

# Cancer Patient Experience Survey

2022 Results

# **Somerset NHS Foundation Trust**

Published July 2023

# **Executive Summary**

## **Questions Above Expected Range**

	Case	Case Mix Adjusted Scores		
	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	82%	70%	82%	76%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	89%	79%	88%	84%
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	94%	86%	94%	90%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	66%	53%	65%	59%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	86%	72%	85%	78%

## **Questions Below Expected Range**

	Case Mix Adjusted Scores			
	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	65%	65%	80%	73%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	77%	80%	90%	85%

## Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2022 is the 12th iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2022 survey involved 133 NHS Trusts. Out of 115,662 people, 61,268 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 53%.

## **Methodology**

## Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2022. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between November 2022 and February 2023.

As in the previous seven years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

### **Case-mix adjustment**

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allow us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a Trust is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, ethnicity, deprivation, and cancer type.

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the Trust. Casemix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

#### Scoring methodology

Sixty-one questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q59), the score shows the percentage of respondents who gave the most favourable response to a question. For Q59, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

Please note that following a review of the scoring methodology, a change was made to the scoring of Q12 such that the response option "No, I was told by letter or email" is no longer considered neutral.

#### Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2022 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by chance.

#### **Suppression**

Data is suppressed for two reasons: to ensure unreliable results based on very small numbers of respondents are not released, and to prevent individuals being identifiable in the data.

In cases where a result is based on fewer than 10 responses, the result has been suppressed. For example, where fewer than 10 people answered a question from a particular Trust, the results are not shown for that question for that Trust.

For Trusts with an eligible population of 1,000 or fewer, data relating to the respondent and their condition has been suppressed where 5 people or fewer were in a particular category. In instances where only one has been suppressed, the next lowest category has been suppressed to prevent back calculation from the total number of responses.

#### Additional suppression

Additional suppression happens if only **one** Trust has a score suppressed. If this happens, we will suppress another Trust's results (both the Trust level and subgroup results for the question) based on the next lowest number of respondents for the score. We do this so that the national score cannot be used to work out the score for the individual Trust.

The same rule applies to groups in each subgroup breakdown. For example, if only **one** Trust has the 85+ age group suppressed for Q25 we will need to suppress another Trust's results for the 85+ age group on Q25. This suppression is based on the 85+ age group with the next lowest number of respondents for Q25.

## **Understanding the results**

This report shows how this Trust scored for each question in the survey compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual Trusts to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

### **Expected range charts**

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (within the grey bar) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this Trust.

Trusts whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the Trust performs better than what Trusts of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the Trust's size and demographics.

#### **Comparability tables**

The comparability tables show the 2021 and 2022 unadjusted scores for this Trust for each scored question. If there is a statistically significant change from 2021 an arrow will be presented for the direction of change.

The adjusted 2022 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

## **Sub-group breakdowns**

Unadjusted scores are shown for tumour type, Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, IMD quintile, long-term condition status and ethnicity breakdowns. Unadjusted scores for the same sub-group across different Trusts may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

#### **Tumour type tables**

The tumour type tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

#### Age group tables

The age group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the eight age groups.

#### Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

These tables show the unadjusted scores for the following groups male; female; non-binary; prefer to self-describe; and prefer not to say.

The ethnicity tables show the unadjusted scores for six ethnicity groups.

#### Long-term condition status tables

The long-term condition status tables show the unadjusted scores for two groups: those who indicate they have one or more long term conditions and those who indicate that they have no long-term conditions.

#### **IMD** quintile tables

The IMD quintile tables show the unadjusted scores for five quintiles based on relative disadvantage, with quintile 1 being the most deprived and quintile 5 being the least deprived.

#### Year on year charts

The year on year charts show two columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last two years (2021 and 2022) for each scored question.

