

Cancer Patient Experience Survey

2022 Results

University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust

Published July 2023

The Cancer Patient Experience Survey is undertaken by Picker on behalf of NHS England

Executive Summary

Questions Above Expected Range

	Case	Mix Adjusted S	Scores	
	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	95%	90%	95%	92%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	89%	70%	86%	78%
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	92%	87%	92%	90%

Questions Below Expected Range

	Case	Mix Adjusted S	Scores	
	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	74%	75%	82%	78%

Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2022 is the 12th iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2022 survey involved 133 NHS Trusts. Out of 115,662 people, 61,268 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 53%.

Methodology

Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2022. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between November 2022 and February 2023.

As in the previous seven years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allow us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a Trust is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, ethnicity, deprivation, and cancer type.

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the Trust. Casemix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

Scoring methodology

Sixty-one questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q59), the score shows the percentage of respondents who gave the most favourable response to a question. For Q59, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

Please note that following a review of the scoring methodology, a change was made to the scoring of Q12 such that the response option "No, I was told by letter or email" is no longer considered neutral.

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2022 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by chance.

Suppression

Data is suppressed for two reasons: to ensure unreliable results based on very small numbers of respondents are not released, and to prevent individuals being identifiable in the data.

In cases where a result is based on fewer than 10 responses, the result has been suppressed. For example, where fewer than 10 people answered a question from a particular Trust, the results are not shown for that question for that Trust.

Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2022

University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust

For Trusts with an eligible population of 1,000 or fewer, data relating to the respondent and their condition has been suppressed where 5 people or fewer were in a particular category. In instances where only one has been suppressed, the next lowest category has been suppressed to prevent back calculation from the total number of responses.

Additional suppression

Additional suppression happens if only **one** Trust has a score suppressed. If this happens, we will suppress another Trust's results (both the Trust level and subgroup results for the question) based on the next lowest number of respondents for the score. We do this so that the national score cannot be used to work out the score for the individual Trust.

The same rule applies to groups in each subgroup breakdown. For example, if only **one** Trust has the 85+ age group suppressed for Q25 we will need to suppress another Trust's results for the 85+ age group on Q25. This suppression is based on the 85+ age group with the next lowest number of respondents for Q25.

Understanding the results

This report shows how this Trust scored for each question in the survey compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual Trusts to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

Expected range charts

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (within the grey bar) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this Trust.

Trusts whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the Trust performs better than what Trusts of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the Trust's size and demographics.

Comparability tables

The comparability tables show the 2021 and 2022 unadjusted scores for this Trust for each scored question. If there is a statistically significant change from 2021 an arrow will be presented for the direction of change.

The adjusted 2022 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

Sub-group breakdowns

Unadjusted scores are shown for tumour type, Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, IMD quintile, longterm condition status and ethnicity breakdowns. Unadjusted scores for the same sub-group across different Trusts may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

Tumour type tables

The tumour type tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

Age group tables

The age group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the eight age groups.

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

These tables show the unadjusted scores for the following groups male; female; non-binary; prefer to self-describe; and prefer not to say.

Ethnicity tables

The ethnicity tables show the unadjusted scores for six ethnicity groups.

Long-term condition status tables

The long-term condition status tables show the unadjusted scores for two groups: those who indicate they have one or more long term conditions and those who indicate that they have no long-term conditions.

IMD quintile tables

The IMD quintile tables show the unadjusted scores for five quintiles based on relative disadvantage, with quintile 1 being the most deprived and quintile 5 being the least deprived.

Year on year charts

The year on year charts show two columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last two years (2021 and 2022) for each scored question.

Further information

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2012; certificate number GB08/74322). The 2022 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2022 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at <u>www.ncpes.co.uk</u>. For all other outputs at Trust level, please see the Excel tables and dashboards at <u>www.ncpes.co.uk</u>.

Response Rate

Overall Response Rate

589 patients responded out of a total of 1,146 patients, resulting in a response rate of 51%.

	Sample Size	Adjusted Sample	Completed	Response Rate
Overall response rate	1,248	1,146	589	51%
National	123,632	115,662	61,268	53%

Respondents by Survey Type

	Number of Respondents
Paper	466
Online	122
Phone	1
Translation Service	0
Total	589

Respondents by Tumour Group

	Number of Respondents
Brain / CNS	1
Breast	112
Colorectal / LGT	71
Gynaecological	40
Haematological	118
Head and Neck	32
Lung	62
Prostate	21
Sarcoma	2
Skin	49
Upper Gastro	38
Urological	23
Other	20
Total	589

Respondents by Ethnicity

	Number of Respondents
White	
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	513
Irish	*
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	*
Any other White background	17
Mixed / Multiple Ethnicity	
White and Black Caribbean	*
White and Black African	*
White and Asian	*
Any other Mixed / multiple ethnic background	*
Asian or Asian British	
Indian	*
Pakistani	*
Bangladeshi	*
Chinese	*
Any other Asian background	*
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British	
African	*
Caribbean	6
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	*
Other Ethnicity	
Arab	*
Any other ethnic group	*
Not given	I
Not given	36
Total	589

Lower Expected Range Within Expected Range The left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all Trust		right ou	••	•	ed Rang bars is t		est scor			isted Score Il Trusts.)
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80% 5%	90% 10	0%
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twi before cancer diagnosis	се								●		
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand							6	€7% ◆			
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90% 10	0%
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance										95%	
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient									85	%	
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right								749 •			
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand									78% ◆		
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results										95% ◆	
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90% 10	0%
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer of friend with them when told diagnosis	or							72%			
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancel	r							72% ♦			
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand								75			
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place									84	%	
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis									83%	0	
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90% 10	0%
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team										93% ◆	
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person									85		
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	or									95%	

