

Cancer Patient Experience Survey

2022 Results

Sherwood Forest Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Published July 2023

The Cancer Patient Experience Survey is undertaken by Picker on behalf of NHS England

Executive Summary

Questions Above Expected Range

	Case	Mix Adjusted S	Scores	
	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	84%	73%	84%	78%
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	83%	69%	82%	76%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	86%	74%	86%	80%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	62%	42%	61%	52%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	91%	71%	90%	81%

Questions Below Expected Range

	Case	Mix Adjusted S	Scores	
	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	67%	68%	80%	74%

Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2022 is the 12th iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2022 survey involved 133 NHS Trusts. Out of 115,662 people, 61,268 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 53%.

Methodology

Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2022. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between November 2022 and February 2023.

As in the previous seven years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allow us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a Trust is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, ethnicity, deprivation, and cancer type.

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the Trust. Casemix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

Scoring methodology

Sixty-one questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q59), the score shows the percentage of respondents who gave the most favourable response to a question. For Q59, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

Please note that following a review of the scoring methodology, a change was made to the scoring of Q12 such that the response option "No, I was told by letter or email" is no longer considered neutral.

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2022 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by chance.

Suppression

Data is suppressed for two reasons: to ensure unreliable results based on very small numbers of respondents are not released, and to prevent individuals being identifiable in the data.

In cases where a result is based on fewer than 10 responses, the result has been suppressed. For example, where fewer than 10 people answered a question from a particular Trust, the results are not shown for that question for that Trust.

For Trusts with an eligible population of 1,000 or fewer, data relating to the respondent and their condition has been suppressed where 5 people or fewer were in a particular category. In instances where only one has been suppressed, the next lowest category has been suppressed to prevent back calculation from the total number of responses.

Additional suppression

Additional suppression happens if only **one** Trust has a score suppressed. If this happens, we will suppress another Trust's results (both the Trust level and subgroup results for the question) based on the next lowest number of respondents for the score. We do this so that the national score cannot be used to work out the score for the individual Trust.

The same rule applies to groups in each subgroup breakdown. For example, if only **one** Trust has the 85+ age group suppressed for Q25 we will need to suppress another Trust's results for the 85+ age group on Q25. This suppression is based on the 85+ age group with the next lowest number of respondents for Q25.

Understanding the results

This report shows how this Trust scored for each question in the survey compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual Trusts to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

Expected range charts

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (within the grey bar) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this Trust.

Trusts whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the Trust performs better than what Trusts of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the Trust's size and demographics.

Comparability tables

The comparability tables show the 2021 and 2022 unadjusted scores for this Trust for each scored question. If there is a statistically significant change from 2021 an arrow will be presented for the direction of change.

The adjusted 2022 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

Sub-group breakdowns

Unadjusted scores are shown for tumour type, Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, IMD quintile, longterm condition status and ethnicity breakdowns. Unadjusted scores for the same sub-group across different Trusts may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

Tumour type tables

The tumour type tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

Age group tables

The age group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the eight age groups.

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

These tables show the unadjusted scores for the following groups male; female; non-binary; prefer to self-describe; and prefer not to say.

The ethnicity tables show the unadjusted scores for six ethnicity groups.

Long-term condition status tables

The long-term condition status tables show the unadjusted scores for two groups: those who indicate they have one or more long term conditions and those who indicate that they have no long-term conditions.

IMD quintile tables

The IMD quintile tables show the unadjusted scores for five quintiles based on relative disadvantage, with quintile 1 being the most deprived and quintile 5 being the least deprived.

Year on year charts

The year on year charts show two columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last two years (2021 and 2022) for each scored question.

Further information

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2012; certificate number GB08/74322). The 2022 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2022 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at <u>www.ncpes.co.uk</u>. For all other outputs at Trust level, please see the Excel tables and dashboards at <u>www.ncpes.co.uk</u>.

Response Rate

Overall Response Rate

234 patients responded out of a total of 403 patients, resulting in a response rate of 58%.

	Sample Size	Adjusted Sample	Completed	Response Rate
Overall response rate	429	403	234	58%
National	123,632	115,662	61,268	53%

Respondents by Survey Type

	Number of Respondents
Paper	190
Online	44
Phone	0
Translation Service	0
Total	234

Respondents by Tumour Group

	Number of Respondents
Brain / CNS	0
Breast	54
Colorectal / LGT	37
Gynaecological	*
Haematological	38
Head and Neck	10
Lung	17
Prostate	42
Sarcoma	0
Skin	8
Upper Gastro	6
Urological	15
Other	*
Total	234

Respondents by Ethnicity

	Number of Respondents
White	
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	208
Irish	*
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	*
Any other White background	*
Mixed / Multiple Ethnicity	I
White and Black Caribbean	*
White and Black African	*
White and Asian	*
Any other Mixed / multiple ethnic background	*
Asian or Asian British	
Indian	*
Pakistani	*
Bangladeshi	*
Chinese	*
Any other Asian background	*
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British	I
African	*
Caribbean	*
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	*
Other Ethnicity	
Arab	*
Any other ethnic group	*
Not given	
Not given	15
Total	234

