

Cancer Patient Experience Survey

2023 Results

London North West University Healthcare NHS Trust

Published July 2024

The Cancer Patient Experience Survey is undertaken by Picker on behalf of NHS England

Contents

Executive summary	3
	4
Methodology	4
Understanding the results	5
Further information	7
Response rate	8
Expected range charts	0
Comparability tables	4
Tumour group tables	8
Age group tables	3
Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables	7
Ethnicity tables	2
IMD quintile tables	6
Long-term condition status tables	0
Year on year charts	4

Executive summary

London North West University Healthcare NHS Trust has no scores above expected range

Questions below expected range

	Case	2023 score expected range expected range 72% 73% 84% 90% 92% 98% 74% 76% 86% 74% 76% 86% 78% 79% 89% 84% 87% 95% 90% 93% 98% 77% 78% 87% 74% 75% 84% 77% 78% 95% 74% 50% 64% 87% 87% 95% 74% 50% 64% 87% 85% 95% 58% 63% 89% 51% 54% 67%			
	2023 score	expected	Upper expected range	National score	
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	72%	73%	84%	78%	
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	90%	92%	98%	95%	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	74%	76%	86%	81%	
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	78%	79%	89%	84%	
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	84%	87%	95%	91%	
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	90%	93%	98%	96%	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	78%	87%	82%	
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	74%	75%	84%	80%	
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	45%	50%	64%	57%	
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	87%	87%	95%	91%	
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	79%	85%	95%	90%	
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	58%	63%	89%	76%	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	51%	54%	67%	60%	
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	42%	44%	61%	52%	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	81%	83%	91%	87%	
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.7	8.7	9.1	8.9	

Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2023 is the thirteenth iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was undertaken by Picker on behalf of NHS England and it was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2023 survey involved 132 NHS Trusts. Out of 121,121 people, 63,428 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 52%.

Methodology

Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2023. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between November 2023 and February 2024.

As in the previous eight years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

Note on question comparability

The questionnaire was redeveloped for the 2021 National Cancer Patient Experience Survey. Year on year comparisons between 2021, 2022 and 2023 are included in this report for most questions. A review of the questionnaire in 2023 saw four changes being made:

- The question text for Q23 and Q42 were amended. These questions are no longer deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.
- The long-term condition question (Q67) was amended to include "Autism or autism spectrum condition" as a response option. And the "Neurological condition" answer option was updated to include an example condition changing it to "Neurological condition, such as epilepsy". These changes see the answer option "Neurological condition, such as epilepsy" as no longer being deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.
- The ethnic group question (Q71) was amended to include "Roma" as an answer option. The ethnic group question is still deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.

Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allow us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a Trust is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, ethnicity, deprivation, and cancer type.

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the Trust. Casemix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

Scoring methodology

Sixty-one questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q59), the score shows the percentage of respondents who gave

the most favourable response to a question. For Q59, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

In 2022, following a review of the scoring methodology, a change was made to the scoring of Q12 such that the response option "No, I was told by letter or email" is no longer considered neutral and is now scored as negative.

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2023 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by chance.

Suppression

Data is suppressed for two reasons: to ensure unreliable results based on very small numbers of respondents are not released, and to prevent individuals being identifiable in the data.

In cases where a result is based on fewer than 10 responses, the result has been suppressed. For example, where fewer than 10 people answered a question from a particular Trust, the results are not shown for that question for that Trust.

For Trusts with an eligible population of 1,000 or fewer, data relating to the respondent and their condition has been suppressed where 5 people or fewer were in a particular category. In instances where only one has been suppressed, the next lowest category has been suppressed to prevent back calculation from the total number of responses.

Additional suppression

Additional suppression happens if only **one** Trust has a score suppressed. If this happens, we will suppress another Trust's results (both the Trust level and sub-group results for the question) based on the next lowest number of respondents for the score. We do this so that the national score cannot be used to work out the score for the individual Trust.

The same rule applies to groups in each sub-group breakdown. For example, if only one Trust has the 85+ age group suppressed for Q25 we will need to suppress another Trust's results for the 85+ age group on Q25. This suppression is based on the 85+ age group with the next lowest number of respondents for Q25.

Understanding the results

This report shows how this Trust scored for each question in the survey compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual Trusts to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

Expected range charts

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (within the grey bar) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this Trust.

Trusts whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the Trust performs better than what Trusts of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the Trust's size and demographics.

Comparability tables

The comparability tables show the 2022 and 2023 unadjusted scores for this Trust for each scored question. The Change 2022-2023 and Change overall columns show whether the scores show a statistically significant variation between years. This is shown between 2022-2023 and as an overall

Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2023

London North West University Healthcare NHS Trust

between 2021-2023. An upwards arrow indicates a statistically significant increase, a downwards arrow indicates a statistically significant decrease and no arrow indicates no statistically significant change.