Lower Expected Range Within Expected Range The left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all Trusts		right ou	••	Expecte e of the					vix Adju ved of a		
 DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options 		10%	20%	30%	40%	50% 50% ♦	60%	70%	80% 839 ♦ 80% ♦		100%
CARE PLANNING Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	0% ir	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70% 68% ◆	80%	95	100% 5% 98%
 SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits 	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70% 74 72%		90% 91% ◆	100%
 HOSPITAL CARE Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospita Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while i hospital Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case 	in	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%		70% 58% ◆ 72% ◆ 75 6% ◆	i%	38% ♦ 90% 90%	100%

Lower Expected Range Within Expected Range The left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all Trusts		right ou		Expect e of the		-			Vix Adju ved of a		
YOUR TREATMENT	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery										90% ◆	
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy									8	6% ◆	
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy										89% ♦	
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy								7	′7% ♦		
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy									84	.%	
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery										88% ◆	
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with chemotherapy									79% ♦		
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with radiotherapy									839 ¢	%	
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with hormone therapy								7	6% ♦		
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with immunotherapy									79% ♦		
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit or cancer treatment was about right										89%	
MMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand								75	5% •		
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	h							72% ♦	, D		
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	It									89% •	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their reatment							60% ♦				
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing he impact of any long-term side effects	9					50	6% ♦				
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
249. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the nformation needed to help care for the patient at home							62% ♦	0			
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care ar support at home from community or voluntary services	nd					48%					

Lower Expected Range Within Expected Rang The left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all Trust		right ou	Upper ter edge	•			<pre> est scor </pre>		Mix Adju ved of a		
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	n		22%		45	5% •					
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice			•								
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary service	es			3	6% ◆						
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment									83% •	6	
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	/						6	6% ◆			
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q56. The whole care team worked well together										92%	6
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good									8	67% ◆	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patier	ıt				4	6% ◆					
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good										8.9	

Comparability tables

Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

▲ or ▼

Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

** No score available for 2021.

		Una	djusted S	Case N							
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	Nationa Score		
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	296	77%	296	75%		76%	73%	82%	78%		
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	402	68%	394	65%		67%	61%	70%	65%		
		Una	djusted S	cores		Case M	Case Mix Adjusted Scores				
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	Nationa Score		
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	455	93%	444	95%		95%	90%	95%	92%		
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	470	84%	473	84%		85%	80%	87%	83%		
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	472	80%	470	73%		74%	75%	82%	78%		
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	470	80%	469	78%		78%	74%	82%	78%		
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	475	94%	473	94%		95%	93%	97%	95%		
		Una	djusted S	cores		Case M	1ix Adjuste	d Scores			
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	Nationa Score		
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	548	65%	546	71%		72%	71%	81%	76%		
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	576	72%	577	71%		72%	70%	77%	74%		
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	575	75%	579	74%		75%	73%	80%	76%		
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	572	84%	577	83%		84%	82%	88%	85%		
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	507	84%	518	83%		83%	80%	87%	84%		
		Una	djusted S	cores		Case M	1ix Adjuste	d Scores			
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower	Upper Expected Range	Nationa Score		
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	556	93%	560	93%		93%	88%	95%	91%		
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	473	89%	474	85%		85%	79%	88%	84%		
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	493	97%	501	95%		95%	94%	97%	95%		

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

▲ or ▼ Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

** No score available for 2021.

		Unad	djusted So		Case M				
DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	540	82%	544	83%		83%	79%	85%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	575	77%	576	80%		80%	76%	83%	79%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	473	74%	491	77%		78%	76%	84%	80%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	270	53%	281	49%		50%	46%	58%	52%
		Unad	djusted So	cores		Case M	lix Adjuste	d Scores	
CARE PLANNING	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	530	72%	506	68%		68%	67%	75%	71%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	301	93%	297	95%		95%	90%	96%	93%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	238	98%	233	98%		98%	97%	100%	99%
		Una	djusted So	ores		Case M			
SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	502	93%	506	91%		91%	87%	93%	90%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	573	75%	581	73%		74%	72%	79%	76%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	316	74%	336	73%		72%	59%	76%	67%
		Unad	djusted So	cores		Case M			
HOSPITAL CARE	2021	2021	2022	2022	Change	2022	Lower	Upper	National
	n	Score	n	Score	2021- 2022	Score	Expected Range	Expected Range	Score
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	260	80%	238	80%		81%	73%	84%	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	209	66%	186	68%		68%	59%	72%	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	256	73%	235	71%		72%	64%	75%	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	255	76%	231	74%		75%	66%	79%	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	248	71%	226	66%		66%	58%	70%	64%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	233	91%	202	87%		88%	79%	89%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	259	89%	238	90%		90%	84%	92%	88%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	256	90%	230	90%		90%	84%	92%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	497	78%	510	82%		82%	75%	82%	78%

Comparability tables

Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable

Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable

Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable

Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable

information about progress with hormone therapy

information about progress with surgery

information about progress with chemotherapy

information about progress with radiotherapy

▲ or **V**

Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper

89%

83%

86%

82%

National

Score

89%

85%

88%

79%

84%

85%

79%

81%

72%

** No score available for 2021.

							ted Range	
		Una	djusted S	cores		Case N	lix Adjuste	d Scores
YOUR TREATMENT	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	308	89%	282	90%		90%	86%	93%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	322	82%	311	86%		86%	81%	89%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	205	87%	178	89%		89%	84%	93%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	107	70%	79	73%		77%	70%	88%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	107	82%	114	83%		84%	77%	91%

303

323

203

106

86%

79%

82%

73%

279

313

173

79

88%

79%

83%

73%

88%

79%

83%

76%

81%

74%

75%

63%

Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	106	75%	111	79%		79%	72%	87%	80%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	559	90%	570	89%		89%	70%	86%	78%
		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	ix Adjuste	d Scores	
IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	545	75%	553	75%		75%	71%	78%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	517	75%	529	72%		72%	65%	73%	69%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	421	88%	447	89%		89%	83%	89%	86%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	520	57%	517	59%		60%	55%	63%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	455	54%	454	55%		56%	48%	58%	53%

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	ix Adjusted	d Scores	
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	388	54%	365	62%		62%	52%	64%	58%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	194	49%	204	47%		48%	44%	59%	51%

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

▲ or ▼

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

** No score available for 2021.

Change 2021-2022: Indicates where 2022 score is significantly higher or lower than 2021 score.

