

Cancer Patient Experience Survey

2023 Results

Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Published July 2024

Contents

xecutive summary	3
ntroduction	4
Nethodology	4
Inderstanding the results	5
rurther information	7
Response rate	8
expected range charts	10
Comparability tables	14
umour group tables	18
ge group tables	23
Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables	27
thnicity tables	32
MD quintile tables	36
ong-term condition status tables	40
ear on vear charts	44

Executive summary

Questions above expected range

	Case	mix adjusted s	cores	
	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	83%	69%	80%	74%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	91%	81%	90%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	90%	79%	89%	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	86%	75%	85%	80%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	90%	79%	88%	83%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	89%	70%	87%	78%

Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust has no scores below expected range

Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2023 is the thirteenth iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was undertaken by Picker on behalf of NHS England and it was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2023 survey involved 132 NHS Trusts. Out of 121,121 people, 63,428 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 52%.

Methodology

Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2023. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between November 2023 and February 2024.

As in the previous eight years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

Note on question comparability

The questionnaire was redeveloped for the 2021 National Cancer Patient Experience Survey. Year on year comparisons between 2021, 2022 and 2023 are included in this report for most questions. A review of the questionnaire in 2023 saw four changes being made:

- The question text for Q23 and Q42 were amended. These questions are no longer deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.
- The long-term condition question (Q67) was amended to include "Autism or autism spectrum condition" as a response option. And the "Neurological condition" answer option was updated to include an example condition changing it to "Neurological condition, such as epilepsy". These changes see the answer option "Neurological condition, such as epilepsy" as no longer being deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.
- The ethnic group question (Q71) was amended to include "Roma" as an answer option. The ethnic group question is still deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.

Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allow us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a Trust is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, ethnicity, deprivation, and cancer type.

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the Trust. Casemix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

Scoring methodology

Sixty-one questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q59), the score shows the percentage of respondents who gave

the most favourable response to a question. For Q59, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

In 2022, following a review of the scoring methodology, a change was made to the scoring of Q12 such that the response option "No, I was told by letter or email" is no longer considered neutral and is now scored as negative.

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2023 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by chance.

Suppression

Data is suppressed for two reasons: to ensure unreliable results based on very small numbers of respondents are not released, and to prevent individuals being identifiable in the data.

In cases where a result is based on fewer than 10 responses, the result has been suppressed. For example, where fewer than 10 people answered a question from a particular Trust, the results are not shown for that question for that Trust.

For Trusts with an eligible population of 1,000 or fewer, data relating to the respondent and their condition has been suppressed where 5 people or fewer were in a particular category. In instances where only one has been suppressed, the next lowest category has been suppressed to prevent back calculation from the total number of responses.

Additional suppression

Additional suppression happens if only **one** Trust has a score suppressed. If this happens, we will suppress another Trust's results (both the Trust level and sub-group results for the question) based on the next lowest number of respondents for the score. We do this so that the national score cannot be used to work out the score for the individual Trust.

The same rule applies to groups in each sub-group breakdown. For example, if only one Trust has the 85+ age group suppressed for Q25 we will need to suppress another Trust's results for the 85+ age group on Q25. This suppression is based on the 85+ age group with the next lowest number of respondents for Q25.

Understanding the results

This report shows how this Trust scored for each question in the survey compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual Trusts to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

Expected range charts

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (within the grey bar) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this Trust.

Trusts whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the Trust performs better than what Trusts of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the Trust's size and demographics.

Comparability tables

The comparability tables show the 2022 and 2023 unadjusted scores for this Trust for each scored question. The Change 2022-2023 and Change overall columns show whether the scores show a statistically significant variation between years. This is shown between 2022-2023 and as an overall

between 2021-2023. An upwards arrow indicates a statistically significant increase, a downwards arrow indicates a statistically significant decrease and no arrow indicates no statistically significant change.

The adjusted 2023 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

Sub-group breakdowns

Unadjusted scores are shown for tumour group, Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, IMD quintile, long-term condition status and ethnicity breakdowns. Unadjusted scores for the same sub-group across different Trusts may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

Tumour group tables

The tumour group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

Age group tables

The age group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the eight age groups.

