

# **Cancer Patient Experience Survey**

2023 Results

# Harrogate and District NHS Foundation Trust

Published July 2024

The Cancer Patient Experience Survey is undertaken by Picker on behalf of NHS England

### Contents

Executive summary	3
Introduction	1
Methodology	1
Understanding the results	5
Further information	7
Response rate	3
Expected range charts	)
Comparability tables	1
Tumour group tables	3
Age group tables	3
Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables	7
Ethnicity tables	2
IMD quintile tables	3
Long-term condition status tables	)
Year on year charts	4

# **Executive summary**

#### **Questions above expected range**

	Case	mix adjusted s	cores	
	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	87%	72%	83%	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	84%	73%	84%	78%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	91%	79%	90%	84%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	66%	49%	65%	57%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	98%	90%	97%	94%
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	95%	87%	95%	91%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	91%	62%	78%	70%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	89%	66%	87%	76%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	94%	70%	87%	78%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	77%	64%	76%	70%
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	54%	39%	54%	46%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	92%	83%	91%	87%

### **Questions below expected range**

	Case	mix adjusted s	cores	
	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	65%	69%	86%	77%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	56%	63%	82%	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	47%	55%	75%	65%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	77%	81%	94%	87%

### Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2023 is the thirteenth iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was undertaken by Picker on behalf of NHS England and it was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2023 survey involved 132 NHS Trusts. Out of 121,121 people, 63,428 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 52%.

### Methodology

#### Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2023. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between November 2023 and February 2024.

As in the previous eight years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

#### Note on question comparability

The questionnaire was redeveloped for the 2021 National Cancer Patient Experience Survey. Year on year comparisons between 2021, 2022 and 2023 are included in this report for most questions. A review of the questionnaire in 2023 saw four changes being made:

- The question text for Q23 and Q42 were amended. These questions are no longer deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.
- The long-term condition question (Q67) was amended to include "Autism or autism spectrum condition" as a response option. And the "Neurological condition" answer option was updated to include an example condition changing it to "Neurological condition, such as epilepsy". These changes see the answer option "Neurological condition, such as epilepsy" as no longer being deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.
- The ethnic group question (Q71) was amended to include "Roma" as an answer option. The ethnic group question is still deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.

#### Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allow us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a Trust is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, ethnicity, deprivation, and cancer type.

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the Trust. Casemix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

#### Scoring methodology

Sixty-one questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q59), the score shows the percentage of respondents who gave

the most favourable response to a question. For Q59, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

In 2022, following a review of the scoring methodology, a change was made to the scoring of Q12 such that the response option "No, I was told by letter or email" is no longer considered neutral and is now scored as negative.

#### **Statistical significance**

In the reporting of 2023 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by chance.

#### **Suppression**

Data is suppressed for two reasons: to ensure unreliable results based on very small numbers of respondents are not released, and to prevent individuals being identifiable in the data.

In cases where a result is based on fewer than 10 responses, the result has been suppressed. For example, where fewer than 10 people answered a question from a particular Trust, the results are not shown for that question for that Trust.

For Trusts with an eligible population of 1,000 or fewer, data relating to the respondent and their condition has been suppressed where 5 people or fewer were in a particular category. In instances where only one has been suppressed, the next lowest category has been suppressed to prevent back calculation from the total number of responses.

#### **Additional suppression**

Additional suppression happens if only **one** Trust has a score suppressed. If this happens, we will suppress another Trust's results (both the Trust level and sub-group results for the question) based on the next lowest number of respondents for the score. We do this so that the national score cannot be used to work out the score for the individual Trust.

The same rule applies to groups in each sub-group breakdown. For example, if only one Trust has the 85+ age group suppressed for Q25 we will need to suppress another Trust's results for the 85+ age group on Q25. This suppression is based on the 85+ age group with the next lowest number of respondents for Q25.

### **Understanding the results**

This report shows how this Trust scored for each question in the survey compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual Trusts to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

#### **Expected range charts**

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (within the grey bar) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this Trust.

Trusts whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the Trust performs better than what Trusts of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the Trust's size and demographics.

#### **Comparability tables**

The comparability tables show the 2022 and 2023 unadjusted scores for this Trust for each scored question. The Change 2022-2023 and Change overall columns show whether the scores show a statistically significant variation between years. This is shown between 2022-2023 and as an overall

between 2021-2023. An upwards arrow indicates a statistically significant increase, a downwards arrow indicates a statistically significant decrease and no arrow indicates no statistically significant change.

The adjusted 2023 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

#### Sub-group breakdowns

Unadjusted scores are shown for tumour group, Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, IMD quintile, long-term condition status and ethnicity breakdowns. Unadjusted scores for the same sub-group across different Trusts may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

#### **Tumour group tables**

The tumour group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

#### Age group tables

The age group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the eight age groups.

#### Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

These tables show the unadjusted scores for the following groups male; female; non-binary; prefer to self-describe; and prefer not to say.

#### **Ethnicity tables**

The ethnicity tables show the unadjusted scores for six ethnicity groups.

#### Long-term condition status tables

The long-term condition status tables show the unadjusted scores for two groups: those who indicate they have one or more long-term conditions and those who indicate that they have no long-term conditions.

#### **IMD** quintile tables

The IMD quintile tables show the unadjusted scores for five quintiles based on relative disadvantage, with quintile 1 being the most deprived and quintile 5 being the least deprived.

