

# **Cancer Patient Experience Survey**

2023 Results

## **Surrey and Sussex Cancer Alliance**

First published July 2024; updated November 2024 to include Integrated Care Board data

## Contents

Executive summary . . . . .	3
Introduction . . . . .	4
Methodology . . . . .	4
Understanding the results . . . . .	5
Further information . . . . .	7
Response rate . . . . .	8
Expected range charts . . . . .	10
Comparability tables . . . . .	14
Tumour group tables . . . . .	18
Age group tables . . . . .	23
Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables . . . . .	27
Ethnicity tables . . . . .	32
IMD quintile tables . . . . .	36
Long-term condition status tables . . . . .	40
Year on year charts . . . . .	44
Trust expected range summary . . . . .	57
ICB expected range summary . . . . .	58

## Executive summary

### Questions above expected range

	Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	<b>68%</b>	62%	68%	<b>65%</b>
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	<b>87%</b>	82%	86%	<b>84%</b>

### Questions below expected range

	Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	<b>89%</b>	90%	93%	<b>91%</b>
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	<b>80%</b>	80%	88%	<b>84%</b>
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	<b>88%</b>	89%	91%	<b>90%</b>
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	<b>79%</b>	79%	84%	<b>81%</b>
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	<b>82%</b>	83%	87%	<b>85%</b>
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	<b>72%</b>	72%	76%	<b>74%</b>
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	<b>66%</b>	67%	74%	<b>70%</b>
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	<b>56%</b>	57%	64%	<b>60%</b>

## Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2023 is the thirteenth iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was undertaken by Picker on behalf of NHS England and it was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2023 survey involved 132 NHS trusts. Out of 121,121 people, 63,428 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 52%.

This document was reissued in November 2024 to include an Integrated Care Board expected range summary section.

## Methodology

### Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2023. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between November 2023 and February 2024.

As in the previous eight years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

### Note on question comparability

The questionnaire was redeveloped for the 2021 National Cancer Patient Experience Survey. Year on year comparisons between 2021, 2022 and 2023 are included in this report for most questions. A review of the questionnaire in 2023 saw four changes being made:

- The question text for Q23 and Q42 were amended. These questions are no longer deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.
- The long-term condition question (Q67) was amended to include “Autism or autism spectrum condition” as a response option. And the “Neurological condition” answer option was updated to include an example condition changing it to “Neurological condition, such as epilepsy”. These changes see the answer option “Neurological condition, such as epilepsy” as no longer being deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.
- The ethnic group question (Q71) was amended to include “Roma” as an answer option. The ethnic group question is still deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.

### How alliance and ICB results are generated

Alliance and ICB results are derived using the post code of each patient, rather than by mapping trust results to ICBs or alliances. This mapping is achieved using lookup files released by the Office for National Statistics.

Alliance and ICB results therefore reflect the experience of people referred from within the geographical footprint.

### Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allow us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how an alliance is performing given their

patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, ethnicity, deprivation, and cancer type.

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the alliance. Case-mix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

### Scoring methodology

Sixty-one questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q59), the score shows the percentage of respondents who gave the most favourable response to a question. For Q59, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

In 2022, following a review of the scoring methodology, a change was made to the scoring of Q12 such that the response option "No, I was told by letter or email" is no longer considered neutral and is now scored as negative.

### Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2023 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by chance.

### Suppression

Data is suppressed for two reasons: to ensure unreliable results based on very small numbers of respondents are not released, and to prevent individuals being identifiable in the data.

In cases where a result is based on fewer than 10 responses, the result has been suppressed. For example, where fewer than 10 people answered a question from a particular alliance, the results are not shown for that question for that alliance.

For alliances with an eligible population of 1,000 or fewer, data relating to the respondent and their condition has been suppressed where 5 people or fewer were in a particular category. In instances where only one has been suppressed, the next lowest category has been suppressed to prevent back calculation from the total number of responses.

### Additional suppression

Additional suppression happens if only **one** alliance has a score suppressed. If this happens, we will suppress another alliance's results (both the alliance level and sub-group results for the question) based on the next lowest number of respondents for the score. We do this so that the national score cannot be used to work out the score for the individual alliance.

The same rule applies to groups in each sub-group breakdown. For example, if only one alliance has the 85+ age group suppressed for Q25 we will need to suppress another alliance's results for the 85+ age group on Q25. This suppression is based on the 85+ age group with the next lowest number of respondents for Q25.

## Understanding the results

This report shows how this alliance scored for each question in the survey compared with England results. It is aimed at helping individual alliances to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

### Expected range charts

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (within the grey bar) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this alliance.

Alliances whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the alliance performs better than what alliances of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light

blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the alliance's size and demographics.

### **Comparability tables**

The comparability tables show the 2022 and 2023 unadjusted scores for this alliance for each scored question. The Change 2022-2023 and Change overall columns show whether the scores show a statistically significant variation between years. This is shown between 2022-2023 and as an overall between 2021-2023. An upwards arrow indicates a statistically significant increase, a downwards arrow indicates a statistically significant decrease and no arrow indicates no statistically significant change.

The adjusted 2023 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

### **Sub-group breakdowns**

Unadjusted scores are shown for tumour group, Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, IMD quintile, long-term condition status and ethnicity breakdowns. Unadjusted scores for the same sub-group across different alliances may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

### **Tumour group tables**

The tumour group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

### **Age group tables**

The age group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the eight age groups.

### **Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables**

These tables show the unadjusted scores for the following groups male; female; non-binary; prefer to self-describe; and prefer not to say.

### **Ethnicity tables**

The ethnicity tables show the unadjusted scores for six ethnicity groups.

### **Long-term condition status tables**

The long-term condition status tables show the unadjusted scores for two groups: those who indicate they have one or more long-term conditions and those who indicate that they have no long-term conditions.