## **Further information**

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2012; certificate number GB08/74322). The 2022 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2022 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at <a href="www.ncpes.co.uk">www.ncpes.co.uk</a>. For all other outputs at Trust level, please see the Excel tables and dashboards at <a href="www.ncpes.co.uk">www.ncpes.co.uk</a>.

## **Response Rate**

## **Overall Response Rate**

300 patients responded out of a total of 469 patients, resulting in a response rate of 64%.

	Sample Size	Adjusted Sample	Completed	Response Rate
Overall response rate	505	469	300	64%
National	123,632	115,662	61,268	53%

## **Respondents by Survey Type**


	Number of Respondents
Paper	239
Online	60
Phone	1
Translation Service	0
Total	300


## **Respondents by Tumour Group**


	Number of Respondents
Brain / CNS	0
Breast	46
Colorectal / LGT	61
Gynaecological	22
Haematological	24
Head and Neck	17
Lung	17
Prostate	67
Sarcoma	0
Skin	*
Upper Gastro	*
Urological	23
Other	12
Total	300


## **Respondents by Ethnicity**

	Number of Respondents
White	
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	276
Irish	*
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	*
Any other White background	*
Mixed / Multiple Ethnicity	'
White and Black Caribbean	*
White and Black African	*
White and Asian	*
Any other Mixed / multiple ethnic background	*
Asian or Asian British	'
Indian	*
Pakistani	*
Bangladeshi	*
Chinese	*
Any other Asian background	*
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British	
African	*
Caribbean	*
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	*
Other Ethnicity	
Arab	*
Any other ethnic group	*
Not given	,
Not given	*
Total	300









## **Comparability tables**

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

▲ or ▼

Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges
Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

**	No score	available	for	2021.
----	----------	-----------	-----	-------

	Unadjusted Scores						Case Mix Adjusted Scores			
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score	
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	179	84%	142	82%		82%	71%	85%	78%	
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	237	70%	204	68%		68%	59%	72%	65%	

		Una	djusted So	cores	Case M					
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score	
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	328	95%	254	94%		94%	89%	96%	92%	
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	342	86%	265	83%		82%	79%	88%	83%	
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	344	88%	267	78%	•	76%	73%	83%	78%	
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	342	86%	268	82%		81%	73%	83%	78%	
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	341	97%	268	96%		96%	92%	97%	95%	

		Una	djusted So	cores	Case M				
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	340	69%	282	83%	<b>A</b>	82%	70%	82%	76%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	368	81%	298	77%		77%	69%	79%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	369	82%	298	80%		78%	72%	81%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	366	89%	298	88%		87%	81%	89%	85%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	327	89%	271	89%		89%	79%	88%	84%

	Unadjusted Scores					Case M			
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	354	91%	281	91%		92%	88%	95%	91%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	279	90%	215	87%		87%	78%	89%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	308	96%	234	97%		96%	93%	98%	95%

## **Comparability tables**

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

**▲** or **▼** 

Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

**	Nο	score	available	for	2021

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	d Scores	Nietienel	
DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	341	87%	270	85%		84%	78%	87%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	363	85%	296	80%		78%	75%	84%	79%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	299	74%	248	85%	•	84%	75%	85%	80%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	161	55%	140	47%		46%	44%	60%	52%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	d Scores		
CARE PLANNING	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	327	80%	265	75%		75%	66%	77%	71%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	186	94%	146	97%		96%	89%	97%	93%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	151	99%	117	97%		97%	97%	100%	99%

		Una	djusted So	cores	Case M				
SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	319	96%	248	95%		94%	86%	94%	90%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	370	81%	292	78%		77%	71%	80%	76%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	174	78%	155	74%		75%	58%	77%	67%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M			
HOSPITAL CARE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	191	87%	148	78%		77%	72%	85%	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	156	72%	122	70%		69%	57%	74%	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	190	78%	145	72%		72%	62%	77%	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	187	81%	146	66%	•	65%	65%	80%	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	187	69%	142	67%		66%	56%	72%	64%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	165	89%	131	87%		86%	78%	91%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	192	89%	147	88%		87%	83%	93%	88%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	189	88%	143	91%		91%	83%	93%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	300	80%	244	83%		82%	73%	84%	78%

