

Cancer Patient Experience Survey

2022 Results

Medway NHS Foundation Trust

Published July 2023

Executive Summary

Medway NHS Foundation Trust has no scores above expected range

Questions Below Expected Range

	Case	Mix Adjusted S	Scores	
	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	56%	60%	71%	65%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	91%	92%	97%	95%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	69%	69%	78%	74%
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	86%	88%	95%	91%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	75%	76%	83%	79%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	44%	45%	59%	52%
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	65%	67%	76%	71%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	89%	90%	96%	93%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	96%	97%	100%	99%
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	85%	87%	93%	90%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	68%	72%	79%	76%
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	72%	73%	85%	79%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	60%	63%	76%	70%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	80%	83%	92%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	68%	74%	82%	78%
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	85%	85%	93%	89%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	70%	73%	84%	79%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	62%	65%	74%	69%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	81%	83%	90%	86%
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	51%	52%	64%	58%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	69%	73%	84%	78%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	57%	57%	67%	62%
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	86%	87%	92%	90%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.7	8.7	9.1	8.9
			1	

Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2022 is the 12th iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2022 survey involved 133 NHS Trusts. Out of 115,662 people, 61,268 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 53%.

Methodology

Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2022. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between November 2022 and February 2023.

As in the previous seven years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allow us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a Trust is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, ethnicity, deprivation, and cancer type.

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the Trust. Casemix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

Scoring methodology

Sixty-one questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q59), the score shows the percentage of respondents who gave the most favourable response to a question. For Q59, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

Please note that following a review of the scoring methodology, a change was made to the scoring of Q12 such that the response option "No, I was told by letter or email" is no longer considered neutral.

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2022 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by chance.

Suppression

Data is suppressed for two reasons: to ensure unreliable results based on very small numbers of respondents are not released, and to prevent individuals being identifiable in the data.

In cases where a result is based on fewer than 10 responses, the result has been suppressed. For example, where fewer than 10 people answered a question from a particular Trust, the results are not shown for that question for that Trust.

For Trusts with an eligible population of 1,000 or fewer, data relating to the respondent and their condition has been suppressed where 5 people or fewer were in a particular category. In instances where only one has been suppressed, the next lowest category has been suppressed to prevent back calculation from the total number of responses.

Additional suppression

Additional suppression happens if only **one** Trust has a score suppressed. If this happens, we will suppress another Trust's results (both the Trust level and subgroup results for the question) based on the next lowest number of respondents for the score. We do this so that the national score cannot be used to work out the score for the individual Trust.

The same rule applies to groups in each subgroup breakdown. For example, if only **one** Trust has the 85+ age group suppressed for Q25 we will need to suppress another Trust's results for the 85+ age group on Q25. This suppression is based on the 85+ age group with the next lowest number of respondents for Q25.

Understanding the results

This report shows how this Trust scored for each question in the survey compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual Trusts to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

Expected range charts

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (within the grey bar) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this Trust.

Trusts whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the Trust performs better than what Trusts of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the Trust's size and demographics.

Comparability tables

The comparability tables show the 2021 and 2022 unadjusted scores for this Trust for each scored question. If there is a statistically significant change from 2021 an arrow will be presented for the direction of change.

The adjusted 2022 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

Sub-group breakdowns

Unadjusted scores are shown for tumour type, Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, IMD quintile, long-term condition status and ethnicity breakdowns. Unadjusted scores for the same sub-group across different Trusts may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

Tumour type tables

The tumour type tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

Age group tables

The age group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the eight age groups.

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

These tables show the unadjusted scores for the following groups male; female; non-binary; prefer to self-describe; and prefer not to say.

The ethnicity tables show the unadjusted scores for six ethnicity groups.

Long-term condition status tables

The long-term condition status tables show the unadjusted scores for two groups: those who indicate they have one or more long term conditions and those who indicate that they have no long-term conditions.

IMD quintile tables

The IMD quintile tables show the unadjusted scores for five quintiles based on relative disadvantage, with quintile 1 being the most deprived and quintile 5 being the least deprived.