### **IMD quintile tables**

The IMD quintile tables show the unadjusted scores for five quintiles based on relative disadvantage, with quintile 1 being the most deprived and quintile 5 being the least deprived.

### **Year on year charts**

The year on year charts show three columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last three years (2021, 2022 and 2023) for each scored question.

### **Trust expected range summary**

The number of scored questions that fell below, within and above the expected range for each trust within the alliance.

### **ICB expected range summary**

The number of scored questions that fell below, within and above the expected range for each ICB within the alliance.

## **National level and England level data**

In some cases (343 respondents in 2023), patients from outside England (from Wales, Scotland, Northern Ireland, the Channel Islands or the Isle of Man) are referred to English NHS trusts for treatment. These patients are described as 'Non-England' in other reports.

Overall response rate at response rate sections shows national level counts and response rate. For cancer alliances and its comparison at comparability tables section, all data is presented at the England level.

## **Further information**

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting market and social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2019; certificate number GB08/74322). Our statistical practice is regulated by the Office for Statistics Regulation (OSR). OSR sets the standards of trustworthiness, quality, and value in the Code of Practice for Statistics that all producers of official statistics should adhere to. You are welcome to contact us directly with any comments about how we meet these standards. Alternatively, you can contact OSR by emailing [regulation@statistics.gov.uk](mailto:regulation@statistics.gov.uk) or via the OSR website.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2023 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at [www.ncpes.co.uk](http://www.ncpes.co.uk). For all other outputs at alliance level, please see the Excel tables and dashboards at [www.ncpes.co.uk](http://www.ncpes.co.uk).

## Response rate

### Overall response rate

3,862 patients responded out of a total of 6,949 patients, resulting in a response rate of 56%.

	Sample size	Adjusted sample	Completed	Response rate
Overall response rate	7,346	6,949	3,862	56%
National	129,231	121,121	63,438	52%

### Respondents by survey type

	Number of respondents
Paper	2,975
Online	885
Phone	2
Translation service	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,862</b>

### Respondents by tumour group

	Number of respondents
Brain / CNS	15
Breast	1,001
Colorectal / LGT	453
Gynaecological	165
Haematological	624
Head and neck	92
Lung	272
Prostate	453
Sarcoma	28
Skin	105
Upper gastro	141
Urological	287
Other	226
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,862</b>




## Respondents by ethnicity


	Number of respondents
<b>White</b>	
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	3,290
Irish	35
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	*
Roma	*
Any other White background	128
<b>Mixed / Multiple Ethnic Groups</b>	
White and Black Caribbean	13
White and Black African	6
White and Asian	14
Any other Mixed / multiple ethnic background	12
<b>Asian or Asian British</b>	
Indian	30
Pakistani	9
Bangladeshi	*
Chinese	19
Any other Asian background	16
<b>Black / African / Caribbean / Black British</b>	
African	16
Caribbean	6
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	*
<b>Other Ethnicity</b>	
Arab	*
Any other ethnic group	*
<b>Not given</b>	
Not given	252
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,862</b>

\* indicates the count is not shown due to suppression




## Expected range charts




## Expected range charts



## Expected range charts



## Expected range charts






## Comparability tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size. ▲ or ▼

\*\* No score available for 2022.

Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score.  
Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).

	Adjusted score below lower expected range
	Adjusted score between upper and lower expected ranges
	Adjusted score above upper expected range

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	1847	79%	1845	80%			80%	76%	80%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	2477	67%	2519	66%			65%	63%	70%	67%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	3031	92%	2993	92%			92%	91%	94%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	3182	83%	3125	83%			83%	81%	86%	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	3175	79%	3128	76%	▼	▼	76%	75%	80%	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	3186	79%	3125	79%			79%	76%	81%	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	3197	95%	3127	95%			95%	94%	96%	95%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	3603	76%	3483	80%	▲	▲	80%	78%	84%	81%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	3880	73%	3773	73%			73%	72%	77%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	3902	77%	3803	77%			76%	75%	78%	77%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	3884	85%	3793	86%			86%	84%	87%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	3414	84%	3305	83%			83%	81%	87%	84%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	3760	91%	3665	89%			89%	90%	93%	91%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	3132	82%	2949	80%		▼	80%	80%	88%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	3249	94%	3095	95%			95%	95%	97%	96%




## Comparability tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

\*\* No score available for 2022.

▲ or ▼

Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score.  
Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).

	Adjusted score below lower expected range
	Adjusted score between upper and lower expected ranges
	Adjusted score above upper expected range

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	3641	<b>82%</b>	3523	<b>81%</b>			<b>81%</b>	80%	85%	<b>82%</b>
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	3876	<b>78%</b>	3760	<b>79%</b>			<b>79%</b>	77%	82%	<b>80%</b>
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	3210	<b>80%</b>	3217	<b>82%</b>		▲	<b>82%</b>	82%	85%	<b>83%</b>
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	**	**	2043	<b>53%</b>			<b>53%</b>	53%	61%	<b>57%</b>

CARE PLANNING	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	3474	<b>69%</b>	3381	<b>70%</b>			<b>70%</b>	69%	75%	<b>72%</b>
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	1912	<b>92%</b>	1832	<b>92%</b>			<b>92%</b>	92%	95%	<b>94%</b>
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	1421	<b>99%</b>	1381	<b>99%</b>			<b>99%</b>	98%	99%	<b>99%</b>

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	3188	<b>90%</b>	3158	<b>91%</b>			<b>90%</b>	89%	93%	<b>91%</b>
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	3868	<b>74%</b>	3772	<b>74%</b>		▼	<b>74%</b>	72%	79%	<b>76%</b>
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	1759	<b>64%</b>	1740	<b>66%</b>			<b>66%</b>	65%	75%	<b>70%</b>




## Comparability tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

\*\* No score available for 2022.

▲ or ▼

Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score.  
Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).

