

Cancer Patient Experience Survey

2023 Results

Great Western Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Published July 2024

The Cancer Patient Experience Survey is undertaken by Picker on behalf of NHS England

Contents

Executive summary	3
	4
Methodology	4
Understanding the results	5
Further information	7
Response rate	8
Expected range charts	10
Comparability tables	14
Tumour group tables	18
Age group tables	23
Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables	27
Ethnicity tables	32
IMD quintile tables	36
Long-term condition status tables	40
Year on year charts	44

Executive summary

Questions above expected range

	Case	mix adjusted s	cores	
	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	94%	86%	94%	90%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	27%	19%	26%	23%

Questions below expected range

	Case			
	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	71%	73%	84%	78%
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	81%	88%	94%	91%
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	70%	72%	83%	77%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	63%	63%	77%	70%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	62%	64%	77%	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	65%	66%	79%	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	56%	58%	72%	65%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	75%	79%	89%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	82%	83%	92%	87%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	82%	84%	93%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	74%	75%	83%	79%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	83%	84%	90%	87%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.6	8.7	9.1	8.9

Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2023 is the thirteenth iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was undertaken by Picker on behalf of NHS England and it was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2023 survey involved 132 NHS Trusts. Out of 121,121 people, 63,428 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 52%.

Methodology

Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2023. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between November 2023 and February 2024.

As in the previous eight years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

Note on question comparability

The questionnaire was redeveloped for the 2021 National Cancer Patient Experience Survey. Year on year comparisons between 2021, 2022 and 2023 are included in this report for most questions. A review of the questionnaire in 2023 saw four changes being made:

- The question text for Q23 and Q42 were amended. These questions are no longer deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.
- The long-term condition question (Q67) was amended to include "Autism or autism spectrum condition" as a response option. And the "Neurological condition" answer option was updated to include an example condition changing it to "Neurological condition, such as epilepsy". These changes see the answer option "Neurological condition, such as epilepsy" as no longer being deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.
- The ethnic group question (Q71) was amended to include "Roma" as an answer option. The ethnic group question is still deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.

Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allow us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a Trust is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, ethnicity, deprivation, and cancer type.

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the Trust. Casemix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

Scoring methodology

Sixty-one questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q59), the score shows the percentage of respondents who gave

the most favourable response to a question. For Q59, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

In 2022, following a review of the scoring methodology, a change was made to the scoring of Q12 such that the response option "No, I was told by letter or email" is no longer considered neutral and is now scored as negative.

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2023 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by chance.

Suppression

Data is suppressed for two reasons: to ensure unreliable results based on very small numbers of respondents are not released, and to prevent individuals being identifiable in the data.

In cases where a result is based on fewer than 10 responses, the result has been suppressed. For example, where fewer than 10 people answered a question from a particular Trust, the results are not shown for that question for that Trust.

For Trusts with an eligible population of 1,000 or fewer, data relating to the respondent and their condition has been suppressed where 5 people or fewer were in a particular category. In instances where only one has been suppressed, the next lowest category has been suppressed to prevent back calculation from the total number of responses.

Additional suppression

Additional suppression happens if only **one** Trust has a score suppressed. If this happens, we will suppress another Trust's results (both the Trust level and sub-group results for the question) based on the next lowest number of respondents for the score. We do this so that the national score cannot be used to work out the score for the individual Trust.

The same rule applies to groups in each sub-group breakdown. For example, if only one Trust has the 85+ age group suppressed for Q25 we will need to suppress another Trust's results for the 85+ age group on Q25. This suppression is based on the 85+ age group with the next lowest number of respondents for Q25.

Understanding the results

This report shows how this Trust scored for each question in the survey compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual Trusts to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

Expected range charts

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (within the grey bar) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this Trust.

Trusts whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the Trust performs better than what Trusts of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the Trust's size and demographics.

Comparability tables

The comparability tables show the 2022 and 2023 unadjusted scores for this Trust for each scored question. The Change 2022-2023 and Change overall columns show whether the scores show a statistically significant variation between years. This is shown between 2022-2023 and as an overall

between 2021-2023. An upwards arrow indicates a statistically significant increase, a downwards arrow indicates a statistically significant decrease and no arrow indicates no statistically significant change.

The adjusted 2023 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

Sub-group breakdowns

Unadjusted scores are shown for tumour group, Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, IMD quintile, long-term condition status and ethnicity breakdowns. Unadjusted scores for the same sub-group across different Trusts may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

Tumour group tables

The tumour group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

Age group tables

The age group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the eight age groups.

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

These tables show the unadjusted scores for the following groups male; female; non-binary; prefer to self-describe; and prefer not to say.

Ethnicity tables

The ethnicity tables show the unadjusted scores for six ethnicity groups.

Long-term condition status tables

The long-term condition status tables show the unadjusted scores for two groups: those who indicate they have one or more long-term conditions and those who indicate that they have no long-term conditions.

IMD quintile tables

The IMD quintile tables show the unadjusted scores for five quintiles based on relative disadvantage, with quintile 1 being the most deprived and quintile 5 being the least deprived.

