

# **Cancer Patient Experience Survey**

2023 Results

**NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire  
and Berkshire West Integrated Care  
Board**

Published November 2024

## **Contents**

Executive summary . . . . .	3
Introduction . . . . .	4
Methodology . . . . .	4
Understanding the results . . . . .	5
Further information . . . . .	6
Response rate . . . . .	8
Expected range charts . . . . .	10
Comparability tables . . . . .	14
Tumour group tables . . . . .	18
Age group tables . . . . .	23
Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables . . . . .	27
Ethnicity tables . . . . .	32
IMD quintile tables . . . . .	36
Long-term condition status tables . . . . .	40
Year on year charts . . . . .	44

## Executive summary

### Questions above expected range

	Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	<b>82%</b>	75%	80%	<b>77%</b>
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	<b>76%</b>	70%	76%	<b>73%</b>
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	<b>69%</b>	62%	68%	<b>65%</b>
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	<b>25%</b>	20%	25%	<b>23%</b>

### Questions below expected range

	Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	<b>87%</b>	89%	93%	<b>91%</b>
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	<b>72%</b>	72%	80%	<b>76%</b>

## Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2023 is the thirteenth iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was undertaken by Picker on behalf of NHS England and it was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2023 survey involved 132 NHS trusts. Out of 121,121 people, 63,428 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 52%.

## Methodology

### Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2023. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between November 2023 and February 2024.

As in the previous eight years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

### Note on question comparability

The questionnaire was redeveloped for the 2021 National Cancer Patient Experience Survey. Year on year comparisons between 2021, 2022 and 2023 are included in this report for most questions. A review of the questionnaire in 2023 saw four changes being made:

- The question text for Q23 and Q42 were amended. These questions are no longer deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.
- The long-term condition question (Q67) was amended to include “Autism or autism spectrum condition” as a response option. And the “Neurological condition” answer option was updated to include an example condition changing it to “Neurological condition, such as epilepsy”. These changes see the answer option “Neurological condition, such as epilepsy” as no longer being deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.
- The ethnic group question (Q71) was amended to include “Roma” as an answer option. The ethnic group question is still deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.

### How alliance and ICB results are generated

Alliance and ICB results are derived using the post code of each patient, rather than by mapping trust results to ICBs or alliances. This mapping is achieved using lookup files released by the Office for National Statistics.

Alliance and ICB results therefore reflect the experience of people referred from within the geographical footprint.

### Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allow us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how an ICB is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, ethnicity, deprivation, and cancer type.

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the ICB. Case-mix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

#### Scoring methodology

Sixty-one questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q59), the score shows the percentage of respondents who gave the most favourable response to a question. For Q59, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

In 2022, following a review of the scoring methodology, a change was made to the scoring of Q12 such that the response option "No, I was told by letter or email" is no longer considered neutral and is now scored as negative.

#### Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2023 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by chance.

#### Suppression

Data is suppressed for two reasons: to ensure unreliable results based on very small numbers of respondents are not released, and to prevent individuals being identifiable in the data.

In cases where a result is based on fewer than 10 responses, the result has been suppressed. For example, where fewer than 10 people answered a question from a particular ICB, the results are not shown for that question for that ICB.

For ICBs with an eligible population of 1,000 or fewer, data relating to the respondent and their condition has been suppressed where 5 people or fewer were in a particular category. In instances where only one has been suppressed, the next lowest category has been suppressed to prevent back calculation from the total number of responses.

#### Additional suppression

Additional suppression happens if only **one** ICB has a score suppressed. If this happens, we will suppress another ICB's results (both the ICB level and sub-group results for the question) based on the next lowest number of respondents for the score. We do this so that the national score cannot be used to work out the score for the individual ICB.

The same rule applies to groups in each sub-group breakdown. For example, if only one ICB has the 85+ age group suppressed for Q25 we will need to suppress another ICB's results for the 85+ age group on Q25. This suppression is based on the 85+ age group with the next lowest number of respondents for Q25.

## Understanding the results

This report shows how this ICB scored for each question in the survey compared with England results. It is aimed at helping individual ICBs to understand their performance and identify areas for local and regional improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

#### Expected range charts

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (within the grey bar) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this ICB.

ICBs whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the ICB performs better than what ICBs of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the ICB's size and demographics.

### **Comparability tables**

The comparability tables show the 2022 and 2023 unadjusted scores for this ICB for each scored question. The Change 2022-2023 and Change overall columns show whether the scores show a statistically significant variation between years. This is shown between 2022-2023 and as an overall between 2021-2023. An upwards arrow indicates a statistically significant increase, a downwards arrow indicates a statistically significant decrease and no arrow indicates no statistically significant change.

The adjusted 2023 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

### **Sub-group breakdowns**

Unadjusted scores are shown for tumour group, Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, IMD quintile, long-term condition status and ethnicity breakdowns. Unadjusted scores for the same sub-group across different ICBs may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

### **Tumour group tables**

The tumour group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

### **Age group tables**

The age group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the eight age groups.

### **Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables**

These tables show the unadjusted scores for the following groups male; female; non-binary; prefer to self-describe; and prefer not to say.

### **Ethnicity tables**

The ethnicity tables show the unadjusted scores for six ethnicity groups.

### **Long-term condition status tables**

The long-term condition status tables show the unadjusted scores for two groups: those who indicate they have one or more long-term conditions and those who indicate that they have no long-term conditions.

### **IMD quintile tables**

The IMD quintile tables show the unadjusted scores for five quintiles based on relative disadvantage, with quintile 1 being the most deprived and quintile 5 being the least deprived.

### **Year on year charts**

The year on year charts show three columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last three years (2021, 2022 and 2023) for each scored question.

## **National level and England level data**

In some cases (343 respondents in 2023), patients from outside England (from Wales, Scotland, Northern Ireland, the Channel Islands or the Isle of Man) are referred to English NHS trusts for treatment. These patients are described as 'Non-England' in other reports.

Overall response rate at response rate sections shows national level counts and response rate. For ICBs and its comparison at comparability tables section, all data is presented at the England level.