#### Year on year charts

The year on year charts show three columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last three years (2021, 2022 and 2023) for each scored question.

### National level and England level data

In some cases (343 respondents in 2023), patients from outside England (from Wales, Scotland, Northern Ireland, the Channel Islands or the Isle of Man) are referred to English NHS Trusts for treatment. These patients are described as 'Non-England' in the data.

#### National level data (England and Non-England) is used for:

- Response rate section
- National column in comparability tables section
- Sub-group tables section (Tumour group tables, Age group tables, Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables, Ethnicity tables, IMD quintile tables and Long-term condition status tables)

#### England only level data is used for:

- Expected range charts section (as case-mix adjustment includes IMD data specific to England)
- Comparability tables section
- Year on year charts section.

### **Further information**

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting market and social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2019; certificate number GB08/74322). Our statistical practice is regulated by the Office for Statistics Regulation (OSR). OSR sets the standards of trustworthiness, quality, and value in the Code of Practice for Statistics that all producers of official statistics should adhere to. You are welcome to contact us directly with any comments about how we meet these standards. Alternatively, you can contact OSR by emailing regulation@statistics.gov.uk or via the OSR website.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2023 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at <u>www.ncpes.co.uk</u>. For all other outputs at Trust level, please see the Excel tables and dashboards at <u>www.ncpes.co.uk</u>.

### **Response rate**

#### **Overall response rate**

297 patients responded out of a total of 501 patients, resulting in a response rate of 59%.

	Sample size	Adjusted sample	Completed	Response rate
Overall response rate	528	501	297	59%
National	129,231	121,121	63,438	52%

### Respondents by survey type

	Number of respondents
Paper	251
Online	46
Phone	0
Translation service	0
Total	297

#### **Respondents by tumour group**

	Number of respondents
Brain / CNS	0
Breast	64
Colorectal / LGT	40
Gynaecological	*
Haematological	52
Head and neck	*
Lung	20
Prostate	45
Sarcoma	0
Skin	*
Upper gastro	*
Urological	27
Other	39
Total	297

### Respondents by ethnicity

	Number of respondents
White	
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	272
Irish	*
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	*
Roma	*
Any other White background	7
Mixed / Multiple Ethnic Groups	
White and Black Caribbean	*
White and Black African	*
White and Asian	*
Any other Mixed / multiple ethnic background	*
Asian or Asian British	
Indian	*
Pakistani	*
Bangladeshi	*
Chinese	*
Any other Asian background	*
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British	
African	*
Caribbean	*
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	*
Other Ethnicity	
Arab	*
Any other ethnic group	*
Not given	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Not given	14
Total	297

\* indicates the count is not shown due to suppression

# **Expected range charts**

Lower expected range	Within expected range			Upper	expecte	ed range	Э	•	Case n	nix adju	sted sc	ore
The left outer edge of the bars is the lo	owest score achieved of all Trust	s. The	right ou	ter edge	e of the l	oars is t	he high	est scor	e achiev	ved of a	ll Trusts	i.
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GF	PRACTICE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary before cancer diagnosis	care professional once or twi	ce								80% ♦		
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was exp could completely understand	plained in a way the patient								69% ◆			
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q5. Patient received all the inform diagnostic test in advance	ation needed about the										94	% •
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared information they needed about the	to completely have all the patient									8	6% ◆	
Q7. Patient felt the length of time results was about right	waiting for diagnostic test										7% ◆	
Q8. Diagnostic test results were e could completely understand	xplained in a way the patient									84	%	
Q9. Enough privacy was always g receiving diagnostic test results	iven to the patient when										ç	97% ◆
FINDING OUT THAT YOU H	IAD CANCER	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q12. Patient was told they could h friend with them when told diagnos	have a family member, carer o sis	or								′7% ◆		
Q13. Patient was definitely told se	nsitively that they had cancer								749			
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained completely understand	in a way the patient could									78% ◆		
Q15. Patient was definitely told ab appropriate place	out their diagnosis in an									8	6% ◆	
Q16. Patient was told they could g information about their diagnosis	o back later for more									849	%	
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN C	ONTACT PERSON	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%		100%
Q17. Patient had a main point of c	contact within the care team										94	%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite contact person	easy to contact their main										91% �	
Q19. Patient found advice from ma quite helpful	ain contact person was very o	or									ę	98% ◆

# **Expected range charts**

Lower expected range	Within expected range			••	•	ed range		•		nix adju		
The left outer edge of the bars is the low	est score achieved of all Trust	s. The	right ou	ter edge	e of the	bars is t	he high	est scor	e achiev	ved of a	Il Trusts	6.
DECIDING ON THE BEST TR		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90% %	100%
Q20. Treatment options were explain could completely understand										•		
Q21. Patient was definitely involved be in decisions about their treatment	as much as they wanted to t									83% •		
Q22. Family and/or carers were defined as the patient wanted them to be in a options	nitely involved as much decisions about treatment									8	6% ◆	
Q23. Patient could get further advice professional before making decision options	e from a different healthcare s about their treatment	e						6	6% ◆			
CARE PLANNING		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q24. Patient was definitely able to h needs or concerns prior to treatment	ave a discussion about thei t	ir							7	77% ◆		
Q25. A member of their care team h care plan to address any needs or care	elped the patient create a oncerns											98%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patien ensure it was up to date	t's care plan with them to											100%
SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100% 5%
Q27. Staff provided the patient with available support										0.00/	9.	•
Q28. Patient definitely got the right lo overall health and well being from ho	evel of support for their ospital staff									80%		
Q29. Patient was offered information help or benefits	n about how to get financial										91%	
HOSPITAL CARE		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q31. Patient had confidence and tru after them during their stay in hospita									5% •			
Q32. Patient's family, or someone cl talk to a member of the team looking	ose, was definitely able to g after the patient in hospita	1						6	6% ◆			
Q33. Patient was always involved in and treatment whilst in hospital	decisions about their care								69% ◆			
Q34. Patient was always able to get needed	help from ward staff when							6% ♦				
Q35. Patient was always able to disc hospital staff	cuss worries and fears with					4	.7% ◆					
Q36. Hospital staff always did every patient control pain	thing they could to help the									79% ♦		
Q37. Patient was always treated with hospital	h respect and dignity while	in							7	7% ◆		
Q38. Patient received easily underst what they should or should not do af										83%		
Q39. Patient was always able to disc hospital staff while being treated as a	cuss worries and fears with an outpatient or day case									84	%	