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

These tables show the unadjusted scores for the following groups male; female; non-binary; prefer to self-describe; and prefer not to say.

Ethnicity tables

The ethnicity tables show the unadjusted scores for six ethnicity groups.

Long-term condition status tables

The long-term condition status tables show the unadjusted scores for two groups: those who indicate they have one or more long-term conditions and those who indicate that they have no long-term conditions.

IMD quintile tables

The IMD quintile tables show the unadjusted scores for five quintiles based on relative disadvantage, with quintile 1 being the most deprived and quintile 5 being the least deprived.

Year on year charts

The year on year charts show three columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last three years (2021, 2022 and 2023) for each scored question.

National level and England level data

In some cases (343 respondents in 2023), patients from outside England (from Wales, Scotland, Northern Ireland, the Channel Islands or the Isle of Man) are referred to English NHS Trusts for treatment. These patients are described as 'Non-England' in the data.

National level data (England and Non-England) is used for:

- · Response rate section
- National column in comparability tables section
- Sub-group tables section (Tumour group tables, Age group tables, Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables, Ethnicity tables, IMD quintile tables and Long-term condition status tables)

England only level data is used for:

- Expected range charts section (as case-mix adjustment includes IMD data specific to England)
- Comparability tables section
- Year on year charts section.

Further information

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting market and social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2019; certificate number GB08/74322). Our statistical practice is regulated by the Office for Statistics Regulation (OSR). OSR sets the standards of trustworthiness, quality, and value in the Code of Practice for Statistics that all producers of official statistics should adhere to. You are welcome to contact us directly with any comments about how we meet these standards. Alternatively, you can contact OSR by emailing regulation@statistics.gov.uk or via the OSR website.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2023 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at www.ncpes.co.uk. For all other outputs at Trust level, please see the Excel tables and dashboards at www.ncpes.co.uk.

Response rate

Overall response rate

259 patients responded out of a total of 546 patients, resulting in a response rate of 47%.

	Sample size	Adjusted sample	Completed	Response rate
Overall response rate	580	546	259	47%
National	129,231	121,121	63,438	52%

Respondents by survey type

	Number of respondents
Paper	205
Online	54
Phone	0
Translation service	0
Total	259


Respondents by tumour group


	Number of respondents
Brain / CNS	0
Breast	50
Colorectal / LGT	30
Gynaecological	8
Haematological	39
Head and neck	*
Lung	25
Prostate	39
Sarcoma	*
Skin	*
Upper gastro	11
Urological	16
Other	29
Total	259


Respondents by ethnicity


	Number of respondents
White	
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	204
Irish	*
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	*
Roma	*
Any other White background	*
Mixed / Multiple Ethnic Groups	
White and Black Caribbean	*
White and Black African	*
White and Asian	*
Any other Mixed / multiple ethnic background	*
Asian or Asian British	
Indian	6
Pakistani	14
Bangladeshi	*
Chinese	*
Any other Asian background	*
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British	I
African	*
Caribbean	*
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	*
Other Ethnicity	I
Arab	*
Any other ethnic group	*
Not given	
Not given	18
Total	259

^{*} indicates the count is not shown due to suppression









Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

** No score available for 2022.

▲ or ▼

Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

	Unadjusted scores Case mix adjusted scores									
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	110	79%	122	78%			81%	71%	86%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	131	69%	167	67%			68%	59%	74%	67%

			Unadjus	ted score		Case n				
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance		88%	198	92%			93%	89%	96%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	161	85%	207	86%			87%	78%	88%	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	162	85%	208	82%			82%	71%	84%	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	162	82%	208	82%			83%	73%	84%	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	164	95%	211	97%		A	97%	92%	98%	95%

			Unadjus	ted score		Case n				
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	180	77%	234	85%		A	85%	76%	86%	81%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	193	73%	256	82%		A	83%	69%	80%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	192	77%	256	80%			81%	72%	82%	77%
	191	88%	257	91%		A	91%	81%	90%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	164	87%	227	90%			90%	79%	89%	84%