## **Further information**

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting market and social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2019; certificate number

GB08/74322). Our statistical practice is regulated by the Office for Statistics Regulation (OSR). OSR sets the standards of trustworthiness, quality, and value in the Code of Practice for Statistics that all producers of official statistics should adhere to. You are welcome to contact us directly with any comments about how we meet these standards. Alternatively, you can contact OSR by emailing [regulation@statistics.gov.uk](mailto:regulation@statistics.gov.uk) or via the OSR website.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2023 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at [www.ncpes.co.uk](http://www.ncpes.co.uk). For all other outputs at ICB level, please see the Excel tables and dashboards at [www.ncpes.co.uk](http://www.ncpes.co.uk).

## Response rate

### Overall response rate

2,421 patients responded out of a total of 4,398 patients, resulting in a response rate of 55%.

	Sample size	Adjusted sample	Completed	Response rate
Overall response rate	4,728	4,398	2,421	55%
National	129,231	121,121	63,438	52%

### Respondents by survey type

	Number of respondents
Paper	1,845
Online	573
Phone	2
Translation service	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,421</b>

### Respondents by tumour group

	Number of respondents
Brain / CNS	14
Breast	613
Colorectal / LGT	263
Gynaecological	121
Haematological	301
Head and neck	51
Lung	157
Prostate	358
Sarcoma	26
Skin	89
Upper gastro	103
Urological	173
Other	152
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,421</b>




## Respondents by ethnicity


	Number of respondents
<b>White</b>	
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	2,018
Irish	38
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	*
Roma	*
Any other White background	80
<b>Mixed / Multiple Ethnic Groups</b>	
White and Black Caribbean	10
White and Black African	*
White and Asian	*
Any other Mixed / multiple ethnic background	6
<b>Asian or Asian British</b>	
Indian	21
Pakistani	11
Bangladeshi	*
Chinese	17
Any other Asian background	20
<b>Black / African / Caribbean / Black British</b>	
African	9
Caribbean	16
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	*
<b>Other Ethnicity</b>	
Arab	*
Any other ethnic group	7
<b>Not given</b>	
Not given	162
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,421</b>

\* indicates the count is not shown due to suppression





## Expected range charts




## Expected range charts



## Expected range charts



## Expected range charts



## Comparability tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size. ▲ or ▼

Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score.  
 Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).

	Adjusted score below lower expected range
	Adjusted score between upper and lower expected ranges
	Adjusted score above upper expected range

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	1233	<b>80%</b>	1187	<b>80%</b>			<b>78%</b>	76%	81%	<b>78%</b>
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	1629	<b>69%</b>	1617	<b>72%</b>			<b>69%</b>	63%	70%	<b>67%</b>

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	1924	<b>93%</b>	1934	<b>94%</b>			<b>94%</b>	91%	94%	<b>92%</b>
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	2017	<b>85%</b>	2005	<b>86%</b>			<b>85%</b>	81%	86%	<b>83%</b>
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	2016	<b>78%</b>	2013	<b>77%</b>		▼	<b>77%</b>	74%	81%	<b>78%</b>
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	2026	<b>79%</b>	2009	<b>78%</b>			<b>78%</b>	76%	80%	<b>78%</b>
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	2023	<b>96%</b>	2018	<b>95%</b>			<b>95%</b>	93%	96%	<b>95%</b>

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	2205	<b>75%</b>	2201	<b>81%</b>	▲	▲	<b>81%</b>	78%	83%	<b>81%</b>
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	2357	<b>73%</b>	2369	<b>73%</b>			<b>73%</b>	72%	77%	<b>74%</b>
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	2374	<b>78%</b>	2387	<b>77%</b>			<b>76%</b>	75%	78%	<b>77%</b>
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	2361	<b>85%</b>	2365	<b>85%</b>			<b>85%</b>	84%	87%	<b>86%</b>
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	2063	<b>84%</b>	2083	<b>84%</b>			<b>83%</b>	81%	86%	<b>84%</b>

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	2281	<b>89%</b>	2286	<b>87%</b>		▼	<b>87%</b>	89%	93%	<b>91%</b>
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	1831	<b>85%</b>	1782	<b>84%</b>			<b>84%</b>	81%	88%	<b>84%</b>
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	1938	<b>97%</b>	1870	<b>96%</b>			<b>96%</b>	95%	97%	<b>96%</b>

## Comparability tables

<p>* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.</p> <p>** No score available for 2022.</p>	<p>▲ or ▼</p> <p>Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score.</p> <p>Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).</p>	<p><span style="display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 15px; background-color: #ADD8E6; border: 1px solid black;"></span> Adjusted score below lower expected range</p> <p><span style="display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 15px; background-color: #D3D3D3; border: 1px solid black;"></span> Adjusted score between upper and lower expected ranges</p> <p><span style="display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 15px; background-color: #008080; border: 1px solid black;"></span> Adjusted score above upper expected range</p>
---	---	---

<b>DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT</b>	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	2214	<b>84%</b>	2237	<b>84%</b>			<b>84%</b>	81%	84%	<b>82%</b>
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	2357	<b>81%</b>	2349	<b>82%</b>			<b>82%</b>	77%	82%	<b>80%</b>
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	2006	<b>82%</b>	2057	<b>85%</b>		▲	<b>84%</b>	82%	85%	<b>83%</b>
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	**	**	1228	<b>55%</b>			<b>55%</b>	53%	60%	<b>57%</b>

<b>CARE PLANNING</b>	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	2103	<b>72%</b>	2121	<b>73%</b>			<b>73%</b>	69%	75%	<b>72%</b>
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	1197	<b>94%</b>	1179	<b>94%</b>			<b>94%</b>	92%	95%	<b>94%</b>
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	939	<b>98%</b>	925	<b>98%</b>			<b>98%</b>	98%	99%	<b>99%</b>