Year on year charts

The year on year charts show three columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last three years (2021, 2022 and 2023) for each scored question.

National level and England level data

In some cases (343 respondents in 2023), patients from outside England (from Wales, Scotland, Northern Ireland, the Channel Islands or the Isle of Man) are referred to English NHS Trusts for treatment. These patients are described as 'Non-England' in the data.

National level data (England and Non-England) is used for:

- Response rate section
- National column in comparability tables section
- Sub-group tables section (Tumour group tables, Age group tables, Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables, Ethnicity tables, IMD quintile tables and Long-term condition status tables)

England only level data is used for:

- Expected range charts section (as case-mix adjustment includes IMD data specific to England)
- Comparability tables section
- Year on year charts section.

Further information

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting market and social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2019; certificate number GB08/74322). Our statistical practice is regulated by the Office for Statistics Regulation (OSR). OSR sets the standards of trustworthiness, quality, and value in the Code of Practice for Statistics that all producers of official statistics should adhere to. You are welcome to contact us directly with any comments about how we meet these standards. Alternatively, you can contact OSR by emailing regulation@statistics.gov.uk or via the OSR website.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2023 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at <u>www.ncpes.co.uk</u>. For all other outputs at Trust level, please see the Excel tables and dashboards at <u>www.ncpes.co.uk</u>.

Response rate

Overall response rate

482 patients responded out of a total of 912 patients, resulting in a response rate of 53%.

	Sample size	Adjusted sample	Completed	Response rate
Overall response rate	980	912	482	53%
National	129,231	121,121	63,438	52%

Respondents by survey type

	Number of respondents
Paper	390
Online	91
Phone	1
Translation service	0
Total	482

Respondents by tumour group

	Number of respondents
Brain / CNS	0
Breast	144
Colorectal / LGT	77
Gynaecological	24
Haematological	80
Head and neck	0
Lung	29
Prostate	49
Sarcoma	*
Skin	*
Upper gastro	22
Urological	25
Other	29
Total	482

Respondents by ethnicity

	Number of respondents
White	
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	403
Irish	*
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	*
Roma	*
Any other White background	16
Mixed / Multiple Ethnic Groups	
White and Black Caribbean	*
White and Black African	*
White and Asian	*
Any other Mixed / multiple ethnic background	*
Asian or Asian British	
Indian	8
Pakistani	*
Bangladeshi	*
Chinese	*
Any other Asian background	*
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British	
African	*
Caribbean	*
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	*
Other Ethnicity	
Arab	*
Any other ethnic group	*
Not given	
Not given	39
Total	482

* indicates the count is not shown due to suppression

Expected range charts

Lower expected range	Within expected range	1		Upper	expecte	ed range	Э	•	Case n	nix adju	sted sc	ore
The left outer edge of the bars is the lo	owest score achieved of all Trust	s. The	right ou	ter edge	e of the l	bars is t	he high	est scor	e achie	ved of a	ll Trusts	
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP	PRACTICE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary before cancer diagnosis	care professional once or twi	ce							71% ◆			
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was exp could completely understand	plained in a way the patient							6	67% ◆			
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q5. Patient received all the inform diagnostic test in advance	ation needed about the										94	%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared information they needed about the	to completely have all the patient									849	%	
Q7. Patient felt the length of time v results was about right	waiting for diagnostic test									79% ♦		
Q8. Diagnostic test results were excould completely understand	xplained in a way the patient								7	′7% ♦		
Q9. Enough privacy was always g receiving diagnostic test results	iven to the patient when										93% •	6
FINDING OUT THAT YOU H	AD CANCER	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q12. Patient was told they could h friend with them when told diagnos	ave a family member, carer o sis	or								78% ◆		
Q13. Patient was definitely told se	nsitively that they had cancer	ſ							749 •			
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained completely understand	in a way the patient could								76	6% ♦		
Q15. Patient was definitely told ab appropriate place	out their diagnosis in an									5	38% ◆	
Q16. Patient was told they could g information about their diagnosis	o back later for more									85	% •	
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN C	ONTACT PERSON	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q17. Patient had a main point of c	ontact within the care team									81%		
Q18. Patient found it very or quite contact person	easy to contact their main									83%	6	
Q19. Patient found advice from ma quite helpful	ain contact person was very o	or									94 ⁴	%

Expected range charts

Lower expected range Within expected range The left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all Trusts		right ou	••	expecte e of the	0				nix adju ved of a		
 DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options 		10%	20%	30%	40%	50% 539	60%	70%	80% 82% 82% € 82%		100%
CARE PLANNING Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about thein needs or concerns prior to treatment Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	0% r	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70% 68%	80%	92% ♦	100% 6 ●7% ◆
SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70% 74 74 ⁴		90% 89% ♦	100%
 HOSPITAL CARE Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospita Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case 	in	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60% 63% €2% 65 65	6 %	82% ♦ 82%		