			Unadjust	ted score		Case m				
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	183	93%	244	92%			92%	87%	95%	91%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person		86%	203	84%			84%	79%	90%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	165	97%	212	98%			98%	93%	98%	96%

Comparability tables

Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

▲ or **▼**

Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

**	No	score	available	for	2022.
----	----	-------	-----------	-----	-------

				Unadjust	ed score	Case m						
() () () () () ()	DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT		2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score	
	Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	181	84%	242	80%			80%	78%	87%	82%	
	Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	188	79%	249	86%		A	86%	75%	85%	80%	
	Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	170	75%	227	90%	•	A	90%	79%	88%	83%	
	Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	**	**	153	62%			61%	49%	65%	57%	

			Unadjus	ted score	S		Case n	nix adjuste	ed scores	
CARE PLANNING	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	175	70%	229	74%			74%	66%	78%	72%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	108	92%	153	97%			97%	90%	97%	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	88	100%	126	98%			98%	97%	100%	99%

			Unadjust	ted score	es		Case n	d scores		
SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	173	92%	219	94%			94%	87%	95%	91%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	190	77%	252	79%		A	79%	70%	82%	76%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	116	70%	162	77%			76%	62%	78%	70%

Comparability tables

Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges

Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

*	Indicates where a score is not
	available due to suppression or a
	low base size.

** No score available for 2022.

	Unadjusted scores						Case n	d scores		
HOSPITAL CARE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	114	82%	111	85%			84%	70%	85%	77%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	88	53%	94	69%			69%	60%	79%	70%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	111	71%	107	78%			77%	62%	79%	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	111	75%	106	75%			74%	64%	82%	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	106	66%	105	68%			67%	56%	74%	65%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	107	81%	99	87%			87%	77%	91%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	113	90%	111	86%			86%	81%	94%	87%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	110	86%	105	91%			92%	82%	94%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	154	75%	227	82%		A	83%	74%	85%	79%

			Unadjus	ted score	S		Case r			
YOUR TREATMENT	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	137	91%	150	93%			93%	85%	95%	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	99	79%	126	87%			86%	80%	92%	86%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	61	89%	58	93%			93%	81%	97%	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	25	76%	30	77%			77%	65%	94%	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	**	**	36	86%			86%	72%	96%	84%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	**	**	148	88%			88%	81%	92%	86%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	**	**	127	80%			80%	74%	88%	81%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	**	**	59	86%			86%	76%	94%	85%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	**	**	30	63%			64%	61%	91%	76%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	**	**	36	75%			75%	68%	93%	81%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	187	87%	243	88%		A	89%	70%	87%	78%

Comparability tables

Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

▲ or ▼

Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range

Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

**	No score	available	for 2022.
----	----------	-----------	-----------

			Unadjust	ted score	es		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	184	76%	233	77%			77%	69%	80%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	177	68%	222	76%			76%	64%	76%	70%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	149	90%	186	89%			89%	82%	92%	87%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	172	64%	233	65%			64%	53%	67%	60%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	159	57%	202	59%			58%	47%	62%	55%

		d scores								
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	142	60%	174	57%			57%	54%	69%	62%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	76	47%	117	57%			58%	43%	61%	52%

	Unadjusted scores Case mix adjusted scores										
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score	
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	121	40%	146	42%			43%	38%	54%	46%	
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	184	22%	236	20%			19%	17%	28%	23%	

			Unadjus	ted score	s		Case n	ed scores		
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	53	32%	55	35%			34%	20%	45%	32%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	112	86%	108	79%			79%	71%	87%	79%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	154	65%	197	70%			69%	57%	71%	64%

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case n	d scores		
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	183	91%	235	92%			92%	86%	94%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	190	92%	251	88%			88%	82%	91%	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	111	47%	120	45%			43%	32%	57%	45%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	188	8.9	249	9.0			9.0	8.7	9.1	8.9