<b>SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF</b>	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	2008	<b>93%</b>	2063	<b>92%</b>			<b>92%</b>	89%	93%	<b>91%</b>
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	2350	<b>76%</b>	2360	<b>76%</b>			<b>76%</b>	72%	80%	<b>76%</b>
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	1125	<b>68%</b>	1102	<b>72%</b>			<b>71%</b>	65%	75%	<b>70%</b>

## Comparability tables

<p>* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.</p> <p>** No score available for 2022.</p>	<p>▲ or ▼</p> <p>Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score.</p> <p>Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).</p>	<div style="display: flex; flex-direction: column; gap: 5px;"> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="width: 15px; height: 15px; background-color: #ADD8E6; margin-right: 5px;"></div> <span>Adjusted score below lower expected range</span> </div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="width: 15px; height: 15px; background-color: #D3D3D3; margin-right: 5px;"></div> <span>Adjusted score between upper and lower expected ranges</span> </div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="width: 15px; height: 15px; background-color: #008080; margin-right: 5px;"></div> <span>Adjusted score above upper expected range</span> </div> </div>
---	---	---

HOSPITAL CARE	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	977	<b>79%</b>	929	<b>81%</b>			<b>82%</b>	75%	80%	<b>77%</b>
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	755	<b>66%</b>	762	<b>71%</b>		▲	<b>72%</b>	67%	73%	<b>70%</b>
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	963	<b>69%</b>	913	<b>73%</b>			<b>73%</b>	67%	73%	<b>70%</b>
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	954	<b>77%</b>	908	<b>75%</b>			<b>76%</b>	70%	76%	<b>73%</b>
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	929	<b>67%</b>	884	<b>68%</b>			<b>69%</b>	62%	68%	<b>65%</b>
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	822	<b>87%</b>	786	<b>85%</b>			<b>85%</b>	81%	87%	<b>84%</b>
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	973	<b>91%</b>	916	<b>89%</b>			<b>89%</b>	85%	90%	<b>87%</b>
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	952	<b>90%</b>	894	<b>89%</b>			<b>89%</b>	86%	90%	<b>88%</b>
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	2108	<b>79%</b>	2122	<b>80%</b>			<b>80%</b>	77%	82%	<b>79%</b>

YOUR TREATMENT	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	1253	<b>90%</b>	1199	<b>90%</b>			<b>90%</b>	88%	92%	<b>90%</b>
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	1160	<b>85%</b>	1062	<b>87%</b>			<b>87%</b>	84%	88%	<b>86%</b>
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	909	<b>89%</b>	873	<b>90%</b>			<b>90%</b>	87%	91%	<b>89%</b>
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	458	<b>81%</b>	513	<b>76%</b>			<b>77%</b>	76%	83%	<b>79%</b>
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	314	<b>88%</b>	375	<b>83%</b>			<b>84%</b>	80%	87%	<b>84%</b>
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	**	**	1166	<b>88%</b>			<b>88%</b>	85%	88%	<b>86%</b>
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	**	**	1066	<b>79%</b>			<b>79%</b>	79%	84%	<b>81%</b>
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	**	**	867	<b>87%</b>			<b>87%</b>	83%	87%	<b>85%</b>
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	**	**	509	<b>72%</b>			<b>72%</b>	72%	80%	<b>76%</b>
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	**	**	377	<b>79%</b>			<b>80%</b>	77%	85%	<b>81%</b>
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	2344	<b>83%</b>	2295	<b>81%</b>			<b>81%</b>	72%	85%	<b>78%</b>



## Comparability tables

<p>* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.</p> <p>** No score available for 2022.</p>	<p>▲ or ▼ Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score.</p> <p>Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).</p>	<p><span style="display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 10px; background-color: #d9e1f2; border: 1px solid #000;"></span> Adjusted score below lower expected range</p> <p><span style="display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 10px; background-color: #e6e6e6; border: 1px solid #000;"></span> Adjusted score between upper and lower expected ranges</p> <p><span style="display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 10px; background-color: #0070c0; border: 1px solid #000;"></span> Adjusted score above upper expected range</p>
---	--	--

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	2267	<b>76%</b>	2263	<b>75%</b>			<b>76%</b>	73%	76%	<b>74%</b>
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	2175	<b>70%</b>	2129	<b>71%</b>			<b>72%</b>	67%	73%	<b>70%</b>
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	1754	<b>88%</b>	1716	<b>88%</b>			<b>87%</b>	84%	90%	<b>87%</b>
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	2114	<b>60%</b>	2150	<b>61%</b>			<b>62%</b>	57%	63%	<b>60%</b>
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	1790	<b>54%</b>	1819	<b>55%</b>			<b>56%</b>	51%	58%	<b>55%</b>

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	1530	<b>59%</b>	1543	<b>63%</b>		▲	<b>63%</b>	57%	66%	<b>62%</b>
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	821	<b>54%</b>	852	<b>54%</b>			<b>53%</b>	47%	58%	<b>52%</b>

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	1372	<b>49%</b>	1391	<b>51%</b>			<b>50%</b>	41%	52%	<b>46%</b>
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	2287	<b>23%</b>	2262	<b>25%</b>			<b>25%</b>	20%	25%	<b>23%</b>

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	468	<b>30%</b>	454	<b>30%</b>			<b>30%</b>	28%	37%	<b>32%</b>
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	1197	<b>80%</b>	1149	<b>80%</b>			<b>80%</b>	76%	83%	<b>79%</b>
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	1902	<b>62%</b>	1966	<b>64%</b>			<b>65%</b>	60%	68%	<b>64%</b>

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Unadjusted scores						Case mix adjusted scores			England score
	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022-2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	2262	<b>91%</b>	2254	<b>90%</b>			<b>90%</b>	88%	92%	<b>90%</b>
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	2349	<b>87%</b>	2356	<b>87%</b>			<b>87%</b>	85%	89%	<b>87%</b>
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	1505	<b>48%</b>	1535	<b>52%</b>			<b>53%</b>	36%	53%	<b>45%</b>
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	2287	<b>8.9</b>	2313	<b>8.9</b>			<b>8.9</b>	8.8	9.0	<b>8.9</b>