•

Expected range charts

	wer expected range Within expected range Upper expected ter edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all Trusts. The right outer edge of the ba									5						
YOUR TREATMENT	0%	5 10)%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%				
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery											94	·%				
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy										8	7% ◆					
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy										8	37% ♦					
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy										81% ♦						
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy										82% ♦))					
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to surgery											90% ◆					
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to chemotherapy										83%	6					
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to radiotherapy										8	6% ◆					
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to hormone therapy										78% ◆						
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to immunotherapy										78% ◆						
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day un or cancer treatment was about right	it									79% ♦						
MMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	0%	5 10)%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	1009				
244. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand									74	.%						
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing wany immediate side effects from treatment	vith							(67% ♦							
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about provide the state of the state o	out									8	6% ♦					
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their reatment	y							58% ◆								
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managi he impact of any long-term side effects	ng						539 ◆	6								
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	0%	5 10)%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	1009				
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the nformation needed to help care for the patient at home								62%	0							
250. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care a	and						ł	57%								

support at home from community or voluntary services

Expected range charts

Lower expected range Within expected range The left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all Trusts	s. The			•	ed range bars is t		est scor		nix adju ved of a		
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	ו		2	7%	45	%					
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary service	s			35	%						
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment								75	5% •		
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	,						65	5% •			
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q56. The whole care team worked well together										37% ◆	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good									839	%	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patien	t			3	6% ◆						
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good									8	€.6	

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

∙a ▲ _{or} ▼

Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023). Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

** No score available for 2022.

			Unadjust	ted score	Case n					
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	202	79%	237	74%			71%	73%	84%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	266	70%	309	69%			67%	61%	72%	67%

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case n			
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	Nationa score
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	321	93%	371	94%			94%	90%	95%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	344	82%	387	84%			84%	80%	87%	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	342	83%	385	79%			79%	73%	82%	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	343	76%	388	77%			77%	74%	83%	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	345	95%	391	93%			93%	92%	97%	95%

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case n			
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	390	74%	451	79%		A	78%	77%	85%	81%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	413	76%	468	74%			74%	70%	78%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	417	73%	474	76%			76%	73%	81%	77%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	418	85%	475	88%			88%	82%	89%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	377	82%	416	85%			85%	80%	87%	84%

			Unadjust	ed score	s		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	394	82%	451	81%			81%	88%	94%	91%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	286	85%	320	83%			83%	79%	89%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	294	96%	338	93%			94%	94%	98%	96%

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

a ▲ _{or} ▼ Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

** No score available for 2022.

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case n			
DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	395	82%	446	82%			82%	79%	86%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	410	77%	463	82%			82%	76%	83%	80%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	369	78%	414	82%			82%	80%	87%	83%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	**	**	268	53%			53%	51%	63%	57%

			Unadjust	ed score	s		Case n			
CARE PLANNING	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	370	69%	423	68%			68%	68%	77%	72%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	193	93%	239	92%			92%	90%	97%	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	144	98%	185	97%			97%	97%	100%	99%

			Unadjust	ted score	es		Case n			
SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	361	89%	416	90%			89%	88%	94%	91%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	419	74%	468	74%			74%	71%	81%	76%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	254	70%	273	74%			74%	63%	77%	70%

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size. *:

▲ or ▼

Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

		0.201
*	No score	available for 2022.

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case n			
HOSPITAL CARE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	185	69%	207	69%			70%	72%	83%	77%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	163	58%	178	62%			63%	63%	77%	70%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	181	66%	200	62%			62%	64%	77%	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	179	61%	198	64%			65%	66%	79%	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	175	53%	193	55%			56%	58%	72%	65%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	164	82%	173	75%			75%	79%	89%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	185	83%	204	81%			82%	83%	92%	87%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	178	81%	201	82%			82%	84%	93%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	360	76%	421	73%			74%	75%	83%	79%
			Unadjus	ted score	s		Case n			
YOUR TREATMENT	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower	Upper expected range	National score
		1		1						

YOUR TREATMENT	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	208	89%	188	95%			94%	86%	94%	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	248	83%	268	87%			87%	81%	90%	86%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	107	91%	92	87%			87%	82%	95%	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	87	79%	80	80%			81%	70%	88%	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	67	81%	96	80%			82%	76%	91%	84%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	**	**	187	90%			90%	82%	91%	86%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	**	**	271	82%			83%	77%	86%	81%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	**	**	94	86%			86%	78%	92%	85%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	**	**	83	77%			78%	67%	85%	76%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	**	**	95	77%			78%	73%	89%	81%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	409	81%	445	79%			79%	71%	86%	78%

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

a ▲ _{or} ▼ Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

** No score available for 2022.

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case n			
IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	403	74%	433	74%			74%	70%	79%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	388	65%	421	67%			67%	66%	75%	70%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	314	87%	354	86%			86%	83%	91%	87%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	366	54%	419	57%			58%	55%	65%	60%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	315	48%	369	51%			53%	49%	60%	55%

			Unadjust	ted score		Case n				
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	288	54%	334	61%			62%	56%	67%	62%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	172	48%	214	57%			57%	46%	59%	52%