The adjusted 2023 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

Sub-group breakdowns

Unadjusted scores are shown for tumour group, Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, IMD quintile, long-term condition status and ethnicity breakdowns. Unadjusted scores for the same sub-group across different Trusts may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

Tumour group tables

The tumour group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

Age group tables

The age group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the eight age groups.

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

These tables show the unadjusted scores for the following groups male; female; non-binary; prefer to self-describe; and prefer not to say.

Ethnicity tables

The ethnicity tables show the unadjusted scores for six ethnicity groups.

Long-term condition status tables

The long-term condition status tables show the unadjusted scores for two groups: those who indicate they have one or more long-term conditions and those who indicate that they have no long-term conditions.

IMD quintile tables

The IMD quintile tables show the unadjusted scores for five quintiles based on relative disadvantage, with quintile 1 being the most deprived and quintile 5 being the least deprived.

Year on year charts

The year on year charts show three columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last three years (2021, 2022 and 2023) for each scored question.

National level and England level data

In some cases (343 respondents in 2023), patients from outside England (from Wales, Scotland, Northern Ireland, the Channel Islands or the Isle of Man) are referred to English NHS Trusts for treatment. These patients are described as 'Non-England' in the data.

National level data (England and Non-England) is used for:

- Response rate section
- National column in comparability tables section
- Sub-group tables section (Tumour group tables, Age group tables, Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables, Ethnicity tables, IMD quintile tables and Long-term condition status tables)

England only level data is used for:

- Expected range charts section (as case-mix adjustment includes IMD data specific to England)
- Comparability tables section
- Year on year charts section.

Further information

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting market and social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2019; certificate number GB08/74322). Our statistical practice is regulated by the Office for Statistics Regulation (OSR). OSR sets the standards of trustworthiness, quality, and value in the Code of Practice for Statistics that all producers of official statistics should adhere to. You are welcome to contact us directly with any comments about how we meet these standards. Alternatively, you can contact OSR by emailing regulation@statistics.gov.uk or via the OSR website.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2023 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at <u>www.ncpes.co.uk</u>. For all other outputs at Trust level, please see the Excel tables and dashboards at <u>www.ncpes.co.uk</u>.

Response rate

Overall response rate

278 patients responded out of a total of 690 patients, resulting in a response rate of 40%.

	Sample size	Adjusted sample	Completed	Response rate
Overall response rate	736	690	278	40%
National	129,231	121,121	63,438	52%

Respondents by survey type

	Number of respondents
Paper	216
Online	61
Phone	1
Translation service	0
Total	278

Respondents by tumour group

	Number of respondents
Brain / CNS	0
Breast	70
Colorectal / LGT	38
Gynaecological	*
Haematological	51
Head and neck	10
Lung	10
Prostate	40
Sarcoma	*
Skin	0
Upper gastro	*
Urological	19
Other	31
Total	278

Respondents by ethnicity

	Number of respondents
White	
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	112
Irish	8
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	*
Roma	*
Any other White background	11
Mixed / Multiple Ethnic Groups	
White and Black Caribbean	*
White and Black African	*
White and Asian	*
Any other Mixed / multiple ethnic background	*
Asian or Asian British	
Indian	53
Pakistani	8
Bangladeshi	*
Chinese	*
Any other Asian background	16
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British	
African	10
Caribbean	19
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	*
Other Ethnicity	
Arab	*
Any other ethnic group	*
Not given	
Not given	29
Total	278

* indicates the count is not shown due to suppression

Lower expected range Within expected range The left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all Trust		right ou	••	•	ed range bars is t			Case n e achiev	•		
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or two before cancer diagnosis Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	0% ice	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60% 60%	70% 72% ♦	80%	90%	100%
 DIAGNOSTIC TESTS Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results 	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70% 72% ♦	80% 82% ◆ 79%	90% ◆	100%
 FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer of friend with them when told diagnosis Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cance Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis 		10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70% 749 71% ◆ 72%		90% 5% ♦	100%
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very quite helpful	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80% 84′ € 81%	>	100%

Lower expected rangeWithin expected rangeThe left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all Trust	s. The	right ou	••	•	ed range bars is t			Case r e achiev			
 DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options 		10%	20%	30%	40%	50% 5%	60%		80% 7% ♦ % 82%		100%
CARE PLANNING Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	0% r	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70% 70% ♦	80%	90% ∳	100% 98% ◆
SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60% 62%	70% 72% ◆		90% 37% ♦	100%
 HOSPITAL CARE Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospita Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while hospital Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case 	in	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60% 60 60% ♦	73% ♦ 74°	%	90% 89% ♦ 91% ♦ 92%	