## **Comparability tables**

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

▲ or ▼

Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

**	Nο	score	available	for	2021

	Unadjusted Scores						Case Mix Adjusted Scores				
YOUR TREATMENT	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score		
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	240	93%	171	89%		89%	85%	94%	89%		
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	150	86%	112	83%		82%	79%	92%	85%		
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	129	88%	96	93%		92%	82%	95%	88%		
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	77	77%	58	74%		71%	68%	89%	79%		
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	21	95%	26	85%	•	83%	70%	98%	84%		
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	239	85%	172	78%		77%	80%	90%	85%		
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	150	75%	112	73%		72%	71%	86%	79%		
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	128	84%	96	81%		82%	73%	89%	81%		
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	77	71%	56	71%		69%	61%	84%	72%		
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	21	90%	26	85%		83%	64%	95%	80%		
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	350	89%	288	89%		86%	70%	86%	78%		

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	Niedienel		
IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	335	78%	282	76%		75%	69%	79%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	328	73%	266	72%		71%	64%	75%	69%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	280	85%	223	90%		89%	82%	91%	86%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	320	70%	258	68%		66%	53%	65%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	272	60%	231	60%		58%	46%	60%	53%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M			
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	231	61%	187	62%		61%	50%	65%	58%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	133	56%	81	59%		58%	40%	62%	51%

## Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2022 Somerset NHS Foundation Trust

## **Comparability tables**

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.



Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges
Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

\*\* No score available for 2021.

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M			
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021-2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	225	50%	176	51%		51%	36%	53%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	351	17%	278	21%		21%	16%	25%	21%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	N1-6		
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	76	42%	51	37%		36%	18%	44%	31%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	197	80%	152	87%		86%	72%	85%	78%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	289	68%	230	63%		64%	56%	69%	62%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	N1-6'1		
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	355	92%	278	90%		89%	86%	93%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	366	91%	290	88%		88%	82%	91%	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	204	40%	167	35%		37%	32%	54%	43%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	357	9.2	279	8.8	•	8.8	8.7	9.1	8.9

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE					Tumour Type								
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	84%	64%	87%	60%	80%	91%	92%	*	*	*	100%	*	82%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	73%	69%	56%	60%	64%	57%	76%	*	*	*	76%	*	68%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	97%	92%	100%	94%	88%	88%	97%	*	*	*	84%	100%	94%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	85%	84%	90%	81%	71%	63%	95%	*	*	*	80%	67%	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	78%	80%	85%	60%	71%	88%	80%	*	*	*	76%	70%	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	88%	79%	100%	71%	82%	60%	85%	*	*	*	82%	82%	82%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	95%	98%	100%	100%	94%	93%	95%	*	*	*	100%	92%	96%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	86%	88%	84%	82%	76%	71%	88%	*	*	*	73%	83%	83%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	80%	75%	82%	63%	76%	65%	84%	*	*	*	74%	92%	77%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	87%	85%	86%	58%	71%	65%	85%	*	*	*	83%	67%	80%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	89%	87%	86%	83%	88%	76%	97%	*	*	*	70%	100%	88%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	90%	89%	90%	90%	88%	64%	97%	*	*	*	77%	100%	89%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N						Tumo	our Typ	е					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	84%	96%	95%	100%	81%	100%	88%	*	*	*	95%	100%	91%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	70%	94%	94%	76%	100%	94%	95%	*	*	*	77%	90%	87%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	93%	98%	94%	95%	100%	88%	100%	*	*	*	94%	100%	97%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	93%	86%	90%	80%	76%	73%	83%	*	*	*	90%	91%	85%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	85%	83%	82%	71%	71%	82%	85%	*	*	*	74%	75%	80%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	87%	85%	100%	78%	75%	88%	82%	*	*	*	88%	92%	85%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	40%	52%	50%	70%	*	36%	46%	*	*	*	55%	*	47%