Lower Expected Range	Within Expected Rang	е		Upper	Expecte	ed Rang	ge	•	Case N	Mix Adju	usted Se	core
The left outer edge of the bars is the low	vest score achieved of all Trust	s. The	right ou	ter edge	e of the	bars is t	he high	est scor	e achiev	ved of a	ll Trusts	6.
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP	PRACTICE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary c before cancer diagnosis	are professional once or twi	ce								83% ♦	0	
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was expl could completely understand	ained in a way the patient							60% ◆				
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q5. Patient received all the informa diagnostic test in advance	tion needed about the										939	%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared information they needed about the	to completely have all the patient									83%	6	
Q7. Patient felt the length of time w results was about right	aiting for diagnostic test									84	%	
Q8. Diagnostic test results were ex could completely understand	plained in a way the patient									80% ♦		
Q9. Enough privacy was always giv receiving diagnostic test results	ren to the patient when										95	5% ◆
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HA	AD CANCER	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%		100%
Q12. Patient was told they could ha friend with them when told diagnosi	ive a family member, carer o s	or								83%	0	
Q13. Patient was definitely told sen	sitively that they had cance	r							72% ◆			
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained ir completely understand	a way the patient could								7	′7% ♦		
Q15. Patient was definitely told abc appropriate place	ut their diagnosis in an										88% ◆	
Q16. Patient was told they could go information about their diagnosis	back later for more									85	5%	
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CO	ONTACT PERSON	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%		100%
Q17. Patient had a main point of co	ntact within the care team											5% •
Q18. Patient found it very or quite e contact person	easy to contact their main									85	5%	
Q19. Patient found advice from main quite helpful	in contact person was very o	or									g	97% ◆

Lower Expected RangeWithin Expected RangeThe left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all Trust		right ou	••	•	ed Rang bars is t				Vix Adju ved of a		
 DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options 		10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60% 62%	70%	80% 81% ♦ 80% ♦ 8		100%
CARE PLANNING Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70% 74 •	80%		100% 5% ♦ 98%
SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70% 72%	80% 78% ♦	90% 93' ◆	100%
 HOSPITAL CARE Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while hospital Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case 	in	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60% € 58% ♦	70% ◆ 57% ◆ 71% ◆	78% ♦	90% 37% ◆ 5%	100%

Lower Expected Range Within Expected Range The left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all Trust		e right		•••	•	ed Ran bars is t				Mix Adju ved of al		
YOUR TREATMENT	0%	10	% 2	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery										8	88% ♦	
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy										83%	6	
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy											93°	%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy										8	7% ♦	
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy								Т			94	%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery										82% ♦		
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy										79%		
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy											91% ♦	
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy									75	5% ♦		-
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy										81% ◆		
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	t									78% ◆		
IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	0%	10	% 2	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand								6	67% ◆			
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing wi any immediate side effects from treatment	th								67% ♦			
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access abo support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	ut									83% ◆	o o	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment							5	5% ♦				
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managin the impact of any long-term side effects	g						53°	%				
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	0%	10	% 2	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home							Į	57% ♦				
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care a support at home from community or voluntary services	nd					4	6% ♠					

Lower Expected Range Within Expected Range The left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all Truste				•	ed Rang bars is t	-	est scor	Case M re achier			
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	n		25		39% ◆						
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary service	es			34	%						
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment								7	7% ◆		
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	/					5	6% ◆				
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q56. The whole care team worked well together										90% ♦	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good										88% ♦	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patien	t				44	%					
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good										8.8	

Comparability tables

Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main

Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or

contact person

quite helpful

 Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

▲ or ▼ Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

** No score available for 2021.

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case N	d Scores		
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	Nationa Score
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	115	83%	102	84%		83%	70%	86%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	161	68%	164	60%		60%	58%	73%	65%
		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	/ix Adjuste	d Scores	
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower	Upper Expected Range	Nationa Score
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	202	94%	202	94%		93%	89%	96%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	210	84%	213	84%		83%	78%	88%	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	209	86%	209	86%		84%	73%	84%	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	209	82%	212	81%		80%	73%	84%	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	208	97%	214	96%		95%	92%	98%	95%
		Lina	djusted So	oroc		Case	/ix Adjuste	d Sooroo	
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower	Upper Expected Range	Nationa Score
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	224	75%	227	83%		83%	69%	82%	76%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	235	80%	233	73%		72%	68%	79%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	233	82%	234	78%		77%	71%	82%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	234	88%	231	89%		88%	80%	90%	85%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	212	85%	209	86%		85%	79%	89%	84%
		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	/ix Adjuste	d Scores	
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower	Upper Expected Range	Nationa Score
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	230	95%	227	96%		95%	87%	96%	91%