			Unadjust	ted score	Case n					
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	245	49%	306	45%			45%	41%	52%	46%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	391	23%	456	27%			27%	19%	26%	23%

			Unadjust	ted score	es		Case n	nix adjuste	ed scores	
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	96	33%	103	35%			35%	23%	41%	32%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	181	74%	186	74%			75%	73%	86%	79%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	315	61%	372	64%			65%	58%	69%	64%

			Unadjust	ted score	es		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	387	88%	451	87%			87%	87%	93%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	407	87%	469	84%			83%	84%	90%	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	255	38%	295	35%			36%	34%	55%	45%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	392	8.8	458	8.6	▼		8.6	8.7	9.1	8.9

Tumour group tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	85%	76%	64%	54%	*	62%	83%	*	*	*	73%	71%	74%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	77%	67%	71%	59%	*	58%	73%	*	*	40%	73%	78%	69%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS							Tumo	our gro	up					_
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	92%	97%	91%	95%	*	89%	100%	*	*	90%	93%	95%	94%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	84%	82%	91%	85%	*	81%	78%	*	*	80%	88%	95%	84%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	82%	84%	78%	76%	*	65%	76%	*	*	84%	63%	95%	79%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	78%	80%	74%	74%	*	81%	71%	*	*	81%	78%	85%	77%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	95%	89%	91%	93%	*	95%	93%	*	*	95%	95%	86%	93%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	81%	83%	96%	80%	*	64%	80%	*	*	81%	62%	82%	79%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	77%	64%	88%	77%	*	79%	71%	*	*	67%	72%	69%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	81%	73%	75%	73%	*	69%	69%	*	*	77%	84%	82%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	91%	80%	92%	94%	*	75%	90%	*	*	86%	84%	89%	88%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	91%	77%	95%	88%	*	92%	83%	*	*	67%	68%	84%	85%

Tumour group tables

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N						Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	83%	67%	96%	91%	*	89%	77%	*	*	68%	71%	86%	81%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	87%	67%	91%	90%	*	81%	53%	*	*	91%	83%	96%	83%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	95%	91%	100%	96%	*	86%	84%	*	*	100%	100%	92%	93%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT							Tumo	our gro	up	-				
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	84%	85%	87%	81%	*	89%	73%	*	*	80%	70%	83%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	81%	82%	75%	87%	*	93%	87%	*	*	73%	72%	82%	82%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	82%	78%	86%	83%	*	89%	89%	*	*	86%	80%	71%	82%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	*	47%	47%	64%	67%	*	56%	42%	*	*	47%	50%	61%	53%

CARE PLANNING							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	73%	63%	78%	80%	*	63%	58%	*	*	60%	45%	67%	68%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	93%	90%	100%	96%	*	92%	96%	*	*	67%	*	88%	92%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	95%	95%	91%	100%	*	100%	100%	*	*	*	*	100%	97%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF							Tumo	our gro	oup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	92%	82%	91%	90%	*	96%	91%	*	*	90%	83%	89%	90%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	72%	65%	83%	81%	*	86%	61%	*	*	77%	80%	74%	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	84%	67%	86%	69%	*	85%	37%	*	*	88%	50%	75%	74%

Tumour group tables

HOSPITAL CARE							Tumo	our gro	oup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	71%	58%	85%	80%	*	90%	60%	*	*	58%	70%	*	69%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	66%	53%	69%	74%	*	60%	*	*	*	64%	*	*	62%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	71%	52%	75%	56%	*	60%	60%	*	*	67%	60%	*	62%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	61%	55%	67%	81%	*	70%	70%	*	*	67%	70%	*	64%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	52%	47%	50%	67%	*	*	70%	*	*	80%	50%	*	55%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	67%	72%	*	88%	*	*	*	*	*	70%	*	*	75%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	75%	75%	92%	93%	*	90%	80%	*	*	83%	90%	*	81%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	82%	76%	85%	93%	*	60%	90%	*	*	82%	90%	*	82%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	73%	74%	70%	77%	*	70%	75%	*	*	70%	71%	76%	73%

YOUR TREATMENT							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	99%	89%	100%	*	*	*	*	*	*	92%	100%	*	95%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	87%	85%	80%	92%	*	95%	71%	*	*	89%	*	81%	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	93%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	87%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	78%	*	*	*	*	*	82%	*	*	*	*	*	80%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	93%	55%	*	69%	*	84%	*	*	*	*	91%	*	80%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	*	94%	86%	100%	*	*	*	*	*	*	91%	83%	*	90%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	*	79%	85%	88%	87%	*	95%	65%	*	*	79%	*	88%	82%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	*	88%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	86%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	*	79%	*	*	*	*	*	73%	*	*	*	*	*	77%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	*	74%	64%	*	79%	*	84%	*	*	*	*	91%	*	77%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	77%	82%	76%	82%	*	70%	80%	*	*	77%	74%	81%	79%