# **Expected range charts**

Lower expected range	Within expected range	;		U	pper	expect	ed rang	е	•	Case	mix adju	usted sc	ore	
The left outer edge of the bars is the lowes	st score achieved of all Trust	ieved of all Trusts. The right outer edge of the bars is the							highest score achieved of all Trusts.					
OUR TREATMENT		0%	109		0.00/	200/	400/	E00/	60%	700/	0,00/	0.00/	100	
		0%	105	/o Z	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90% 91%	100	
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completel understandable information about sur	y had enough gery											<b>9</b> 1%		
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completel understandable information about che	y had enough motherapy										84	%		
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completel inderstandable information about rad	y had enough iotherapy											92% ♦	) )	
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completel understandable information about hor											85	5%		
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completel understandable information about imm	y had enough nunotherapy										80% ♦			
Q42_1. Patient completely had enoug nformation about their response to su											5	88% ♦		
Q42_2. Patient completely had enoug nformation about their response to ch	h understandable emotherapy											37% ◆		
Q42_3. Patient completely had enoug nformation about their response to ra												88% ♦		
Q42_4. Patient completely had enoug nformation about their response to he	h understandable prmone therapy											89% �		
Q42_5. Patient completely had enoug nformation about their response to im	h understandable munotherapy										83% •	6		
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting or cancer treatment was about right	time at clinic and day unit	:										94	%	
MMEDIATE AND LONG TERM	I SIDE EFFECTS	0%	109	% 2	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100	
Q44. Possible side effects from treatmeters and the patient could u										7	6% ◆			
Q45. Patient was always offered prac any immediate side effects from treatr	tical advice on dealing wit nent	th								7	7% ◆			
Q46. Patient was given information th support in dealing with immediate side		ut										92%	)	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term si explained in a way they could underst reatment									639 •	%				
Q48. Patient was definitely able to dis he impact of any long-term side effec		g							59% ◆					
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME		0%	109	% 2	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	1009	
Q49. Care team gave family, or some nformation needed to help care for th									64	·%				
Q50. During treatment, the patient def	initely got enough care a	nd						539	6					

support at home from community or voluntary services

# Expected range charts

Lower expected range Within expected range The left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all Trust		right ou	••	expecte e of the	•		est scor		nix adju ved of a		
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	n		19%			54	%				
Q32. Fallent has had a review of cancel care by GF practice			•								
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary service	es			29% ♦							
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment									79% ◆		
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	y						65	5% •			
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q56. The whole care team worked well together										91% ◆	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good										92% ♦	)
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patier	nt					49% •					
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good										9.0	

# **Comparability tables**

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

<sup>∙</sup>a ▲ <sub>or</sub> ▼ Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

\*\* No score available for 2022.

			Unadjus	ted score	Case n					
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	143	82%	142	82%			80%	72%	85%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	201	73%	207	71%			69%	60%	73%	67%

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case n			
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	226	96%	234	94%			94%	89%	96%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	249	91%	251	87%			86%	79%	88%	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	247	88%	251	88%			87%	72%	83%	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	249	84%	251	85%			84%	73%	84%	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	247	97%	250	97%			97%	92%	97%	95%

			Unadjust	ted score	es		Case n	ed scores	Netional	
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	270	77%	272	77%			77%	76%	86%	81%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	290	77%	290	74%		▼	74%	69%	79%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	289	80%	292	78%			78%	72%	82%	77%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	287	86%	292	87%			86%	81%	90%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	254	85%	267	83%			84%	80%	88%	84%

			Unadjust	ed score	s		Case n			
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	283	95%	281	94%			94%	87%	95%	91%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	246	89%	246	91%			91%	79%	90%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	259	95%	257	98%			98%	93%	98%	96%

# **Comparability tables**

 Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

a ▲ <sub>or</sub> ▼ Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

\*\* No score available for 2022.

			Unadjus	ted score	S		Case n	nix adjuste	ed scores	
DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	267	87%	267	84%			84%	78%	87%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	286	83%	287	84%			83%	75%	84%	80%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	250	85%	249	87%			86%	79%	88%	83%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	**	**	144	64%			66%	49%	65%	57%

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case m			
CARE PLANNING	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	253	75%	259	77%			77%	67%	78%	72%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	150	93%	151	98%			98%	90%	97%	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	116	98%	120	100%			100%	97%	100%	99%

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case n			
SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	255	96%	261	95%			95%	87%	95%	91%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	287	84%	293	80%			80%	70%	81%	76%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	174	90%	167	90%			91%	62%	78%	70%

# **Comparability tables**

Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a \* \*\*

▲ or ▼

Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

	low base size.	
,	No score available for 2022.	