		Una	djusted So	cores		Case N	lix Adjuste	d Scores	
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	302	50%	327	45%		45%	38%	51%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	544	16%	540	21%		22%	17%	24%	21%
		Una	djusted So	cores		Case M	lix Adjuste	d Scores	
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	136	31%	116	35%		36%	23%	40%	31%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	256	80%	279	82%		83%	73%	83%	78%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	457	63%	458	66%		66%	58%	67%	62%
		Una	djusted So	cores		Case N	lix Adjuste	d Scores	
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	2021 n	2021 Score	2022 n	2022 Score	Change 2021- 2022	2022 Score	Lower Expected Range	Upper Expected Range	National Score
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	552	91%	540	92%		92%	87%	92%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	566	87%	575	87%		87%	83%	91%	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	352	51%	373	46%		46%	33%	53%	43%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	548	9.0	557	8.9		8.9	8.7	9.1	8.9

Tumour type tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	81%	72%	84%	52%	76%	76%	*	*	97%	77%	53%	75%	75%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	71%	72%	70%	58%	60%	71%	73%	*	69%	54%	47%	62%	65%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS							Tumo	our Typ	e					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	91%	98%	97%	100%	97%	98%	100%	*	87%	83%	95%	89%	95%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	84%	82%	88%	79%	87%	86%	94%	*	85%	84%	85%	94%	84%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	63%	74%	75%	83%	87%	78%	88%	*	71%	65%	60%	56%	73%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	74%	85%	75%	76%	78%	84%	76%	*	75%	84%	70%	82%	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	98%	93%	94%	96%	90%	98%	94%	*	93%	94%	85%	83%	94%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER							Tumo	our Typ	be					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	67%	76%	84%	71%	69%	70%	76%	*	63%	74%	50%	82%	71%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	71%	71%	75%	72%	74%	69%	76%	*	71%	69%	50%	70%	71%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	71%	78%	79%	66%	78%	82%	81%	*	73%	68%	73%	89%	74%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	91%	82%	92%	77%	88%	84%	86%	*	88%	75%	59%	75%	83%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	87%	84%	83%	83%	86%	74%	83%	*	84%	81%	63%	89%	83%

Tumour type tables

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N						Tumo	our Ty	be					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	95%	92%	97%	95%	94%	82%	90%	*	89%	97%	95%	90%	93%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	74%	83%	86%	94%	93%	87%	76%	*	91%	85%	85%	88%	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	93%	95%	91%	99%	97%	98%	94%	*	95%	91%	95%	94%	95%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT							Tumo	our Typ	be					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	79%	86%	88%	78%	93%	88%	76%	*	78%	85%	82%	94%	83%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	72%	82%	87%	83%	91%	80%	76%	*	80%	69%	78%	80%	80%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	67%	82%	79%	84%	81%	86%	71%	*	70%	77%	65%	82%	77%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	44%	50%	16%	54%	81%	58%	40%	*	64%	46%	30%	50%	49%

CARE PLANNING							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	62%	76%	70%	72%	85%	71%	69%	*	57%	57%	60%	81%	68%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	91%	94%	93%	97%	95%	93%	*	*	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	98%	100%	91%	98%	100%	100%	*	*	94%	94%	*	*	98%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF							Tumo	our Ty	се					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	85%	95%	89%	93%	96%	90%	95%	*	93%	87%	93%	88%	91%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	67%	73%	68%	81%	94%	75%	76%	*	65%	76%	57%	79%	73%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	70%	80%	85%	67%	90%	68%	*	*	82%	63%	64%	67%	73%

Tumour type tables

*

HOSPITAL CARE							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	68%	76%	65%	87%	92%	83%	*	*	*	77%	*	*	80%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	56%	65%	63%	65%	93%	72%	*	*	*	69%	*	*	68%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	62%	73%	59%	61%	92%	74%	*	*	*	67%	*	*	71%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	50%	75%	65%	73%	84%	79%	*	*	*	69%	*	*	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	53%	73%	57%	62%	83%	62%	*	*	*	69%	*	*	66%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	75%	92%	81%	73%	100%	91%	*	*	*	100%	*	*	87%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	81%	89%	91%	85%	100%	93%	*	*	*	92%	*	*	90%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	85%	86%	77%	93%	91%	95%	*	*	*	100%	*	*	90%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	77%	87%	82%	85%	93%	80%	86%	*	86%	81%	63%	76%	82%

YOUR TREATMENT							Tumo	our Ty	се					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	90%	90%	94%	*	92%	97%	*	*	77%	100%	*	*	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	88%	80%	71%	83%	*	86%	92%	*	*	96%	92%	82%	86%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	90%	82%	88%	90%	81%	94%	90%	*	*	*	*	*	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	67%	*	*	*	*	*	86%	*	*	*	*	*	73%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	73%	*	*	91%	*	88%	*	*	78%	*	82%	*	83%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	90%	90%	84%	*	92%	91%	*	*	84%	89%	*	*	88%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	76%	76%	80%	78%	*	78%	83%	*	*	88%	85%	73%	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	84%	88%	94%	84%	77%	83%	70%	*	*	*	*	*	83%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	70%	*	*	*	*	*	71%	*	*	*	*	*	73%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	70%	*	*	91%	*	81%	*	*	72%	*	81%	*	79%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	91%	81%	85%	87%	94%	87%	95%	*	90%	91%	91%	95%	89%