CARE PLANNING							Tumo	ur Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	76%	81%	81%	67%	73%	65%	80%	*	*	*	81%	64%	75%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	95%	91%	100%	100%	100%	*	96%	*	*	*	100%	*	97%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	100%	100%	100%	91%	100%	*	91%	*	*	*	*	*	97%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	100%	94%	95%	100%	82%	88%	94%	*	*	*	100%	91%	95%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	80%	80%	86%	75%	71%	71%	78%	*	*	*	77%	83%	78%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	87%	71%	92%	73%	50%	81%	67%	*	*	*	*	*	74%

HOSPITAL CARE							Tumo	our Typ	е					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	69%	74%	88%	88%	75%	*	92%	*	*	*	85%	*	78%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	70%	68%	93%	67%	82%	*	64%	*	*	*	70%	*	70%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	85%	62%	100%	69%	67%	*	100%	*	*	*	54%	*	72%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	69%	67%	65%	69%	73%	*	67%	*	*	*	77%	*	66%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	83%	67%	75%	63%	67%	*	67%	*	*	*	60%	*	67%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	100%	86%	93%	71%	67%	*	100%	*	*	*	92%	*	87%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	85%	82%	100%	88%	83%	*	100%	*	*	*	100%	*	88%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	100%	89%	100%	94%	75%	*	92%	*	*	*	100%	*	91%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	82%	87%	73%	86%	69%	71%	86%	*	*	*	80%	100%	83%

YOUR TREATMENT							Tumo	our Typ	е					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	90%	91%	100%	*	85%	*	75%	*	*	*	88%	*	89%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	94%	92%	92%	64%	*	70%	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	96%	91%	*	*	*	*	100%	*	*	*	*	*	93%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	79%	*	*	*	*	*	73%	*	*	*	*	*	74%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	85%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	69%	81%	95%	*	75%	*	75%	*	*	*	71%	*	78%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	65%	85%	69%	68%	*	60%	*	*	*	*	*	*	73%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	85%	91%	*	*	*	*	82%	*	*	*	*	*	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	76%	*	*	*	*	*	71%	*	*	*	*	*	71%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	85%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	93%	87%	85%	82%	82%	87%	95%	*	*	*	78%	100%	89%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS						Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	73%	75%	86%	71%	71%	75%	78%	*	*	*	77%	75%	76%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	70%	71%	81%	78%	75%	75%	67%	*	*	*	68%	75%	72%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	98%	93%	100%	90%	80%	93%	79%	*	*	*	88%	82%	90%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	75%	69%	94%	52%	59%	57%	68%	*	*	*	59%	75%	68%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	67%	57%	75%	40%	63%	31%	65%	*	*	*	67%	70%	60%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	66%	67%	58%	36%	54%	50%	62%	*	*	*	82%	*	62%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	58%	63%	*	*	*	*	64%	*	*	*	*	*	59%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	ur Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	43%	49%	33%	44%	*	54%	67%	*	*	*	58%	*	51%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	22%	17%	41%	8%	24%	13%	25%	*	*	*	22%	18%	21%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	31%	30%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	37%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	74%	87%	94%	*	73%	*	90%	*	*	*	*	*	87%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	62%	60%	61%	68%	43%	*	72%	*	*	*	75%	67%	63%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	90%	86%	91%	87%	88%	93%	94%	*	*	*	90%	91%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	84%	86%	91%	91%	76%	93%	91%	*	*	*	87%	92%	88%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	27%	50%	33%	24%	50%	*	26%	*	*	*	40%	*	35%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	8.8	8.8	8.7	9.0	8.7	9.1	9.1	*	*	*	8.3	9.0	8.8