## Tumour group tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour group													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	89%	84%	76%	64%	75%	70%	81%	81%	93%	79%	73%	74%	<b>80%</b>
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	86%	71%	64%	61%	68%	58%	74%	65%	79%	69%	66%	63%	<b>72%</b>

	Tumour group													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	100%	92%	96%	91%	93%	92%	93%	93%	95%	96%	98%	95%	95%	<b>94%</b>
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	75%	86%	86%	78%	83%	86%	85%	87%	86%	92%	85%	88%	88%	<b>86%</b>
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	67%	77%	78%	63%	85%	78%	74%	76%	77%	71%	78%	80%	70%	<b>77%</b>
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	73%	81%	79%	79%	72%	73%	77%	75%	81%	82%	82%	82%	80%	<b>78%</b>
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	91%	97%	95%	91%	93%	96%	97%	97%	100%	97%	97%	95%	90%	<b>95%</b>

	Tumour group													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	85%	84%	82%	72%	80%	76%	87%	80%	77%	81%	85%	70%	80%	<b>81%</b>
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	75%	79%	68%	60%	69%	70%	75%	72%	85%	81%	75%	72%	66%	<b>73%</b>
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	81%	78%	74%	67%	76%	82%	77%	73%	84%	79%	78%	70%	<b>77%</b>
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	69%	89%	80%	73%	82%	90%	86%	89%	92%	88%	85%	86%	82%	<b>85%</b>
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	91%	87%	78%	73%	82%	91%	85%	82%	83%	93%	84%	86%	80%	<b>84%</b>

## Tumour group tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour group													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	93%	88%	84%	88%	90%	90%	86%	82%	76%	93%	93%	89%	85%	<b>87%</b>
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	83%	83%	83%	89%	87%	83%	91%	79%	84%	84%	90%	79%	88%	<b>84%</b>
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	100%	97%	96%	96%	97%	98%	95%	93%	95%	93%	98%	99%	96%	<b>96%</b>

	Tumour group													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	85%	81%	87%	79%	85%	85%	81%	87%	89%	90%	93%	83%	<b>84%</b>
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	93%	81%	80%	81%	77%	80%	81%	83%	88%	83%	90%	89%	78%	<b>82%</b>
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	100%	84%	86%	75%	82%	84%	87%	86%	91%	85%	90%	87%	83%	<b>85%</b>
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	*	55%	52%	43%	55%	68%	56%	53%	80%	63%	64%	61%	50%	<b>55%</b>

	Tumour group													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	75%	74%	74%	74%	71%	73%	70%	70%	64%	75%	85%	78%	64%	<b>73%</b>
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	90%	94%	91%	98%	91%	97%	95%	93%	100%	88%	98%	99%	94%	<b>94%</b>
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	99%	99%	98%	97%	100%	98%	99%	100%	97%	96%	100%	98%	<b>98%</b>

	Tumour group													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	86%	94%	90%	91%	90%	98%	89%	94%	80%	95%	96%	95%	85%	<b>92%</b>
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	62%	76%	75%	66%	77%	80%	76%	76%	62%	83%	82%	83%	71%	<b>76%</b>
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	92%	75%	62%	71%	75%	83%	78%	62%	82%	81%	79%	55%	68%	<b>72%</b>

## Tumour group tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour group													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	81%	79%	74%	77%	88%	83%	83%	80%	68%	90%	92%	76%	<b>81%</b>
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	66%	68%	75%	72%	85%	68%	75%	69%	59%	80%	72%	73%	<b>71%</b>
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	67%	72%	72%	67%	91%	67%	75%	60%	68%	75%	85%	78%	<b>73%</b>
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	69%	80%	66%	70%	84%	70%	82%	73%	68%	83%	76%	79%	<b>75%</b>
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	60%	71%	61%	63%	76%	65%	75%	71%	50%	76%	73%	70%	<b>68%</b>
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	85%	88%	85%	78%	87%	92%	89%	71%	80%	84%	82%	81%	<b>85%</b>
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	86%	89%	89%	89%	94%	87%	91%	100%	94%	93%	94%	84%	<b>89%</b>
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	92%	87%	82%	89%	94%	88%	86%	93%	78%	96%	91%	89%	<b>89%</b>
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	83%	78%	77%	75%	81%	73%	81%	80%	78%	85%	88%	79%	79%	<b>80%</b>

	Tumour group													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	93%	88%	82%	79%	86%	90%	93%	77%	93%	96%	93%	86%	<b>90%</b>
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	83%	89%	88%	86%	92%	86%	85%	92%	*	91%	90%	92%	<b>87%</b>
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	85%	91%	90%	97%	97%	81%	94%	86%	*	*	90%	84%	98%	<b>90%</b>
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	72%	*	*	*	*	*	79%	*	*	*	*	100%	<b>76%</b>
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	73%	93%	87%	82%	*	78%	*	*	86%	93%	96%	88%	<b>83%</b>
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	*	90%	88%	79%	71%	83%	85%	85%	77%	91%	96%	92%	89%	<b>88%</b>
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	*	76%	79%	81%	79%	85%	78%	68%	85%	*	86%	86%	77%	<b>79%</b>
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	85%	89%	91%	85%	88%	85%	86%	82%	*	*	86%	89%	95%	<b>87%</b>
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	*	69%	*	*	*	*	*	73%	*	*	*	*	95%	<b>72%</b>
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	*	72%	80%	87%	75%	*	69%	*	*	80%	86%	98%	88%	<b>79%</b>
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	92%	75%	84%	83%	81%	83%	85%	87%	83%	76%	82%	81%	82%	<b>81%</b>

## Tumour group tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour group													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	83%	74%	78%	75%	70%	70%	70%	75%	83%	83%	79%	83%	79%	<b>75%</b>
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	62%	73%	72%	73%	66%	68%	69%	65%	67%	79%	76%	77%	73%	<b>71%</b>
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	73%	90%	88%	85%	87%	95%	89%	83%	83%	84%	95%	90%	83%	<b>88%</b>
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	46%	60%	62%	61%	53%	67%	59%	66%	77%	67%	72%	65%	53%	<b>61%</b>
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	58%	55%	51%	53%	50%	69%	54%	54%	58%	64%	68%	64%	49%	<b>55%</b>