Tumour type tables

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS						Tumo	our Typ	be					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	70%	80%	77%	75%	81%	79%	67%	*	69%	77%	76%	85%	75%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	62%	78%	73%	73%	89%	70%	68%	*	73%	79%	70%	71%	72%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	84%	97%	94%	89%	95%	84%	94%	*	84%	90%	80%	92%	89%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	49%	71%	50%	63%	74%	58%	47%	*	73%	52%	48%	63%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	44%	61%	55%	58%	80%	64%	37%	*	59%	54%	42%	54%	55%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME							Tumo	our Typ	ре					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	47%	73%	65%	63%	82%	65%	53%	*	61%	62%	38%	*	62%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	44%	68%	36%	31%	85%	35%	*	*	46%	53%	40%	*	47%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	our Ty	pe					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	41%	50%	50%	51%	50%	43%	64%	*	43%	29%	45%	23%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	17%	27%	28%	19%	36%	24%	26%	*	16%	25%	14%	11%	21%

Tumour type tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER							Tumo	our Typ	be					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	21%	50%	40%	27%	62%	27%	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	62%	81%	80%	88%	89%	80%	*	*	96%	94%	*	*	82%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	56%	71%	59%	74%	75%	57%	58%	*	78%	52%	88%	71%	66%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE							Tumo	our Typ	e					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and Neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper Gastro	Urological	Other	All Cancers
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	89%	92%	92%	95%	97%	93%	95%	*	96%	91%	76%	94%	92%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	83%	90%	75%	89%	84%	90%	100%	*	83%	89%	87%	95%	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	48%	35%	25%	63%	50%	37%	69%	*	41%	44%	37%	42%	46%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	8.6	8.9	8.9	9.2	9.4	8.7	9.2	*	9.0	8.8	8.8	8.9	8.9

Age group tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	*	76%	70%	70%	74%	81%	*	75%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	83%	71%	60%	62%	65%	64%	65%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	*	80%	94%	95%	96%	96%	83%	95%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	*	71%	84%	85%	86%	85%	92%	84%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	*	62%	51%	73%	73%	83%	83%	73%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	76%	73%	71%	82%	83%	85%	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	*	86%	94%	94%	96%	94%	100%	94%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER										
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	*	72%	72%	67%	71%	71%	100%	71%	
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	*	55%	79%	69%	70%	74%	80%	71%	
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	62%	73%	66%	77%	80%	87%	74%	
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	*	72%	84%	84%	81%	86%	100%	83%	
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	*	79%	84%	87%	82%	78%	86%	83%	

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team $% \left({{\left[{{{\rm{A}}} \right]}_{{\rm{A}}}}} \right)$	*	*	97%	95%	94%	92%	89%	92%	93%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	*	63%	88%	85%	86%	87%	100%	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	*	93%	90%	97%	96%	96%	91%	95%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	64%	87%	81%	81%	86%	100%	83%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	*	57%	74%	80%	82%	82%	86%	80%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	*	52%	80%	72%	81%	82%	82%	77%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	*	*	16%	45%	52%	52%	53%	*	49%

Age group tables

CARE PLANNING				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	*	40%	74%	58%	75%	71%	92%	68%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	*	83%	89%	97%	96%	97%	*	95%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	*	*	100%	98%	97%	98%	*	98%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Age											
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All			
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	*	85%	90%	94%	90%	91%	83%	91%			
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	*	53%	67%	72%	78%	76%	73%	73%			
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	*	72%	77%	74%	75%	66%	*	73%			

HOSPITAL CARE				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	*	60%	58%	78%	81%	90%	*	80%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	*	*	63%	76%	65%	67%	*	68%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	*	40%	63%	65%	72%	86%	*	71%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	*	60%	71%	66%	73%	83%	*	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	*	*	67%	67%	68%	63%	*	66%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	*	*	75%	81%	93%	95%	*	87%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	*	60%	88%	90%	93%	92%	*	90%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	*	70%	87%	92%	91%	90%	*	90%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	*	76%	74%	87%	81%	84%	85%	82%

Age group tables

YOUR TREATMENT				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	*	82%	97%	82%	94%	95%	*	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	*	80%	82%	85%	85%	90%	*	86%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	*	83%	96%	83%	86%	98%	*	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	30%	85%	80%	83%	67%	*	73%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	77%	88%	94%	76%	*	83%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	*	*	71%	91%	85%	92%	89%	*	88%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	*	*	80%	79%	74%	83%	77%	*	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	*	*	91%	91%	78%	83%	83%	*	83%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	*	50%	85%	80%	72%	69%	*	73%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	*	*	*	82%	80%	85%	77%	*	79%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	*	73%	89%	87%	89%	94%	92%	89%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS			Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	*	59%	73%	76%	77%	74%	82%	75%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	50%	73%	70%	75%	74%	64%	72%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	81%	85%	89%	91%	90%	*	89%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	*	22%	65%	61%	61%	57%	73%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	*	27%	65%	55%	58%	52%	*	55%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Age										
	16 - 24 25 - 34 35 - 44 45 - 54 55 - 64 65 - 74 75 - 84 85+										
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	*	43%	53%	66%	61%	67%	64%	62%		
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	50%	32%	45%	46%	52%	*	47%		