Lower expected range Within expected range The left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all Trusts	. The	right		•	•	ed rang bars is				mix adju ved of a		
YOUR TREATMENT	0%	10%	6 20	0%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery										79% ◆		
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy										8	87% ♦	
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy										83% •	6	
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy									74	%		
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy										82%	ó	
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery										85	5%	
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy										83%	6	
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy										80% ♦		
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to hormone therapy								58% ♦				
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to immunotherapy									70% ♦			
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right										82% ♦	, D	
MMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	0%	10%	6 20	0%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand									74	%		
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	ı							64	%	É.		
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access abour support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	t									83 ⁹	%	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment							51% ◆					
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects							48% ◆					
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	0%	10%	6 20	0%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the nformation needed to help care for the patient at home							ł	57% ◆				
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care an support at home from community or voluntary services	d					42% ♦	, D					

Lower expected rangeWithin expected rangeThe left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all Trust	s. The	Upper expected range Case mix adjusted so e right outer edge of the bars is the highest score achieved of all Trusts									
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	n		20	6% ◆	40	5% ◆					
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary service	es			33% •	6						
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment								74°	%		
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	/						58% ◆				
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q56. The whole care team worked well together										88% ◆	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good									81%		
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patien	t			33% •	6						
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good										8.7 ◆	

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

a ▲ _{or} ▼

Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

** No score available for 2022.

			Unadjus	ted score		Case n				
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	111	75%	123	69%			72%	71%	86%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	156	54%	166	58%			60%	59%	74%	67%

			Unadjus	ted score		Case n				
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	188	89%	216	90%			90%	89%	96%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	206	76%	223	81%			82%	79%	88%	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	210	81%	220	80%			79%	72%	84%	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	210	74%	224	71%			72%	73%	84%	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	211	93%	223	91%			90%	92%	98%	95%

			Unadjust	ted score	s		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	241	81%	257	76%			74%	76%	86%	81%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	256	73%	273	73%			71%	69%	80%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	259	81%	271	73%			72%	72%	82%	77%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	254	87%	272	88%			86%	81%	90%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	225	80%	235	79%			78%	79%	89%	84%

			Unadjus	ted score	Case n					
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	235	87%	267	85%			84%	87%	95%	91%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	188	86%	202	80%			81%	79%	90%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	191	97%	218	91%			90%	93%	98%	96%

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

a ▲ _{or} ▼ Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

** No score available for 2022.

			Unadjus	ted score	s		Case n	d scores		
DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	246	77%	261	76%			77%	78%	87%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	256	73%	275	74%			74%	75%	84%	80%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	216	79%	227	81%			82%	79%	88%	83%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	**	**	178	46%			45%	50%	64%	57%

			Unadjust	ed score	s		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
CARE PLANNING	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	230	72%	250	71%			70%	67%	78%	72%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	144	88%	168	91%			90%	90%	97%	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	112	100%	137	99%			98%	97%	100%	99%

			Unadjust	ed score	es		Case n			
SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	210	87%	225	88%			87%	87%	95%	91%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	254	71%	268	74%			72%	70%	82%	76%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	146	57%	153	59%			62%	62%	79%	70%

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

a ▲ _{or} ▼

Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023). Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

**	No score available for 2022.

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case r	d scores		
HOSPITAL CARE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	98	83%	107	79%			76%	69%	85%	77%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	79	68%	87	75%			73%	60%	80%	70%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	93	74%	108	68%			66%	62%	79%	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	95	82%	107	77%			74%	64%	81%	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	95	67%	105	62%			60%	56%	74%	65%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	86	84%	92	89%			89%	77%	92%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	97	93%	109	92%			91%	81%	94%	87%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	99	91%	102	92%			92%	82%	95%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	229	75%	239	74%			76%	74%	84%	79%

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case n	ed scores		
YOUR TREATMENT	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	121	92%	126	79%			79%	85%	95%	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	104	91%	117	87%			87%	79%	92%	86%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	80	89%	81	83%			83%	82%	96%	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	41	78%	47	77%			74%	68%	91%	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	30	80%	28	82%			82%	70%	97%	84%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	**	**	126	85%			85%	80%	92%	86%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	**	**	120	83%			83%	74%	88%	81%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	**	**	77	81%			80%	77%	93%	85%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	**	**	42	60%			58%	63%	89%	76%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	**	**	24	71%			70%	65%	96%	81%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	253	79%	254	81%			82%	70%	87%	78%

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

a ▲ or ▼ Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).