	Tumour group													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	70%	62%	62%	65%	63%	60%	64%	59%	63%	61%	73%	68%	62%	<b>63%</b>
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	60%	50%	57%	38%	55%	60%	57%	46%	62%	35%	71%	62%	57%	<b>54%</b>

	Tumour group													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	30%	52%	53%	43%	57%	44%	44%	44%	53%	61%	63%	53%	48%	<b>51%</b>
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	14%	27%	23%	22%	22%	23%	23%	24%	46%	30%	27%	25%	24%	<b>25%</b>

## Tumour group tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Tumour group													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	31%	37%	29%	33%	33%	0%	20%	*	18%	40%	48%	36%	<b>30%</b>
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	84%	80%	70%	74%	82%	83%	75%	90%	77%	91%	81%	82%	<b>80%</b>
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	67%	58%	62%	59%	74%	54%	65%	58%	78%	84%	66%	73%	63%	<b>64%</b>

	Tumour group													
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	83%	90%	90%	88%	89%	88%	89%	89%	91%	90%	95%	92%	91%	<b>90%</b>
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	71%	88%	88%	82%	91%	88%	88%	84%	83%	86%	92%	88%	85%	<b>87%</b>
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	60%	39%	53%	39%	72%	61%	46%	46%	80%	70%	71%	49%	56%	<b>52%</b>
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.6	8.9	8.9	8.8	9.0	9.0	8.9	8.8	9.1	9.0	9.2	9.1	8.8	<b>8.9</b>



## Age group tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

<b>SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE</b>	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	88%	81%	77%	74%	80%	83%	86%	<b>80%</b>
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	65%	82%	80%	72%	70%	68%	84%	<b>72%</b>

<b>DIAGNOSTIC TESTS</b>	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	80%	93%	94%	94%	94%	94%	96%	<b>94%</b>
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	75%	82%	83%	84%	86%	86%	94%	<b>86%</b>
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	53%	63%	63%	74%	80%	79%	89%	<b>77%</b>
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	74%	74%	69%	79%	77%	81%	84%	<b>78%</b>
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	89%	90%	94%	95%	95%	97%	98%	<b>95%</b>

<b>FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER</b>	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	89%	76%	74%	79%	80%	85%	85%	<b>81%</b>
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	63%	60%	68%	70%	71%	77%	84%	<b>73%</b>
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	79%	70%	75%	73%	76%	80%	82%	<b>77%</b>
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	89%	80%	83%	81%	84%	89%	94%	<b>85%</b>
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	89%	84%	85%	84%	83%	84%	79%	<b>84%</b>

<b>SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON</b>	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	95%	86%	88%	86%	86%	89%	83%	<b>87%</b>
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	79%	74%	85%	84%	85%	85%	87%	<b>84%</b>
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	95%	94%	95%	94%	96%	98%	95%	<b>96%</b>

<b>DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT</b>	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	82%	79%	83%	84%	84%	86%	87%	<b>84%</b>
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	84%	81%	75%	82%	82%	83%	83%	<b>82%</b>
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	88%	85%	81%	84%	84%	86%	84%	<b>85%</b>
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	*	80%	61%	60%	61%	53%	49%	57%	<b>55%</b>

## Age group tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

<b>CARE PLANNING</b>	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	74%	74%	73%	75%	71%	73%	75%	<b>73%</b>
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	92%	83%	94%	93%	95%	93%	97%	<b>94%</b>
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	100%	100%	97%	99%	98%	100%	100%	<b>98%</b>

<b>SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF</b>	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	89%	92%	94%	93%	92%	91%	93%	<b>92%</b>
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	58%	73%	72%	73%	76%	79%	83%	<b>76%</b>
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	76%	71%	76%	73%	73%	69%	64%	<b>72%</b>

<b>HOSPITAL CARE</b>	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	55%	71%	77%	77%	82%	85%	95%	<b>81%</b>
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	40%	48%	70%	68%	72%	75%	82%	<b>71%</b>
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	73%	67%	66%	71%	72%	78%	79%	<b>73%</b>
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	55%	64%	72%	76%	76%	76%	85%	<b>75%</b>
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	45%	61%	62%	68%	70%	69%	78%	<b>68%</b>
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	64%	84%	76%	81%	88%	89%	88%	<b>85%</b>
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	82%	89%	87%	90%	88%	90%	98%	<b>89%</b>
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	70%	85%	89%	91%	89%	87%	93%	<b>89%</b>
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	58%	75%	77%	79%	80%	81%	81%	<b>80%</b>



## Age group tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

YOUR TREATMENT	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	75%	89%	89%	90%	92%	90%	94%	<b>90%</b>
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	92%	79%	79%	90%	88%	87%	77%	<b>87%</b>
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	*	95%	85%	93%	92%	86%	89%	<b>90%</b>
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	50%	63%	82%	76%	82%	63%	<b>76%</b>
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	69%	71%	90%	83%	85%	*	<b>83%</b>
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	*	58%	86%	87%	88%	88%	90%	92%	<b>88%</b>
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	*	77%	76%	75%	79%	79%	79%	83%	<b>79%</b>
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	*	*	84%	84%	91%	88%	83%	94%	<b>87%</b>
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	*	*	44%	61%	79%	71%	77%	69%	<b>72%</b>
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	*	*	67%	67%	88%	73%	83%	*	<b>79%</b>
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	65%	64%	74%	82%	82%	83%	83%	<b>81%</b>

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	55%	78%	75%	77%	76%	73%	77%	<b>75%</b>
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	47%	71%	72%	73%	69%	70%	81%	<b>71%</b>
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	63%	91%	88%	91%	88%	85%	93%	<b>88%</b>
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	45%	60%	58%	62%	61%	62%	66%	<b>61%</b>
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	45%	49%	52%	55%	54%	58%	60%	<b>55%</b>