Year on year charts

The year on year charts show two columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last two years (2021 and 2022) for each scored question.

Further information

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2012; certificate number GB08/74322). The 2022 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2022 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at www.ncpes.co.uk. For all other outputs at Trust level, please see the Excel tables and dashboards at www.ncpes.co.uk.

Response Rate

Overall Response Rate

464 patients responded out of a total of 980 patients, resulting in a response rate of 47%.

	Sample Size	Adjusted Sample	Completed	Response Rate
Overall response rate	1,029	980	464	47%
National	123,632	115,662	61,268	53%

Respondents by Survey Type


	Number of Respondents
Paper	373
Online	90
Phone	1
Translation Service	0
Total	464


Respondents by Tumour Group


	Number of Respondents
Brain / CNS	0
Breast	136
Colorectal / LGT	59
Gynaecological	*
Haematological	57
Head and Neck	9
Lung	19
Prostate	52
Sarcoma	0
Skin	0
Upper Gastro	*
Urological	67
Other	55
Total	464


Respondents by Ethnicity

	Number of Respondents
White	
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	412
Irish	*
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	*
Any other White background	*
Mixed / Multiple Ethnicity	
White and Black Caribbean	*
White and Black African	*
White and Asian	*
Any other Mixed / multiple ethnic background	*
Asian or Asian British	
Indian	6
Pakistani	*
Bangladeshi	*
Chinese	*
Any other Asian background	6
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British	
African	*
Caribbean	*
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	*
Other Ethnicity	
Arab	*
Any other ethnic group	*
Not given	
Not given	25
Total	464









Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

▲ or ▼

Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges

Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

** No score available for 2021.

	Unadjusted Scores Case Mix Adjusted Scores								
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	197	74%	193	75%		75%	72%	84%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	269	64%	270	57%		56%	60%	71%	65%

	Unadjusted Scores						Case Mix Adjusted Scores			
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score	
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	332	91%	359	91%		91%	89%	95%	92%	
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	352	81%	378	81%		81%	80%	87%	83%	
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	353	84%	383	80%		80%	74%	83%	78%	
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	358	74%	382	77%		77%	74%	83%	78%	
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	359	92%	384	92%		91%	92%	97%	95%	

	Unadjusted Scores						Case Mix Adjusted Scores			
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	420	64%	435	73%	A	73%	71%	81%	76%	
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	436	71%	459	70%		69%	69%	78%	74%	
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	440	76%	459	76%		75%	72%	80%	76%	
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	438	83%	464	82%		82%	82%	88%	85%	
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	383	79%	403	81%		81%	80%	87%	84%	

	Unadjusted Scores						Case Mix Adjusted Scores			
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score	
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	418	89%	437	86%		86%	88%	95%	91%	
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	340	80%	325	82%		82%	79%	89%	84%	
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	349	95%	352	95%		95%	93%	98%	95%	

Comparability tables

Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

▲ or **▼**

Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

**	Nο	score	available	for	2021

	Unadjusted Scores						Case Mix Adjusted Scores			
DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	397	80%	427	81%		81%	79%	86%	82%	
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	428	77%	457	75%		75%	76%	83%	79%	
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	355	70%	375	77%		77%	76%	84%	80%	
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	193	44%	228	44%		44%	45%	59%	52%	

	Unadjusted Scores						Case Mix Adjusted Scores			
CARE PLANNING	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	384	70%	412	65%		65%	67%	76%	71%	
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	211	91%	213	89%		89%	90%	96%	93%	
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	155	95%	160	97%		96%	97%	100%	99%	

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	ix Adjuste	d Scores	
SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	357	90%	364	85%		85%	87%	93%	90%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	431	68%	460	68%		68%	72%	79%	76%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	252	74%	276	66%		67%	59%	76%	67%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	ix Adjusted	d Scores	
HOSPITAL CARE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	198	79%	195	73%		72%	73%	85%	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	156	51%	157	60%		61%	58%	73%	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	193	70%	195	61%		60%	63%	76%	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	193	75%	193	68%		67%	66%	80%	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	191	66%	189	59%		59%	57%	71%	64%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	176	90%	173	80%		79%	79%	90%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	197	90%	195	81%		80%	83%	92%	88%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	193	89%	190	87%		87%	83%	93%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	381	70%	405	68%		68%	74%	82%	78%