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Age										
	16 - 24 25 - 34 35 - 44 45 - 54 55 - 64 65 - 74 75 - 84 85+ All										
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	*	47%	59%	41%	41%	44%	*	45%		
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	*	19%	22%	18%	29%	17%	9%	21%		

Age group tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	33%	41%	26%	45%	29%	*	35%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	*	50%	79%	82%	86%	84%	*	82%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	*	38%	71%	65%	66%	70%	82%	66%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Age										
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All		
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	*	83%	88%	89%	93%	96%	100%	92%		
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	*	79%	84%	83%	88%	89%	93%	87%		
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	*	24%	45%	51%	50%	43%	*	46%		
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	*	7.9	8.6	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.4	8.9		

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	77%	74%	*	*	*	63%	75%		
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	71%	58%	*	*	*	67%	65%		

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other							
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All			
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	93%	96%	*	*	*	96%	95%			
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	86%	84%	*	*	*	79%	84%			
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	70%	75%	*	*	*	86%	73%			
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	77%	*	*	*	93%	78%			
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	94%	95%	*	*	*	93%	94%			

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other							
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All			
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	68%	76%	*	*	*	68%	71%			
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	68%	74%	*	*	*	73%	71%			
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	73%	77%	*	*	*	61%	74%			
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	84%	83%	*	*	*	81%	83%			
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	84%	83%	*	*	*	72%	83%			

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	94%	92%	*	*	*	90%	93%		
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	85%	85%	*	*	*	88%	85%		
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	94%	96%	*	*	*	96%	95%		

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	81%	84%	*	*	*	87%	83%		
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	80%	79%	*	*	*	77%	80%		
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	74%	82%	*	*	*	80%	77%		
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	44%	55%	*	*	*	58%	49%		

CARE PLANNING	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	66%	72%	*	*	*	70%	68%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	95%	96%	*	*	*	93%	95%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	98%	98%	*	*	*	100%	98%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other							
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	89%	95%	*	*	*	86%	91%		
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	71%	77%	*	*	*	77%	73%		
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	73%	78%	*	*	*	50%	73%		

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

HOSPITAL CARE				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	74%	87%	*	*	*	91%	80%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	69%	67%	*	*	*	*	68%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	64%	80%	*	*	*	73%	71%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	71%	77%	*	*	*	82%	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	62%	71%	*	*	*	80%	66%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	86%	88%	*	*	*	91%	87%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	89%	91%	*	*	*	91%	90%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	90%	89%	*	*	*	91%	90%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	82%	84%	*	*	*	73%	82%

YOUR TREATMENT				Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	91%	88%	*	*	*	91%	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	83%	91%	*	*	*	76%	86%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	90%	88%	*	*	*	*	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	69%	88%	*	*	*	*	73%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	83%	85%	*	*	*	*	83%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	90%	84%	*	*	*	91%	88%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	80%	79%	*	*	*	72%	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with radiotherapy	83%	84%	*	*	*	*	83%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with hormone therapy	72%	76%	*	*	*	*	73%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with immunotherapy	79%	83%	*	*	*	*	79%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	88%	91%	*	*	*	81%	89%

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	ΓS		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	76%	75%	*	*	*	69%	75%	
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	70%	77%	*	*	*	62%	72%	
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	88%	91%	*	*	*	87%	89%	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	55%	67%	*	*	*	50%	59%	
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	52%	62%	*	*	*	46%	55%	

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	56%	69%	*	*	*	73%	62%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	45%	51%	*	*	*	45%	47%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Male/Fema	lle/Non-bina	ry/Other	
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	46%	43%	*	*	*	40%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	20%	23%	*	*	*	25%	21%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	32%	43%	*	*	*	*	35%		
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	78%	88%	*	*	*	79%	82%		
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	66%	68%	*	*	*	64%	66%		

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other							
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	90%	94%	*	*	*	96%	92%		
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	85%	90%	*	*	*	84%	87%		
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	45%	45%	*	*	*	59%	46%		
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.8	9.1	*	*	*	8.6	8.9		

Ethnicity tables

*

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	E			Ethnicity				
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	76%	*	*	*	*	71%	75%	
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	66%	*	*	*	*	63%	65%	

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS			Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	94%	*	*	*	*	97%	95%	
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	85%	*	*	*	*	77%	84%	
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	72%	*	*	*	*	84%	73%	
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	*	*	*	*	87%	78%	
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	94%	*	*	*	*	90%	94%	

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER		Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	71%	*	*	*	*	72%	71%		
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	70%	*	*	*	*	71%	71%		
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	75%	*	*	*	*	62%	74%		
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	83%	*	*	*	*	79%	83%		
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	83%	*	*	*	*	69%	83%		

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N	Ethnicity			nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	93%	*	*	*	*	84%	93%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	85%	*	*	*	*	88%	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	95%	*	*	*	*	93%	95%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT		Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	83%	*	*	*	*	82%	83%		
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	80%	*	*	*	*	76%	80%		
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	77%	*	*	*	*	74%	77%		
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	49%	*	*	*	*	50%	49%		

Ethnicity tables

*

CARE PLANNING			Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	68%	*	*	*	*	74%	68%	
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	95%	*	*	*	*	94%	95%	
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	98%	*	*	*	*	100%	98%	

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	ORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF			Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All			
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	91%	*	*	*	*	89%	91%			
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	73%	*	*	*	*	76%	73%			
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	74%	*	*	*	*	45%	73%			

HOSPITAL CARE				Eth	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	80%	*	*	*	*	83%	80%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	69%	*	*	*	*	*	68%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	72%	*	*	*	*	58%	71%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	73%	*	*	*	*	91%	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	66%	*	*	*	*	73%	66%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	87%	*	*	*	*	92%	87%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	90%	*	*	*	*	92%	90%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	89%	*	*	*	*	100%	90%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	82%	*	*	*	*	74%	82%