	Adjusted score below lower expected range
	Adjusted score between upper and lower expected ranges
	Adjusted score above upper expected range

HOSPITAL CARE	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	1692	82%	1609	79%		▼	79%	75%	79%	77%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	1332	65%	1291	71%	▲	▲	71%	67%	72%	70%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	1665	69%	1577	70%			70%	68%	73%	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	1647	76%	1571	76%		▼	76%	70%	76%	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	1601	64%	1510	68%			68%	62%	68%	65%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	1443	86%	1361	87%			87%	82%	86%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	1684	90%	1596	89%		▼	89%	85%	89%	87%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	1648	89%	1560	90%			90%	87%	90%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	3372	77%	3287	79%			79%	75%	83%	79%

YOUR TREATMENT	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	2181	89%	2047	88%			88%	89%	91%	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	1850	85%	1691	84%			84%	84%	87%	86%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	1120	88%	1021	87%			88%	87%	91%	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	649	75%	679	76%			78%	76%	82%	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	544	82%	615	82%			83%	81%	87%	84%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	**	**	2022	86%			86%	85%	88%	86%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	**	**	1677	78%			79%	79%	84%	81%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	**	**	1003	82%			82%	83%	87%	85%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	**	**	666	73%			74%	73%	79%	76%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	**	**	612	78%			78%	77%	84%	81%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	3803	79%	3642	78%		▼	78%	72%	85%	78%



## Comparability tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

\*\* No score available for 2022.

▲ or ▼

Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score.  
Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).

<span style="color: #4F81BD;">■</span>	Adjusted score below lower expected range
<span style="color: #A9A9A9;">■</span>	Adjusted score between upper and lower expected ranges
<span style="color: #0070C0;">■</span>	Adjusted score above upper expected range

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	3685	<b>73%</b>	3539	<b>72%</b>		▼	<b>72%</b>	72%	76%	<b>74%</b>
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	3500	<b>66%</b>	3352	<b>65%</b>		▼	<b>66%</b>	67%	74%	<b>70%</b>
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	2739	<b>84%</b>	2676	<b>86%</b>			<b>85%</b>	84%	90%	<b>87%</b>
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	3443	<b>56%</b>	3336	<b>55%</b>			<b>56%</b>	57%	64%	<b>60%</b>
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	2872	<b>51%</b>	2840	<b>50%</b>			<b>51%</b>	51%	58%	<b>55%</b>

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	2451	<b>56%</b>	2423	<b>59%</b>		▲	<b>59%</b>	58%	66%	<b>62%</b>
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	1326	<b>47%</b>	1275	<b>49%</b>			<b>49%</b>	47%	58%	<b>52%</b>

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	2072	<b>44%</b>	2075	<b>47%</b>		▲	<b>47%</b>	43%	50%	<b>46%</b>
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	3728	<b>19%</b>	3618	<b>21%</b>		▲	<b>22%</b>	20%	25%	<b>23%</b>

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	793	<b>26%</b>	740	<b>29%</b>			<b>30%</b>	28%	37%	<b>32%</b>
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	1836	<b>77%</b>	1761	<b>78%</b>			<b>78%</b>	76%	83%	<b>79%</b>
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	3070	<b>60%</b>	3018	<b>60%</b>			<b>60%</b>	59%	68%	<b>64%</b>

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	3682	<b>89%</b>	3593	<b>89%</b>		▼	<b>89%</b>	88%	92%	<b>90%</b>
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	3853	<b>85%</b>	3736	<b>86%</b>		▼	<b>86%</b>	85%	89%	<b>87%</b>
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	2360	<b>41%</b>	2259	<b>40%</b>			<b>41%</b>	36%	53%	<b>45%</b>
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	3765	<b>8.8</b>	3660	<b>8.9</b>		▼	<b>8.8</b>	8.8	9.0	<b>8.9</b>

## Tumour group tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour group													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	94%	77%	78%	65%	69%	71%	84%	75%	89%	78%	86%	75%	<b>80%</b>
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	78%	69%	66%	52%	58%	53%	74%	63%	70%	56%	60%	66%	<b>66%</b>

	Tumour group													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	60%	92%	95%	89%	91%	93%	95%	93%	74%	94%	87%	94%	93%	<b>92%</b>
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	73%	83%	86%	79%	81%	89%	80%	86%	75%	90%	75%	86%	78%	<b>83%</b>
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	70%	72%	80%	73%	79%	74%	74%	79%	73%	72%	69%	84%	76%	<b>76%</b>
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	64%	81%	83%	80%	75%	84%	76%	81%	68%	78%	71%	81%	77%	<b>79%</b>
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	80%	97%	95%	94%	92%	93%	93%	97%	96%	92%	88%	95%	96%	<b>95%</b>

	Tumour group													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	92%	85%	85%	75%	72%	77%	82%	80%	70%	76%	83%	76%	76%	<b>80%</b>
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	64%	77%	76%	71%	68%	77%	70%	72%	75%	79%	65%	70%	71%	<b>73%</b>
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	60%	80%	81%	75%	65%	80%	74%	80%	67%	82%	81%	79%	75%	<b>77%</b>
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	67%	90%	86%	79%	79%	86%	84%	91%	88%	87%	80%	89%	82%	<b>86%</b>
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	85%	87%	83%	81%	79%	82%	77%	89%	68%	87%	76%	80%	83%	<b>83%</b>

## Tumour group tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour group													All cancers
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	100%	86%	90%	95%	92%	92%	91%	88%	79%	88%	94%	88%	88%	<b>89%</b>
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	93%	79%	80%	78%	78%	81%	83%	77%	94%	86%	80%	84%	80%	<b>80%</b>
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	100%	94%	96%	91%	95%	96%	97%	96%	94%	100%	96%	97%	96%	<b>95%</b>