Comparability tables

Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

**	No	ccoro	available	for 2021
	INO	SCOLE	avallable	101 /0/ 1.

		Una	djusted S	cores		Case M	lix Adjuste	d Scores	
YOUR TREATMENT	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	Nationa Score
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	219	89%	237	85%		85%	85%	93%	89%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	228	83%	227	83%		82%	81%	90%	85%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	106	88%	119	84%		83%	83%	94%	88%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	77	79%	92	78%		77%	70%	87%	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	52	88%	58	74%		76%	75%	93%	84%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	217	82%	233	81%		81%	80%	89%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	228	73%	221	71%		70%	73%	84%	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	104	80%	119	77%		76%	74%	88%	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	76	74%	92	73%		71%	63%	82%	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	53	75%	57	74%		75%	69%	90%	80%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	425	66%	449	71%		71%	70%	86%	78%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	ix Adjuste	d Scores	
IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	413	75%	443	72%		71%	70%	78%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	388	63%	423	62%		62%	65%	74%	69%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	297	84%	342	81%		81%	83%	90%	86%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	393	57%	409	56%		55%	54%	64%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	334	50%	344	50%		49%	47%	59%	53%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M			
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	293	53%	301	51%		51%	52%	64%	58%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	186	52%	183	45%		46%	44%	59%	51%

Comparability tables

 Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

▲ or ▼

Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

** No score available for 2021.

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M			
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	222	36%	239	37%		38%	37%	52%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	425	17%	443	18%		18%	17%	24%	21%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	d Scores		
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	96	24%	104	27%		28%	22%	40%	31%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	175	74%	206	69%		69%	73%	84%	78%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	350	56%	366	57%		57%	57%	67%	62%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	d Scores		
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	415	88%	431	86%		86%	87%	92%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	437	85%	458	84%		84%	83%	91%	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	245	44%	275	33%		34%	33%	53%	43%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	427	8.7	443	8.7		8.7	8.7	9.1	8.9

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	our Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	92%	83%	*	43%	*	*	87%	*	*	*	72%	50%	75%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	68%	54%	*	55%	*	42%	65%	*	*	*	51%	44%	57%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS							Tumo	ur Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	89%	98%	*	98%	*	100%	87%	*	*	*	91%	86%	91%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	80%	88%	*	84%	*	71%	90%	*	*	*	83%	70%	81%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	74%	81%	*	82%	*	94%	88%	*	*	*	79%	76%	80%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	75%	79%	*	82%	*	89%	85%	*	*	*	72%	65%	77%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	87%	94%	*	96%	*	100%	98%	*	*	*	93%	89%	92%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	68%	85%	*	75%	*	78%	67%	*	*	*	67%	81%	73%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	66%	73%	*	79%	*	72%	63%	*	*	*	70%	69%	70%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	74%	85%	*	71%	*	84%	80%	*	*	*	77%	63%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	81%	81%	*	82%	*	95%	88%	*	*	*	81%	78%	82%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	88%	72%	*	86%	*	85%	85%	*	*	*	75%	76%	81%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N						Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	85%	89%	*	93%	*	89%	87%	*	*	*	76%	85%	86%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	72%	81%	*	89%	*	88%	85%	*	*	*	83%	86%	82%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	91%	96%	*	100%	*	100%	97%	*	*	*	95%	93%	95%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	82%	89%	*	81%	*	84%	82%	*	*	*	70%	79%	81%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	71%	78%	*	76%	*	74%	78%	*	*	*	72%	77%	75%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	72%	80%	*	82%	*	83%	79%	*	*	*	71%	78%	77%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	34%	44%	*	58%	*	60%	43%	*	*	*	32%	45%	44%