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	59%	67%	56%	59%	63%	66%	78%	<b>63%</b>
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	40%	48%	53%	55%	53%	53%	55%	<b>54%</b>

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	57%	64%	53%	49%	50%	49%	68%	<b>51%</b>
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	32%	27%	31%	26%	24%	22%	29%	<b>25%</b>

## Age group tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

<b>LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER</b>	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	41%	35%	30%	25%	29%	46%	<b>30%</b>
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	42%	77%	74%	81%	81%	79%	92%	<b>80%</b>
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	41%	50%	52%	64%	63%	67%	80%	<b>64%</b>

<b>YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE</b>	Age								
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	89%	86%	92%	87%	89%	92%	97%	<b>90%</b>
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	90%	81%	87%	88%	86%	88%	88%	<b>87%</b>
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	53%	50%	46%	53%	51%	55%	43%	<b>52%</b>
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	8.6	8.8	8.8	8.9	9.0	9.0	9.1	<b>8.9</b>

## Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

<b>SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE</b>							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	82%	79%	*	*	*	69%	<b>80%</b>
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	75%	68%	*	*	*	73%	<b>72%</b>

<b>DIAGNOSTIC TESTS</b>							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	94%	94%	*	*	*	91%	<b>94%</b>
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	84%	88%	*	*	*	81%	<b>86%</b>
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	76%	78%	*	*	*	70%	<b>77%</b>
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	77%	*	*	*	81%	<b>78%</b>
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	95%	96%	*	*	*	94%	<b>95%</b>

<b>FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER</b>							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	82%	81%	*	*	*	73%	<b>81%</b>
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	74%	71%	*	*	*	74%	<b>73%</b>
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	76%	*	*	*	79%	<b>77%</b>
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	84%	86%	*	*	*	85%	<b>85%</b>
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	84%	83%	*	*	*	86%	<b>84%</b>

<b>SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON</b>							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	88%	86%	*	*	*	85%	<b>87%</b>
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	85%	85%	*	*	*	75%	<b>84%</b>
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	96%	*	*	*	96%	<b>96%</b>

## Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	84%	84%	*	*	*	84%	<b>84%</b>
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	81%	83%	*	*	*	78%	<b>82%</b>
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	84%	85%	*	*	*	86%	<b>85%</b>
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	54%	56%	*	*	*	55%	<b>55%</b>

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	73%	73%	*	*	*	67%	<b>73%</b>
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	93%	94%	*	*	*	90%	<b>94%</b>
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	98%	99%	*	*	*	100%	<b>98%</b>

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	91%	93%	*	*	*	93%	<b>92%</b>
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	73%	79%	*	*	*	74%	<b>76%</b>
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	73%	72%	*	*	*	62%	<b>72%</b>

## Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						All
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	76%	86%	*	*	*	84%	<b>81%</b>
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	69%	73%	*	*	*	65%	<b>71%</b>
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	70%	76%	*	*	*	78%	<b>73%</b>
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	70%	80%	*	*	*	69%	<b>75%</b>
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	62%	74%	*	*	*	62%	<b>68%</b>
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	82%	87%	*	*	*	87%	<b>85%</b>
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	87%	91%	*	*	*	94%	<b>89%</b>
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	86%	91%	*	*	*	94%	<b>89%</b>
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	78%	82%	*	*	*	80%	<b>80%</b>

	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						All
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	90%	91%	*	*	*	91%	<b>90%</b>
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	87%	87%	*	*	*	89%	<b>87%</b>
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	92%	87%	*	*	*	83%	<b>90%</b>
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	73%	80%	*	*	*	74%	<b>76%</b>
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	80%	87%	*	*	*	81%	<b>83%</b>
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	87%	89%	*	*	*	93%	<b>88%</b>
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	79%	79%	*	*	*	76%	<b>79%</b>
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	89%	85%	*	*	*	82%	<b>87%</b>
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	71%	73%	*	*	*	71%	<b>72%</b>
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	76%	80%	*	*	*	95%	<b>79%</b>
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	78%	85%	*	*	*	76%	<b>81%</b>

## Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

<b>IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS</b>							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	75%	75%	*	*	*	75%	<b>75%</b>
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	72%	70%	*	*	*	68%	<b>71%</b>
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	89%	86%	*	*	*	91%	<b>88%</b>
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	59%	63%	*	*	*	62%	<b>61%</b>
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	54%	56%	*	*	*	50%	<b>55%</b>

<b>SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME</b>							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	62%	64%	*	*	*	61%	<b>63%</b>
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	51%	56%	*	*	*	62%	<b>54%</b>

<b>CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE</b>							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	51%	51%	*	*	*	50%	<b>51%</b>
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	25%	24%	*	*	*	21%	<b>25%</b>

<b>LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER</b>							
	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	29%	32%	*	*	*	35%	<b>30%</b>
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	81%	79%	*	*	*	76%	<b>80%</b>
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	61%	66%	*	*	*	62%	<b>64%</b>

## Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						All
	Female	Male	Non-binary	Prefer to self-describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	90%	90%	*	*	*	92%	<b>90%</b>
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	87%	87%	*	*	*	85%	<b>87%</b>
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	48%	55%	*	*	*	58%	<b>52%</b>
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.9	9.0	*	*	*	9.1	<b>8.9</b>

## Ethnicity tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
<b>SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE</b>							
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	82%	*	65%	64%	*	65%	<b>80%</b>
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	72%	64%	66%	78%	*	69%	<b>72%</b>

	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
<b>DIAGNOSTIC TESTS</b>							
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	94%	79%	100%	90%	*	91%	<b>94%</b>
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	86%	67%	81%	82%	*	80%	<b>86%</b>
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	77%	43%	73%	82%	*	75%	<b>77%</b>
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	79%	75%	86%	*	81%	<b>78%</b>
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	96%	86%	100%	100%	*	92%	<b>95%</b>