87%

94%

199

207

85%

97%

85%

97%

78%

93%

89%

98%

84%

95%

196

208

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

▲ or ▼ Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

** No score available for 2021.

		Unac	djusted So	Case M					
DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	Nationa Score
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	220	89%	221	82%		81%	77%	87%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	232	84%	228	81%		80%	74%	85%	79%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	195	86%	195	87%		86%	74%	86%	80%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	104	56%	110	64%		62%	42%	61%	52%
		Unad	djusted So	cores		Case M	ix Adjustee	d Scores	
CARE PLANNING	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	Nationa Score
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	209	78%	211	75%		74%	65%	77%	71%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	120	95%	140	96%		95%	89%	97%	93%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	93	99%	109	98%		98%	96%	100%	99%
		Unad	djusted So	Case M	ix Adjuste	d Scores			
SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	Nationa Score
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	182	87%	195	94%		93%	86%	94%	90%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	233	81%	231	79%		78%	70%	81%	76%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	112	56%	131	72%		72%	57%	78%	67%
		Unad	djusted So	cores		Case M			
HOSPITAL CARE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower	Upper Expected Range	Nationa Score
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	107	85%	105	79%		77%	71%	87%	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	77	71%	86	71%		70%	56%	76%	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	105	73%	102	70%		67%	61%	78%	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	103	80%	103	74%		71%	63%	82%	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	100	68%	101	60%		58%	55%	74%	64%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	93	87%	94	80%		78%	77%	92%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	105	95%	105	89%		87%	82%	94%	88%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	105	90%	103	86%		86%	82%	94%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	200	84%	201	80%		78%	72%	84%	78%

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

** No score available for 2021.

▲ or ▼ Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

		Unad	djusted So	cores		Case M	lix Adjuste	d Scores	
YOUR TREATMENT	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	146	89%	141	89%		88%	84%	94%	89%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	79	87%	87	84%		83%	78%	93%	85%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	64	91%	67	94%		93%	81%	96%	88%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	30	73%	44	89%		87%	67%	91%	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	22	95%	16	94%	▼	94%	66%	100%	84%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	145	85%	139	83%		82%	79%	91%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	81	77%	87	79%		79%	70%	87%	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	63	81%	68	91%		91%	71%	90%	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	31	61%	43	77%		75%	59%	86%	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	19	100%	17	82%	▼	81%	60%	99%	80%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	221	85%	220	80%		78%	69%	87%	78%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	ix Adjuste	d Scores	
IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	203	76%	208	68%		67%	68%	80%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	188	74%	194	68%		67%	63%	76%	69%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	160	87%	166	83%		83%	81%	91%	86%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	196	68%	199	57%		55%	52%	66%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	158	63%	180	55%		53%	45%	61%	53%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case N	lix Adjuste	d Scores	
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	152	61%	163	59%		57%	50%	66%	58%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	62	56%	88	47%		46%	41%	62%	51%

Comparability tables

 Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

▲ or ▼ Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

** No score available for 2021.

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	lix Adjuste	d Scores	
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	112	44%	132	39%		39%	35%	54%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	223	16%	214	24%		25%	15%	26%	21%
		Una	djusted Se	cores		Case M	lix Adjuste	d Scores	
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	36	31%	47	34%		34%	18%	44%	31%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	119	86%	91	78%		77%	70%	87%	78%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	180	71%	186	58%		56%	55%	69%	62%
		Una	djusted S	cores		Case M	lix Adjuste	d Scores	
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	231	93%	213	91%		90%	85%	94%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	232	90%	229	89%		88%	82%	92%	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	109	36%	119	45%		44%	31%	55%	43%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	223	9.1	219	8.9		8.8	8.7	9.1	8.9

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	our Typ	be					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	100%	92%	*	57%	*	*	74%	*	*	*	90%	*	84%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	74%	58%	*	43%	*	*	69%	*	*	*	67%	*	60%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS							Tumo	our Ty	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	92%	97%	*	90%	*	85%	94%	*	*	*	93%	*	94%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	88%	80%	*	91%	*	69%	83%	*	*	*	79%	*	84%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	88%	91%	*	84%	*	71%	86%	*	*	*	100%	*	86%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	90%	83%	*	82%	*	75%	76%	*	*	*	67%	*	81%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	98%	94%	*	100%	*	94%	94%	*	*	*	93%	*	96%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	91%	84%	*	76%	90%	93%	85%	*	*	*	73%	*	83%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	76%	84%	*	73%	70%	71%	74%	*	*	*	60%	*	73%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	87%	81%	*	71%	80%	76%	74%	*	*	*	73%	*	78%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	98%	89%	*	81%	90%	82%	90%	*	*	*	87%	*	89%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	94%	77%	*	83%	*	93%	85%	*	*	*	79%	*	86%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N						Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	100%	97%	*	97%	100%	92%	95%	*	*	*	79%	*	96%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	80%	88%	*	94%	*	100%	76%	*	*	*	82%	*	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	96%	100%	*	97%	90%	100%	95%	*	*	*	100%	*	97%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT							Tumo	our Ty	be					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	89%	83%	*	80%	*	80%	73%	*	*	*	85%	*	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	83%	81%	*	81%	80%	86%	83%	*	*	*	79%	*	81%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	91%	94%	*	83%	*	92%	83%	*	*	*	75%	*	87%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	68%	50%	*	71%	*	*	72%	*	*	*	50%	*	64%

CARE PLANNING							Tumo	our Typ	be					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	81%	75%	*	66%	90%	71%	79%	*	*	*	64%	*	75%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	89%	92%	*	100%	*	100%	100%	*	*	*	*	*	96%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	96%	100%	*	100%	*	*	95%	*	*	*	*	*	98%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF							Tumo	our Ty	се					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	96%	93%	*	91%	*	85%	97%	*	*	*	80%	*	94%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	81%	68%	*	84%	80%	75%	81%	*	*	*	85%	*	79%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	85%	69%	*	63%	*	77%	65%	*	*	*	*	*	72%