CARE PLANNING							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	67%	62%	*	71%	*	76%	71%	*	*	*	55%	54%	65%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	84%	85%	*	90%	*	93%	93%	*	*	*	88%	100%	89%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	97%	100%	*	100%	*	100%	100%	*	*	*	94%	88%	97%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF							Tumo	ur Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	81%	93%	*	88%	*	100%	83%	*	*	*	76%	90%	85%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	58%	75%	*	84%	*	84%	73%	*	*	*	63%	59%	68%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	71%	81%	*	69%	*	94%	43%	*	*	*	33%	68%	66%

HOSPITAL CARE							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	84%	73%	*	91%	*	*	53%	*	*	*	67%	60%	73%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	62%	55%	*	72%	*	*	41%	*	*	*	55%	62%	60%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	64%	50%	*	74%	*	*	53%	*	*	*	56%	60%	61%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	70%	56%	*	75%	*	*	68%	*	*	*	63%	73%	68%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	61%	51%	*	83%	*	*	47%	*	*	*	52%	60%	59%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	76%	83%	*	93%	*	*	83%	*	*	*	73%	77%	80%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	87%	78%	*	96%	*	*	68%	*	*	*	78%	67%	81%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	95%	87%	*	83%	*	*	89%	*	*	*	79%	80%	87%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	66%	72%	*	80%	*	73%	62%	*	*	*	57%	69%	68%

YOUR TREATMENT							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	86%	80%	*	*	*	*	92%	*	*	*	79%	82%	85%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	70%	88%	*	91%	*	88%	91%	*	*	*	76%	82%	83%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	78%	86%	*	*	*	*	93%	*	*	*	*	77%	84%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	62%	*	*	*	*	*	96%	*	*	*	*	92%	78%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	61%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	75%	*	74%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	84%	83%	*	*	*	*	88%	*	*	*	67%	82%	81%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	64%	64%	*	80%	*	81%	83%	*	*	*	41%	81%	71%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	71%	85%	*	*	*	*	87%	*	*	*	*	79%	77%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	59%	*	*	*	*	*	89%	*	*	*	*	79%	73%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	72%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	69%	*	74%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	65%	69%	*	73%	*	83%	76%	*	*	*	79%	59%	71%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS						Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	65%	81%	*	65%	*	79%	77%	*	*	*	72%	75%	72%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	52%	76%	*	67%	*	83%	59%	*	*	*	61%	65%	62%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	75%	85%	*	85%	*	100%	92%	*	*	*	74%	80%	81%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	49%	56%	*	58%	*	47%	60%	*	*	*	56%	61%	56%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	42%	54%	*	48%	*	64%	64%	*	*	*	41%	52%	50%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	32%	60%	*	57%	*	69%	63%	*	*	*	52%	57%	51%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	43%	44%	*	50%	*	*	60%	*	*	*	36%	38%	45%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	43%	26%	*	38%	*	33%	44%	*	*	*	37%	29%	37%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	20%	16%	*	19%	*	24%	16%	*	*	*	17%	22%	18%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	20%	39%	*	50%	*	*	25%	*	*	*	15%	25%	27%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	64%	75%	*	85%	*	*	67%	*	*	*	70%	65%	69%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	48%	47%	*	75%	*	71%	63%	*	*	*	60%	51%	57%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	84%	88%	*	91%	*	100%	90%	*	*	*	81%	82%	86%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	84%	81%	*	93%	*	89%	90%	*	*	*	78%	80%	84%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	26%	10%	*	58%	*	18%	54%	*	*	*	16%	42%	33%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	8.5	8.8	*	9.1	*	9.3	9.0	*	*	*	8.2	8.6	8.7

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	*	*	46%	70%	76%	90%	*	75%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	71%	59%	53%	60%	40%	57%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				Age						
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All	
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	*	*	81%	89%	93%	96%	79%	91%	
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	*	*	79%	84%	81%	80%	93%	81%	
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	*	*	61%	73%	80%	91%	100%	80%	
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	57%	79%	77%	78%	93%	77%	
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	*	*	93%	90%	92%	94%	93%	92%	