	Tumour group													All cancers
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	86%	82%	84%	84%	78%	86%	80%	79%	91%	88%	80%	78%	80%	<b>81%</b>
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	67%	77%	82%	79%	77%	75%	80%	82%	80%	86%	73%	80%	79%	<b>79%</b>
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	67%	82%	86%	79%	80%	83%	84%	82%	90%	90%	81%	79%	86%	<b>82%</b>
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	*	48%	60%	48%	51%	59%	50%	63%	63%	53%	53%	48%	51%	<b>53%</b>

	Tumour group													All cancers
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	80%	72%	75%	65%	67%	73%	68%	70%	68%	76%	64%	67%	70%	<b>70%</b>
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	100%	87%	93%	92%	96%	98%	95%	91%	94%	100%	93%	96%	92%	<b>92%</b>
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	92%	98%	99%	98%	100%	100%	99%	98%	100%	100%	100%	99%	95%	<b>99%</b>

	Tumour group													All cancers
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	100%	92%	91%	90%	89%	89%	86%	95%	91%	95%	91%	89%	87%	<b>91%</b>
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	67%	71%	75%	75%	74%	74%	73%	74%	67%	78%	70%	79%	72%	<b>74%</b>
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	79%	68%	66%	68%	64%	69%	73%	64%	67%	75%	63%	51%	69%	<b>66%</b>

## Tumour group tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour group													
	Brain/ CNS	Breast	Colorectal/ LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	69%	79%	80%	75%	78%	87%	74%	80%	90%	80%	64%	82%	79%	<b>79%</b>
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	77%	67%	76%	68%	76%	71%	74%	65%	54%	67%	65%	69%	71%	<b>71%</b>
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	67%	70%	77%	65%	68%	69%	62%	68%	63%	87%	60%	71%	77%	<b>70%</b>
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	45%	74%	76%	70%	80%	65%	75%	79%	74%	87%	68%	81%	74%	<b>76%</b>
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	60%	68%	72%	62%	70%	58%	61%	70%	67%	79%	61%	70%	64%	<b>68%</b>
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	88%	88%	82%	87%	90%	87%	88%	67%	93%	88%	87%	84%	<b>87%</b>
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	100%	89%	92%	89%	87%	91%	82%	90%	85%	93%	84%	90%	90%	<b>89%</b>
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	100%	92%	94%	86%	88%	95%	81%	90%	82%	93%	80%	92%	89%	<b>90%</b>
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	60%	75%	82%	75%	81%	83%	79%	84%	74%	84%	76%	82%	77%	<b>79%</b>

	Tumour group													
	Brain/ CNS	Breast	Colorectal/ LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	92%	90%	90%	85%	85%	88%	83%	88%	83%	91%	88%	87%	87%	<b>88%</b>
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	83%	83%	86%	80%	85%	85%	84%	78%	80%	*	79%	87%	89%	<b>84%</b>
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	91%	87%	87%	84%	89%	88%	94%	89%	91%	*	83%	80%	87%	<b>87%</b>
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	74%	79%	*	83%	*	*	80%	*	*	*	100%	77%	<b>76%</b>
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	77%	85%	75%	81%	*	86%	*	*	87%	75%	85%	86%	<b>82%</b>
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	83%	88%	88%	83%	77%	84%	75%	84%	65%	87%	86%	86%	85%	<b>86%</b>
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	83%	76%	80%	79%	81%	80%	73%	68%	64%	*	75%	82%	85%	<b>78%</b>
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	90%	82%	82%	77%	77%	80%	82%	84%	73%	*	83%	76%	85%	<b>82%</b>
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	*	70%	69%	*	80%	*	*	77%	*	*	*	100%	77%	<b>73%</b>
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	*	72%	81%	77%	77%	*	77%	*	*	87%	71%	86%	84%	<b>78%</b>
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	71%	75%	82%	80%	77%	80%	79%	85%	75%	83%	71%	84%	72%	<b>78%</b>

## Tumour group tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour group													
	Brain/ CNS	Breast	Colorectal/ LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	69%	72%	76%	70%	68%	73%	65%	75%	69%	80%	67%	71%	76%	<b>72%</b>
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	46%	64%	72%	63%	62%	68%	63%	65%	64%	80%	63%	65%	67%	<b>65%</b>
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	87%	88%	90%	83%	86%	85%	86%	79%	82%	89%	78%	84%	<b>86%</b>
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	60%	52%	63%	55%	48%	57%	53%	65%	50%	63%	49%	56%	60%	<b>55%</b>
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	57%	47%	57%	52%	44%	52%	50%	60%	45%	63%	41%	53%	49%	<b>50%</b>

	Tumour group													
	Brain/ CNS	Breast	Colorectal/ LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	77%	51%	69%	64%	58%	63%	55%	60%	50%	79%	61%	62%	59%	<b>59%</b>
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	46%	56%	52%	45%	43%	53%	48%	43%	64%	41%	58%	55%	<b>49%</b>

	Tumour group													
	Brain/ CNS	Breast	Colorectal/ LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	29%	50%	55%	43%	44%	49%	36%	50%	33%	50%	41%	43%	47%	<b>47%</b>
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	33%	21%	27%	25%	17%	24%	24%	21%	32%	17%	21%	19%	19%	<b>21%</b>

## Tumour group tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour group													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	26%	40%	24%	27%	30%	38%	17%	17%	21%	29%	36%	38%	<b>29%</b>
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	73%	84%	78%	79%	79%	78%	78%	61%	80%	78%	83%	86%	<b>78%</b>
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	80%	53%	61%	53%	70%	53%	59%	60%	52%	71%	52%	64%	69%	<b>60%</b>

	Tumour group													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	86%	89%	90%	91%	88%	90%	86%	89%	85%	89%	88%	91%	88%	<b>89%</b>
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	80%	86%	90%	86%	85%	84%	86%	83%	80%	86%	80%	87%	85%	<b>86%</b>
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	42%	27%	44%	40%	51%	37%	51%	38%	61%	36%	51%	36%	46%	<b>40%</b>
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.5	8.8	9.0	8.8	8.9	9.0	8.8	8.8	8.5	9.0	8.6	9.0	8.7	<b>8.9</b>