HOSPITAL CARE							Tumo	our Ty	се					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	82%	79%	*	80%	*	*	*	*	*	*	91%	*	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	63%	81%	*	90%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	71%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	76%	61%	*	80%	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%	*	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	76%	74%	*	90%	*	*	*	*	*	*	73%	*	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	67%	56%	*	70%	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%	*	60%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	77%	89%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%	*	80%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	94%	82%	*	70%	*	*	*	*	*	*	91%	*	89%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	94%	86%	*	90%	*	*	*	*	*	*	73%	*	86%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	79%	83%	*	78%	*	85%	83%	*	*	*	77%	*	80%

YOUR TREATMENT							Tumo	our Typ	e					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	87%	94%	*	*	*	*	93%	*	*	*	77%	*	89%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	83%	86%	*	82%	*	90%	*	*	*	*	*	*	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	94%	*	*	*	*	*	100%	*	*	*	*	*	94%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	86%	*	*	*	*	*	90%	*	*	*	*	*	89%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	94%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	88%	84%	*	*	*	*	73%	*	*	*	62%	*	83%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	83%	71%	*	82%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	94%	*	*	*	*	*	88%	*	*	*	*	*	91%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	75%	*	*	*	*	*	80%	*	*	*	*	*	77%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	77%	71%	*	76%	*	87%	87%	*	*	*	80%	*	80%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS						Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	72%	71%	*	59%	*	63%	62%	*	*	*	82%	*	68%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	63%	65%	*	76%	*	62%	68%	*	*	*	67%	*	68%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	89%	77%	*	81%	*	92%	80%	*	*	*	*	*	83%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	60%	56%	*	63%	*	31%	65%	*	*	*	43%	*	57%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	59%	48%	*	59%	*	55%	55%	*	*	*	50%	*	55%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME							Tumo	our Typ	се					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	68%	63%	*	67%	*	38%	54%	*	*	*	50%	*	59%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	52%	41%	*	50%	*	*	36%	*	*	*	*	*	47%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	our Typ	be					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	37%	36%	*	43%	*	36%	48%	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	25%	24%	*	15%	20%	23%	38%	*	*	*	33%	*	24%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER							Tumo	our Typ	be					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	33%	*	*	40%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	34%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	85%	57%	*	*	*	*	85%	*	*	*	*	*	78%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	57%	47%	*	67%	*	*	55%	*	*	*	54%	*	58%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE							Tumo	our Typ	be					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	86%	86%	*	97%	80%	92%	95%	*	*	*	93%	*	91%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	93%	86%	*	86%	90%	82%	90%	*	*	*	80%	*	89%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	33%	50%	*	64%	*	55%	45%	*	*	*	*	*	45%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	8.7	8.6	*	9.1	9.1	8.4	9.2	*	*	*	8.9	*	8.9

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	*	*	*	83%	77%	91%	*	84%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	*	70%	53%	60%	*	60%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	*	*	80%	96%	94%	94%	*	94%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	*	*	91%	85%	78%	87%	*	84%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	*	*	64%	84%	83%	93%	*	86%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	73%	84%	79%	82%	*	81%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	*	*	91%	94%	95%	99%	*	96%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER										
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	*	*	91%	88%	80%	87%	*	83%	
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	*	*	64%	78%	76%	68%	*	73%	
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	73%	86%	79%	76%	*	78%	
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	*	*	91%	94%	85%	91%	*	89%	
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	*	*	90%	94%	88%	78%	*	86%	

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO									
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team $% \left({{\left[{{{\rm{A}}} \right]}_{{\rm{A}}}}_{{\rm{A}}}} \right)$	*	*	*	100%	100%	96%	93%	*	96%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	*	*	73%	81%	85%	89%	*	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	*	*	100%	98%	97%	95%	*	97%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	73%	89%	78%	85%	*	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	*	*	73%	85%	83%	77%	*	81%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	*	*	73%	91%	88%	84%	*	87%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	*	*	*	73%	58%	62%	*	64%

CARE PLANNING				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	*	*	70%	83%	74%	74%	*	75%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	*	*	*	100%	93%	98%	*	96%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	*	*	*	95%	100%	100%	*	98%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF									
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	*	*	100%	98%	96%	87%	*	94%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	*	*	55%	82%	83%	76%	*	79%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	*	*	*	79%	64%	71%	*	72%

HOSPITAL CARE				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	*	*	*	67%	78%	88%	*	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	*	*	*	74%	79%	64%	*	71%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	*	*	*	78%	64%	66%	*	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	*	*	*	67%	69%	82%	*	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	*	*	*	50%	66%	58%	*	60%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	*	*	*	78%	79%	82%	*	80%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	*	*	*	88%	92%	82%	*	89%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	*	*	*	83%	83%	88%	*	86%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	*	*	82%	79%	84%	74%	*	80%

YOUR TREATMENT				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	*	*	80%	95%	88%	87%	*	89%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	*	*	*	78%	90%	79%	*	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	*	*	*	94%	100%	89%	*	94%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	*	*	90%	94%	92%	*	89%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	94%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	*	*	80%	85%	81%	87%	*	83%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	*	*	*	67%	84%	79%	*	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	*	*	*	94%	100%	79%	*	91%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	*	*	*	80%	87%	69%	*	77%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	*	*	55%	89%	75%	82%	*	80%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS			Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	*	*	73%	79%	68%	56%	*	68%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	*	30%	71%	74%	64%	*	68%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	*	73%	86%	86%	81%	*	83%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	*	*	55%	73%	61%	41%	*	57%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	*	*	45%	56%	64%	45%	*	55%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Age										
	16 - 24 25 - 34 35 - 44 45 - 54 55 - 64 65 - 74 75 - 84 85+ A										
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	*	*	*	65%	58%	58%	*	59%		
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	*	*	56%	33%	55%	*	47%		