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	96%	60%	*	58%	*	*	89%	*	*	*	*	88%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	88%	62%	*	45%	*	56%	74%	*	*	*	*	55%	67%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	89%	96%	*	88%	*	89%	94%	*	*	*	92%	89%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	93%	89%	*	81%	*	78%	86%	*	*	*	92%	77%	86%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	80%	85%	*	78%	*	78%	92%	*	*	*	86%	86%	82%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	87%	89%	*	68%	*	63%	86%	*	*	*	86%	82%	82%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	97%	93%	*	100%	*	89%	100%	*	*	*	92%	100%	97%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	91%	85%	*	79%	*	91%	76%	*	*	80%	92%	78%	85%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	88%	73%	*	75%	*	76%	85%	*	*	82%	88%	83%	82%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	82%	77%	*	65%	*	72%	87%	*	*	82%	80%	86%	80%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	94%	87%	*	79%	*	96%	95%	*	*	100%	93%	90%	91%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	91%	96%	*	90%	*	83%	97%	*	*	70%	85%	92%	90%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N						Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	98%	89%	*	94%	*	83%	95%	*	*	91%	80%	93%	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	90%	80%	*	75%	*	94%	78%	*	*	*	*	88%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	98%	100%	*	97%	*	100%	100%	*	*	90%	*	96%	98%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	88%	86%	*	58%	*	76%	92%	*	*	73%	69%	81%	80%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	86%	82%	*	77%	*	88%	97%	*	*	91%	93%	82%	86%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	89%	85%	*	79%	*	96%	97%	*	*	91%	100%	86%	90%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	*	68%	35%	*	55%	*	47%	92%	*	*	*	*	71%	62%

CARE PLANNING							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	81%	78%	*	57%	*	67%	63%	*	*	*	75%	83%	74%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	94%	100%	*	100%	*	88%	100%	*	*	*	*	100%	97%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	96%	93%	*	95%	*	100%	100%	*	*	*	*	100%	98%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	93%	92%	*	91%	*	88%	100%	*	*	*	*	96%	94%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	82%	76%	*	66%	*	88%	82%	*	*	82%	79%	74%	79%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	71%	65%	*	81%	*	91%	76%	*	*	*	*	94%	77%

HOSPITAL CARE							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	75%	81%	*	*	*	*	84%	*	*	*	100%	*	85%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	67%	62%	*	*	*	*	70%	*	*	*	90%	*	69%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	75%	69%	*	*	*	*	79%	*	*	*	73%	*	78%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	75%	67%	*	*	*	*	72%	*	*	*	82%	*	75%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	75%	60%	*	*	*	*	66%	*	*	*	50%	*	68%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	88%	87%	*	*	*	*	90%	*	*	*	*	*	87%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	80%	81%	*	*	*	*	87%	*	*	*	82%	*	86%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	95%	93%	*	*	*	*	87%	*	*	*	*	*	91%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	87%	79%	*	78%	*	86%	85%	*	*	*	80%	77%	82%

YOUR TREATMENT							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	94%	88%	*	*	*	*	90%	*	*	*	100%	88%	93%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	77%	94%	*	92%	*	87%	*	*	*	91%	*	82%	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	92%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	93%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	82%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	77%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%	*	*	*	*	*	*	86%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	*	89%	91%	*	*	*	*	84%	*	*	*	91%	82%	88%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	*	67%	94%	*	88%	*	87%	*	*	*	73%	*	71%	80%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	*	81%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	86%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	*	65%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	63%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	*	*	76%	*	*	*	*	*	*	75%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	86%	93%	*	86%	*	92%	97%	*	*	82%	80%	93%	88%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS						Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	77%	78%	*	56%	*	75%	86%	*	*	91%	85%	85%	77%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	71%	81%	*	66%	*	83%	76%	*	*	70%	100%	65%	76%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	89%	90%	*	88%	*	95%	87%	*	*	*	*	95%	89%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	76%	52%	*	57%	*	48%	76%	*	*	64%	83%	63%	65%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	65%	52%	*	50%	*	48%	75%	*	*	*	*	64%	59%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	59%	50%	*	44%	*	47%	50%	*	*	*	80%	68%	57%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	50%	71%	*	56%	*	64%	40%	*	*	*	*	64%	57%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	48%	38%	*	24%	*	33%	44%	*	*	*	*	56%	42%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	13%	21%	*	12%	*	28%	31%	*	*	10%	14%	26%	20%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	50%	*	*	*	*	40%	*	*	*	*	*	35%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	80%	92%	*	67%	*	*	79%	*	*	*	*	90%	79%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	63%	62%	*	77%	*	71%	79%	*	*	27%	100%	71%	70%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	94%	85%	*	92%	*	92%	94%	*	*	80%	100%	96%	92%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	86%	97%	*	83%	*	88%	82%	*	*	91%	100%	86%	88%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	46%	50%	*	52%	*	31%	25%	*	*	*	*	50%	45%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	9.2	9.2	*	8.7	*	8.7	8.7	*	*	8.6	9.2	9.2	9.0