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	*	*	62%	63%	75%	79%	80%	73%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	*	*	57%	69%	69%	74%	81%	70%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	63%	78%	76%	79%	88%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	*	*	73%	83%	79%	89%	88%	82%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	*	*	88%	84%	81%	75%	85%	81%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Age						
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All	
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	*	*	87%	86%	88%	83%	75%	86%	
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	*	*	52%	73%	88%	88%	83%	82%	
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	*	*	87%	92%	97%	96%	100%	95%	

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				Age						
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	57%	85%	86%	76%	85%	81%	
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	*	*	60%	77%	77%	74%	93%	75%	
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	*	*	55%	72%	81%	78%	93%	77%	
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	*	*	20%	45%	48%	39%	*	44%	

CARE PLANNING				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	*	*	48%	71%	70%	57%	77%	65%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	*	*	70%	86%	91%	90%	*	89%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	*	*	*	97%	97%	95%	*	97%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Age										
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All		
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	*	*	77%	83%	90%	81%	82%	85%		
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	*	*	43%	63%	74%	68%	81%	68%		
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	*	*	55%	77%	65%	55%	*	66%		

HOSPITAL CARE	Age									
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All	
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	*	*	73%	71%	69%	83%	*	73%	
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	*	*	*	64%	57%	67%	*	60%	
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	*	*	55%	60%	65%	57%	*	61%	
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	*	*	64%	69%	65%	73%	*	68%	
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	*	*	64%	60%	56%	61%	*	59%	
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	*	*	64%	78%	83%	84%	*	80%	
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	*	*	82%	77%	81%	88%	*	81%	
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	*	*	90%	87%	87%	87%	*	87%	
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	*	*	57%	65%	73%	67%	86%	68%	

YOUR TREATMENT				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	*	*	60%	90%	84%	89%	*	85%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	*	*	73%	85%	83%	83%	*	83%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	*	*	73%	88%	85%	89%	*	84%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	*	*	73%	86%	80%	*	78%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	71%	77%	75%	*	74%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	*	*	70%	83%	80%	87%	*	81%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	*	*	43%	69%	78%	69%	*	71%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	*	*	64%	81%	84%	74%	*	77%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	*	*	*	64%	79%	71%	*	73%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	59%	77%	82%	*	74%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	*	*	67%	65%	74%	73%	67%	71%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	ΓS			Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	*	*	48%	77%	71%	73%	65%	72%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	*	46%	62%	66%	59%	70%	62%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	*	64%	76%	90%	75%	83%	81%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	*	*	21%	55%	60%	57%	55%	56%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	*	*	18%	51%	54%	49%	*	50%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Age									
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All	
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	*	*	18%	49%	58%	49%	60%	51%	
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	*	50%	51%	51%	25%	*	45%	

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	*	*	53%	41%	40%	31%	*	37%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	*	*	21%	17%	20%	16%	7%	18%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER				Age						
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All	
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	*	*	25%	28%	29%	*	27%	
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	*	*	43%	62%	76%	72%	*	69%	
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	*	*	36%	51%	64%	55%	60%	57%	

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	*	*	82%	86%	87%	87%	86%	86%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	*	*	73%	81%	85%	87%	94%	84%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	*	*	14%	28%	42%	27%	*	33%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	*	*	7.9	8.5	8.9	8.8	9.1	8.7

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female Male Non-binary Prefer to self-describe Prefer not to say					Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	71%	80%	*	*	*	*	75%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	59%	52%	*	*	*	*	57%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	91%	92%	*	*	*	*	91%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	82%	81%	*	*	*	*	81%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	77%	83%	*	*	*	*	80%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	76%	77%	*	*	*	*	77%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	89%	95%	*	*	*	*	92%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	75%	71%	*	*	*	*	73%	
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	70%	68%	*	*	*	*	70%	
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	75%	77%	*	*	*	*	76%	
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	79%	86%	*	*	*	*	82%	
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	80%	82%	*	*	*	*	81%	