Tumour group tables

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS						Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	76%	74%	86%	72%	*	71%	67%	*	*	75%	70%	78%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	64%	63%	80%	68%	*	65%	58%	*	*	81%	76%	70%	67%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	82%	88%	89%	90%	*	87%	83%	*	*	83%	91%	92%	86%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	55%	54%	64%	64%	*	58%	53%	*	*	52%	56%	67%	57%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	49%	42%	58%	70%	*	50%	47%	*	*	50%	55%	48%	51%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME							Tumo	our gro	oup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	57%	56%	67%	76%	*	62%	45%	*	*	60%	63%	68%	61%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	51%	64%	*	67%	*	46%	47%	*	*	67%	*	61%	57%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	40%	51%	53%	40%	*	35%	57%	*	*	50%	38%	52%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	24%	21%	42%	20%	*	29%	22%	*	*	33%	29%	54%	27%

Tumour group tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	42%	24%	*	31%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	78%	63%	*	86%	*	85%	56%	*	*	80%	*	*	74%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	63%	59%	78%	66%	*	78%	61%	*	*	59%	74%	64%	64%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	88%	84%	100%	90%	*	92%	86%	*	*	71%	92%	89%	87%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	86%	80%	87%	90%	*	76%	78%	*	*	73%	88%	86%	84%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	31%	26%	68%	43%	*	41%	18%	*	*	35%	18%	50%	35%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	8.6	8.5	9.1	9.1	*	8.4	8.0	*	*	8.2	8.8	8.7	8.6

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	*	*	52%	62%	79%	84%	80%	74%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	65%	64%	74%	69%	59%	69%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	*	*	86%	94%	98%	91%	100%	94%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	*	*	81%	86%	84%	83%	85%	84%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	*	*	74%	81%	78%	78%	89%	79%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	74%	80%	78%	78%	80%	77%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	*	*	87%	94%	94%	93%	90%	93%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	*	*	80%	82%	77%	77%	90%	79%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	*	*	63%	76%	74%	76%	76%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	69%	78%	74%	78%	88%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	*	*	78%	88%	89%	90%	84%	88%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	*	*	93%	81%	86%	82%	86%	85%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N				Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team $% \left({{\left[{{{\rm{A}}} \right]}_{{\rm{A}}}}_{{\rm{A}}}} \right)$	*	*	*	79%	82%	80%	82%	76%	81%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	*	*	88%	81%	79%	85%	82%	83%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	*	*	94%	92%	92%	96%	100%	93%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	77%	86%	81%	82%	80%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	*	*	77%	83%	80%	87%	74%	82%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	*	*	68%	85%	78%	86%	95%	82%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	*	*	*	65%	52%	52%	47%	60%	53%

CARE PLANNING					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	*	*	62%	68%	69%	68%	65%	68%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	*	*	96%	82%	95%	93%	*	92%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	*	*	95%	97%	98%	96%	*	97%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF					Age	-			
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	*	*	88%	91%	89%	88%	95%	90%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	*	*	73%	70%	73%	77%	81%	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	*	*	83%	70%	71%	72%	70%	74%

HOSPITAL CARE					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	*	*	52%	60%	73%	74%	93%	69%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	*	*	68%	50%	59%	66%	83%	62%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	*	*	52%	52%	63%	68%	77%	62%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	*	*	50%	52%	62%	79%	83%	64%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	*	*	50%	55%	57%	58%	64%	55%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	*	*	71%	64%	79%	80%	90%	75%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	*	*	68%	71%	83%	94%	86%	81%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	*	*	71%	81%	86%	81%	85%	82%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	*	*	63%	72%	75%	75%	84%	73%

YOUR TREATMENT					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	*	*	100%	98%	93%	90%	*	95%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	*	*	93%	86%	84%	86%	*	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	*	*	82%	91%	84%	94%	*	87%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	*	*	82%	78%	90%	*	80%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	82%	76%	79%	*	80%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	*	*	*	93%	94%	88%	87%	*	90%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	*	*	*	90%	86%	81%	78%	*	82%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to radiotherapy	*	*	*	92%	87%	81%	94%	*	86%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to hormone therapy	*	*	*	*	76%	72%	91%	*	77%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	83%	83%	79%	*	77%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	*	*	71%	71%	82%	84%	84%	79%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS				Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	*	*	72%	79%	70%	70%	89%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	*	61%	76%	65%	61%	72%	67%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	*	81%	93%	83%	86%	93%	86%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	*	*	50%	66%	53%	54%	70%	57%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	*	*	41%	58%	47%	51%	69%	51%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	*	*	45%	61%	59%	62%	88%	61%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	*	54%	57%	60%	56%	50%	57%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	ROM YOUR GP PRACTICE								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	*	*	45%	37%	54%	44%	29%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	*	*	28%	33%	27%	24%	13%	27%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	*	31%	50%	13%	41%	*	35%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	*	*	71%	76%	70%	76%	80%	74%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	*	*	56%	68%	63%	65%	80%	64%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	*	*	81%	89%	86%	88%	88%	87%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	*	*	75%	84%	82%	87%	79%	84%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	*	*	41%	37%	37%	23%	*	35%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	*	*	8.2	8.7	8.6	8.7	8.5	8.6