Adjusted Score below Lower Expected Range Adjusted Score between Upper and Lower Expected Ranges Adjusted Score above Upper Expected Range

** No score available for 2022.

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case n	ed scores		
IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	Nationa score
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	247	74%	255	74%			74%	69%	80%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	236	68%	224	64%			64%	64%	76%	70%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	177	82%	188	83%			83%	82%	92%	87%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	234	57%	237	54%			51%	54%	67%	60%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	205	47%	208	50%			48%	47%	62%	55%

			Unadjust	ed score		Case m				
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	178	56%	183	59%			57%	55%	69%	62%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	118	54%	126	42%			42%	44%	61%	52%

	Unadjusted scores Case mix adjusted scores										
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score	
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	152	39%	156	47%			46%	39%	54%	46%	
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	241	23%	250	29%			26%	17%	28%	23%	

			Unadjust	ted score	s		Case m	nix adjuste	d scores	
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	71	31%	80	34%			33%	22%	43%	32%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	135	73%	127	75%			74%	72%	87%	79%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	218	63%	215	57%			58%	57%	71%	64%

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	National score
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	243	91%	253	90%			88%	86%	94%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	252	89%	266	83%			81%	83%	91%	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	142	33%	126	36%			33%	32%	57%	45%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	241	8.7	264	8.6			8.7	8.7	9.1	8.9

Tumour group tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	90%	70%	*	45%	*	*	63%	*	*	*	73%	*	69%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	68%	55%	*	59%	*	*	59%	*	*	*	64%	47%	58%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	91%	84%	*	92%	*	*	91%	*	*	*	93%	96%	90%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	84%	79%	*	85%	*	*	85%	*	*	*	65%	83%	81%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	86%	73%	*	90%	*	*	81%	*	*	*	63%	76%	80%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	68%	73%	*	78%	*	*	57%	*	*	*	75%	76%	71%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	92%	88%	*	97%	*	*	88%	*	*	*	94%	88%	91%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	82%	78%	*	74%	*	*	65%	*	*	*	81%	75%	76%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	76%	68%	*	82%	60%	60%	66%	*	*	*	79%	67%	73%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	73%	71%	*	73%	70%	90%	68%	*	*	*	79%	70%	73%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	87%	82%	*	96%	90%	90%	89%	*	*	*	89%	87%	88%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	90%	73%	*	78%	*	90%	85%	*	*	*	86%	68%	79%

Tumour group tables

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N						Tumo	our gro	oup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	85%	89%	*	98%	*	100%	76%	*	*	*	72%	87%	85%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	68%	76%	*	85%	*	100%	82%	*	*	*	*	88%	80%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	98%	85%	*	90%	*	100%	89%	*	*	*	90%	85%	91%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	73%	71%	*	83%	90%	90%	68%	*	*	*	75%	86%	76%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	79%	76%	*	76%	80%	70%	67%	*	*	*	63%	71%	74%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	86%	82%	*	85%	*	*	64%	*	*	*	80%	77%	81%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	*	54%	40%	*	50%	*	*	44%	*	*	*	42%	19%	46%

CARE PLANNING							Tum	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	72%	77%	*	76%	*	*	57%	*	*	*	60%	80%	71%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	88%	90%	*	97%	*	*	92%	*	*	*	*	100%	91%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	97%	96%	*	100%	*	*	100%	*	*	*	*	100%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF						-	Tumo	our gro	up	-				
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	91%	81%	*	83%	*	*	89%	*	*	*	86%	92%	88%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	73%	71%	*	84%	*	90%	69%	*	*	*	68%	77%	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	59%	73%	*	44%	*	*	45%	*	*	*	*	65%	59%

Tumour group tables

*

HOSPITAL CARE							Tumo	our gro	oup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	92%	63%	*	96%	*	*	*	*	*	*	62%	*	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	90%	65%	*	90%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	75%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	64%	63%	*	83%	*	*	*	*	*	*	62%	*	68%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	86%	63%	*	88%	*	*	*	*	*	*	67%	*	77%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	79%	54%	*	76%	*	*	*	*	*	*	45%	*	62%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	100%	85%	*	90%	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%	*	89%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	93%	85%	*	96%	*	*	*	*	*	*	100%	*	92%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	100%	85%	*	100%	*	*	*	*	*	*	92%	*	92%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	77%	66%	*	85%	*	90%	67%	*	*	*	72%	66%	74%

YOUR TREATMENT							Tumo	our gro	oup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	84%	70%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	79%	82%	79%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	83%	75%	*	94%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	94%	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	78%	82%	*	*	*	*	86%	*	*	*	*	*	83%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	72%	*	*	*	*	*	76%	*	*	*	*	*	77%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	*	86%	79%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	86%	92%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	*	90%	73%	*	84%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%	83%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	*	81%	64%	*	*	*	*	64%	*	*	*	*	*	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	*	56%	*	*	*	*	*	58%	*	*	*	*	*	60%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	71%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	82%	86%	*	78%	*	100%	86%	*	*	*	76%	79%	81%

Tumour group tables

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS						Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	78%	69%	*	72%	*	90%	57%	*	*	*	79%	83%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	63%	76%	*	65%	*	80%	44%	*	*	*	67%	64%	64%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	84%	83%	*	93%	*	*	64%	*	*	*	79%	89%	83%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	61%	56%	*	49%	*	*	52%	*	*	*	59%	37%	54%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	53%	43%	*	60%	*	*	35%	*	*	*	62%	44%	50%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	69%	52%	*	77%	*	*	28%	*	*	*	73%	44%	59%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	45%	36%	*	31%	*	*	40%	*	*	*	*	40%	42%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	our gro	oup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	50%	42%	*	52%	*	*	50%	*	*	*	58%	46%	47%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	29%	29%	*	26%	*	*	36%	*	*	*	44%	16%	29%