	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
<b>FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER</b>							
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	81%	69%	80%	100%	*	73%	<b>81%</b>
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	73%	71%	60%	76%	100%	72%	<b>73%</b>
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	71%	78%	84%	100%	76%	<b>77%</b>
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	85%	88%	88%	88%	*	83%	<b>85%</b>
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	84%	93%	88%	71%	*	80%	<b>84%</b>

	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
<b>SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON</b>							
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	87%	76%	94%	83%	90%	83%	<b>87%</b>
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	85%	92%	80%	94%	*	79%	<b>84%</b>
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	92%	93%	100%	*	96%	<b>96%</b>

	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
<b>DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT</b>							
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	84%	94%	81%	80%	100%	80%	<b>84%</b>
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	83%	69%	83%	77%	100%	73%	<b>82%</b>
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	85%	77%	83%	70%	*	80%	<b>85%</b>
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	56%	54%	55%	35%	*	48%	<b>55%</b>



## Ethnicity tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

CARE PLANNING	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	73%	87%	76%	79%	90%	66%	<b>73%</b>
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	94%	92%	98%	100%	*	90%	<b>94%</b>
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	98%	*	100%	*	*	100%	<b>98%</b>

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	92%	88%	95%	91%	*	91%	<b>92%</b>
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	76%	71%	76%	50%	100%	72%	<b>76%</b>
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	73%	*	72%	64%	*	62%	<b>72%</b>

HOSPITAL CARE	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	81%	80%	77%	*	*	85%	<b>81%</b>
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	71%	*	78%	*	*	64%	<b>71%</b>
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	73%	80%	76%	*	*	72%	<b>73%</b>
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	75%	80%	86%	*	*	76%	<b>75%</b>
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	67%	80%	80%	*	*	68%	<b>68%</b>
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	84%	*	85%	*	*	92%	<b>85%</b>
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	89%	100%	84%	*	*	89%	<b>89%</b>
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	89%	*	79%	*	*	89%	<b>89%</b>
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	80%	76%	75%	74%	*	76%	<b>80%</b>

## Ethnicity tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

YOUR TREATMENT	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	90%	*	98%	90%	*	88%	<b>90%</b>
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	87%	*	93%	82%	*	89%	<b>87%</b>
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	90%	*	86%	*	*	86%	<b>90%</b>
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	77%	*	*	*	*	72%	<b>76%</b>
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	84%	*	*	*	*	78%	<b>83%</b>
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	88%	*	97%	80%	*	89%	<b>88%</b>
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	78%	*	90%	80%	*	81%	<b>79%</b>
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	87%	*	90%	*	*	85%	<b>87%</b>
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	73%	*	*	*	*	64%	<b>72%</b>
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	79%	*	*	*	*	91%	<b>79%</b>
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	82%	67%	78%	84%	60%	74%	<b>81%</b>

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	76%	78%	74%	57%	*	71%	<b>75%</b>
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	71%	72%	75%	60%	*	71%	<b>71%</b>
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	88%	73%	92%	81%	*	85%	<b>88%</b>
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	61%	50%	71%	63%	80%	59%	<b>61%</b>
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	55%	53%	61%	44%	*	53%	<b>55%</b>

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	64%	55%	61%	40%	80%	61%	<b>63%</b>
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	54%	60%	44%	18%	*	54%	<b>54%</b>

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	51%	33%	53%	33%	*	48%	<b>51%</b>
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	25%	19%	24%	32%	*	23%	<b>25%</b>

## Ethnicity tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

<b>LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER</b>	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	31%	*	29%	*	*	22%	<b>30%</b>
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	81%	*	81%	64%	*	71%	<b>80%</b>
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	65%	50%	49%	52%	40%	62%	<b>64%</b>

<b>YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE</b>	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	90%	88%	88%	89%	100%	90%	<b>90%</b>
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	87%	83%	90%	92%	100%	85%	<b>87%</b>
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	51%	75%	57%	50%	*	55%	<b>52%</b>
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	9.0	8.3	8.9	8.3	9.6	8.8	<b>8.9</b>

## IMD quintile tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

<b>SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE</b>	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	73%	93%	82%	79%	78%	<b>80%</b>
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	57%	77%	67%	71%	73%	<b>72%</b>

<b>DIAGNOSTIC TESTS</b>	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	100%	95%	96%	92%	94%	<b>94%</b>
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	92%	93%	87%	82%	86%	<b>86%</b>
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	74%	78%	76%	75%	78%	<b>77%</b>
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	81%	77%	79%	78%	<b>78%</b>
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	100%	96%	96%	95%	95%	<b>95%</b>

<b>FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER</b>	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	83%	86%	80%	77%	82%	<b>81%</b>
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	74%	72%	72%	71%	73%	<b>73%</b>
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	82%	82%	74%	76%	77%	<b>77%</b>
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	81%	88%	86%	82%	86%	<b>85%</b>
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	81%	85%	86%	81%	84%	<b>84%</b>

<b>SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON</b>	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	88%	87%	89%	89%	86%	<b>87%</b>
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	89%	86%	85%	84%	84%	<b>84%</b>
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	97%	95%	95%	95%	97%	<b>96%</b>

## IMD quintile tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
<b>DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT</b>						
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	86%	87%	84%	83%	84%	<b>84%</b>
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	84%	80%	81%	82%	82%	<b>82%</b>
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	86%	85%	83%	83%	85%	<b>85%</b>
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	65%	66%	57%	55%	53%	<b>55%</b>

	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
<b>CARE PLANNING</b>						
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	74%	75%	74%	71%	73%	<b>73%</b>
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	100%	96%	96%	92%	93%	<b>94%</b>
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	100%	97%	99%	99%	98%	<b>98%</b>

	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
<b>SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF</b>						
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	88%	95%	92%	92%	92%	<b>92%</b>
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	89%	75%	80%	73%	76%	<b>76%</b>
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	70%	74%	72%	70%	73%	<b>72%</b>