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	*	*	*	72%	83%	89%	*	82%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	*	72%	67%	65%	69%	68%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				Age						
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All	
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	*	*	*	96%	96%	91%	86%	94%	
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	*	*	*	85%	87%	80%	77%	83%	
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	*	*	*	74%	78%	86%	69%	78%	
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	*	85%	82%	84%	63%	82%	
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	*	*	*	93%	98%	98%	100%	96%	

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER								Age							
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All						
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	*	*	*	83%	79%	86%	89%	83%						
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	*	*	*	77%	78%	81%	60%	77%						
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	*	83%	75%	85%	70%	80%						
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	*	*	*	86%	90%	90%	80%	88%						
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	*	*	*	94%	88%	88%	80%	89%						

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	*	*	*	89%	91%	96%	75%	91%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	*	*	*	82%	91%	90%	91%	87%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	*	*	*	94%	97%	97%	100%	97%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				Age						
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	*	86%	88%	86%	69%	85%	
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	*	*	*	79%	79%	86%	68%	80%	
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	*	*	*	83%	82%	93%	75%	85%	
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	*	*	*	44%	42%	57%	31%	47%	

CARE PLANNING				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	*	*	*	75%	74%	80%	67%	75%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	*	*	*	97%	96%	100%	*	97%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	*	*	*	96%	100%	94%	*	97%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Age										
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All		
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	*	*	*	97%	94%	96%	85%	95%		
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	*	*	*	77%	82%	79%	58%	78%		
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	*	*	*	76%	84%	68%	55%	74%		

HOSPITAL CARE				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	*	*	*	74%	85%	79%	*	78%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	*	*	*	74%	69%	72%	*	70%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	*	*	*	85%	75%	66%	*	72%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	*	*	*	65%	74%	62%	*	66%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	*	*	*	64%	74%	63%	*	67%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	*	*	*	88%	87%	91%	*	87%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	*	*	*	91%	93%	89%	*	88%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	*	*	*	94%	87%	92%	*	91%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	*	*	*	80%	87%	86%	67%	83%

YOUR TREATMENT				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	*	*	*	88%	92%	87%	*	89%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	*	*	*	84%	83%	82%	*	83%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	*	*	*	88%	97%	91%	*	93%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	*	*	*	60%	83%	*	74%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	*	92%	*	*	85%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	*	*	*	77%	82%	83%	*	78%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	*	*	*	71%	67%	86%	*	73%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	*	*	*	72%	84%	82%	*	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	*	*	*	*	58%	76%	*	71%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	*	83%	*	*	85%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	*	*	*	89%	85%	95%	79%	89%

<b>IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC</b>	MMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	*	*	*	81%	75%	78%	50%	76%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	*	*	73%	72%	77%	53%	72%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	*	*	89%	92%	86%	90%	90%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	*	*	*	69%	68%	68%	62%	68%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	*	*	*	61%	62%	61%	33%	60%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	*	*	*	63%	58%	65%	83%	62%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	*	*	64%	63%	58%	*	59%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	*	*	*	51%	56%	55%	*	51%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	*	*	*	19%	19%	28%	6%	21%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	*	*	29%	44%	43%	*	37%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	*	*	*	85%	89%	84%	*	87%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	*	*	*	59%	66%	68%	55%	63%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	*	*	*	87%	92%	92%	81%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	*	*	*	89%	88%	88%	89%	88%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	*	*	*	30%	32%	40%	*	35%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	*	*	*	9.0	9.0	8.8	8.0	8.8

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	83%	83%	*	*	*	*	82%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	65%	70%	*	*	*	*	68%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	97%	92%	*	*	*	90%	94%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	85%	83%	*	*	*	73%	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	81%	76%	*	*	*	70%	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	87%	79%	*	*	*	64%	82%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	96%	97%	*	*	*	100%	96%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	82%	82%	*	*	*	92%	83%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	80%	76%	*	*	*	64%	77%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	84%	79%	*	*	*	57%	80%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	88%	88%	*	*	*	71%	88%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	87%	90%	*	*	*	90%	89%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	91%	92%	*	*	*	100%	91%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	85%	88%	*	*	*	100%	87%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	97%	*	*	*	100%	97%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	86%	85%	*	*	*	73%	85%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	82%	80%	*	*	*	67%	80%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	89%	82%	*	*	*	80%	85%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	44%	52%	*	*	*	*	47%