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	87%	85%	*	*	*	*	86%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	79%	86%	*	*	*	*	82%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	93%	97%	*	*	*	*	95%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	82%	81%	*	*	*	*	81%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	72%	79%	*	*	*	*	75%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	77%	77%	*	*	*	*	77%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	40%	51%	*	*	*	*	44%

CARE PLANNING				Male/Fema	ile/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	66%	66%	*	*	*	*	65%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	84%	95%	*	*	*	*	89%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	95%	99%	*	*	*	*	97%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	84%	86%	*	*	*	*	85%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	64%	73%	*	*	*	*	68%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	69%	63%	*	*	*	*	66%

HOSPITAL CARE			Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	73%	73%	*	*	*	*	73%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	62%	59%	*	*	*	*	60%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	61%	60%	*	*	*	*	61%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	69%	68%	*	*	*	*	68%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	57%	63%	*	*	*	*	59%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	78%	84%	*	*	*	*	80%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	78%	85%	*	*	*	*	81%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	86%	90%	*	*	*	*	87%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	67%	71%	*	*	*	*	68%

YOUR TREATMENT	OUR TREATMENT							
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	84%	88%	*	*	*	*	85%	
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	81%	86%	*	*	*	*	83%	
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	80%	93%	*	*	*	*	84%	
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	71%	92%	*	*	*	*	78%	
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	69%	83%	*	*	*	*	74%	
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	80%	82%	*	*	*	*	81%	
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	68%	74%	*	*	*	*	71%	
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	75%	81%	*	*	*	*	77%	
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	67%	84%	*	*	*	*	73%	
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	68%	83%	*	*	*	*	74%	
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	66%	77%	*	*	*	*	71%	

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	TS		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	72%	73%	*	*	*	*	72%	
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	59%	66%	*	*	*	*	62%	
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	80%	83%	*	*	*	*	81%	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	52%	61%	*	*	*	*	56%	
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	46%	55%	*	*	*	*	50%	

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	41%	63%	*	*	*	*	51%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	39%	54%	*	*	*	*	45%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	37%	39%	*	*	*	*	37%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	21%	16%	*	*	*	*	18%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER				Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	24%	30%	*	*	*	*	27%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	70%	69%	*	*	*	*	69%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	53%	61%	*	*	*	*	57%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE				Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	85%	89%	*	*	*	*	86%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	84%	84%	*	*	*	*	84%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	33%	35%	*	*	*	*	33%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.5	8.9	*	*	*	*	8.7

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	76%	*	*	*	*	*	75%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	55%	*	*	*	*	82%	57%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	91%	*	91%	*	*	95%	91%		
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	82%	*	67%	*	*	85%	81%		
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	79%	*	83%	*	*	90%	80%		
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	*	67%	*	*	85%	77%		
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	92%	*	91%	*	*	90%	92%		

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	73%	*	85%	*	*	74%	73%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	69%	*	77%	*	*	84%	70%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	76%	*	77%	*	*	84%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	82%	*	85%	*	*	92%	82%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	80%	*	92%	*	*	86%	81%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	RSON Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	86%	*	100%	*	*	83%	86%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	82%	*	69%	*	*	94%	82%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	95%	*	92%	*	*	100%	95%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT		Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	81%	*	85%	*	*	87%	81%		
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	75%	*	77%	*	*	87%	75%		
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	77%	*	75%	*	*	75%	77%		
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	42%	*	*	*	*	45%	44%		

CARE PLANNING	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	65%	*	75%	*	*	57%	65%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	89%	*	*	*	*	*	89%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	97%	*	*	*	*	*	97%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	85%	*	91%	*	*	82%	85%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	68%	*	77%	*	*	67%	68%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	66%	*	82%	*	*	60%	66%