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	*	*	75%	74%	83%	77%	*	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	71%	77%	63%	60%	*	67%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS									
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	*	*	88%	98%	95%	83%	*	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	*	*	94%	94%	87%	82%	*	86%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	*	*	83%	89%	81%	77%	*	82%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	88%	89%	85%	70%	*	82%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	*	*	100%	98%	99%	91%	*	97%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	*	*	83%	86%	82%	86%	*	85%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	*	*	86%	86%	83%	78%	*	82%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	82%	78%	82%	75%	*	80%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	*	*	86%	90%	94%	89%	*	91%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	*	*	85%	100%	92%	79%	*	90%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	*	*	82%	97%	91%	94%	*	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	*	*	83%	85%	84%	87%	*	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	*	*	94%	98%	97%	100%	*	98%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	75%	86%	83%	71%	*	80%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	*	*	73%	86%	90%	87%	*	86%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	*	*	68%	92%	91%	92%	*	90%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	*	*	*	60%	68%	65%	58%	*	62%

CARE PLANNING					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	*	*	82%	80%	71%	67%	*	74%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	*	*	100%	98%	96%	93%	*	97%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	*	*	100%	100%	98%	100%	*	98%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	*	*	95%	94%	99%	83%	*	94%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	*	*	76%	84%	77%	78%	*	79%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	*	*	80%	71%	83%	71%	*	77%

HOSPITAL CARE					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	*	*	92%	86%	85%	81%	*	85%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	*	*	69%	87%	58%	73%	*	69%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	*	*	92%	86%	72%	75%	*	78%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	*	*	73%	74%	75%	69%	*	75%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	*	*	82%	72%	66%	56%	*	68%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	*	*	92%	89%	85%	91%	*	87%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	*	*	85%	93%	83%	81%	*	86%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	*	*	100%	96%	85%	100%	*	91%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	*	*	85%	84%	86%	82%	*	82%

YOUR TREATMENT					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	*	*	82%	98%	93%	92%	*	93%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	*	*	75%	86%	93%	80%	*	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	*	*	*	100%	95%	*	*	93%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	77%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	70%	100%	*	*	86%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	*	*	*	100%	93%	88%	79%	*	88%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	*	*	*	83%	80%	84%	73%	*	80%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	*	*	*	*	94%	91%	*	*	86%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	63%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	60%	100%	*	*	75%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	*	*	85%	92%	89%	88%	*	88%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	ΓS				Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	*	*	63%	88%	79%	67%	*	77%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	*	74%	78%	76%	75%	*	76%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	*	89%	95%	89%	91%	*	89%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	*	*	68%	68%	67%	62%	*	65%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	*	*	53%	65%	60%	53%	*	59%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	*	*	38%	67%	53%	65%	*	57%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	*	47%	57%	54%	70%	*	57%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	*	*	31%	49%	40%	36%	*	42%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	*	*	11%	31%	19%	14%	*	20%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	*	*	42%	40%	36%	*	35%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	*	*	*	92%	74%	64%	*	79%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	*	*	56%	80%	73%	63%	*	70%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	*	*	89%	92%	93%	91%	*	92%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	*	*	95%	95%	84%	82%	*	88%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	*	*	38%	53%	47%	31%	*	45%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	*	*	8.9	9.2	9.0	8.8	*	9.0