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Age										
	16 - 24 25 - 34 35 - 44 45 - 54 55 - 64 65 - 74 75 - 84 85+ All										
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	*	*	*	39%	39%	41%	*	39%		
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	*	*	36%	20%	34%	14%	*	24%		

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	*	*	42%	*	39%	*	34%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	*	*	*	79%	81%	79%	*	78%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	*	*	36%	67%	64%	47%	*	58%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Age										
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All		
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	*	*	73%	87%	90%	97%	*	91%		
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	*	*	100%	90%	88%	88%	*	89%		
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	*	*	*	39%	45%	50%	*	45%		
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	*	*	7.6	9.0	8.9	8.9	*	8.9		

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	89%	80%	*	*	*	*	84%	
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	64%	57%	*	*	*	*	60%	

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other							
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All			
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	89%	97%	*	*	*	*	94%			
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	81%	84%	*	*	*	*	84%			
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	85%	85%	*	*	*	*	86%			
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	82%	79%	*	*	*	*	81%			
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	96%	95%	*	*	*	*	96%			

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	81%	85%	*	*	*	*	83%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	74%	73%	*	*	*	*	73%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	79%	*	*	*	*	78%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	89%	89%	*	*	*	*	89%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	86%	86%	*	*	*	*	86%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other				
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	97%	94%	*	*	*	*	96%	
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	84%	87%	*	*	*	*	85%	
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	97%	97%	*	*	*	*	97%	

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	85%	80%	*	*	*	*	82%	
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	78%	84%	*	*	*	*	81%	
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	90%	85%	*	*	*	*	87%	
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	61%	63%	*	*	*	*	64%	

CARE PLANNING		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other							
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	69%	80%	*	*	*	*	75%		
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	94%	97%	*	*	*	*	96%		
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	98%	98%	*	*	*	*	98%		

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other							
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	93%	95%	*	*	*	*	94%		
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	78%	81%	*	*	*	*	79%		
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	75%	68%	*	*	*	*	72%		

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

HOSPITAL CARE				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	78%	80%	*	*	*	*	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	69%	74%	*	*	*	*	71%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	67%	73%	*	*	*	*	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	70%	80%	*	*	*	*	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	59%	63%	*	*	*	*	60%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	83%	76%	*	*	*	*	80%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	90%	86%	*	*	*	*	89%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	84%	88%	*	*	*	*	86%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	76%	82%	*	*	*	*	80%

YOUR TREATMENT				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	88%	89%	*	*	*	*	89%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	77%	89%	*	*	*	*	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	89%	100%	*	*	*	*	94%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	86%	90%	*	*	*	*	89%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	100%	*	*	*	*	94%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	85%	80%	*	*	*	*	83%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with chemotherapy	73%	84%	*	*	*	*	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with radiotherapy	92%	89%	*	*	*	*	91%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with hormone therapy	71%	79%	*	*	*	*	77%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with immunotherapy	*	90%	*	*	*	*	82%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	76%	81%	*	*	*	*	80%

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	ГS		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	67%	70%	*	*	*	*	68%		
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	64%	72%	*	*	*	*	68%		
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	79%	86%	*	*	*	*	83%		
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	55%	60%	*	*	*	*	57%		
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	49%	60%	*	*	*	*	55%		

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	58%	59%	*	*	*	*	59%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	50%	49%	*	*	*	*	47%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Male/Female/Non-binary/Other				
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	37%	42%	*	*	*	*	39%	
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	24%	25%	*	*	*	*	24%	

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	24%	41%	*	*	*	*	34%		
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	76%	83%	*	*	*	*	78%		
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	59%	57%	*	*	*	*	58%		

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	89%	91%	*	*	*	*	91%	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	89%	89%	*	*	*	*	89%	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	38%	49%	*	*	*	*	45%	
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.6	9.1	*	*	*	*	8.9	

*

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE			Ethnicity				
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	84%	*	*	*	*	*	84%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	60%	*	*	*	*	*	60%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	94%	*	*	*	*	82%	94%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	84%	*	*	*	*	83%	84%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	85%	*	*	*	*	92%	86%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	82%	*	*	*	*	64%	81%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	96%	*	*	*	*	92%	96%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER		Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	83%	*	*	*	*	85%	83%		
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	74%	*	*	*	*	64%	73%		
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	*	*	*	*	67%	78%		
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	89%	*	*	*	*	86%	89%		
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	86%	*	*	*	*	85%	86%		

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	DN Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	95%	*	*	*	*	100%	96%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	85%	*	*	*	*	85%	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	97%	*	*	*	*	100%	97%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT		Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	82%	*	*	*	*	71%	82%		
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	81%	*	*	*	*	71%	81%		
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	87%	*	*	*	*	80%	87%		
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	61%	*	*	*	*	*	64%		

*

CARE PLANNING			Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	75%	*	*	*	*	69%	75%		
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	95%	*	*	*	*	*	96%		
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	98%	*	*	*	*	*	98%		