Ethnicity tables

YOUR TREATMENT				Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	90%	*	*	*	*	87%	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	86%	*	*	*	*	73%	86%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	89%	*	*	*	*	*	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	72%	*	*	*	*	*	73%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	83%	*	*	*	*	*	83%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	88%	*	*	*	*	93%	88%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	79%	*	*	*	*	75%	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	84%	*	*	*	*	*	83%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	74%	*	*	*	*	*	73%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy	81%	*	*	*	*	*	79%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	89%	*	*	*	*	82%	89%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	S			Ethr	nicity		
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	75%	*	*	*	*	72%	75%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	73%	*	*	*	*	57%	72%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	88%	*	*	*	*	89%	89%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	59%	*	*	*	*	55%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	56%	*	*	*	*	54%	55%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME			Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	61%	*	*	*	*	77%	62%		
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	47%	*	*	*	*	38%	47%		

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	45%	*	*	*	*	21%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	21%	*	*	*	*	20%	21%

Ethnicity tables

*

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER			Ethnicity				
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	36%	*	*	*	*	*	35%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	82%	*	*	*	*	72%	82%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	67%	*	*	*	*	68%	66%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	IHS CARE				Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All					
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	92%	*	*	*	*	97%	92%					
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	87%	*	*	*	*	85%	87%					
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	46%	*	*	*	*	50%	46%					
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.9	*	*	*	*	8.6	8.9					

IMD quintile tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE			IMD Quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	82%	72%	68%	76%	75%	*	75%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	59%	64%	62%	70%	67%	*	65%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		IMD Quintile								
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All			
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	97%	92%	93%	95%	96%	*	95%			
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	84%	85%	84%	81%	88%	*	84%			
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	74%	79%	74%	71%	71%	*	73%			
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	76%	77%	76%	82%	*	78%			
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	95%	93%	97%	92%	95%	*	94%			

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	79%	75%	72%	70%	64%	*	71%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	72%	72%	68%	72%	71%	*	71%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	71%	68%	78%	74%	75%	*	74%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	86%	88%	83%	84%	78%	*	83%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	81%	82%	79%	88%	81%	*	83%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N	IMD Quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	89%	98%	90%	94%	92%	*	93%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	86%	74%	83%	91%	87%	*	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	97%	91%	98%	96%	95%	*	95%

IMD quintile tables

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				IMD Quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	82%	77%	82%	87%	82%	*	83%	
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	80%	78%	76%	85%	77%	*	80%	
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	69%	71%	80%	80%	79%	*	77%	
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	48%	39%	46%	58%	49%	*	49%	

CARE PLANNING			IMD Quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	76%	68%	76%	60%	68%	*	68%	
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	95%	93%	94%	100%	92%	*	95%	
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	100%	97%	98%	99%	96%	*	98%	

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF				IMD Quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	91%	86%	94%	94%	88%	*	91%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	73%	71%	77%	72%	74%	*	73%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	79%	55%	67%	81%	77%	*	73%

HOSPITAL CARE				IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	91%	79%	81%	80%	75%	*	80%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	69%	67%	72%	68%	64%	*	68%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	79%	64%	73%	71%	67%	*	71%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	88%	70%	74%	71%	70%	*	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	69%	69%	64%	69%	63%	*	66%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	100%	83%	83%	87%	85%	*	87%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	97%	89%	96%	90%	83%	*	90%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	94%	89%	85%	90%	91%	*	90%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	82%	74%	80%	88%	82%	*	82%

IMD quintile tables

YOUR TREATMENT				IMD Quinti	le		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	89%	90%	88%	88%	93%	*	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	85%	87%	84%	90%	82%	*	86%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	95%	76%	91%	87%	92%	*	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	77%	50%	76%	75%	*	73%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	100%	65%	88%	88%	82%	*	83%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with surgery	86%	88%	90%	87%	88%	*	88%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy	81%	79%	81%	78%	76%	*	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with radiotherapy	86%	72%	88%	78%	87%	*	83%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with hormone therapy	*	83%	42%	86%	76%	*	73%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with immunotherapy	91%	61%	75%	84%	82%	*	79%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	80%	89%	86%	91%	91%	*	89%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS			IMD Quint	ile		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	72%	79%	76%	77%	72%	*	75%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	71%	68%	77%	73%	70%	*	72%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	92%	86%	90%	88%	88%	*	89%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	62%	58%	58%	59%	59%	*	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	65%	48%	60%	53%	53%	*	55%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	IMD Quintile							
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	62%	70%	60%	64%	56%	*	62%	
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	29%	41%	53%	56%	49%	*	47%	

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	IMD Quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	51%	38%	34%	47%	50%	*	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	31%	26%	11%	20%	23%	*	21%

IMD quintile tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER			IMD Quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	32%	33%	20%	48%	36%	*	35%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	72%	79%	80%	89%	82%	*	82%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	59%	67%	65%	67%	69%	*	66%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE				IMD Quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	94%	91%	90%	94%	91%	*	92%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	89%	90%	83%	87%	85%	*	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	44%	42%	45%	55%	42%	*	46%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.9	8.9	9.0	9.0	8.8	*	8.9

Long term condition status tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	72%	82%	63%	75%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	61%	75%	57%	65%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	94%	96%	95%	95%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	82%	88%	85%	84%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	71%	74%	83%	73%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	76%	78%	88%	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	95%	93%	95%	94%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER		Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	72%	70%	66%	71%	
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	70%	72%	73%	71%	
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	74%	76%	66%	74%	
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	85%	81%	77%	83%	
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	84%	83%	69%	83%	