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE			Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	80%	79%	*	*	*	*	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	59%	*	*	*	*	67%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	90%	95%	*	*	*	*	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	88%	84%	*	*	*	*	86%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	80%	87%	*	*	*	*	82%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	83%	82%	*	*	*	*	82%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	96%	98%	*	*	*	*	97%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	87%	83%	*	*	*	*	85%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	83%	81%	*	*	*	*	82%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	81%	*	*	*	*	80%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	90%	94%	*	*	*	*	91%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	88%	93%	*	*	*	*	90%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N		Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	92%	92%	*	*	*	*	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	87%	83%	*	*	*	*	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	98%	99%	*	*	*	*	98%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT			Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	80%	81%	*	*	*	*	80%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	84%	90%	*	*	*	*	86%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	89%	92%	*	*	*	*	90%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	61%	63%	*	*	*	*	62%

CARE PLANNING			Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	78%	68%	*	*	*	*	74%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	95%	98%	*	*	*	*	97%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	97%	98%	*	*	*	*	98%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF			Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	92%	98%	*	*	*	*	94%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	79%	80%	*	*	*	*	79%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	80%	74%	*	*	*	*	77%

HOSPITAL CARE			Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	85%	85%	*	*	*	*	85%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	72%	64%	*	*	*	*	69%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	85%	69%	*	*	*	*	78%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	81%	69%	*	*	*	*	75%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	73%	62%	*	*	*	*	68%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	92%	82%	*	*	*	*	87%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	89%	83%	*	*	*	*	86%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	92%	90%	*	*	*	*	91%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	82%	81%	*	*	*	*	82%

YOUR TREATMENT			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	95%	89%	*	*	*	*	93%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	87%	89%	*	*	*	*	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	90%	100%	*	*	*	*	93%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	75%	*	*	*	*	*	77%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	76%	100%	*	*	*	*	86%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to surgery	92%	83%	*	*	*	*	88%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to chemotherapy	79%	84%	*	*	*	*	80%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	83%	95%	*	*	*	*	86%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	63%	*	*	*	*	*	63%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	62%	93%	*	*	*	*	75%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	88%	90%	*	*	*	*	88%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	TS		Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	75%	82%	*	*	*	*	77%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	76%	76%	*	*	*	*	76%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	90%	89%	*	*	*	*	89%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	69%	61%	*	*	*	*	65%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	61%	59%	*	*	*	*	59%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME			Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other			
	Female Male Non-binary Prefer to self-describe Prefer not to say Not given							
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	58%	55%	*	*	*	*	57%	
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	58%	54%	*	*	*	*	57%	

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE			Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	47%	38%	*	*	*	*	42%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	18%	23%	*	*	*	*	20%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER			Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	38%	29%	*	*	*	*	35%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	78%	79%	*	*	*	*	79%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	65%	76%	*	*	*	*	70%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other							
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	95%	89%	*	*	*	*	92%		
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	89%	88%	*	*	*	*	88%		
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	49%	42%	*	*	*	*	45%		
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	9.1	8.8	*	*	*	*	9.0		

Ethnicity tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	83%	*	*	*	*	*	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	68%	*	55%	*	*	*	67%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	92%	*	100%	*	*	92%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	87%	*	84%	*	*	83%	86%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	82%	*	84%	*	*	75%	82%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	82%	*	84%	*	*	85%	82%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	97%	*	100%	*	*	100%	97%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	84%	*	90%	*	*	87%	85%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	83%	*	82%	*	*	83%	82%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	*	82%	*	*	78%	80%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	91%	*	95%	*	*	94%	91%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	91%	*	86%	*	*	79%	90%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	93%	*	86%	*	*	100%	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	86%	*	88%	*	*	62%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	99%	*	100%	*	*	85%	98%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT			Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	82%	*	73%	*	*	60%	80%	
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	87%	*	90%	*	*	71%	86%	
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	91%	*	90%	*	*	80%	90%	
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	63%	*	63%	*	*	*	62%	

Ethnicity tables

CARE PLANNING	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	74%	*	65%	*	*	79%	74%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	97%	*	100%	*	*	*	97%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	97%	*	100%	*	*	*	98%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Ethnicity							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	94%	*	95%	*	*	81%	94%	
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	80%	*	82%	*	*	71%	79%	
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	81%	*	58%	*	*	58%	77%	