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case r	nix adjuste	ed scores	
HOSPITAL CARE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	97	75%	95	64%		▼	65%	69%	86%	77%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	81	72%	83	65%			66%	60%	80%	70%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	98	67%	94	68%			69%	61%	80%	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	96	68%	93	55%			56%	63%	82%	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	93	60%	90	47%		▼	47%	55%	75%	65%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	85	81%	81	79%			79%	76%	92%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	97	87%	95	77%		▼	77%	81%	94%	87%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	98	90%	92	83%			83%	82%	95%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	246	87%	245	84%			84%	74%	84%	79%
			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case r	nix adjuste	ed scores	
YOUR TREATMENT	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	127	88%	118	91%			91%	84%	95%	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	161	88%	148	84%			84%	80%	91%	86%

127	88%	118	91%	91%	84%	95%	90%
161	88%	148	84%	84%	80%	91%	86%
76	91%	73	92%	92%	82%	96%	89%
64	84%	65	85%	85%	69%	89%	79%
45	89%	49	80%	80%	73%	94%	84%
**	**	114	88%	88%	80%	93%	86%
**	**	145	87%	87%	75%	88%	81%
**	**	72	88%	88%	77%	93%	85%
**	**	62	89%	89%	66%	87%	76%
**	**	46	83%	83%	69%	92%	81%
275	89%	282	94%	94%	70%	87%	78%
	161 76 64 45 ** ** ** **	161     88%       76     91%       64     84%       45     89%       **     **       **     **       **     **       **     **       **     **       **     **	161         88%         148           76         91%         73           64         84%         65           45         89%         49           ***         114           ***         145           ***         145           ***         64           ***         62           ***         46	161       88%       148       84%         76       91%       73       92%         64       84%       65       85%         45       89%       49       80%         **       ***       114       88%         ***       114       88%	161       88%       148       84%       84%         76       91%       73       92%       92%         64       84%       65       85%       85%         45       89%       49       80%       80%         ***       ***       114       88%       88%         ***       ***       145       87%       87%         ***       ***       72       88%       88%         ***       ***       62       89%       89%         ***       **       46       83%       83%	161       88%       148       84%       84%       80%         76       91%       73       92%       92%       82%         64       84%       65       85%       85%       69%         45       89%       49       80%       80%       73%         ***       ***       114       88%       88%       80%         ***       ***       145       87%       88%       75%         ***       ***       145       87%       88%       77%         ***       ***       62       89%       89%       66%         ***       **       46       83%       83%       69%	161       88%       148       84%       84%       84%       80%       91%         76       91%       73       92%       92%       82%       96%         64       84%       65       85%       85%       69%       89%         45       89%       49       80%       80%       73%       94%         ***       ***       114       88%       88%       80%       93%         ***       ***       145       87%       88%       80%       93%         ***       ***       145       87%       88%       80%       93%         ***       ***       145       87%       88%       80%       93%         ***       ***       62       89%       88%       88%       66%       87%         ***       ***       46       83%       83%       69%       92%

# **Comparability tables**

 \* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

\*\* No score available for 2022.

			Unadjus	ted score		Case n				
IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	266	78%	264	75%			76%	69%	80%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	253	77%	251	76%			77%	64%	76%	70%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	211	91%	217	92%			92%	82%	92%	87%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	244	61%	242	61%			63%	54%	67%	60%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	210	56%	210	58%			59%	47%	62%	55%

			Unadjust	ed score		Case m				
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	176	65%	162	64%			64%	54%	69%	62%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	97	64%	101	53%			53%	43%	62%	52%

			Unadjust	ted score		Case n				
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	161	52%	160	55%			54%	39%	54%	46%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	275	18%	275	17%			19%	18%	28%	23%

			Unadjust	ted score	s		Case m	nix adjuste	d scores	
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	48	42%	58	29%			29%	20%	44%	32%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	125	81%	107	80%			79%	71%	87%	79%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	216	70%	216	65%			65%	57%	71%	64%

			Unadjust	ted score	s		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	269	91%	272	92%			91%	86%	93%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	281	91%	288	92%			92%	83%	91%	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	177	48%	175	48%			49%	33%	56%	45%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	278	9.1	279	9.1			9.0	8.7	9.1	8.9

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	94%	73%	*	71%	*	*	86%	*	*	*	93%	83%	82%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	79%	75%	*	50%	*	79%	81%	*	*	*	68%	64%	71%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	94%	92%	*	89%	*	100%	98%	*	*	*	100%	94%	94%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	76%	90%	*	76%	*	100%	98%	*	*	*	96%	92%	87%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	94%	84%	*	82%	*	80%	93%	*	*	*	100%	77%	88%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	84%	95%	*	73%	*	93%	88%	*	*	*	92%	78%	85%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	100%	97%	*	92%	*	100%	98%	*	*	*	100%	97%	97%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	80%	86%	*	65%	*	85%	86%	*	*	*	80%	67%	77%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	75%	87%	*	69%	*	75%	81%	*	*	*	67%	61%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	81%	95%	*	61%	*	80%	82%	*	*	*	81%	74%	78%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	84%	87%	*	84%	*	85%	93%	*	*	*	96%	87%	87%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	78%	91%	*	77%	*	89%	93%	*	*	*	92%	73%	83%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N						Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	97%	97%	*	90%	*	100%	95%	*	*	*	96%	86%	94%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	96%	97%	*	86%	*	100%	94%	*	*	*	86%	78%	91%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	98%	100%	*	96%	*	100%	100%	*	*	*	91%	97%	98%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	86%	97%	*	71%	*	95%	83%	*	*	*	91%	74%	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	86%	95%	*	71%	*	95%	86%	*	*	*	81%	84%	84%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	95%	92%	*	71%	*	95%	89%	*	*	*	89%	81%	87%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	*	69%	75%	*	48%	*	69%	71%	*	*	*	70%	55%	64%