Tumour group tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	25%	53%	*	29%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	34%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	83%	63%	*	82%	*	*	58%	*	*	*	64%	73%	75%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	55%	52%	*	80%	*	*	41%	*	*	*	67%	62%	57%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	92%	87%	*	94%	*	100%	76%	*	*	*	83%	100%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	83%	79%	*	90%	*	90%	76%	*	*	*	78%	90%	83%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	18%	39%	*	52%	*	*	25%	*	*	*	*	33%	36%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	9.0	8.5	*	9.0	*	9.4	7.9	*	*	*	8.3	8.6	8.6

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	*	*	50%	78%	62%	81%	50%	69%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	80%	56%	52%	65%	60%	58%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	*	*	94%	87%	90%	92%	93%	90%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	*	*	82%	82%	77%	93%	80%	81%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	*	*	71%	80%	83%	85%	67%	80%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	71%	65%	72%	76%	75%	71%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	*	*	76%	91%	95%	94%	80%	91%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	*	*	95%	68%	85%	71%	79%	76%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	*	*	55%	66%	77%	78%	79%	73%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	68%	58%	79%	81%	79%	73%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	*	*	82%	82%	90%	93%	95%	88%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	*	*	95%	85%	78%	77%	74%	79%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N				Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	*	*	95%	83%	82%	84%	100%	85%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	*	*	74%	78%	83%	88%	82%	80%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	*	*	95%	91%	92%	91%	89%	91%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	*	70%	74%	73%	80%	94%	76%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	*	*	78%	71%	75%	74%	80%	74%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	*	*	81%	78%	78%	87%	92%	81%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	*	*	*	56%	50%	41%	42%	50%	46%

CARE PLANNING					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	*	*	64%	75%	72%	72%	78%	71%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	*	*	87%	91%	91%	91%	100%	91%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	*	*	100%	97%	100%	97%	*	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	*	*	90%	92%	90%	82%	82%	88%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	*	*	73%	75%	73%	81%	79%	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	*	*	56%	60%	67%	45%	*	59%

HOSPITAL CARE					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	*	*	*	78%	83%	76%	*	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	*	*	*	60%	91%	76%	*	75%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	*	*	*	65%	81%	64%	*	68%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	*	*	*	68%	86%	79%	*	77%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	*	*	*	60%	72%	61%	*	62%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	*	*	*	90%	89%	100%	*	89%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	*	*	*	91%	97%	93%	*	92%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	*	*	*	86%	97%	92%	*	92%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	*	*	74%	69%	75%	80%	78%	74%

YOUR TREATMENT					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	*	*	85%	76%	90%	75%	*	79%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	*	*	87%	86%	86%	91%	*	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	*	*	*	79%	78%	89%	*	83%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	*	*	*	81%	67%	*	77%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to surgery	*	*	*	91%	78%	88%	90%	*	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to chemotherapy	*	*	*	73%	83%	86%	83%	90%	83%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to radiotherapy	*	*	*	*	84%	81%	88%	*	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to hormone therapy	*	*	*	*	*	67%	60%	*	60%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to immunotherapy	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	71%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	*	*	75%	85%	85%	78%	75%	81%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS				Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	*	*	80%	75%	76%	71%	84%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	*	72%	58%	68%	67%	65%	64%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	*	94%	80%	82%	80%	93%	83%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	*	*	61%	53%	52%	54%	73%	54%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	*	*	73%	49%	46%	48%	73%	50%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	*	*	85%	47%	56%	67%	90%	59%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	*	*	43%	46%	42%	*	42%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE					Age				
	16 - 24 25 - 34 35 - 44 45 - 54 55 - 64 65 - 74 75 - 84 85+								
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	*	*	62%	59%	41%	47%	*	47%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	*	*	29%	33%	27%	21%	35%	29%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	*	*	38%	25%	54%	*	34%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	*	*	73%	68%	78%	74%	*	75%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	*	*	67%	50%	56%	65%	67%	57%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE				Age					
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	*	*	90%	89%	93%	88%	94%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	*	*	82%	84%	86%	84%	88%	83%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	*	*	20%	45%	35%	33%	*	36%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	*	*	8.8	8.7	8.7	8.6	8.8	8.6