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	77%	69%	*	*	*	*	74%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	71%	68%	*	*	*	*	69%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	93%	96%	*	*	*	*	94%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	86%	81%	*	*	*	*	84%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	81%	77%	*	*	*	*	79%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	78%	*	*	*	*	77%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	95%	92%	*	*	*	*	93%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	80%	79%	*	*	*	*	79%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	79%	69%	*	*	*	*	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	74%	*	*	*	*	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	89%	87%	*	*	*	*	88%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	89%	80%	*	*	*	*	85%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N		Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	84%	79%	*	*	*	*	81%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	85%	77%	*	*	*	*	83%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	95%	91%	*	*	*	*	93%

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	85%	79%	*	*	*	*	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	81%	82%	*	*	*	*	82%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	82%	82%	*	*	*	*	82%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different nealthcare professional before making decisions about heir treatment options	50%	57%	*	*	*	*	53%

CARE PLANNING			Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	70%	66%	*	*	*	*	68%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	93%	92%	*	*	*	*	92%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	96%	99%	*	*	*	*	97%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	PORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	90%	91%	*	*	*	*	90%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	73%	72%	*	*	*	*	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	81%	67%	*	*	*	*	74%

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

HOSPITAL CARE			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	67%	71%	*	*	*	*	69%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	62%	60%	*	*	*	*	62%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	63%	60%	*	*	*	*	62%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	59%	68%	*	*	*	*	64%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	50%	61%	*	*	*	*	55%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	71%	76%	*	*	*	*	75%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	75%	87%	*	*	*	*	81%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	80%	82%	*	*	*	*	82%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	72%	75%	*	*	*	*	73%

YOUR TREATMENT			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	97%	90%	*	*	*	*	95%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	86%	86%	*	*	*	*	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	89%	80%	*	*	*	*	87%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	78%	88%	*	*	*	*	80%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	80%	79%	*	*	*	*	80%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	93%	83%	*	*	*	*	90%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	82%	81%	*	*	*	*	82%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	86%	84%	*	*	*	*	86%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	78%	75%	*	*	*	*	77%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to immunotherapy	72%	81%	*	*	*	*	77%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	76%	81%	*	*	*	*	79%

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	ΓS		Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	74%	74%	*	*	*	*	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	68%	66%	*	*	*	*	67%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	86%	86%	*	*	*	*	86%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	58%	58%	*	*	*	*	57%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	55%	50%	*	*	*	*	51%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME			Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	62%	63%	*	*	*	*	61%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	58%	58%	*	*	*	*	57%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	ROM YOUR GP PRACTICE						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	45%	48%	*	*	*	*	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	29%	25%	*	*	*	*	27%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	43%	24%	*	*	*	*	35%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	79%	65%	*	*	*	*	74%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	66%	61%	*	*	*	*	64%

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	87%	87%	*	*	*	*	87%	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	85%	83%	*	*	*	*	84%	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	38%	30%	*	*	*	*	35%	
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.6	8.6	*	*	*	*	8.6	

*

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	75%	*	*	*	*	69%	74%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	69%	*	*	*	*	68%	69%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	Ethnicity								
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	94%	*	82%	*	*	94%	94%		
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	85%	*	45%	*	*	88%	84%		
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	81%	*	64%	*	*	69%	79%		
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	*	36%	*	*	85%	77%		
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	93%	*	91%	*	*	91%	93%		

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	79%	*	80%	*	*	83%	79%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	74%	*	64%	*	*	71%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	*	50%	*	*	76%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	88%	*	83%	*	*	87%	88%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	84%	*	100%	*	*	88%	85%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	80%	*	83%	*	*	86%	81%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	82%	*	90%	*	*	86%	83%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	94%	*	*	*	*	96%	93%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT		Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	82%	*	75%	*	*	84%	82%	
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	81%	*	75%	*	*	89%	82%	
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	82%	*	73%	*	*	86%	82%	
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	50%	*	80%	*	*	53%	53%	

*

CARE PLANNING			Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	68%	*	64%	*	*	68%	68%	
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	92%	*	*	*	*	81%	92%	
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	97%	*	*	*	*	100%	97%	

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	90%	*	82%	*	*	90%	90%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	72%	*	67%	*	*	86%	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	75%	*	82%	*	*	70%	74%

HOSPITAL CARE				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	69%	*	*	*	*	75%	69%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	61%	*	*	*	*	71%	62%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	61%	*	*	*	*	64%	62%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	63%	*	*	*	*	71%	64%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	56%	*	*	*	*	62%	55%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	73%	*	*	*	*	93%	75%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	81%	*	*	*	*	93%	81%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	80%	*	*	*	*	92%	82%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	74%	*	45%	*	*	76%	73%