CARE PLANNING							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	83%	83%	*	68%	*	95%	78%	*	*	*	78%	68%	77%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	100%	100%	*	96%	*	100%	100%	*	*	*	91%	94%	98%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	100%	100%	*	100%	*	100%	100%	*	*	*	*	100%	100%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	97%	94%	*	98%	*	100%	95%	*	*	*	100%	88%	95%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	83%	82%	*	78%	*	90%	89%	*	*	*	73%	67%	80%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	91%	87%	*	94%	*	100%	88%	*	*	*	77%	86%	90%

HOSPITAL CARE							Tumo	our gro	oup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	83%	60%	*	64%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%	64%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	75%	82%	*	54%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	50%	65%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	78%	80%	*	62%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	47%	68%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	79%	48%	*	38%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	71%	55%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	59%	44%	*	46%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	57%	47%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	100%	91%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	69%	79%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	95%	76%	*	77%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	67%	77%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	74%	96%	*	73%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	67%	83%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	83%	86%	*	87%	*	89%	86%	*	*	*	77%	84%	84%

YOUR TREATMENT							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	97%	97%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	85%	67%	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	92%	95%	*	69%	*	92%	100%	*	*	*	*	76%	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	90%	*	*	*	*	*	96%	*	*	*	*	80%	92%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	80%	*	*	*	*	*	96%	*	*	*	*	64%	85%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	62%	*	91%	*	*	*	*	*	*	80%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	*	94%	93%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%	64%	88%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	*	92%	85%	*	81%	*	100%	100%	*	*	*	*	78%	87%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	*	90%	*	*	*	*	*	88%	*	*	*	*	80%	88%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	*	86%	*	*	*	*	*	96%	*	*	*	*	73%	89%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	69%	*	91%	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	93%	87%	*	96%	*	100%	95%	*	*	*	96%	97%	94%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS						Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	80%	75%	*	64%	*	95%	78%	*	*	*	72%	67%	75%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	80%	78%	*	71%	*	95%	76%	*	*	*	57%	76%	76%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	90%	91%	*	89%	*	100%	94%	*	*	*	94%	88%	92%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	64%	69%	*	48%	*	72%	60%	*	*	*	61%	61%	61%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	57%	65%	*	54%	*	60%	67%	*	*	*	50%	58%	58%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	67%	76%	*	63%	*	*	65%	*	*	*	60%	35%	64%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	57%	62%	*	25%	*	*	63%	*	*	*	*	38%	53%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	57%	42%	*	53%	*	*	67%	*	*	*	53%	45%	55%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	11%	29%	*	12%	*	6%	33%	*	*	*	7%	18%	17%

### **Tumour group tables**

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	20%	29%	*	27%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	72%	82%	*	78%	*	*	100%	*	*	*	*	*	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	52%	74%	*	69%	*	75%	70%	*	*	*	61%	54%	65%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	93%	89%	*	94%	*	89%	98%	*	*	*	88%	85%	92%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	95%	87%	*	94%	*	100%	98%	*	*	*	81%	89%	92%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	49%	57%	*	56%	*	71%	41%	*	*	*	38%	27%	48%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	9.1	9.3	*	9.1	*	9.2	9.1	*	*	*	8.9	8.8	9.1

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	*	*	79%	59%	80%	89%	100%	82%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	86%	73%	70%	68%	60%	71%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	*	*	93%	91%	97%	95%	91%	94%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	*	*	82%	84%	86%	93%	78%	87%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	*	*	76%	84%	89%	91%	87%	88%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	71%	88%	89%	88%	65%	85%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	*	*	94%	98%	96%	98%	100%	97%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	*	*	82%	62%	82%	78%	91%	77%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	*	*	56%	75%	72%	77%	77%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	72%	73%	80%	82%	74%	78%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	*	*	78%	86%	81%	93%	92%	87%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	*	*	100%	78%	84%	85%	71%	83%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N				Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	*	*	100%	96%	95%	93%	81%	94%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	*	*	100%	91%	85%	94%	94%	91%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	*	*	94%	96%	98%	99%	100%	98%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	67%	90%	89%	83%	76%	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	*	*	83%	84%	86%	82%	86%	84%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	*	*	94%	93%	85%	83%	91%	87%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	*	*	*	70%	57%	68%	66%	46%	64%

CARE PLANNING					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	*	*	69%	84%	78%	74%	72%	77%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	*	*	100%	100%	100%	95%	*	98%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	*	*	*	100%	100%	100%	*	100%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	*	*	100%	94%	95%	95%	100%	95%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	*	*	56%	83%	80%	82%	79%	80%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	*	*	100%	89%	94%	84%	93%	90%