HOSPITAL CARE				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	83%	*	*	*	*	*	85%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	68%	*	*	*	*	*	69%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	74%	*	*	*	*	*	78%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	74%	*	*	*	*	*	75%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	66%	*	*	*	*	*	68%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	87%	*	*	*	*	*	87%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	86%	*	*	*	*	*	86%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	90%	*	*	*	*	*	91%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	82%	*	75%	*	*	93%	82%

Ethnicity tables

YOUR TREATMENT				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	93%	*	85%	*	*	*	93%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	88%	*	92%	*	*	*	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	92%	*	*	*	*	*	93%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	79%	*	*	*	*	*	77%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	84%	*	*	*	*	*	86%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	87%	*	92%	*	*	*	88%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	80%	*	92%	*	*	*	80%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	85%	*	*	*	*	*	86%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	64%	*	*	*	*	*	63%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	71%	*	*	*	*	*	75%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	92%	*	82%	*	*	69%	88%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	rs			Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	79%	*	65%	*	*	64%	77%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	77%	*	71%	*	*	73%	76%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	90%	*	81%	*	*	80%	89%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	65%	*	50%	*	*	71%	65%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	60%	*	47%	*	*	62%	59%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	55%	*	59%	*	*	71%	57%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	55%	*	69%	*	*	*	57%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	42%	*	*	*	*	36%	42%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	18%	*	44%	*	*	21%	20%

Ethnicity tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER		Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	30%	*	*	*	*	*	35%	
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	79%	*	83%	*	*	*	79%	
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	68%	*	74%	*	*	83%	70%	

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE		Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	91%	*	100%	*	*	87%	92%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	88%	*	100%	*	*	75%	88%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	41%	*	77%	*	*	*	45%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	9.0	*	8.5	*	*	9.0	9.0

IMD quintile tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	IMD quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	76%	73%	73%	92%	*	*	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	71%	63%	69%	70%	54%	*	67%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS			IN	1D quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	90%	94%	92%	93%	95%	*	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	85%	82%	85%	93%	86%	*	86%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	83%	85%	84%	76%	81%	*	82%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	86%	80%	81%	83%	76%	*	82%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	98%	98%	100%	93%	95%	*	97%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER			IIV	1D quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	88%	85%	77%	87%	78%	*	85%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	84%	77%	85%	86%	82%	*	82%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	83%	78%	79%	82%	73%	*	80%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	93%	94%	90%	86%	91%	*	91%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	92%	87%	88%	95%	89%	*	90%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N		IMD quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	91%	88%	92%	98%	95%	*	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	84%	80%	88%	85%	82%	*	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	100%	96%	97%	98%	100%	*	98%

IMD quintile tables

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT			IIV	1D quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	82%	80%	79%	78%	75%	*	80%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	88%	87%	79%	86%	91%	*	86%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	88%	89%	94%	89%	94%	*	90%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	67%	51%	65%	69%	57%	*	62%

CARE PLANNING	IMD quintile							
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	79%	64%	82%	70%	75%	*	74%	
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	98%	90%	100%	100%	100%	*	97%	
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	100%	93%	100%	96%	100%	*	98%	

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	IMD quintile								
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All		
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	96%	92%	94%	91%	94%	*	94%		
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	82%	75%	79%	78%	82%	*	79%		
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	84%	77%	74%	63%	*	*	77%		

HOSPITAL CARE			IN	1D quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	92%	86%	79%	71%	90%	*	85%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	86%	55%	77%	38%	*	*	69%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	89%	75%	77%	52%	*	*	78%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	80%	75%	77%	62%	*	*	75%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	77%	73%	69%	52%	50%	*	68%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	94%	85%	90%	74%	*	*	87%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	82%	93%	92%	71%	100%	*	86%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	94%	93%	92%	85%	90%	*	91%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	81%	81%	86%	84%	80%	*	82%