YOUR TREATMENT				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	95%	*	*	*	*	92%	95%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	86%	*	*	*	*	93%	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	88%	*	*	*	*	*	87%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	81%	*	*	*	*	*	80%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	79%	*	*	*	*	*	80%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	89%	*	*	*	*	100%	90%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	80%	*	*	*	*	100%	82%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	84%	*	*	*	*	*	86%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	76%	*	*	*	*	*	77%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	76%	*	*	*	*	*	77%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	80%	*	42%	*	*	81%	79%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	S			Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	74%	*	73%	*	*	75%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	67%	*	64%	*	*	63%	67%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	86%	*	75%	*	*	90%	86%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	58%	*	55%	*	*	52%	57%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	52%	*	55%	*	*	42%	51%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME			Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	60%	*	73%	*	*	60%	61%	
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	55%	*	60%	*	*	73%	57%	

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	45%	*	60%	*	*	41%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	27%	*	25%	*	*	24%	27%

*

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER		Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	34%	*	*	*	*	*	35%	
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	74%	*	*	*	*	78%	74%	
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	63%	*	*	*	*	73%	64%	

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	86%	*	80%	*	*	100%	87%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	83%	*	75%	*	*	89%	84%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	33%	*	64%	*	*	39%	35%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.6	*	8.0	*	*	9.2	8.6

IMD quintile tables

*

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	PORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE			IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All		
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	74%	70%	81%	71%	*	74%		
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	50%	68%	61%	66%	80%	*	69%		

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS			IN	1D quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	88%	100%	93%	96%	93%	*	94%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	82%	97%	83%	82%	84%	*	84%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	65%	84%	74%	82%	79%	*	79%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	71%	77%	76%	77%	79%	*	77%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	94%	90%	91%	92%	96%	*	93%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	IMD quintile							
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	81%	82%	79%	79%	80%	*	79%	
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	68%	71%	71%	76%	76%	*	74%	
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	64%	75%	74%	78%	77%	*	76%	
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	86%	85%	86%	88%	90%	*	88%	
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	79%	75%	84%	86%	88%	*	85%	

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON		IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team $% \left({{\left[{{{\rm{A}}} \right]}_{{\rm{A}}}}_{{\rm{A}}}} \right)$	81%	79%	83%	82%	80%	*	81%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	80%	80%	81%	85%	82%	*	83%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	100%	96%	95%	93%	92%	*	93%

IMD quintile tables

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT			IN	1D quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	68%	81%	79%	81%	87%	*	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	85%	76%	80%	85%	82%	*	82%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	65%	80%	84%	81%	85%	*	82%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	65%	48%	53%	54%	50%	*	53%

CARE PLANNING		IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	74%	74%	71%	71%	61%	*	68%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	92%	86%	94%	90%	93%	*	92%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	100%	100%	93%	99%	98%	*	97%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF			IN				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	76%	94%	88%	90%	91%	*	90%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	67%	69%	76%	76%	71%	*	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	82%	74%	67%	76%	76%	*	74%

HOSPITAL CARE			IN	ID quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	67%	82%	72%	66%	67%	*	69%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	60%	53%	68%	65%	56%	*	62%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	36%	82%	71%	63%	51%	*	62%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	75%	41%	72%	58%	67%	*	64%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	75%	41%	55%	55%	57%	*	55%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	80%	77%	78%	74%	71%	*	75%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	75%	88%	85%	81%	78%	*	81%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	64%	76%	87%	87%	77%	*	82%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	63%	65%	78%	75%	72%	*	73%

IMD quintile tables

YOUR TREATMENT			IN	1D quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	95%	98%	95%	93%	*	95%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	92%	91%	86%	84%	88%	*	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	83%	85%	89%	86%	*	87%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	77%	76%	82%	*	80%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	83%	85%	79%	*	80%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	*	100%	93%	90%	86%	*	90%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	73%	91%	84%	84%	78%	*	82%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	*	100%	87%	89%	79%	*	86%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	*	*	79%	77%	75%	*	77%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	*	*	83%	85%	70%	*	77%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	80%	89%	77%	80%	76%	*	79%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS		IN	1D quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	70%	74%	78%	73%	72%	*	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	50%	78%	71%	68%	62%	*	67%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	94%	85%	90%	88%	80%	*	86%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	50%	54%	63%	60%	52%	*	57%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	42%	50%	62%	52%	46%	*	51%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME			IN	ID quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	65%	52%	64%	61%	61%	*	61%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	44%	60%	56%	62%	54%	*	57%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	IMD quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	63%	35%	38%	48%	46%	*	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	48%	23%	23%	30%	22%	*	27%

IMD quintile tables

*

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	IMD quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	33%	41%	33%	*	35%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	67%	78%	76%	72%	*	74%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	69%	66%	58%	66%	64%	*	64%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	IMD quintile							
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	82%	95%	86%	87%	87%	*	87%	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	86%	84%	87%	83%	81%	*	84%	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	67%	57%	40%	27%	30%	*	35%	
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.4	8.8	8.7	8.6	8.6	*	8.6	

Long-term condition status tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long-term condition status						
	Yes	No	Not given	All			
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	74%	72%	79%	74%			
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	68%	72%	61%	69%			