CARE PLANNING				Male/Fema	Female/Non-binary/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	73%	78%	*	*	*	70%	75%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	95%	99%	*	*	*	*	97%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	100%	95%	*	*	*	*	97%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	95%	95%	*	*	*	91%	95%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	79%	78%	*	*	*	75%	78%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	78%	72%	*	*	*	*	74%

HOSPITAL CARE				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	69%	86%	*	*	*	*	78%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	71%	69%	*	*	*	*	70%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	77%	68%	*	*	*	*	72%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	59%	72%	*	*	*	*	66%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	63%	71%	*	*	*	*	67%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to nelp the patient control pain	86%	88%	*	*	*	*	87%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	83%	93%	*	*	*	*	88%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	96%	86%	*	*	*	*	91%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and ears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	82%	84%	*	*	*	82%	83%

YOUR TREATMENT				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	91%	87%	*	*	*	*	89%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	93%	76%	*	*	*	*	83%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	93%	92%	*	*	*	*	93%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	78%	70%	*	*	*	*	74%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	100%	80%	*	*	*	*	85%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	79%	78%	*	*	*	*	78%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	69%	76%	*	*	*	*	73%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	84%	77%	*	*	*	*	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	75%	68%	*	*	*	*	71%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	80%	87%	*	*	*	*	85%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	89%	87%	*	*	*	100%	89%

<b>IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT</b>	ΓS			Male/Fema	ile/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	78%	75%	*	*	*	64%	76%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	71%	73%	*	*	*	58%	72%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	94%	88%	*	*	*	73%	90%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	79%	61%	*	*	*	*	68%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	61%	59%	*	*	*	*	60%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female Male Non-binary Prefer to self-describe Prefer not to say Not give						All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	59%	66%	*	*	*	*	62%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	47%	70%	*	*	*	*	59%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	43%	57%	*	*	*	*	51%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	24%	19%	*	*	*	18%	21%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	31%	45%	*	*	*	*	37%		
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	83%	92%	*	*	*	*	87%		
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	67%	61%	*	*	*	*	63%		

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE				Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	88%	92%	*	*	*	*	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	88%	88%	*	*	*	*	88%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	34%	37%	*	*	*	*	35%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.7	8.9	*	*	*	*	8.8

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Ethnicity						
	White Mixed Asian Black Other Not given						All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	83%	*	*	*	*	*	82%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	69%	*	*	*	*	*	68%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	94%	*	*	*	*	*	94%		
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	84%	*	*	*	*	*	83%		
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	78%	*	*	*	*	*	78%		
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	82%	*	*	*	*	*	82%		
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	96%	*	*	*	*	*	96%		

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER		Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	83%	*	*	*	*	*	83%		
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	78%	*	*	*	*	*	77%		
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	81%	*	*	*	*	*	80%		
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	88%	*	*	*	*	*	88%		
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	89%	*	*	*	*	*	89%		

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N	Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	91%	*	*	*	*	*	91%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	86%	*	*	*	*	*	87%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	97%	*	*	*	*	*	97%

<b>DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT</b>		Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	85%	*	*	*	*	*	85%		
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	80%	*	*	*	*	*	80%		
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	85%	*	*	*	*	*	85%		
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	48%	*	*	*	*	*	47%		

CARE PLANNING	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	75%	*	*	*	*	*	75%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	96%	*	*	*	*	*	97%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	97%	*	*	*	*	*	97%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	94%	*	*	*	*	*	95%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	78%	*	*	*	*	*	78%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	75%	*	*	*	*	*	74%

