67%	77%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	80%	*	*	*	*	100%	81%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	47%	*	*	*	*	40%	49%

CARE PLANNING				Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	67%	*	*	*	*	53%	66%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	91%	*	*	*	*	*	91%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	99%	*	*	*	*	*	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF				Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	90%	*	*	*	*	75%	90%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	71%	*	*	*	*	72%	71%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	66%	*	*	*	*	*	65%

HOSPITAL CARE				Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	75%	*	*	*	*	*	76%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	65%	*	*	*	*	*	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	72%	*	*	*	*	*	72%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	71%	*	*	*	*	*	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	63%	*	*	*	*	*	63%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	83%	*	*	*	*	*	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	84%	*	*	*	*	*	85%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	90%	*	*	*	*	*	91%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	76%	*	*	*	*	73%	77%

YOUR TREATMENT				Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	89%	*	*	*	*	*	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	78%	*	*	*	*	75%	78%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	86%	*	*	*	*	*	86%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	74%	*	*	*	*	*	75%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	78%	*	*	*	*	*	79%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	85%	*	*	*	*	*	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	71%	*	*	*	*	83%	72%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	76%	*	*	*	*	*	77%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	71%	*	*	*	*	*	71%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	74%	*	*	*	*	*	76%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	74%	*	*	*	*	78%	74%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	S			Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	72%	*	*	*	*	65%	72%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	67%	*	*	*	*	73%	67%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	84%	*	*	*	*	71%	84%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	55%	*	*	*	*	43%	55%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	49%	*	*	*	*	30%	50%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Ethnicity						
	White Mixed Asian Black Other Not given					Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	54%	*	*	*	*	20%	52%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	40%	*	*	*	*	*	39%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Ethr	nicity		
	White Mixed Asian Black Other Not given					Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	45%	*	*	*	*	45%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	20%	*	*	*	*	28%	21%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER			Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All				
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	26%	*	*	*	*	*	27%				
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	74%	*	*	*	*	*	75%				
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	56%	*	*	*	*	38%	55%				

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE			Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	89%	*	*	*	*	89%	89%		
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	82%	*	*	*	*	84%	82%		
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	36%	*	*	*	*	50%	37%		
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.9	*	*	*	*	8.9	8.9		

IMD quintile tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	74%	83%	87%	89%	*	86%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	61%	64%	77%	71%	*	70%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				IMD Quint	ile		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	91%	95%	93%	90%	*	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	82%	88%	81%	79%	*	82%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	68%	79%	80%	81%	*	79%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	80%	76%	79%	79%	77%	*	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	90%	100%	96%	94%	93%	*	95%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER		IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	100%	59%	72%	74%	70%	*	71%	
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	75%	68%	80%	77%	71%	*	74%	
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	83%	73%	81%	78%	78%	*	78%	
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	92%	80%	90%	82%	87%	*	86%	
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	83%	73%	84%	87%	83%	*	83%	

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	100%	87%	88%	90%	89%	*	89%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	90%	76%	79%	87%	80%	*	82%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	100%	94%	99%	97%	95%	*	97%

IMD quintile tables

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	90%	77%	82%	76%	81%	*	80%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	92%	67%	77%	77%	79%	*	77%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	83%	82%	80%	86%	77%	*	81%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	31%	54%	45%	53%	*	49%

CARE PLANNING				IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived) 2 3 4 5 (least deprived) Eng						All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	83%	52%	65%	65%	71%	*	66%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	100%	94%	97%	89%	87%	*	91%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	*	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF			IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All		
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	93%	93%	87%	90%	*	90%		
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	75%	69%	72%	71%	71%	*	71%		
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	63%	60%	61%	73%	*	65%		

HOSPITAL CARE	IMD Quint						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	60%	87%	74%	76%	*	76%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	72%	58%	82%	55%	*	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	55%	70%	80%	72%	*	72%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	60%	77%	79%	72%	*	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	47%	73%	70%	58%	*	63%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	69%	92%	91%	81%	*	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	80%	87%	87%	85%	*	85%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	84%	90%	98%	89%	*	91%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	90%	80%	79%	72%	77%	*	77%