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	ERSON Long term condition status				
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	93%	93%	88%	93%	
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	84%	88%	83%	85%	
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	95%	96%	95%	95%	

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT		Long term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	82%	85%	80%	83%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	81%	79%	76%	80%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	79%	74%	75%	77%
Q23. Patient could get further advice or a second opinion before making decisions about their treatment options	47%	54%	45%	49%

Long term condition status tables

CARE PLANNING				
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	71%	64%	62%	68%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	95%	97%	94%	95%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	97%	99%	100%	98%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF				
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	91%	93%	80%	91%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	71%	77%	71%	73%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	73%	81%	42%	73%

HOSPITAL CARE	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	81%	79%	75%	80%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	68%	71%	56%	68%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	72%	73%	50%	71%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	73%	75%	75%	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	64%	70%	68%	66%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	88%	85%	89%	87%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	88%	92%	95%	90%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	89%	90%	90%	90%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	80%	86%	78%	82%

Long term condition status tables

YOUR TREATMENT		Long term condition	n status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	89%	90%	95%	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	84%	89%	84%	86%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	85%	95%	87%	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	68%	81%	*	73%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	80%	92%	*	83%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with surgery	87%	88%	95%	88%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with chemotherapy	77%	83%	73%	79%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with radiotherapy	80%	88%	80%	83%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with hormone therapy	70%	78%	*	73%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about progress with immunotherapy	79%	84%	*	79%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	88%	90%	87%	89%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS		Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	74%	77%	70%	75%	
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	71%	75%	64%	72%	
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	86%	94%	86%	89%	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	58%	61%	53%	59%	
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	51%	64%	51%	55%	

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME		Long term condition	status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	59%	67%	68%	62%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	40%	60%	59%	47%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	42%	55%	31%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	23%	17%	28%	21%

Long term condition status tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER		Long term condition	status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	38%	31%	30%	35%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	79%	89%	74%	82%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	65%	71%	58%	66%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Long term condition status				
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	91%	94%	95%	92%	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	87%	87%	86%	87%	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	43%	52%	50%	46%	
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.9	9.0	8.8	8.9	

Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

SUPPO	UPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE			
Q2. Patier	Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis			
100%				
80%	370/			
60%	77%	75%		
40% -				
20%				
0%	2021	2022		

Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand				
100%				
80%				
60%	68%		65%	
40%				
20%				
0%	2021		2022	

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance				
93%		95%		
		-		
		_		
		_		
2024		2022		
	information needed abou	93%	93% 93% 93%	

ppeared to completely hav	all the information they needed at	pout the patient	
84%		84%	
		_	
		-	
2021		2022	
		84%	

Q7. Patient felt the length	of time waiting for diagno	stic test results was about right		
100%				
80%	80%			
60%	0070		73%	
40%				
20%				
0%	2021		2022	

*	Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q8. Diag	pnostic test results	were explained in a way	the patient could completely understa	and	
100%					
80%		80%		700/	
60%		00 /6		78%	
40%					
20%					
0%					
0,0		2021		2022	

Q9. Enough privacy was al	ways given to the patient v	n receiving diagnostic test results
100%		
80%	94%	94%
60%		
40%		
20%		
0%	2021	2022

FINDING OUT THA	INDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER			
Q12. Patient was told the	hey could have a family mem	per, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis		
100%				
80%				
60%	65%	71%		
40%				
20%				
0%	2021	2022		
	2021	2022		

Q13. Patient was definite	ly told sensitively that they	had cancer		
100%				
80%				
60%	72%		71%	
40%			-	
20%			-	
0%	2021		2022	

Q14. Cancer diagnosis exp	lained in a way the patie	nt could completely understand	d	
100%				
80%				
60%	75%		74%	
40%				
20%				
0%	2021		2022	

 Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size. 	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.
---	---

Q15. Patient was definite	ely told about their diagnosis i	an appropriate place	
100%			
80%	84%	83%	
60%			
40%			
20%			
0%	2021	2022	

/ could go back later for r	nore information about their diagnosis	à	
84%		83%	
		-	
		-	
		-	
0004		2000	
		84%	84%

SUPPORT FROM A	A MAIN CONTACT PERS	DN
Q17. Patient had a mair	n point of contact within the care	team
100%		
80%	93%	93%
60%		
40%		
20%		
0%		
	2021	2022

Q18. Patient found it v	very or quite easy to contact the	neir main contact person		
100%				
80%	89%		85%	
60%				
40%				
20%				
0%	2021		2022	
	2021		2022	

	ce from main contact person was very or q		
00%	97%	95%	
80%			
60%			
40%			
20%			
0%	2021	2022	

Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

DECIDING ON THE	ECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand					
100%					
80%	82%	83%			
60%					
40%					
20%					
0%	2021	2022			

Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment			
100%			
80%	770/	80%	
60%	77%	0078	
40%			
20%			
0%	2021	2022	

Q22. Family and/or carers	were definitely involved a	nuch as the patient wanted them to be i	n decisions about tr	eatment options
100%				
80%			770/	
60%	74%		77%	
40%				
20%				
0%	2021		2022	

Q23. Patient could get fur	ther advice or a second opi	nion before making decisions about their	treatment options	
100%				
80%				
60%				
40%	53%		49%	
20%				
0%	0004		0000	
	2021		2022	

CARE PLANNING

Q24. Patient was definite	ely able to have a discussio	n about their needs or concerns prior	r to treatment	
100%				
80%				
60%	72%		68%	
40%				
20%			-	
0%	2021		2022	

Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size. The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q25. A member of their c	are team helped the patient cre	ate a care plan to address any needs or concerns
100%		
80%	93%	95%
60%		
40%		
20%		
0%	2021	2022