HOSPITAL CARE				Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	78%	*	*	*	*	*	78%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	69%	*	*	*	*	*	70%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	72%	*	*	*	*	*	72%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	66%	*	*	*	*	*	66%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	68%	*	*	*	*	*	67%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	87%	*	*	*	*	*	87%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	87%	*	*	*	*	*	88%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	91%	*	*	*	*	*	91%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	84%	*	*	*	*	*	83%

YOUR TREATMENT				Ethi	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	89%	*	*	*	*	*	89%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	86%	*	*	*	*	*	83%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	93%	*	*	*	*	*	93%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	73%	*	*	*	*	*	74%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	88%	*	*	*	*	*	85%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	78%	*	*	*	*	*	78%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	73%	*	*	*	*	*	73%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	81%	*	*	*	*	*	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	70%	*	*	*	*	*	71%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	84%	*	*	*	*	*	85%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	88%	*	*	*	*	*	89%

<b>IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT</b>	S	Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	76%	*	*	*	*	*	76%		
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	72%	*	*	*	*	*	72%		
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	90%	*	*	*	*	*	90%		
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	69%	*	*	*	*	*	68%		
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	60%	*	*	*	*	*	60%		

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	62%	*	*	*	*	*	62%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	59%	*	*	*	*	*	59%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Ethnicity						
	White Mixed Asian Black Other Not g					Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	52%	*	*	*	*	*	51%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	21%	*	*	*	*	*	21%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER		Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	37%	*	*	*	*	*	37%		
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	87%	*	*	*	*	*	87%		
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	64%	*	*	*	*	*	63%		

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE			Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All			
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	90%	*	*	*	*	*	90%			
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	88%	*	*	*	*	*	88%			
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	35%	*	*	*	*	*	35%			
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.8	*	*	*	*	*	8.8			

# **IMD** quintile tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	ORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE			IMD Quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All		
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	77%	84%	83%	80%	*	82%		
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	45%	72%	72%	65%	66%	*	68%		

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	94%	92%	91%	95%	100%	*	94%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	82%	74%	89%	75%	95%	*	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	76%	74%	77%	86%	73%	*	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	94%	86%	81%	80%	75%	*	82%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	100%	92%	100%	93%	95%	*	96%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER		IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	94%	79%	82%	83%	85%	*	83%	
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	84%	72%	74%	83%	80%	*	77%	
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	84%	75%	79%	85%	78%	*	80%	
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	89%	88%	85%	91%	88%	*	88%	
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	82%	88%	89%	92%	86%	*	89%	

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			IMD Quintil			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	100%	89%	94%	87%	92%	*	91%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	94%	80%	90%	85%	93%	*	87%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	100%	96%	96%	96%	97%	*	97%

# **IMD** quintile tables

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	95%	85%	85%	85%	83%	*	85%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	79%	73%	83%	82%	83%	*	80%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	100%	85%	86%	84%	81%	*	85%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	35%	54%	52%	44%	*	47%

CARE PLANNING	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	81%	70%	77%	75%	78%	*	75%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	100%	94%	96%	100%	95%	*	97%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	100%	98%	96%	93%	*	97%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF			IMD Quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	89%	94%	95%	95%	97%	*	95%	
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	89%	76%	79%	78%	73%	*	78%	
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	81%	80%	71%	67%	82%	*	74%	

HOSPITAL CARE	IMD Quintile							
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	100%	71%	74%	79%	83%	*	78%	
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	52%	69%	76%	71%	*	70%	
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	65%	67%	81%	75%	*	72%	
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	90%	58%	63%	72%	63%	*	66%	
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	90%	63%	61%	72%	67%	*	67%	
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	100%	79%	84%	92%	91%	*	87%	
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	100%	84%	85%	86%	96%	*	88%	
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	100%	90%	92%	86%	92%	*	91%	
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	88%	80%	90%	81%	72%	*	83%	