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF		Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	94%	*	*	*	*	80%	94%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	79%	*	*	*	*	80%	79%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	71%	*	*	*	*	*	72%

HOSPITAL CARE				Eth	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	79%	*	*	*	*	*	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	72%	*	*	*	*	*	71%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	69%	*	*	*	*	*	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	74%	*	*	*	*	*	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	61%	*	*	*	*	*	60%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	80%	*	*	*	*	*	80%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	88%	*	*	*	*	*	89%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	86%	*	*	*	*	*	86%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	79%	*	*	*	*	71%	80%

YOUR TREATMENT				Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	89%	*	*	*	*	*	89%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	84%	*	*	*	*	*	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	93%	*	*	*	*	*	94%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	88%	*	*	*	*	*	89%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	94%	*	*	*	*	*	94%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	83%	*	*	*	*	*	83%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	79%	*	*	*	*	*	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	90%	*	*	*	*	*	91%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	74%	*	*	*	*	*	77%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	82%	*	*	*	*	*	82%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	79%	*	*	*	*	100%	80%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	S			Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	68%	*	*	*	*	45%	68%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	67%	*	*	*	*	*	68%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	82%	*	*	*	*	*	83%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	56%	*	*	*	*	55%	57%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	52%	*	*	*	*	*	55%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME			Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	59%	*	*	*	*	60%	59%		
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	47%	*	*	*	*	45%	47%		

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Ethnicity						
	White Mixed Asian Black Other Not give						All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	38%	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	23%	*	*	*	*	36%	24%

*

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER			Ethnicity				
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	32%	*	*	*	*	*	34%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	78%	*	*	*	*	*	78%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	56%	*	*	*	*	*	58%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE		Ethnicity					
	White	Not given	All				
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	90%	*	*	*	*	*	91%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	89%	*	*	*	*	85%	89%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	45%	*	*	*	*	*	45%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.9	*	*	*	*	8.6	8.9

IMD quintile tables

UPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				IMD Quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	81%	83%	83%	88%	85%	*	84%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	58%	51%	64%	59%	68%	*	60%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		IMD Quintile								
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All			
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	95%	93%	90%	98%	92%	*	94%			
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	85%	85%	81%	83%	85%	*	84%			
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	88%	87%	88%	81%	84%	*	86%			
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	83%	77%	83%	85%	*	81%			
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	93%	94%	96%	98%	100%	*	96%			

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	91%	76%	82%	79%	96%	*	83%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	79%	73%	68%	73%	78%	*	73%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	73%	76%	84%	75%	85%	*	78%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	87%	86%	91%	90%	92%	*	89%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	95%	81%	88%	77%	88%	*	86%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	100%	98%	93%	90%	100%	*	96%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	85%	77%	91%	86%	88%	*	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	100%	98%	100%	91%	96%	*	97%

IMD quintile tables

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				IMD Quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	87%	76%	83%	83%	81%	*	82%	
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	81%	78%	82%	82%	85%	*	81%	
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	88%	83%	87%	83%	96%	*	87%	
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	71%	61%	61%	60%	*	*	64%	

CARE PLANNING			IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All		
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	70%	71%	78%	80%	78%	*	75%		
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	90%	100%	94%	97%	100%	*	96%		
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	100%	95%	100%	96%	100%	*	98%		

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF				IMD Quintil	е		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	93%	95%	91%	93%	100%	*	94%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	77%	72%	87%	79%	81%	*	79%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	61%	81%	70%	67%	92%	*	72%

HOSPITAL CARE				IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	73%	87%	79%	70%	*	*	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	59%	71%	77%	67%	*	*	71%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	62%	76%	71%	65%	*	*	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	55%	84%	75%	75%	*	*	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	40%	61%	57%	74%	*	*	60%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	75%	82%	73%	83%	*	*	80%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	86%	90%	88%	90%	*	*	89%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	86%	87%	87%	80%	*	*	86%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	78%	72%	81%	87%	79%	*	80%

IMD quintile tables

YOUR TREATMENT				IMD Quint	ile		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	94%	83%	86%	93%	91%	*	89%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	86%	78%	91%	71%	100%	*	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	87%	91%	100%	94%	*	*	94%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	90%	*	92%	92%	*	*	89%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough Inderstandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	*	*	94%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with surgery	77%	79%	79%	93%	100%	*	83%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with chemotherapy	79%	77%	86%	65%	92%	*	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with radiotherapy	93%	92%	90%	94%	*	*	91%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with hormone therapy	80%	*	92%	73%	*	*	77%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%
243. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and lay unit for cancer treatment was about right	74%	75%	85%	77%	92%	*	80%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS			IMD Quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	59%	71%	72%	64%	77%	*	68%	
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	68%	63%	65%	69%	85%	*	68%	
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	84%	91%	83%	74%	93%	*	83%	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	51%	59%	63%	55%	57%	*	57%	
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	49%	49%	65%	54%	59%	*	55%	

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME			IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All		
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	62%	61%	67%	44%	59%	*	59%		
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	48%	38%	50%	47%	*	*	47%		

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	32%	50%	26%	48%	36%	*	39%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	29%	21%	17%	27%	31%	*	24%