HOSPITAL CARE				Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	73%	*	*	*	*	*	73%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	59%	*	*	*	*	*	60%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	59%	*	*	*	*	*	61%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	69%	*	*	*	*	*	68%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	59%	*	*	*	*	*	59%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	81%	*	*	*	*	*	80%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	81%	*	*	*	*	*	81%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	87%	*	*	*	*	*	87%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	68%	*	67%	*	*	71%	68%

YOUR TREATMENT				Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	85%	*	*	*	*	92%	85%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	83%	*	*	*	*	87%	83%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	86%	*	*	*	*	*	84%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	80%	*	*	*	*	*	78%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	75%	*	*	*	*	*	74%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	80%	*	*	*	*	92%	81%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	71%	*	*	*	*	80%	71%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	80%	*	*	*	*	*	77%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	74%	*	*	*	*	*	73%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	74%	*	*	*	*	*	74%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	70%	*	77%	*	*	83%	71%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	S	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	72%	*	67%	*	*	74%	72%	
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	61%	*	73%	*	*	73%	62%	
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	81%	*	75%	*	*	80%	81%	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	55%	*	54%	*	*	62%	56%	
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	50%	*	54%	*	*	53%	50%	

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Ethnicity						
	White Mixed Asian Black Other Not given						All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	51%	*	50%	*	*	46%	51%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	46%	*	*	*	*	20%	45%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Ethnicity						
	White Mixed Asian Black Other Not given					All	
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	37%	*	*	*	*	33%	37%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	17%	*	25%	*	*	29%	18%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER		Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	27%	*	*	*	*	*	27%		
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	70%	*	*	*	*	75%	69%		
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	56%	*	55%	*	*	57%	57%		

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	86%	*	92%	*	*	86%	86%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	84%	*	85%	*	*	88%	84%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	34%	*	*	*	*	21%	33%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.7	*	8.7	*	*	9.1	8.7

IMD quintile tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	ΓICE			IMD Quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	68%	65%	83%	83%	76%	*	75%	
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	41%	62%	57%	54%	64%	*	57%	

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				IMD Quint	ile		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	88%	91%	92%	93%	92%	*	91%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	81%	81%	84%	86%	73%	*	81%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	77%	81%	84%	77%	80%	*	80%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	71%	78%	76%	84%	72%	*	77%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	88%	93%	89%	93%	94%	*	92%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER		IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	68%	73%	69%	80%	76%	*	73%	
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	60%	74%	70%	75%	66%	*	70%	
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	72%	77%	78%	84%	67%	*	76%	
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	85%	79%	84%	84%	80%	*	82%	
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	77%	82%	81%	86%	79%	*	81%	

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			IMD Quintil			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	89%	85%	86%	82%	89%	*	86%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	80%	86%	84%	89%	72%	*	82%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	93%	95%	100%	92%	*	95%

IMD quintile tables

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	86%	82%	83%	73%	*	81%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	73%	77%	76%	78%	69%	*	75%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	73%	77%	75%	83%	74%	*	77%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	46%	43%	50%	44%	37%	*	44%

CARE PLANNING				IMD Quintil	le		
	1 (most deprived)	Non- England	All				
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	64%	68%	63%	75%	55%	*	65%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	91%	89%	93%	85%	87%	*	89%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	96%	98%	97%	100%	93%	*	97%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF		IMD Quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	85%	88%	88%	84%	77%	*	85%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	73%	68%	70%	70%	59%	*	68%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	72%	64%	63%	57%	73%	*	66%

HOSPITAL CARE	IMD Quintile							
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	71%	63%	84%	76%	71%	*	73%	
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	65%	63%	68%	50%	58%	*	60%	
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	58%	64%	66%	63%	53%	*	61%	
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	67%	61%	74%	71%	70%	*	68%	
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	63%	59%	66%	51%	61%	*	59%	
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	83%	76%	84%	83%	78%	*	80%	
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	75%	78%	89%	80%	80%	*	81%	
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	87%	84%	94%	93%	78%	*	87%	
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	62%	70%	70%	72%	65%	*	68%	