IMD quintile tables

YOUR TREATMENT			IN	1D quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	94%	94%	90%	88%	100%	*	93%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	92%	85%	90%	75%	91%	*	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	100%	*	*	92%	*	*	93%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	90%	*	*	*	*	*	77%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	92%	90%	*	*	*	*	86%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	91%	94%	86%	78%	87%	*	88%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	92%	76%	90%	64%	73%	*	80%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	87%	90%	*	85%	*	*	86%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	60%	*	*	*	*	*	63%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	75%	80%	*	*	*	*	75%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	86%	88%	92%	89%	90%	*	88%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS		IM	1D quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	84%	79%	73%	73%	67%	*	77%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	79%	74%	78%	71%	81%	*	76%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	93%	79%	90%	98%	81%	*	89%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	75%	53%	67%	67%	57%	*	65%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	65%	55%	72%	46%	59%	*	59%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	IMD quintile							
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	63%	50%	61%	43%	80%	*	57%	
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	64%	58%	54%	40%	*	*	57%	

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE		IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	50%	32%	42%	38%	55%	*	42%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	26%	16%	24%	16%	14%	*	20%

IMD quintile tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER		IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	31%	35%	*	40%	*	*	35%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	75%	78%	86%	84%	75%	*	79%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	75%	64%	69%	76%	56%	*	70%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE		IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	95%	92%	92%	88%	90%	*	92%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	89%	88%	85%	89%	91%	*	88%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	49%	50%	42%	44%	*	*	45%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	9.0	8.9	9.0	8.8	9.3	*	9.0

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long-term condition status						
	Yes No Not given						
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	82%	76%	*	78%			
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	65%	74%	54%	67%			

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		Long-term con	dition status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	92%	96%	82%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	86%	86%	88%	86%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	79%	88%	75%	82%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	87%	88%	82%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	96%	99%	100%	97%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER		Long-term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	87%	82%	83%	85%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	88%	75%	74%	82%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	81%	80%	74%	80%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in appropriate place	92%	90%	90%	91%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	89%	92%	94%	90%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	89%	98%	89%	92%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	83%	85%	86%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	99%	96%	100%	98%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	80%	90%	80%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	83%	90%	95%	86%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	89%	92%	86%	90%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	56%	68%	67%	62%

CARE PLANNING	Long-term condition status					
	Yes No Not given Al					
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	70%	79%	79%	74%		
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	95%	98%	100%	97%		
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	95%	100%	100%	98%		

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	93%	95%	90%	94%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	78%	79%	86%	79%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	73%	83%	77%	77%

HOSPITAL CARE	Long-term condition status				
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	85%	83%	*	85%	
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	65%	73%	*	69%	
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	71%	85%	*	78%	
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	70%	77%	*	75%	
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	61%	72%	*	68%	
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	87%	85%	*	87%	
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	81%	90%	*	86%	
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	91%	90%	*	91%	
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	80%	86%	88%	82%	

YOUR TREATMENT		Long-term co	ndition status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	91%	93%	100%	93%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	86%	87%	*	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	92%	94%	*	93%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	83%	60%	*	77%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	86%	86%	*	86%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	90%	84%	93%	88%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	78%	85%	*	80%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	87%	88%	*	86%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	67%	50%	*	63%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	71%	79%	*	75%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	88%	90%	89%	88%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	77%	78%	76%	77%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	77%	76%	75%	76%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	93%	82%	100%	89%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	63%	67%	76%	65%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	56%	64%	65%	59%


SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Long-term condition status				
	Yes No Not given All				
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	52%	60%	81%	57%	
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	55%	55%	77%	57%	


CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long-term condition status				
	Yes No Not given All				
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	35%	47%	69%	42%	
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	21%	16%	37%	20%	


LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER		Long-term con		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	31%	36%	*	35%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	73%	85%	*	79%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	68%	69%	82%	70%


YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Long-term condition status				
	Yes No Not given				
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	90%	95%	88%	92%	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	89%	87%	90%	88%	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	48%	39%	*	45%	
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.8	9.1	9.4	9.0	























































































































The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.













20%


2021 **







2022 **


2023





The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.



















The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.




























Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2023 Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