IMD quintile tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER				IMD Quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	36%	*	36%	*	*	*	34%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	73%	71%	79%	82%	86%	*	78%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	62%	45%	57%	62%	65%	*	58%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE				IMD Quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	88%	91%	92%	93%	85%	*	91%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	89%	86%	91%	90%	89%	*	89%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	50%	32%	45%	43%	73%	*	45%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.8	8.6	9.1	8.9	8.9	*	8.9

Long term condition status tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE		Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	83%	83%	*	84%	
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	54%	69%	73%	60%	

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	93%	93%	100%	94%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	81%	85%	100%	84%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	87%	84%	85%	86%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	80%	82%	83%	81%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	95%	97%	100%	96%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER		Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	82%	83%	94%	83%	
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	70%	78%	81%	73%	
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	82%	76%	78%	
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	87%	92%	100%	89%	
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	83%	91%	92%	86%	

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	93%	100%	100%	96%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	84%	87%	88%	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	98%	95%	100%	97%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	80%	85%	82%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	78%	88%	82%	81%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	85%	90%	85%	87%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	59%	64%	*	64%

Long term condition status tables

CARE PLANNING				
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	71%	83%	81%	75%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	98%	91%	*	96%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	97%	100%	*	98%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF				
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	93%	97%	92%	94%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	77%	83%	88%	79%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	71%	71%	80%	72%

HOSPITAL CARE		Long term condition	status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	82%	70%	*	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	72%	65%	*	71%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	70%	67%	*	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	73%	72%	*	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	56%	66%	*	60%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	82%	76%	*	80%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	90%	87%	*	89%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	85%	90%	*	86%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	78%	84%	73%	80%

Long term condition status tables

YOUR TREATMENT		Long term condition	n status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	88%	87%	*	89%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	82%	84%	*	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	95%	89%	*	94%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	93%	79%	*	89%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	94%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	86%	77%	*	83%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	77%	80%	*	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	91%	89%	*	91%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	73%	79%	*	77%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	80%	*	*	82%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	79%	77%	94%	80%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS		Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	63%	77%	71%	68%	
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	65%	76%	60%	68%	
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	82%	86%	82%	83%	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	54%	64%	64%	57%	
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	55%	55%	60%	55%	

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	55%	67%	73%	59%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	52%	38%	*	47%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	37%	44%	*	39%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	23%	25%	36%	24%

Long term condition status tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	32%	*	*	34%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	78%	79%	*	78%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	55%	65%	*	58%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	91%	87%	100%	91%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	87%	92%	93%	89%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	44%	48%	*	45%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.9	8.8	9.1	8.9

Year on Year Charts

 Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

diagnosis	
84%	
2022	
	2022

Q3. Referral for diagnosis	was explained in a way t	tient could completely understand
100%		
80%		
60%	68%	60%
40%		
20%		
0%	2021	2022

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance 100% 94% 80% 94% 60% 94% 40% 94% 20% 921 2021 2022

peared to completely ha	e all the information they needed abo	out the patient	
84%		84%	
2024		2022	
,		84%	

Q7. Patient felt the length of	of time waiting for diagno	stic test results was about right		
100%				
80%	86%		86%	
60%				
40%				
20%				
0%	2021		2022	

Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

Q8. Diagnostic test re	sults were explained in a way the patie	nt could completely understand
100%		
80%	82%	81%
60%		
40%		
20%		
0%	2021	2022

29. Enough privacy was a	always given to the patient	when receiving diagnostic test results
100%	97%	96%
80%	51 /0	30 /0
60%		
40%		
20%		
0%		
	2021	2022

FINDING OUT THAT Y	OU HAD CANCER		
Q12. Patient was told they c	ould have a family mer	arer or friend with them when told diagnosis	
100%			
80%		83%	
60%	75%		
40%			
20%			
0%	2021	2022	
	2021	2022	

Q13. Patient was definite	ely told sensitively that they	had cancer		
100%				
80%	80%			
60%	0070		73%	
40%				
20%				
0%	2021		2022	
0%	2021		2022	

plained in a way the patie	could completely understand		
82%		78%	
		1070	
		-	
2021		2022	
	2021		82% 78%

Year on Year Charts

 Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

Q15. Patient was defin	itely told about their diagnosis	in an appropriate place	
100%			
80%	88%	89%	
60%			
40%			
20%			
0%	2024	2022	
0%	2021	2022	

Q16. Patient was told the	y could go back later for more	information about their diag	gnosis	
100%				
80%	85%		86%	
60%				
40%				
20%				
0%	2021		2022	

SUPPORT FROM A	MAIN CONTACT PERSO	DN
Q17. Patient had a main	point of contact within the care	team
100%		
80%	95%	96%
60%		
40%		
20%		
0%		
	2021	2022

Q18. Patient found it	very or quite easy to contact the	eir main contact person		
100%				
80%	87%		85%	
60%			-	
40%			-	
20%			-	
0%	2021		2022	

Q19. Patient for	und advice from main contact perso	n was very or quite helpful		
100%	0.40/		97%	
80%	94%		3170	
60%			-	
40%				
20%			_	
0%	0004		2000	
0%	2021		2022	

Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

DECIDING ON THE BE	ST TREATMENT	
Q20. Treatment options wer	e explained in a way th	patient could completely understand
100%		
80%	89%	82%
60%		
40%		
20%		
0%	2021	2022
	2021	2022