## Age group tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
<b>SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE</b>									
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	42%	73%	72%	83%	80%	79%	83%	87%	<b>80%</b>
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	70%	62%	79%	70%	66%	62%	66%	<b>66%</b>

	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
<b>DIAGNOSTIC TESTS</b>									
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	94%	85%	90%	93%	93%	93%	89%	<b>92%</b>
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	67%	74%	80%	83%	83%	85%	81%	<b>83%</b>
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	72%	52%	63%	72%	77%	82%	86%	<b>76%</b>
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	72%	60%	71%	79%	81%	81%	79%	<b>79%</b>
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	78%	82%	94%	95%	95%	95%	98%	<b>95%</b>

	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
<b>FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER</b>									
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	76%	67%	79%	79%	80%	83%	86%	<b>80%</b>
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	73%	76%	61%	73%	72%	72%	75%	81%	<b>73%</b>
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	50%	76%	64%	72%	74%	77%	80%	78%	<b>77%</b>
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	75%	72%	77%	82%	85%	85%	88%	94%	<b>86%</b>
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	73%	84%	83%	87%	84%	84%	81%	80%	<b>83%</b>

	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
<b>SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON</b>									
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	100%	88%	86%	84%	88%	90%	91%	86%	<b>89%</b>
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	100%	90%	64%	74%	81%	79%	83%	78%	<b>80%</b>
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	100%	100%	91%	91%	94%	96%	96%	99%	<b>95%</b>

	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
<b>DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT</b>									
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	60%	65%	65%	76%	82%	82%	83%	83%	<b>81%</b>
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	55%	75%	59%	69%	78%	80%	81%	86%	<b>79%</b>
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	75%	86%	65%	73%	81%	85%	84%	86%	<b>82%</b>
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	*	33%	43%	48%	53%	56%	51%	59%	<b>53%</b>

## Age group tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

CARE PLANNING	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	83%	67%	60%	67%	71%	70%	71%	70%	<b>70%</b>
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	91%	89%	87%	91%	93%	95%	91%	<b>92%</b>
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	*	97%	95%	98%	99%	99%	98%	<b>99%</b>

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	82%	96%	87%	91%	91%	92%	89%	88%	<b>91%</b>
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	75%	56%	56%	63%	70%	74%	80%	78%	<b>74%</b>
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	70%	63%	68%	71%	67%	67%	63%	54%	<b>66%</b>

HOSPITAL CARE	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	82%	50%	56%	74%	75%	80%	83%	85%	<b>79%</b>
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	82%	60%	61%	64%	68%	70%	76%	72%	<b>71%</b>
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	64%	58%	52%	68%	69%	72%	72%	70%	<b>70%</b>
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	73%	43%	50%	76%	71%	77%	81%	83%	<b>76%</b>
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	82%	57%	54%	76%	64%	69%	67%	73%	<b>68%</b>
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	80%	69%	70%	84%	83%	91%	89%	92%	<b>87%</b>
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	100%	86%	75%	85%	88%	90%	91%	93%	<b>89%</b>
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	100%	92%	86%	89%	89%	90%	91%	83%	<b>90%</b>
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	74%	64%	71%	78%	80%	81%	84%	<b>79%</b>



## Age group tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

YOUR TREATMENT	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	76%	81%	84%	88%	91%	88%	91%	<b>88%</b>
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	80%	72%	79%	82%	83%	85%	85%	89%	<b>84%</b>
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	*	86%	88%	88%	87%	86%	94%	<b>87%</b>
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	50%	63%	79%	82%	81%	65%	<b>76%</b>
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	65%	79%	85%	83%	83%	77%	<b>82%</b>
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	*	82%	75%	81%	85%	87%	87%	87%	<b>86%</b>
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	80%	56%	71%	74%	78%	80%	79%	80%	<b>78%</b>
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	*	*	57%	79%	87%	82%	83%	79%	<b>82%</b>
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	*	*	45%	61%	76%	77%	76%	70%	<b>73%</b>
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	*	*	50%	68%	82%	78%	81%	79%	<b>78%</b>
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	45%	79%	69%	77%	77%	78%	82%	77%	<b>78%</b>

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	75%	60%	68%	70%	74%	73%	69%	69%	<b>72%</b>
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	75%	67%	56%	64%	65%	67%	65%	65%	<b>65%</b>
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	72%	75%	86%	87%	88%	84%	83%	<b>86%</b>
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	67%	44%	43%	53%	57%	57%	55%	55%	<b>55%</b>
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	73%	42%	40%	44%	53%	51%	50%	50%	<b>50%</b>

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	35%	43%	49%	58%	61%	62%	66%	<b>59%</b>
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	44%	44%	47%	49%	53%	50%	<b>49%</b>

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	44%	49%	52%	50%	43%	48%	43%	<b>47%</b>
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	8%	21%	27%	22%	22%	20%	21%	20%	<b>21%</b>

## Age group tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

<b>LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER</b>	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	19%	34%	27%	28%	32%	33%	<b>29%</b>
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	67%	59%	66%	76%	79%	85%	84%	<b>78%</b>
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	70%	36%	35%	50%	60%	61%	64%	64%	<b>60%</b>

<b>YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE</b>	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	92%	88%	86%	86%	88%	88%	91%	91%	<b>89%</b>
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	92%	92%	81%	84%	86%	86%	87%	86%	<b>86%</b>
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	25%	31%	36%	42%	40%	42%	38%	<b>40%</b>
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.9	8.5	8.2	8.6	8.8	8.9	9.0	8.9	<b>8.9</b>

## Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	80%	80%	*	*	*	88%	80%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	67%	66%	*	*	*	61%	66%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	91%	94%	*	*	*	90%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	82%	85%	*	*	*	80%	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	74%	79%	*	*	*	74%	76%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	80%	79%	*	*	*	76%	79%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	94%	95%	*	*	*	94%	95%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	81%	80%	*	*	*	77%	80%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	74%	73%	*	*	*	68%	73%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	78%	*	*	*	69%	77%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	84%	87%	*	*	*	85%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	82%	85%	*	*	*	76%	83%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	89%	90%	*	*	*	89%	89%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	79%	81%	*	*	*	73%	80%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	95%	97%	*	*	*	93%	95%

## Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	82%	81%	*	*	*	74%	<b>81%</b>
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	77%	81%	*	*	*	74%	<b>79%</b>
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	82%	84%	*	*	*	77%	<b>82%</b>
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	50%	58%	*	*	*	41%	<b>53%</b>

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	69%	72%	*	*	*	64%	<b>70%</b>
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	90%	94%	*	*	*	93%	<b>92%</b>
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	99%	99%	*	*	*	97%	<b>99%</b>

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	89%	92%	*	*	*	89%	<b>91%</b>
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	71%	77%	*	*	*	72%	<b>74%</b>
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	65%	68%	*	*	*	58%	<b>66%</b>

## Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						All
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	78%	79%	*	*	*	77%	<b>79%</b>
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	69%	72%	*	*	*	74%	<b>71%</b>
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	71%	70%	*	*	*	64%	<b>70%</b>
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	72%	79%	*	*	*	82%	<b>76%</b>
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	65%	70%	*	*	*	72%	<b>68%</b>
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	85%	89%	*	*	*	89%	<b>87%</b>
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	88%	90%	*	*	*	91%	<b>89%</b>
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	88%	91%	*	*	*	91%	<b>90%</b>
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	75%	83%	*	*	*	80%	<b>79%</b>

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						All
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	89%	88%	*	*	*	87%	<b>88%</b>
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	84%	85%	*	*	*	77%	<b>84%</b>
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	87%	89%	*	*	*	84%	<b>87%</b>
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	74%	81%	*	*	*	77%	<b>76%</b>
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	83%	83%	*	*	*	73%	<b>82%</b>
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	86%	85%	*	*	*	85%	<b>86%</b>
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	78%	79%	*	*	*	74%	<b>78%</b>
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	82%	82%	*	*	*	79%	<b>82%</b>
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	71%	77%	*	*	*	76%	<b>73%</b>
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	77%	79%	*	*	*	75%	<b>78%</b>
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	75%	83%	*	*	*	73%	<b>78%</b>

## Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

<b>IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS</b>							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	70%	73%	*	*	*	68%	<b>72%</b>
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	64%	67%	*	*	*	61%	<b>65%</b>
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	86%	86%	*	*	*	81%	<b>86%</b>
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	53%	58%	*	*	*	50%	<b>55%</b>
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	47%	54%	*	*	*	44%	<b>50%</b>

<b>SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME</b>							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	54%	65%	*	*	*	55%	<b>59%</b>
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	47%	52%	*	*	*	52%	<b>49%</b>

<b>CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE</b>							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	46%	47%	*	*	*	48%	<b>47%</b>
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	22%	20%	*	*	*	22%	<b>21%</b>

<b>LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER</b>							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	29%	30%	*	*	*	31%	<b>29%</b>
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	76%	82%	*	*	*	74%	<b>78%</b>
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	56%	64%	*	*	*	64%	<b>60%</b>

## Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						All
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	89%	89%	*	*	*	90%	<b>89%</b>
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	86%	86%	*	*	*	87%	<b>86%</b>
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	35%	46%	*	*	*	43%	<b>40%</b>
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.8	8.9	*	*	*	8.8	<b>8.9</b>

## Ethnicity tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	81%	74%	69%	*	*	84%	80%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	67%	61%	60%	71%	*	60%	66%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	92%	82%	97%	95%	*	90%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	84%	65%	82%	73%	*	79%	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	76%	74%	82%	86%	*	75%	76%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	71%	74%	73%	*	78%	79%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	95%	94%	95%	91%	*	93%	95%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	80%	76%	82%	75%	*	78%	80%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	73%	70%	81%	76%	*	67%	73%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	68%	81%	73%	*	72%	77%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	86%	82%	85%	92%	*	88%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	83%	83%	87%	91%	*	78%	83%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	89%	92%	89%	96%	*	89%	89%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	80%	83%	76%	77%	*	77%	80%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	95%	94%	93%	91%	*	96%	95%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	82%	77%	86%	80%	*	76%	81%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	79%	75%	79%	77%	*	76%	79%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	83%	78%	85%	75%	*	76%	82%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	53%	64%	51%	41%	*	46%	53%



## Ethnicity tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

CARE PLANNING	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	70%	59%	71%	61%	*	68%	<b>70%</b>
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	92%	100%	96%	92%	*	96%	<b>92%</b>
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	99%	100%	100%	100%	*	97%	<b>99%</b>

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	91%	88%	87%	100%	*	91%	<b>91%</b>
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	73%	68%	76%	85%	*	78%	<b>74%</b>
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	67%	68%	63%	58%	*	61%	<b>66%</b>

HOSPITAL CARE	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	79%	65%	73%	76%	*	82%	<b>79%</b>
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	71%	60%	68%	75%	*	74%	<b>71%</b>
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	70%	58%	77%	59%	*	69%	<b>70%</b>
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	75%	65%	77%	76%	*	84%	<b>76%</b>
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	67%	64%	68%	76%	*	73%	<b>68%</b>
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	88%	77%	73%	87%	*	90%	<b>87%</b>
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	89%	85%	81%	82%	*	94%	<b>89%</b>
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	89%	84%	95%	93%	*	93%	<b>90%</b>
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	79%	73%	74%	71%	*	77%	<b>79%</b>