IMD quintile tables

YOUR TREATMENT	IMD Quintile								
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All		
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	82%	90%	89%	85%	76%	*	85%		
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	91%	81%	85%	91%	69%	*	83%		
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	86%	88%	81%	87%	76%	*	84%		
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	69%	73%	80%	86%	83%	*	78%		
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	91%	81%	*	73%	54%	*	74%		
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	88%	86%	79%	78%	74%	*	81%		
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	79%	66%	80%	70%	59%	*	71%		
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	90%	85%	73%	70%	69%	*	77%		
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	69%	63%	84%	79%	69%	*	73%		
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	92%	63%	*	73%	73%	*	74%		
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	67%	70%	73%	72%	70%	*	71%		

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS				IMD Quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	75%	77%	70%	75%	60%	*	72%	
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	70%	64%	59%	64%	57%	*	62%	
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	74%	83%	79%	90%	78%	*	81%	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	53%	55%	57%	65%	47%	*	56%	
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	44%	51%	48%	53%	50%	*	50%	

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	44%	56%	49%	55%	49%	*	51%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	44%	48%	53%	43%	31%	*	45%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				IMD Quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	18%	41%	43%	42%	37%	*	37%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	16%	25%	17%	20%	12%	*	18%

IMD quintile tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER				IMD Quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	15%	32%	45%	20%	21%	*	27%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	60%	67%	69%	71%	74%	*	69%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	59%	63%	51%	65%	44%	*	57%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE				IMD Quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	85%	87%	86%	87%	85%	*	86%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	80%	83%	83%	84%	89%	*	84%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	18%	34%	39%	43%	26%	*	33%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.5	8.6	8.8	8.8	8.7	*	8.7

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long term condition status					
	Yes No Not given All					
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	73%	79%	77%	75%		
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	50%	72%	61%	57%		

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	91%	93%	92%	91%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	81%	81%	86%	81%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	80%	81%	74%	80%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	74%	79%	77%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	93%	88%	96%	92%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	74%	71%	71%	73%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	69%	69%	77%	70%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	76%	76%	80%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	82%	81%	86%	82%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	82%	78%	90%	81%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	SON Long term condition status				
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	88%	83%	85%	86%	
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	84%	78%	84%	82%	
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	95%	95%	96%	95%	

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	80%	83%	79%	81%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	74%	76%	79%	75%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	80%	72%	68%	77%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	48%	33%	40%	44%

CARE PLANNING	Long term condition status				
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	64%	68%	64%	65%	
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	89%	87%	100%	89%	
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	96%	100%	100%	97%	

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF				
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	85%	84%	88%	85%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	68%	68%	65%	68%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	63%	75%	57%	66%

HOSPITAL CARE	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	71%	76%	75%	73%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	60%	60%	*	60%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	60%	64%	58%	61%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	68%	71%	64%	68%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	59%	60%	58%	59%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	79%	84%	75%	80%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	82%	82%	67%	81%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	89%	86%	82%	87%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	67%	70%	69%	68%

YOUR TREATMENT Long term condition status						
	Yes	No	Not given	All		
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	87%	81%	93%	85%		
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	83%	83%	80%	83%		
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	81%	90%	*	84%		
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	80%	83%	*	78%		
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	73%	80%	*	74%		
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	80%	82%	80%	81%		
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	74%	66%	65%	71%		
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	77%	78%	*	77%		
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	78%	69%	*	73%		
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	70%	87%	*	74%		
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	70%	72%	76%	71%		

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS Long term condition status					
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	70%	77%	67%	72%	
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	62%	62%	69%	62%	
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	83%	77%	77%	81%	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	55%	55%	58%	56%	
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	50%	51%	44%	50%	

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	52%	46%	59%	51%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	48%	37%	45%	45%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	36%	40%	40%	37%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	18%	19%	18%	18%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	I AND BEYOND CANCER Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	28%	20%	40%	27%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	65%	77%	67%	69%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	59%	55%	46%	57%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	85%	89%	84%	86%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	85%	83%	83%	84%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	34%	37%	10%	33%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.7	8.6	8.9	8.7