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	76%	62%	*	*	*	*	69%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	58%	60%	*	*	*	*	58%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	91%	90%	*	*	*	*	90%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	79%	82%	*	*	*	*	81%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	77%	81%	*	*	*	*	80%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	67%	72%	*	*	*	*	71%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	88%	93%	*	*	*	*	91%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	78%	74%	*	*	*	*	76%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	72%	73%	*	*	*	*	73%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	69%	75%	*	*	*	*	73%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	86%	90%	*	*	*	*	88%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	81%	80%	*	*	*	*	79%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N		Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	84%	87%	*	*	*	*	85%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	74%	87%	*	*	*	*	80%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	91%	91%	*	*	*	*	91%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	72%	81%	*	*	*	*	76%		
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	72%	76%	*	*	*	*	74%		
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	84%	81%	*	*	*	*	81%		
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	43%	47%	*	*	*	*	46%		

CARE PLANNING		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other							
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	68%	73%	*	*	*	*	71%		
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	89%	94%	*	*	*	*	91%		
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	98%	99%	*	*	*	*	99%		

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	86%	90%	*	*	*	*	88%		
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	70%	78%	*	*	*	*	74%		
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	57%	65%	*	*	*	*	59%		

HOSPITAL CARE			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	76%	81%	*	*	*	*	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	81%	72%	*	*	*	*	75%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	65%	71%	*	*	*	*	68%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	83%	75%	*	*	*	*	77%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	69%	61%	*	*	*	*	62%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	91%	89%	*	*	*	*	89%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	90%	94%	*	*	*	*	92%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	87%	95%	*	*	*	*	92%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	72%	77%	*	*	*	*	74%

YOUR TREATMENT			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	79%	81%	*	*	*	*	79%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	77%	96%	*	*	*	*	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	83%	84%	*	*	*	*	83%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	83%	77%	*	*	*	*	77%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	83%	81%	*	*	*	*	82%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	84%	87%	*	*	*	*	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to chemotherapy	78%	87%	*	*	*	*	83%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to radiotherapy	79%	82%	*	*	*	*	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to hormone therapy	59%	60%	*	*	*	*	60%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to immunotherapy	*	73%	*	*	*	*	71%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	78%	82%	*	*	*	*	81%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	ΓS		Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	75%	72%	*	*	*	*	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	60%	67%	*	*	*	*	64%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	82%	83%	*	*	*	*	83%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	52%	55%	*	*	*	*	54%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	49%	50%	*	*	*	*	50%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME			Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	56%	61%	*	*	*	*	59%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	39%	47%	*	*	*	*	42%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE			Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	45%	49%	*	*	*	*	47%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	29%	29%	*	*	*	*	29%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	24%	46%	*	*	*	*	34%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	79%	72%	*	*	*	*	75%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	57%	59%	*	*	*	*	57%

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	89%	89%	*	*	*	*	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	83%	83%	*	*	*	*	83%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	34%	38%	*	*	*	*	36%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.6	8.7	*	*	*	*	8.6

*

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE			Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	68%	*	76%	60%	*	60%	69%	
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	67%	*	52%	50%	*	47%	58%	

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	92%	*	89%	80%	*	95%	90%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	84%	*	76%	86%	*	83%	81%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	78%	*	74%	96%	*	87%	80%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	72%	*	67%	68%	*	83%	71%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	93%	*	88%	88%	*	91%	91%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	74%	*	77%	72%	*	89%	76%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	73%	*	76%	73%	*	62%	73%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	74%	*	67%	67%	*	86%	73%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	89%	*	85%	87%	*	90%	88%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	77%	*	83%	74%	*	79%	79%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	87%	*	88%	82%	*	68%	85%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	83%	*	77%	74%	*	76%	80%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	88%	*	95%	86%	*	94%	91%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT		Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	75%	*	74%	79%	*	83%	76%	
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	76%	*	70%	67%	*	78%	74%	
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	80%	*	83%	84%	*	77%	81%	
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	46%	*	44%	32%	*	56%	46%	

*

CARE PLANNING			Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	71%	*	73%	62%	*	80%	71%	
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	91%	*	87%	100%	*	95%	91%	
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	98%	*	98%	100%	*	100%	99%	

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF		Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	87%	*	91%	83%	*	82%	88%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	71%	*	77%	67%	*	84%	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	71%	*	55%	47%	*	29%	59%

HOSPITAL CARE				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	77%	*	85%	*	*	*	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	67%	*	85%	*	*	*	75%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	67%	*	66%	*	*	*	68%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	73%	*	89%	*	*	*	77%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	59%	*	68%	*	*	*	62%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	88%	*	96%	*	*	*	89%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	92%	*	93%	*	*	*	92%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	89%	*	93%	*	*	*	92%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and ears with hospital staff while being treated as an butpatient or day case	77%	*	69%	78%	*	64%	74%