IMD quintile tables

YOUR TREATMENT		IMD Quint	IMD Quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	84%	85%	95%	89%	*	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	74%	74%	81%	77%	*	78%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	88%	74%	96%	82%	*	86%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	64%	85%	74%	*	75%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	100%	77%	76%	*	79%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	83%	85%	84%	88%	*	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	74%	80%	69%	70%	*	72%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	65%	62%	86%	81%	*	77%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	*	55%	87%	66%	*	71%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	*	*	73%	75%	*	76%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	64%	71%	71%	75%	77%	*	74%

MEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS			IMD Quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	83%	59%	73%	74%	73%	*	72%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	83%	57%	72%	66%	68%	*	67%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	69%	77%	86%	88%	*	84%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	58%	50%	53%	54%	58%	*	55%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	40%	50%	48%	52%	49%	*	50%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	46%	54%	56%	48%	*	52%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	27%	38%	48%	33%	*	39%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE		IMD Quintile					
	1 (most deprived) 2 3 4 5 (let deprived)					Non- England	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	41%	48%	50%	41%	*	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	17%	21%	25%	19%	22%	*	21%

IMD quintile tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER				IMD Quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	18%	24%	30%	32%	*	27%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	90%	60%	76%	75%	75%	*	75%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	60%	52%	51%	55%	58%	*	55%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE				IMD Quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	83%	72%	98%	89%	88%	*	89%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	92%	74%	82%	82%	84%	*	82%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	26%	40%	45%	28%	*	37%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	9.3	8.6	9.0	9.0	8.8	*	8.9

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long term condition status				
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	87%	84%	91%	86%	
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	68%	72%	73%	70%	

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	91%	92%	100%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	81%	84%	86%	82%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	80%	77%	79%	79%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	78%	92%	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	95%	93%	100%	95%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	74%	66%	83%	71%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	75%	72%	90%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	81%	79%	78%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	86%	85%	85%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	84%	83%	78%	83%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSOI	Long term condition status				
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	89%	89%	100%	89%	
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	81%	83%	78%	82%	
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	97%	100%	97%	

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	80%	94%	80%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	78%	77%	70%	77%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	83%	78%	89%	81%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	49%	49%	*	49%

CARE PLANNING	Long term condition status					
	Yes	No	Not given	All		
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	65%	70%	63%	66%		
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	91%	89%	*	91%		
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	100%	99%	*	99%		

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Long term condition status				
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	89%	91%	84%	90%	
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	68%	76%	70%	71%	
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	60%	74%	*	65%	

HOSPITAL CARE	Long term condition status				
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	73%	81%	*	76%	
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	62%	71%	*	66%	
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	69%	77%	*	72%	
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	69%	78%	*	73%	
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	60%	68%	*	63%	
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	85%	81%	*	84%	
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	79%	93%	*	85%	
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	89%	93%	*	91%	
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and lears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	77%	77%	63%	77%	

YOUR TREATMENT Long term condition status						
	Yes	No	Not given	All		
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	88%	91%	100%	90%		
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	74%	82%	85%	78%		
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	88%	85%	*	86%		
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	72%	79%	*	75%		
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	74%	90%	*	79%		
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	83%	88%	91%	85%		
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	71%	72%	85%	72%		
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	75%	80%	*	77%		
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	68%	73%	*	71%		
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	73%	80%	*	76%		
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	74%	74%	81%	74%		


IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	72%	74%	56%	72%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	68%	66%	76%	67%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	82%	85%	86%	84%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	53%	59%	50%	55%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	46%	54%	50%	50%


SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	54%	51%	40%	52%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	31%	50%	*	39%


CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	40%	52%	43%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	25%	15%	30%	21%


VING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER Long term condition status				
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	21%	40%	*	27%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	71%	77%	100%	75%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	52%	61%	46%	55%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	91%	85%	95%	89%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	82%	81%	86%	82%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	39%	33%	*	37%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.8	9.0	9.3	8.9





































































































































































































Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2022 Maidstone and Tunbridge Wells NHS Trust