HOSPITAL CARE					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	*	*	60%	64%	55%	81%	*	64%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	*	*	*	67%	61%	70%	*	65%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	*	*	70%	79%	65%	72%	*	68%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	*	*	*	62%	47%	65%	*	55%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	*	*	40%	54%	39%	60%	*	47%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	*	*	80%	83%	71%	90%	*	79%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	*	*	70%	79%	82%	73%	*	77%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	*	*	70%	85%	88%	80%	*	83%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	*	*	86%	88%	85%	84%	79%	84%

YOUR TREATMENT					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	*	*	80%	100%	88%	94%	*	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	*	*	85%	96%	83%	79%	*	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	*	*	*	100%	91%	93%	*	92%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	*	*	67%	85%	86%	*	85%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	75%	87%	71%	*	80%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	*	*	*	93%	89%	87%	88%	*	88%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	*	*	*	77%	96%	85%	84%	*	87%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	*	*	*	*	100%	86%	89%	*	88%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	*	*	*	*	83%	95%	81%	*	89%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	100%	73%	80%	*	83%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	*	*	100%	98%	94%	94%	91%	94%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS				Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	*	*	61%	83%	77%	73%	67%	75%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	*	75%	79%	77%	75%	72%	76%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	*	87%	90%	94%	91%	100%	92%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	*	*	50%	58%	62%	63%	63%	61%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	*	*	46%	60%	58%	61%	55%	58%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	*	*	55%	71%	58%	67%	57%	64%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	*	*	64%	45%	50%	40%	53%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	*	*	*	57%	56%	57%	40%	55%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	*	*	7%	26%	19%	12%	26%	17%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	*	*	40%	33%	25%	*	29%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	*	*	*	78%	86%	83%	*	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	*	*	36%	65%	73%	64%	60%	65%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE					Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	*	*	81%	88%	93%	95%	86%	92%	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	*	*	82%	92%	85%	97%	96%	92%	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	*	*	43%	45%	52%	51%	*	48%	
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	*	*	8.7	9.1	9.1	9.1	9.0	9.1	

### Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	84%	81%	*	*	*	*	82%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	68%	73%	*	*	*	75%	71%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	93%	96%	*	*	*	91%	94%		
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	81%	92%	*	*	*	100%	87%		
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	84%	90%	*	*	*	92%	88%		
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	89%	*	*	*	100%	85%		
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	96%	99%	*	*	*	92%	97%		

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	71%	84%	*	*	*	75%	77%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	71%	76%	*	*	*	77%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	75%	81%	*	*	*	85%	78%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	78%	96%	*	*	*	77%	87%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	75%	92%	*	*	*	75%	83%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N		Male/Fema	lle/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	94%	94%	*	*	*	91%	94%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	90%	93%	*	*	*	90%	91%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	99%	*	*	*	100%	98%

### Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	85%	84%	*	*	*	70%	84%		
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	83%	85%	*	*	*	75%	84%		
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	87%	88%	*	*	*	*	87%		
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	61%	69%	*	*	*	*	64%		

CARE PLANNING			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	75%	80%	*	*	*	*	77%		
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	99%	97%	*	*	*	*	98%		
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	100%	100%	*	*	*	*	100%		

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	94%	97%	*	*	*	100%	95%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	76%	85%	*	*	*	75%	80%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	89%	89%	*	*	*	*	90%

### Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

HOSPITAL CARE			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	59%	72%	*	*	*	*	64%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	63%	68%	*	*	*	*	65%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	67%	72%	*	*	*	*	68%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	51%	59%	*	*	*	*	55%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	46%	50%	*	*	*	*	47%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	83%	74%	*	*	*	*	79%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	73%	79%	*	*	*	*	77%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	78%	92%	*	*	*	*	83%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	82%	86%	*	*	*	91%	84%

YOUR TREATMENT			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	88%	93%	*	*	*	*	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	85%	82%	*	*	*	*	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	88%	94%	*	*	*	*	92%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	75%	96%	*	*	*	*	85%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	74%	83%	*	*	*	*	80%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	89%	88%	*	*	*	*	88%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	88%	86%	*	*	*	*	87%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	89%	88%	*	*	*	*	88%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to hormone therapy	82%	96%	*	*	*	*	89%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to immunotherapy	85%	79%	*	*	*	*	83%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	94%	95%	*	*	*	92%	94%

### Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	ГS		Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	73%	78%	*	*	*	*	75%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	76%	77%	*	*	*	*	76%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	91%	94%	*	*	*	*	92%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	60%	64%	*	*	*	*	61%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	55%	65%	*	*	*	*	58%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME			Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	61%	68%	*	*	*	*	64%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	55%	56%	*	*	*	*	53%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	E FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Male/Female/Non-binary/Other				
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	46%	65%	*	*	*	*	55%		
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	15%	21%	*	*	*	0%	17%		

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	20%	37%	*	*	*	*	29%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	77%	84%	*	*	*	*	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	57%	74%	*	*	*	*	65%

### Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	91%	93%	*	*	*	83%	92%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	90%	94%	*	*	*	85%	92%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	45%	49%	*	*	*	*	48%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.9	9.3	*	*	*	8.9	9.1