## Ethnicity tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

YOUR TREATMENT	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	88%	86%	88%	94%	*	88%	<b>88%</b>
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	84%	81%	89%	79%	*	83%	<b>84%</b>
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	87%	82%	91%	*	*	87%	<b>87%</b>
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	76%	*	*	*	*	78%	<b>76%</b>
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	82%	*	*	*	*	80%	<b>82%</b>
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	86%	70%	79%	94%	*	87%	<b>86%</b>
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	79%	67%	82%	71%	*	76%	<b>78%</b>
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	82%	58%	90%	*	*	82%	<b>82%</b>
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	73%	*	*	*	*	78%	<b>73%</b>
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	78%	*	*	*	*	76%	<b>78%</b>
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	79%	63%	80%	88%	*	72%	<b>78%</b>

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	72%	56%	78%	69%	*	67%	<b>72%</b>
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	66%	60%	68%	62%	*	60%	<b>65%</b>
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	86%	84%	82%	90%	*	81%	<b>86%</b>
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	55%	34%	74%	67%	*	52%	<b>55%</b>
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	50%	28%	69%	54%	*	48%	<b>50%</b>

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	59%	35%	70%	47%	*	57%	<b>59%</b>
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	50%	43%	51%	40%	*	45%	<b>49%</b>

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	47%	45%	47%	65%	*	47%	<b>47%</b>
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	21%	31%	24%	32%	*	23%	<b>21%</b>

## Ethnicity tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

<b>LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER</b>	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	29%	20%	29%	*	*	31%	<b>29%</b>
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	79%	70%	82%	*	*	72%	<b>78%</b>
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	60%	55%	62%	53%	*	60%	<b>60%</b>

<b>YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE</b>	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	89%	85%	88%	88%	*	89%	<b>89%</b>
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	86%	84%	88%	96%	*	89%	<b>86%</b>
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	40%	41%	43%	59%	*	46%	<b>40%</b>
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.9	8.6	8.6	8.3	*	8.9	<b>8.9</b>

## IMD quintile tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
<b>SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE</b>						
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	82%	73%	83%	80%	81%	<b>80%</b>
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	73%	56%	64%	67%	69%	<b>66%</b>

	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
<b>DIAGNOSTIC TESTS</b>						
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	89%	91%	94%	90%	94%	<b>92%</b>
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	77%	81%	84%	81%	85%	<b>83%</b>
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	72%	75%	78%	75%	77%	<b>76%</b>
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	75%	76%	80%	78%	81%	<b>79%</b>
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	92%	96%	95%	94%	95%	<b>95%</b>

	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
<b>FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER</b>						
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	82%	79%	83%	79%	80%	<b>80%</b>
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	72%	74%	72%	73%	73%	<b>73%</b>
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	74%	78%	76%	77%	<b>77%</b>
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	83%	85%	87%	85%	86%	<b>86%</b>
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	75%	81%	85%	82%	84%	<b>83%</b>

	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
<b>SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON</b>						
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	88%	90%	90%	89%	88%	<b>89%</b>
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	78%	79%	79%	80%	80%	<b>80%</b>
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	95%	93%	96%	95%	96%	<b>95%</b>

## IMD quintile tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	81%	81%	81%	82%	81%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	74%	80%	76%	80%	80%	79%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	84%	80%	79%	83%	84%	82%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	50%	48%	53%	55%	53%	53%

CARE PLANNING	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	71%	67%	72%	66%	72%	70%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	93%	92%	92%	93%	92%	92%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	97%	98%	98%	100%	99%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	90%	89%	92%	90%	91%	91%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	73%	75%	74%	71%	74%	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	59%	71%	66%	61%	69%	66%

HOSPITAL CARE	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	83%	75%	80%	75%	80%	79%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	75%	68%	70%	68%	73%	71%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	66%	64%	75%	67%	72%	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	76%	71%	77%	76%	76%	76%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	71%	65%	71%	67%	67%	68%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	87%	86%	89%	86%	87%	87%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	86%	85%	92%	88%	90%	89%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	86%	87%	91%	89%	90%	90%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	76%	81%	76%	81%	79%	79%

## IMD quintile tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

YOUR TREATMENT	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	91%	86%	89%	86%	90%	88%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	85%	84%	80%	84%	86%	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	84%	84%	86%	89%	88%	87%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	75%	79%	80%	78%	74%	76%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	91%	78%	79%	81%	85%	82%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	85%	84%	86%	85%	86%	86%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	74%	77%	75%	78%	82%	78%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	83%	79%	79%	84%	83%	82%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	69%	89%	75%	69%	71%	73%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	82%	73%	76%	77%	81%	78%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	72%	82%	78%	80%	77%	78%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	72%	70%	73%	70%	72%	72%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	59%	70%	66%	63%	66%	65%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	79%	82%	86%	85%	88%	86%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	62%	56%	55%	53%	56%	55%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	53%	53%	50%	50%	50%	50%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	67%	59%	57%	58%	61%	59%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	55%	49%	49%	48%	50%	49%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	42%	49%	45%	44%	50%	47%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	20%	21%	21%	21%	21%	21%

## IMD quintile tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
<b>LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER</b>						
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	23%	30%	30%	27%	31%	<b>29%</b>
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	67%	76%	79%	78%	79%	<b>78%</b>
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	65%	60%	60%	59%	60%	<b>60%</b>

	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
<b>YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE</b>						
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	89%	89%	91%	87%	89%	<b>89%</b>
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	86%	86%	88%	85%	85%	<b>86%</b>
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	39%	40%	39%	35%	44%	<b>40%</b>
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.5	8.8	8.9	8.8	8.9	<b>8.9</b>

## Long-term condition status tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
<b>SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE</b>				
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	79%	82%	85%	80%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	63%	71%	64%	66%