Q21. Patient	was definitely involved as much as the	ey wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	
100%			
80%	84%	81%	
60%			
40%			
20%			
0%	2021	2022	

were definitely involved as muc	as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options
86%	87%
2021	2022

Q23. Patient could get furt	her advice or a second op	inion before making decisions about	their treatment options	
100%				
80%				
60%			64%	
40%	56%			
20%				
0%	2024		2022	
40% 20%	56% 2021		2022	

CARE PLANNING

Q24. Patie	ent was definitely	able to have a discussio	n about their needs or concerns prior	to treatment	
100%					
80%		78%			
60%		1070		75%	
40%					
20%					
0%		2021		2022	
		2021		2022	

Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

Q25. A member of their c	are team helped the patie	nt create a care plan to address any needs or concerns
100%	0.5%	00%
80%	95%	96%
60%		
40%		
20%		
0%	2021	2022

26. Care team reviewed	the patient's care plan wi	them to ensure it was up to date		
00%	99%		98%	
30%				
60%				
40%				
20%				
0%	2021		2022	

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF				
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support				
100%				
80%	87%		94%	
60%			-	
40%			-	
20%				
0%				
	2021		2022	

Q28. Patie	Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff				
100%					
80%		81%		79%	
60%		0170		1970	
40%					
20%					
0% —		2021		2022	

Q29. Patient was	offered information about how to get fina	cial help or benefits		
100%				
80%				
60%			72%	
40%	56%			
20%				
0%				
	2021		2022	

Year on Year Charts

 $_{\star}$ $\,$ Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

HOSPITAL CARE Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital 100% 80% 85% 60% 79% 60% 79% 60% 100% 20% 20% 0% 2021

Q32. Patient's family, or so	meone close, was definitely a	le to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital
100%		
80%		
60%	71%	71%
40%		
20%		
0%	2021	2022

volved in decisions about	heir care and treatment whil	lst in hospital	
73%		70%	
2021		2022	
		73%	

Q35. Patient was always	Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff		
100%			
80%			
60%	68%	60%	
40%			
20%			
0%	2024	2000	
	2021	2022	

Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain			
100%			
80%	87%	80%	
60%		0078	
40%			
20%			
0%	2021	2022	

89%	
	2022

90%		86%	
2021		2022	
	90%		

Q39. Patient was always	able to discuss worries an	fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case
100%		
80%	84%	80%
60%		
40%		
20%		
0%	2021	2022

YOUR TREATMENT Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery					
80%	89%	89%			
60%					
40%					
20%					
0%	2021	2022			

Year on Year Charts

*	Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q41_2.	Beforehand patien	t completely had enough	understandable information about chemotherapy
100%			
80%		87%	84%
60%			
40%			
20%			
0%			
		2021	2022

Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy					
100%					
80%	91%	94%			
60%					
40%					
20%					
0%	2021	2022			

89%	
2022	
	2022

	ent completely had enough	understandable information about immunotherapy
80%	95%	94%
60%		
40%		
20%		
0%	2021	2022

 urgery	v had enough understanda	. Patient completely	Q42_1
			100%
83%	85%		80%
			60%
			40%
			20%
2022	2021		0%
2022	2021		20%

Year on Year Charts


* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low T base size.

Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy					
100%					
80%	77%	79%			
60%	1170	1070			
40%					
20%					
0%	2021	2022			

Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy					
100%					
80%	81%		91%		
60%	0170		-		
40%					
20%			-		
0%	0004		0000		
	2021		2022		

77%	
77%	
11/0	
2022	
	2022

100%			
		82%	
2024		2022	
	2021		82%



Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS						
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand						
100%						
80%						
60%	76%	68%				
40%						
20%						
0%	2024	2000				
	2021	2022				

Q45. Pat	Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment					
100%						
80%						
60%		74%		68%		
40%						
20%						
0%		2021		2022		

Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment					
100%					
80%	87%		83%		
60%					
40%					
20%					
0%	2021		2022		

Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment					
100%					
80%					
60%	68%				
40%			57%		
20%					
0%					
	2021		2022		

Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects					
100%					
80%					
60%	63%				
40%		55%			
20%					
0%	0004	2000			
	2021	2022			

Year on Year Charts

 Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME

Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home					
100%					
80%					
60%	61%	59%			
40%	0170	59%			
20%					
0%	2021	2022			

Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services				
100%				
80%				
60%	500/			
40%	56%		47%	
20%				
0%	2021		2022	

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE						
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment						
100%						
80%						
60%						
40% 44%	20	9%				
20%						
0% 2021	20	022				

Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice					
100%					
80%					
60%					
40% 16%					
20%	24%				
0% 2021	2022				

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services 100% 80% 60% 40% 20% 31% 0% 2021

Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low The score base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

254. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment					
100%					
80%	86%	78%			
60%		1070			
40%					
20%					
0%	2021	2022			

255. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading					
100%					
80%					
60%	71%		E0 0/		
40%			58%		
20%					
0%	2021		2022		

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE Q56. The whole care team worked well together 100% 80% 93% 60% 91% 40% 91% 20% 0 0% 2021

Q57. A	Administration of care	e was very good or good		
100%				
80%		90%	89%	
60%				
40%				
20%				
0%		2021	2022	

Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient						
100%						
80%						
60%						
40%	45%					
20% 36%						
0% 2021	2022					

Year on Year Charts

Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good

10

8

9.1

6

4

9.1

8.9