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		Long-term con	dition status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	94%	95%	93%	94%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	81%	88%	93%	84%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	79%	81%	72%	79%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	76%	81%	75%	77%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	92%	94%	93%	93%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	78%	81%	84%	79%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	73%	75%	74%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	75%	79%	71%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	86%	91%	88%	88%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	84%	85%	90%	85%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON		Long-term con	dition status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	81%	82%	73%	81%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	83%	79%	94%	83%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	94%	93%	95%	93%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	88%	79%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	81%	81%	94%	82%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	81%	81%	94%	82%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	53%	52%	52%	53%

Long-term condition status tables

CARE PLANNING	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	66%	72%	71%	68%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	94%	90%	81%	92%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	98%	94%	*	97%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	89%	91%	86%	90%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	74%	71%	83%	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	71%	83%	65%	74%

HOSPITAL CARE		Long-term cor	dition status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	69%	69%	67%	69%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	61%	64%	*	62%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	63%	56%	73%	62%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	63%	66%	64%	64%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	57%	54%	40%	55%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	72%	78%	91%	75%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	81%	80%	91%	81%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	78%	88%	90%	82%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an butpatient or day case	73%	72%	80%	73%

Long-term condition status tables

YOUR TREATMENT		Long-term cor	dition status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	96%	92%	*	95%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	84%	89%	93%	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	84%	90%	*	87%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	80%	83%	*	80%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	72%	94%	*	80%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	93%	85%	*	90%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	80%	85%	93%	82%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	84%	87%	*	86%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	74%	81%	*	77%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	73%	81%	*	77%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	79%	79%	74%	79%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS		Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	71%	77%	78%	74%	
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	65%	69%	67%	67%	
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	85%	88%	94%	86%	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	55%	62%	59%	57%	
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	51%	55%	41%	51%	

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Long-term condition status			
	Yes No Not given			
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	60%	67%	45%	61%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	56%	62%	50%	57%


CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long-term condition status				
	Yes No Not given				
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	45%	51%	29%	45%	
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	31%	20%	19%	27%	


Long-term condition status tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	36%	26%	*	35%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	69%	81%	81%	74%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	61%	68%	69%	64%


YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Long-term condition status				
	Yes No Not given All				
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	86%	88%	97%	87%	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	81%	88%	88%	84%	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	33%	40%	30%	35%	
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.6	8.6	8.9	8.6	


Year on year charts







DIAGNOSTIC TESTS










 Indicates to suppre 	where a score is not available due , ession or a low base size.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.		
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand				
100%				
80%	80%	700/	77%	
60%		76%	1170	
40%				
20%				
0%				
	2021	2022	2023	











 Indicates wher to suppression 	e a score is not available due or a low base size.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.			
Q15. Patient was o	15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place				
100%					
80%	85%	85%	88%		
60%					
40%					
20%					
0%	2021	2022	2023		






 Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person

 100%


 80%
 88%
 85%
 83%


 60%
 88%
 85%
 83%


 40%
 9%
 2021
 2022
 2023



Year on year charts







 Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options

 100%

 80%

 60%

 40%

 20%

 0%

 2021 **

 2022 **

CARE PLANNING


Q24. Pa	atient was def	finitely able to ha	ve a discussion about their	r needs or cond	cerns prior to treatment		
100%							
80%							
60%		72%		69%		68%	
40%							
20%							
0%		2021		2022		2023	


Year on year charts


 Indicates where to suppression 	e a score is not availa or a low base size.	ble due ** No score available for t	hese years.	The scores are unadjusted and b England scores only.	ased on
Q25. A member of	their care team help	bed the patient create a care plan	to address any	needs or concerns	
100%	0.49/				
80%	94%	93%		92%	
60%					
40%					
20%					
0%					
070	2021	2022	2	2023	


		nsure it was up	to date		
99%		98%		97%	
0004		0000			
	99% 2021				


SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF

























 Indicates where to suppression 	e a score is not avail or a low base size.	The scores are us England scores o	nadjusted and based on nly.		
Q36. Hospital staff	always did everyth	ing they could to help the patient cont	rol pain		
80%	82%	82%			75%
60% 40%					
20%					
0%	2021	2022			2023




























* Indi to s	icates where a score is not available due ** suppression or a low base size.	No score available for these years.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.	
Q42_2.	Patient completely had enough understar	ndable information about their response to	chemotherapy	
100%				
80%			82%	
60%				
40%				
20%				
0%				
	2021 **	2022 **	2023	

























Year on year charts

Indicates where a score is not available due ** No score available for these years. The scores are unadjusted and based on to suppression or a low base size. England scores only. SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home 100% 80% 60% 61% 55% 54% 40% 20% 0% 2021 2022 2023




CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment 100% 80% 60% 40% 46% 40% 46% 20% 46% 0% 2021 2022




LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER

Q53. After treatment, the patient de	finitely could get enough emotional support at home fro	m community or voluntary services
100%		
80%		
60%		
40%		
20% 26%	33%	35%
0% 2021	2022	2023

















 Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size. 	* No score available for these years.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.
59. Patient's average rating of care scored fror	n very poor to very good	
8.9	8.8	8.6
2021	2022	2023