\*

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	JPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE			Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	83%	*	*	*	*	*	82%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	72%	*	*	*	*	67%	71%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	95%	*	*	*	*	91%	94%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	87%	*	*	*	*	92%	87%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	88%	*	*	*	*	92%	88%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	84%	*	*	*	*	91%	85%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	98%	*	*	*	*	83%	97%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	77%	*	*	*	*	67%	77%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	74%	*	*	*	*	69%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	*	*	*	*	77%	78%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	87%	*	*	*	*	69%	87%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	84%	*	*	*	*	67%	83%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	94%	*	*	*	*	91%	94%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	92%	*	*	*	*	90%	91%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	98%	*	*	*	*	100%	98%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT		Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	85%	*	*	*	*	60%	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	85%	*	*	*	*	67%	84%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	87%	*	*	*	*	*	87%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	65%	*	*	*	*	*	64%

\*

CARE PLANNING		Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	77%	*	*	*	*	*	77%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	98%	*	*	*	*	*	98%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	100%	*	*	*	*	*	100%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	ORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	95%	*	*	*	*	100%	95%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	80%	*	*	*	*	75%	80%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	90%	*	*	*	*	*	90%

HOSPITAL CARE				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	66%	*	*	*	*	*	64%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	68%	*	*	*	*	*	65%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	70%	*	*	*	*	*	68%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	56%	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	49%	*	*	*	*	*	47%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	79%	*	*	*	*	*	79%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	75%	*	*	*	*	*	77%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	85%	*	*	*	*	*	83%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	84%	*	*	*	*	92%	84%

YOUR TREATMENT				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	90%	*	*	*	*	*	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	84%	*	*	*	*	*	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	91%	*	*	*	*	*	92%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	84%	*	*	*	*	*	85%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	81%	*	*	*	*	*	80%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	89%	*	*	*	*	*	88%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	88%	*	*	*	*	*	87%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	88%	*	*	*	*	*	88%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	88%	*	*	*	*	*	89%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	84%	*	*	*	*	*	83%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	95%	*	*	*	*	92%	94%

<b>IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT</b>	S			Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	75%	*	*	*	*	*	75%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	76%	*	*	*	*	50%	76%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	92%	*	*	*	*	*	92%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	63%	*	*	*	*	*	61%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	59%	*	*	*	*	*	58%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME			Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	65%	*	*	*	*	*	64%	
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	55%	*	*	*	*	*	53%	

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	56%	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	18%	*	*	*	*	0%	17%

\*

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	28%	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	80%	*	*	*	*	*	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	66%	*	*	*	*	*	65%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	92%	*	*	*	*	85%	92%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	92%	*	*	*	*	85%	92%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	47%	*	*	*	*	*	48%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	9.1	*	*	*	*	8.9	9.1

# **IMD** quintile tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	IPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE			IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All		
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	*	88%	78%	82%	*	82%		
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	63%	66%	77%	*	71%		

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS			IN	1D quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	*	94%	94%	95%	*	94%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	*	88%	84%	90%	*	87%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	*	91%	86%	87%	*	88%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	85%	85%	84%	*	85%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	*	100%	96%	97%	*	97%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	IMD quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	*	66%	76%	80%	*	77%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	*	69%	72%	76%	*	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	83%	76%	79%	*	78%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	*	86%	90%	84%	*	87%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	*	77%	79%	87%	*	83%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON			IMD quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	*	93%	95%	93%	*	94%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	*	91%	87%	95%	*	91%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	*	95%	97%	99%	*	98%

# **IMD** quintile tables

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT			IN	1D quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	86%	84%	84%	*	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	*	85%	83%	84%	*	84%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	*	83%	84%	90%	*	87%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	*	*	76%	59%	63%	*	64%

CARE PLANNING		IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	*	71%	76%	79%	*	77%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	*	100%	98%	97%	*	98%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	*	100%	100%	100%	*	100%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF			١N				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	*	92%	94%	97%	*	95%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	*	84%	78%	79%	*	80%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	*	95%	80%	97%	*	90%

HOSPITAL CARE			IN	1D quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	*	69%	68%	59%	*	64%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	*	62%	63%	65%	*	65%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	*	69%	63%	69%	*	68%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	*	44%	50%	61%	*	55%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	*	33%	43%	52%	*	47%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	*	85%	76%	78%	*	79%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	*	75%	77%	76%	*	77%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	*	75%	79%	87%	*	83%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	*	84%	84%	85%	*	84%

# **IMD** quintile tables

YOUR TREATMENT			IN	ID quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	*	94%	87%	92%	*	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	*	89%	78%	85%	*	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	*	100%	96%	85%	*	92%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	73%	82%	92%	*	85%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	76%	90%	*	80%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to surgery	*	*	76%	89%	90%	*	88%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to chemotherapy	*	*	94%	80%	89%	*	87%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to radiotherapy	*	*	100%	96%	75%	*	88%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to hormone therapy	*	*	80%	92%	88%	*	89%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to immunotherapy	*	*	*	68%	100%	*	83%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and lay unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	*	95%	94%	94%	*	94%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS		IN	1D quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	*	71%	77%	74%	*	75%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	74%	74%	76%	*	76%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	96%	87%	94%	*	92%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	*	55%	61%	61%	*	61%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	*	50%	57%	59%	*	58%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	IMD quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	*	48%	58%	72%	*	64%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	37%	52%	59%	*	53%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	IMD quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	*	40%	56%	60%	*	55%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	*	13%	20%	16%	*	17%

# **IMD** quintile tables

\*

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER			IN	1D quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	25%	22%	32%	*	29%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	*	84%	81%	77%	*	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	*	65%	67%	65%	*	65%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE							
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	*	90%	94%	89%	*	92%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	*	90%	92%	92%	*	92%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	*	50%	42%	51%	*	48%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	*	9.0	9.0	9.1	*	9.1