226. Care team reviewed	the patient's care plan wi	h them to ensure it was up to date		
100%	98%		98%	
80%				
60%			-	
40%			-	
20%				
0%	2021		2022	L

SUPPORT FROM H	OSPITAL STAFF			
Q27. Staff provided the p	patient with relevant inform	ation on available support		
100%				
80%	93%		91%	
60%				
40%				
20%				
0%	0004		0000	
	2021		2022	

Q28. Patient definitely go	ot the right level of support	for their overall health and well being	from hospital staff	
100%				
80%				
60%	75%		73%	
40%				
20%				
0%	0004		0000	
	2021		2022	

Q29. Patient was offered in	nformation about how to	et financial help or benefits		
100%				
80%				
60%	74%		73%	
40%			-	
20%				
0%	2021		2022	

Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

IOSPITAL CARE		
231. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the t	eam looking after them during their stay in hospital	
100%		
80% 80%	80%	
60%		
40%		
20%		
0% 2021	2022	

Q32. Patient's famil	ly, or someone close, was definit	ely able to talk to a member of the tea	am looking after the patie	ent in hospital
100%				
80%				
60%	66%		68%	
40%				
20%				
0%	2021		2022	

Q33. Patient was always in	volved in decisions about their ca	ire and treatment whilst in	n hospital	
100%				
80%				
60%	73%		71%	
40%				
20%				
0%	2021		2022	

Q34. Patient	was always a	ble to get help from ward	staff when needed		
100%					
80%		700/			
60%		76%		74%	
40%					
20%					
0%		2024		2022	
		2021		2022	

Q35. Patient was always a	ble to discuss worries and	ears with hospital staff		
100%				
80%				
60%	71%		66%	
40%				
20%				
0%	2021		2022	

 Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size. 	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.
---	---

Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain					
100%					
80%	91%	87%			
60%					
40%					
20%					
0%	2021	2022			

Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital				
100%				
80%	89%		90%	
60%			-	
40%				
20%				
0%	0004		0000	
	2021		2022	

90%
90%
2022

Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case			
700/	82%		
1070			
2021	2022		
	78% 2021	78%	

YOUR	YOUR TREATMENT						
Q41_1.	Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery						
100%							
80%		89%		90%			
60%							
40%							
20%							
0%		0004		0000			
		2021		2022			


 Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size. 	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.					
Q41_2 Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy						

Q41_2.					
100%					
80%		82%	86%		
60%					
40%					
20%					
0%		2021	2022		

89%
89%
2022

Q41_4. Beforehand patie	ent completely had enough un	lerstandable information a	about hormone therapy	
100%				
80%				
60%	70%		73%	
40%				
20%				
0%	2021		2022	

Q41_5. Beforehand pa	atient completely had enough	understandable information about immunotherapy
100%		
80%	82%	83%
60%		
40%		
20%		
0%	2021	2022
	2021	2022




*	Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q42_2.	Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with chemotherapy			
100%				
80%		79%	79%	
60%		15/0	1970	
40%				
20%				
0%		2021	2022	

had enough understanda	ole information about progress with	radiotherapy	
82%		83%	
		_	
		_	
		_	
2024		2022	
	-	82%	

Q42_4. Patient complet	ely had enough understandable	formation about progress with hormone therapy
100%		
80%		
60%	73%	73%
40%		
20%		
0%	2021	2022

Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about progress with immunotherapy			
100%			
80%		79%	
60%	75%	13/6	
40%			
20%			
0%	2021	2022	
	2021	2022	



Year on Year Charts

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS					
Q44. Possible side effect	s from treatment were definitely	explained in a way the patient could understand			
100%					
80%					
60%	75%	75%			
40%					
20%					
0%	0004				
	2021	2022			

Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment				
100%				
80%				
60%	75%	72%		
40%				
20%				
0%	2021	2022		

Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment			
100%			
80%	88%	89%	
60%			
40%			
20%			
0%	2021	2022	

Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment				
100%				
80%				
60%	F70/		E0 9/	
40%	57%		59%	
20%				
0%				
	2021		2022	

Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects				
100%				
80%				
60%				
40%	54%		55%	
20%				
0%				
	2021		2022	

Year on Year Charts

 Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME

Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home					
100%					
80%					
60%		= 404		62%	
40%		54%			
20%					
0%		2021		2022	

Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services				
100%				
80%				
60%				
40%	49%		47%	
20%			_	
0%	2021		2022	

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				
Q51. Patient definitely rece	eived the right amount of s	pport from their GP practice during treatment		
100%				
80%				
60%				
40%	50%	45%		
20%				
0%	2021	2022		

Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice					
100%					
80%					
60%					
40%	16%	21%			
20%					
0%	2021	2022			

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER

Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services					
100%					
80%					
60%					
40%					
20% 31%	35%				
0% 2021	2022				

Year on Year Charts

 Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.
 The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment				
100%				
80%		80%	82%	
60%		00 /8		
40%				
20%				
0%				
		2021	2022	

Q55. Patient was given er	nough information about the	e possibility and signs of cancer comir	ng back or spreading	
100%				
80%				
60%	63%		66%	
40%				
20%				
0%	2021		2022	

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE Q56. The whole care team worked well together 100% 80% 91% 60% 92% 60% 91% 20% 92% 0% 2021

Q57. Administration of care was very good or good						
100%						
80%	87%		87%			
60%						
40%						
20%						
0%	2024		2022			
	2021		2022			

Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient					
100%					
80%					
60%					
40%	51%	46%			
20%					
0%	2021	2022			

Year on Year Charts

Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good

0

9.0

8.9

9.0

8.9

9.0

9.0

9.0

9.0

9.0

0

2021

2021