YOUR TREATMENT				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	79%	*	79%	82%	*	79%	79%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	88%	*	81%	100%	*	85%	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	81%	*	89%	*	*	*	83%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	76%	*	81%	*	*	*	77%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	76%	*	*	*	*	*	82%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	84%	*	85%	83%	*	85%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	80%	*	84%	87%	*	82%	83%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	83%	*	72%	*	*	*	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	62%	*	57%	*	*	*	60%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	73%	*	*	*	*	*	71%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	82%	*	81%	86%	*	79%	81%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	S			Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	73%	*	76%	69%	*	75%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	63%	*	63%	64%	*	63%	64%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	81%	*	86%	74%	*	93%	83%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	56%	*	38%	62%	*	64%	54%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	53%	*	40%	56%	*	41%	50%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME		Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	59%	*	58%	42%	*	81%	59%	
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	46%	*	45%	20%	*	*	42%	

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	43%	*	50%	45%	*	50%	47%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	26%	*	33%	31%	*	25%	29%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	42%	*	37%	23%	*	*	34%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	78%	*	73%	67%	*	67%	75%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	61%	*	51%	50%	*	71%	57%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	89%	*	88%	93%	*	95%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	83%	*	82%	80%	*	88%	83%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	34%	*	40%	38%	*	*	36%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.8	*	8.3	8.3	*	8.7	8.6

IMD quintile tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE			IN	ID quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	62%	71%	68%	78%	*	69%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	36%	59%	70%	66%	*	58%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS			IN	1D quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	90%	91%	90%	88%	94%	*	90%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	82%	77%	85%	82%	79%	*	81%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	91%	87%	80%	72%	75%	*	80%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	73%	71%	74%	60%	76%	*	71%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	91%	94%	91%	87%	89%	*	91%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER			IN	1D quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	71%	87%	78%	68%	72%	*	76%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	71%	71%	78%	66%	73%	*	73%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	71%	75%	73%	63%	81%	*	73%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	88%	93%	87%	84%	89%	*	88%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	80%	83%	72%	81%	87%	*	79%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON		IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	81%	85%	81%	88%	89%	*	85%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	80%	84%	78%	76%	86%	*	80%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	92%	93%	90%	87%	95%	*	91%

IMD quintile tables

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT		IMD quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	93%	76%	75%	74%	77%	*	76%	
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	65%	79%	69%	76%	77%	*	74%	
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	87%	76%	79%	83%	91%	*	81%	
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	40%	47%	43%	44%	54%	*	46%	

CARE PLANNING		IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	80%	67%	71%	70%	73%	*	71%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	91%	97%	90%	91%	87%	*	91%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	100%	96%	100%	97%	100%	*	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF			IN	ID quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	93%	85%	86%	88%	91%	*	88%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	94%	72%	76%	67%	74%	*	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	58%	54%	64%	59%	63%	*	59%

HOSPITAL CARE			IN	ID quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	76%	79%	81%	77%	*	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	67%	63%	89%	76%	*	75%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	68%	73%	57%	71%	*	68%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	76%	73%	86%	75%	*	77%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	63%	58%	65%	58%	*	62%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	92%	93%	94%	87%	*	89%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	92%	88%	96%	95%	*	92%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	87%	94%	90%	95%	*	92%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	85%	69%	72%	74%	81%	*	74%

IMD quintile tables

YOUR TREATMENT			IN	1D quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	78%	89%	77%	69%	*	79%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	93%	81%	96%	75%	*	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	87%	84%	79%	85%	*	83%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	76%	77%	*	*	77%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	82%	*	*	*	82%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	*	75%	100%	83%	77%	*	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	*	83%	86%	85%	77%	*	83%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	*	87%	88%	71%	77%	*	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	*	*	63%	45%	*	*	60%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	*	*	70%	*	*	*	71%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	100%	85%	81%	81%	71%	*	81%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS		IN	1D quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	87%	76%	76%	71%	68%	*	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	85%	62%	66%	62%	56%	*	64%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	80%	83%	84%	80%	86%	*	83%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	60%	49%	58%	54%	47%	*	54%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	86%	35%	53%	51%	46%	*	50%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	IMD quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	73%	55%	55%	61%	67%	*	59%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	31%	40%	50%	53%	*	42%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	E IMD quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	67%	41%	46%	42%	60%	*	47%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	21%	35%	26%	25%	34%	*	29%

IMD quintile tables

*

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	IMD quintile							
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	30%	25%	25%	57%	*	34%	
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	76%	72%	74%	76%	*	75%	
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	69%	56%	57%	52%	63%	*	57%	

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	IMD quintile							
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	Non- England	All	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	94%	93%	91%	84%	90%	*	90%	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	88%	85%	87%	75%	81%	*	83%	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	50%	48%	39%	33%	6%	*	36%	
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.5	8.7	8.7	8.4	8.9	*	8.6	

Long-term condition status tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long-term condition status						
	Yes	No	Not given	All			
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	67%	71%	*	69%			
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	60%	56%	55%	58%			