	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
<b>DIAGNOSTIC TESTS</b>				
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	93%	92%	91%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	82%	85%	82%	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	77%	76%	75%	76%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	80%	78%	79%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	95%	95%	94%	95%

	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
<b>FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER</b>				
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	80%	80%	81%	80%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	72%	75%	70%	73%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	76%	79%	73%	77%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	85%	86%	86%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	82%	86%	81%	83%

	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
<b>SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON</b>				
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	89%	90%	88%	89%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	79%	82%	76%	80%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	95%	96%	94%	95%

	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
<b>DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT</b>				
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	80%	84%	79%	81%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	78%	80%	79%	79%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	82%	83%	82%	82%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	51%	56%	51%	53%



## Long-term condition status tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

<b>CARE PLANNING</b>	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	69%	71%	70%	<b>70%</b>
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	91%	94%	95%	<b>92%</b>
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	99%	99%	98%	<b>99%</b>

<b>SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF</b>	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	89%	94%	91%	<b>91%</b>
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	72%	75%	75%	<b>74%</b>
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	61%	76%	65%	<b>66%</b>

<b>HOSPITAL CARE</b>	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	79%	79%	78%	<b>79%</b>
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	70%	71%	74%	<b>71%</b>
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	69%	72%	70%	<b>70%</b>
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	75%	77%	76%	<b>76%</b>
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	66%	70%	74%	<b>68%</b>
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	86%	89%	87%	<b>87%</b>
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	88%	91%	91%	<b>89%</b>
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	89%	91%	92%	<b>90%</b>
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	78%	80%	81%	<b>79%</b>

## Long-term condition status tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

<b>YOUR TREATMENT</b>	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	88%	89%	90%	<b>88%</b>
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	84%	85%	82%	<b>84%</b>
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	86%	90%	85%	<b>87%</b>
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	77%	74%	82%	<b>76%</b>
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	83%	82%	78%	<b>82%</b>
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	85%	86%	88%	<b>86%</b>
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	78%	79%	78%	<b>78%</b>
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	81%	84%	78%	<b>82%</b>
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	73%	71%	86%	<b>73%</b>
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	78%	78%	79%	<b>78%</b>
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	78%	80%	76%	<b>78%</b>

<b>IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS</b>	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	68%	77%	72%	<b>72%</b>
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	63%	69%	66%	<b>65%</b>
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	84%	89%	86%	<b>86%</b>
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	53%	60%	55%	<b>55%</b>
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	48%	55%	50%	<b>50%</b>

<b>SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME</b>	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	59%	60%	58%	<b>59%</b>
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	47%	55%	51%	<b>49%</b>

<b>CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE</b>	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	45%	50%	49%	<b>47%</b>
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	19%	23%	24%	<b>21%</b>

## Long-term condition status tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

<b>LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER</b>	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	27%	33%	30%	<b>29%</b>
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	76%	82%	75%	<b>78%</b>
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	58%	62%	64%	<b>60%</b>

<b>YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE</b>	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	88%	91%	89%	<b>89%</b>
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	85%	87%	87%	<b>86%</b>
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	39%	42%	41%	<b>40%</b>
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.8	8.9	8.9	<b>8.9</b>

## Year on year charts


\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

\*\* No score available for these years.


The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

### SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE

Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis




Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand




### DIAGNOSTIC TESTS


Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance



Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient



Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right




## Year on year charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.


\*\* No score available for these years.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand




Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results




### FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER


Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis



Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer



Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand




## Year on year charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.


\*\* No score available for these years.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place




Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis




### SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON


Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team



Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person



Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful



## Year on year charts


\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

\*\* No score available for these years.


The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

### DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT


Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand



Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment



Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options




Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options



### CARE PLANNING

Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment




## Year on year charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.


\*\* No score available for these years.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns




Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date




### SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF


Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support



Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff



Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits





## Year on year charts


\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

\*\* No score available for these years.


The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

### HOSPITAL CARE


Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital




Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital




Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital



Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed



Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff




## Year on year charts


\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size. \*\* No score available for these years.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.


Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain




Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital



Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital




Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case



### YOUR TREATMENT

Q41\_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery




## Year on year charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.


\*\* No score available for these years.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.


Q41\_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy




Q41\_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy



Q41\_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy



Q41\_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy



Q42\_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery



## Year on year charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

\*\* No score available for these years.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q42\_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy



Q42\_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy




Q42\_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy



Q42\_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy



Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right



## Year on year charts


\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

\*\* No score available for these years.


The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

### IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS


Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand




Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment




Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment



Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment



Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects



## Year on year charts


\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

\*\* No score available for these years.


The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

### SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME

Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home




Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services




### CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE

Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment




Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice



### LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER

Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services




## Year on year charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.


\*\* No score available for these years.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment




Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading




### YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE


Q56. The whole care team worked well together



Q57. Administration of care was very good or good



Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient




## Year on year charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

\*\* No score available for these years.




The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.








Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good










## Trust expected range summary

Data labels relate to the number of scores that fell below, within and above the expected range		Number of scores below the lower expected range
		Number of scores between the upper and lower expected ranges
		Number of scores above the upper expected range

Trust		Expected range classification
RA2	Royal Surrey County NHS Foundation Trust	
RPC	Queen Victoria Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	
RTP	Surrey and Sussex Healthcare NHS Trust	
RXC	East Sussex Healthcare NHS Trust	
RTK	Ashford and St Peter's Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	
RYR	University Hospitals Sussex NHS Foundation Trust	
RDU	Frimley Health NHS Foundation Trust	

## ICB expected range summary

Data labels relate to the number of scores that fell below, within and above the expected range		Number of scores below the lower expected range
		Number of scores between the upper and lower expected ranges
		Number of scores above the upper expected range

ICB		Expected range classification
QXU	NHS Surrey Heartlands Integrated Care Board	
QNQ	NHS Frimley Integrated Care Board	
QNX	NHS Sussex Integrated Care Board	