# Long-term condition status tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long-term condition status						
	Yes	No	Not given	All			
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	86%	78%	71%	82%			
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	68%	74%	74%	71%			

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		Long-term con	dition status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	94%	95%	95%	94%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	85%	90%	90%	87%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	89%	85%	90%	88%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	84%	86%	85%	85%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	98%	98%	90%	97%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER		Long-term con	dition status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	77%	75%	83%	77%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	75%	70%	79%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	81%	79%	78%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	90%	83%	83%	87%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	82%	85%	82%	83%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON		Long-term con		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	92%	98%	90%	94%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	94%	88%	94%	91%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	99%	96%	100%	98%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	84%	86%	75%	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	84%	83%	81%	84%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	86%	88%	89%	87%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	63%	61%	79%	64%

# Long-term condition status tables

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

CARE PLANNING	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	79%	73%	84%	77%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	99%	96%	100%	98%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	100%	100%	*	100%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Long-term condition status				
	Yes No Not given				
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	95%	94%	100%	95%	
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	81%	78%	78%	80%	
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	89%	92%	88%	90%	

HOSPITAL CARE		Long-term con	dition status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	66%	64%	*	64%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	71%	63%	*	65%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	66%	75%	*	68%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	50%	65%	*	55%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	50%	47%	*	47%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	74%	85%	*	79%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	68%	86%	*	77%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	82%	89%	*	83%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	85%	84%	81%	84%

# Long-term condition status tables

YOUR TREATMENT		Long-term con	dition status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	90%	93%	*	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	83%	86%	83%	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	89%	93%	*	92%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	92%	71%	*	85%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	79%	79%	*	80%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	86%	93%	*	88%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	87%	89%	75%	87%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	89%	85%	*	88%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	89%	86%	*	89%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	81%	82%	*	83%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	95%	93%	96%	94%

<b>IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS</b>		Long-term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	78%	71%	71%	75%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	79%	70%	74%	76%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	94%	88%	88%	92%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	64%	57%	56%	61%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	62%	53%	56%	58%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	All		
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	66%	63%	50%	64%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	57%	50%	*	53%


CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long-term condition status				
	Yes No Not given				
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	54%	54%	67%	55%	
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	18%	18%	10%	17%	


# Long-term condition status tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	22%	27%	*	29%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	85%	75%	*	80%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	70%	59%	54%	65%


YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE		Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	93%	90%	91%	92%	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	95%	89%	83%	92%	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	50%	44%	53%	48%	
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	9.1	9.0	9.2	9.1	


## Year on year charts







#### **DIAGNOSTIC TESTS**










<ul> <li>Indicates to suppress</li> </ul>	where a score is not available due ession or a low base size.	** No score available for these years.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.		
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand					
80%	86%	84%	85%		
60%					
20%					
0%	2021	2022	2023		











<ul> <li>Indicates when to suppression</li> </ul>	re a score is not available due n or a low base size.	** No score available for these years.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.		
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place					
100%					
80%	88%	86%	87%		
60%					
40%					
20%					
0%	2021	2022	2023		







 Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person


 100%

 80%
 90%
 89%
 91%


 60%
 90%
 89%
 91%


 40%
 90%
 80%
 91%


 20%
 90%
 2021
 2022



## Year on year charts







 Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options

 100%

 80%

 60%

 60%

 60%

 60%

 60%

 60%

 60%

 60%

 60%

 60%

 60%

 60%

 20%

 0%

 2021 \*\*

 2022 \*\*

#### **CARE PLANNING**


Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment					
100%					
80%	85%		770/		
60%		75%	77%		
40%					
20%					
0%	2021	2022	2023		

# Year on year charts

<ul> <li>Indicates when to suppression</li> </ul>	re a score is not available n or a low base size.	due ** No score available for these year	s. The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.		
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns					
100%	98%		98%		
80%	0070	93%	00/0		
60%					
40%					
20%					
0%					
	2021	2022	2023		

Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date					
100%	99%	98%	100%		
80%	0070	3070			
60%					
40%					
20%					
0%					
- , -	2021	2022	2023		

#### SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF



 Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff


 100%


 80%
 83%
 80%


 60%
 80%
 80%


 40%
 0
 0


 20%
 0
 2021
 2022

















































* Ind to s	icates where a score is not available due ** suppression or a low base size.	No score available for these years.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.	
Q42_2.	Patient completely had enough understan	dable information about their response to	chemotherapy	
100%				
80%			87%	
60%				
40%				
20%				
0%		**		
	2021 **	2022 **	2023	

























## Year on year charts

Indicates where a score is not available due \*\* No score available for these years. The scores are unadjusted and based on to suppression or a low base size. England scores only. SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home 100% 80% 60% 67% 65% 64% 40% 20% 0% 2021 2022 2023




# CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment 100% 80% 60% 40% 50% 20% 55% 0% 2021 2022




#### LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER

Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough	emotional support at home from community	or voluntary services
100%		
80%		
60%		
40%	42%	
20% 34%		29%
0% 2021	2022	2023

















	nere a score is not a ion or a low base siz		The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.		
Q59. Patient's a	verage rating of ca	are scored from very poor	to very good		
8	9.2		9.1	9.1	
6					
2					
)	2021		2022	2023	