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		Long-term con	dition status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	89%	92%	94%	90%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	80%	80%	94%	81%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	79%	81%	81%	80%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	71%	69%	75%	71%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	90%	91%	94%	91%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	74%	77%	87%	76%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	70%	76%	80%	73%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	72%	71%	79%	73%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	88%	88%	88%	88%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	79%	81%	76%	79%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON		Long-term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	87%	83%	74%	85%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	78%	83%	86%	80%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	88%	95%	93%	91%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	75%	74%	76%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	72%	80%	64%	74%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	78%	88%	80%	81%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	40%	52%	63%	46%

Long-term condition status tables

CARE PLANNING	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	67%	78%	71%	71%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	90%	96%	81%	91%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	99%	98%	100%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	86%	93%	78%	88%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	72%	74%	83%	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	55%	73%	46%	59%

HOSPITAL CARE		Long-term con	dition status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	80%	73%	*	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	73%	76%	*	75%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	68%	64%	*	68%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	80%	68%	*	77%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	63%	64%	*	62%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	89%	92%	*	89%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	95%	86%	*	92%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	90%	96%	*	92%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an butpatient or day case	71%	80%	76%	74%

Long-term condition status tables

YOUR TREATMENT		Long-term co	ndition status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	81%	80%	71%	79%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	88%	84%	90%	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	85%	82%	*	83%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	80%	80%	*	77%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	89%	70%	*	82%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to surgery	86%	83%	85%	85%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to chemotherapy	85%	78%	*	83%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to radiotherapy	80%	81%	*	81%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to hormone therapy	59%	*	*	60%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to immunotherapy	93%	*	*	71%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	78%	85%	89%	81%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS		Long-term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	73%	74%	84%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	64%	63%	71%	64%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	79%	88%	91%	83%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	51%	53%	76%	54%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	46%	52%	71%	50%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	58%	57%	71%	59%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	41%	42%	*	42%


CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	44%	48%	*	47%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	31%	26%	25%	29%


Long-term condition status tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	30%	37%	*	34%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	74%	76%	80%	75%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	57%	58%	53%	57%


YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	89%	92%	91%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	85%	79%	88%	83%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	37%	33%	38%	36%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.6	8.6	9.3	8.6


Year on year charts







DIAGNOSTIC TESTS










*	Indicates where a score is n to suppression or a low base	ot available due ** No se e size.	core available for these ye	ears. The scores England sco	are unadjusted and based on pres only.			
	Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand							
80%	6	,	740/					
60% 40%		D	74%		71%			
20%	6							
0%	202	I	2022		2023			













 Indicates where to suppression 	e a score is not available due or a low base size.	** No score available for these years.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.
	definitely told about their diag	nosis in an appropriate place	
100%			
80%	84%	87%	88%
60%			
40%			
20%			
0%	2021	2022	2023














Year on year charts







 Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options

 100%

 80%

 60%

 40%

 20%

 0%


 2021 **

 2022 **


CARE PLANNING


Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment						
100%						
80%						
60%		66%	72%	71%		
40%						
20%						
0%		2021	2022	2023		


 Indicates to suppres 	where a score is not available du ssion or a low base size.	e ** No score available for these years.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.
Q25. A memb	per of their care team helped the	e patient create a care plan to address any n	eeds or concerns
100%			
80%	89%	88%	91%
60%			
40%			
20%			
0%	2021	2022	2023




















 Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed

 100%


 80%
 79%

 60%
 77%


 40%
 77%


 20%
 201


 2021
 2022





 Indicates where to suppression 	e a score is not availab or a low base size.	le due ** No score available for these years.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.			
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain						
100%						
80%	82%	84%	89%			
60%	/-					
40%						
20%						
0%						
	2021	2022	2023			

















 Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy


 100%

 80%
 80%
 82%


 60%
 80%
 82%


 40%
 80%
 82%


 20%
 201
 2022
 2023




* Ind to	dicates where a score is not available due ** suppression or a low base size.	No score available for these years.	The scores are unadjusted England scores only.	and based on
Q42_2	. Patient completely had enough understan	dable information about their response	to chemotherapy	
100%				
80%			83%	
60%				
40%				
20%				
0%	2021 **	2022 **	2023	













Year on year charts

Indicates where a score is not available due ** No score available for these years. The scores are unadjusted and based on to suppression or a low base size. England scores only. **IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS** Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand 100% 80% 74% 74% 70% 60% 40% 20% 0% 2021 2022 2023









2023

Year on year charts

2021

Indicates where a score is not available due ** No score available for these years. The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME

Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home

100%

80%


60%


40%


52%

0%

2022










LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER

Q53. After treatme	nt, the patient definitely co	ould get enough emotional support at home fror	n community or voluntary services
100%			
80%			
60%			
40%			
20%	27%	31%	34%
0%	2021	2022	2023

















 Indicates where a so to suppression or a 	ore is not available du ow base size.	^{Je} ** No score ava	lable for these years.	The scores England sco	are unadjusted and bores only.	based on
59. Patient's average	rating of care scored	I from very poor to v	very good			
)						
	8.7		8.7		8.6	
	2021		2022		2023	