# **IMD** quintile tables

YOUR TREATMENT		IMD Quintile							
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All		
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	93%	82%	92%	86%	93%	*	89%		
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	79%	87%	82%	84%	*	83%		
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	90%	93%	89%	100%	*	93%		
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	76%	80%	70%	*	74%		
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	*	*	85%		
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	79%	73%	81%	71%	85%	*	78%		
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	79%	79%	68%	63%	*	73%		
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	79%	83%	68%	100%	*	81%		
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	*	75%	67%	*	*	71%		
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	*	*	85%		
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	89%	87%	86%	91%	93%	*	89%		

MMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS					le				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All		
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	78%	67%	80%	73%	80%	*	76%		
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	63%	65%	77%	76%	66%	*	72%		
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	100%	91%	86%	89%	94%	*	90%		
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	72%	68%	66%	70%	69%	*	68%		
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	73%	55%	65%	57%	52%	*	60%		

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	57%	54%	69%	67%	54%	*	62%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	60%	62%	63%	*	*	59%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	YOUR GP PRACTICE				IMD Quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All		
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	55%	49%	62%	40%	44%	*	51%		
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	47%	8%	24%	22%	19%	*	21%		

# **IMD** quintile tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER				IMD Quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	36%	44%	27%	*	*	37%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	86%	84%	84%	95%	*	87%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	64%	67%	63%	63%	60%	*	63%

OUR OVERALL NHS CARE				IMD Quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	94%	86%	88%	90%	94%	*	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	94%	84%	89%	89%	87%	*	88%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	30%	47%	33%	31%	32%	*	35%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	9.3	8.6	8.9	8.8	8.8	*	8.8

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	83%	84%	*	82%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	65%	74%	*	68%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	91%	99%	92%	94%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	81%	89%	86%	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	77%	78%	85%	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	90%	77%	82%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	96%	98%	92%	96%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	85%	76%	87%	83%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	76%	81%	63%	77%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	86%	63%	80%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	88%	89%	75%	88%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	90%	87%	92%	89%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	SON Long term condition status				
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	91%	91%	100%	91%	
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	84%	93%	92%	87%	
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	99%	92%	97%	

<b>DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT</b>	Long term condition status				
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	82%	91%	83%	85%	
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	79%	83%	77%	80%	
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	84%	86%	92%	85%	
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	43%	57%	*	47%	

CARE PLANNING	Long term condition status				
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	72%	83%	73%	75%	
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	95%	100%	*	97%	
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	96%	100%	*	97%	

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF		Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	94%	96%	100%	95%	
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	75%	83%	85%	78%	
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	70%	82%	*	74%	

HOSPITAL CARE	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	77%	77%	*	78%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	68%	72%	*	70%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	72%	74%	*	72%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	64%	70%	*	66%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	64%	74%	*	67%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	82%	97%	*	87%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	87%	89%	*	88%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	90%	95%	*	91%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	82%	85%	83%	83%

YOUR TREATMENT Long term condition status					
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	86%	96%	*	89%	
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	79%	93%	*	83%	
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	92%	93%	*	93%	
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	70%	84%	*	74%	
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	88%	*	*	85%	
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	73%	89%	*	78%	
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	69%	78%	*	73%	
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	80%	83%	*	81%	
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	68%	81%	*	71%	
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	81%	*	*	85%	
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	89%	88%	92%	89%	

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS  Long term condition status					
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	74%	79%	73%	76%	
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	70%	76%	67%	72%	
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	88%	92%	91%	90%	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	69%	69%	*	68%	
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	56%	65%	*	60%	

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	61%	64%	*	62%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	51%	73%	*	59%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	50%	54%	*	51%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	19%	25%	18%	21%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	38%	30%	*	37%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	82%	98%	*	87%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	63%	69%	*	63%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	88%	92%	90%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	85%	92%	100%	88%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	32%	42%	*	35%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.6	9.2	8.9	8.8