	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
<b>HOSPITAL CARE</b>						
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	79%	73%	81%	81%	82%	<b>81%</b>
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	80%	84%	69%	70%	70%	<b>71%</b>
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	68%	65%	70%	73%	75%	<b>73%</b>
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	74%	83%	79%	73%	74%	<b>75%</b>
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	63%	71%	68%	68%	68%	<b>68%</b>
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	88%	85%	81%	83%	87%	<b>85%</b>
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	89%	92%	91%	87%	89%	<b>89%</b>
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	89%	93%	88%	88%	89%	<b>89%</b>
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	95%	78%	81%	78%	80%	<b>80%</b>

## IMD quintile tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

YOUR TREATMENT	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	93%	94%	93%	88%	90%	<b>90%</b>
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	87%	92%	87%	87%	86%	<b>87%</b>
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	95%	91%	92%	88%	89%	<b>90%</b>
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	74%	87%	78%	74%	<b>76%</b>
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	96%	82%	84%	81%	<b>83%</b>
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	87%	88%	92%	85%	88%	<b>88%</b>
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	83%	80%	84%	78%	77%	<b>79%</b>
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	100%	84%	95%	83%	87%	<b>87%</b>
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	*	68%	83%	74%	70%	<b>72%</b>
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	*	81%	80%	75%	80%	<b>79%</b>
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	88%	78%	84%	83%	80%	<b>81%</b>

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	89%	76%	76%	75%	75%	<b>75%</b>
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	85%	71%	73%	70%	70%	<b>71%</b>
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	90%	88%	91%	84%	88%	<b>88%</b>
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	71%	61%	67%	62%	59%	<b>61%</b>
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	67%	58%	62%	55%	53%	<b>55%</b>

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	70%	63%	62%	63%	63%	<b>63%</b>
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	81%	51%	54%	50%	54%	<b>54%</b>

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	48%	48%	51%	49%	52%	<b>51%</b>
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	19%	25%	26%	25%	24%	<b>25%</b>

## IMD quintile tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	26%	30%	28%	32%	<b>30%</b>
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	92%	78%	82%	76%	81%	<b>80%</b>
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	80%	63%	69%	62%	63%	<b>64%</b>

	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	93%	90%	91%	89%	90%	<b>90%</b>
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	96%	87%	89%	86%	87%	<b>87%</b>
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	61%	62%	54%	47%	52%	<b>52%</b>
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	9.3	8.9	9.1	8.8	9.0	<b>8.9</b>

## Long-term condition status tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
<b>SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE</b>				
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	77%	84%	75%	80%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	68%	77%	72%	72%

	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
<b>DIAGNOSTIC TESTS</b>				
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	94%	94%	91%	94%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	84%	90%	81%	86%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	77%	77%	73%	77%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	80%	81%	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	96%	95%	92%	95%

	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
<b>FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER</b>				
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	82%	80%	79%	81%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	74%	71%	74%	73%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	77%	78%	77%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	85%	85%	86%	85%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	82%	85%	85%	84%

	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
<b>SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON</b>				
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	87%	88%	85%	87%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	84%	86%	78%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	96%	95%	96%

	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
<b>DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT</b>				
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	83%	86%	81%	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	80%	86%	77%	82%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	83%	86%	86%	85%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	52%	58%	57%	55%



## Long-term condition status tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

<b>CARE PLANNING</b>	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	71%	75%	71%	<b>73%</b>
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	94%	94%	90%	<b>94%</b>
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	99%	98%	98%	<b>98%</b>

<b>SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF</b>	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	92%	93%	93%	<b>92%</b>
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	74%	78%	75%	<b>76%</b>
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	70%	76%	69%	<b>72%</b>

<b>HOSPITAL CARE</b>	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	80%	83%	77%	<b>81%</b>
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	72%	72%	61%	<b>71%</b>
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	70%	77%	74%	<b>73%</b>
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	73%	79%	65%	<b>75%</b>
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	66%	71%	60%	<b>68%</b>
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	84%	86%	89%	<b>85%</b>
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	88%	91%	92%	<b>89%</b>
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	88%	90%	88%	<b>89%</b>
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	77%	84%	78%	<b>80%</b>

## Long-term condition status tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

<b>YOUR TREATMENT</b>	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	90%	92%	89%	<b>90%</b>
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	85%	89%	89%	<b>87%</b>
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	88%	92%	88%	<b>90%</b>
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	75%	79%	71%	<b>76%</b>
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	80%	88%	86%	<b>83%</b>
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	88%	89%	90%	<b>88%</b>
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	75%	83%	81%	<b>79%</b>
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	86%	89%	86%	<b>87%</b>
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	68%	78%	77%	<b>72%</b>
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	75%	85%	86%	<b>79%</b>
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	81%	82%	78%	<b>81%</b>

<b>IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS</b>	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	73%	80%	71%	<b>75%</b>
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	69%	75%	67%	<b>71%</b>
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	86%	90%	87%	<b>88%</b>
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	58%	67%	60%	<b>61%</b>
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	52%	60%	53%	<b>55%</b>

<b>SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME</b>	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	62%	64%	64%	<b>63%</b>
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	51%	56%	58%	<b>54%</b>

<b>CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE</b>	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	49%	53%	50%	<b>51%</b>
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	25%	25%	22%	<b>25%</b>

## Long-term condition status tables

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

<b>LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER</b>	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	30%	29%	35%	<b>30%</b>
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	77%	84%	79%	<b>80%</b>
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	62%	67%	63%	<b>64%</b>

<b>YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE</b>	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	89%	92%	91%	<b>90%</b>
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	87%	89%	83%	<b>87%</b>
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	50%	53%	59%	<b>52%</b>
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.9	9.0	9.0	<b>8.9</b>

## Year on year charts


\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

\*\* No score available for these years.


The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

### SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE

**Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis**




**Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand**




### DIAGNOSTIC TESTS


**Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance**



**Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient**



**Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right**




## Year on year charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.


\*\* No score available for these years.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

**Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand**




**Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results**




### FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER


**Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis**



**Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer**



**Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand**




## Year on year charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.


\*\* No score available for these years.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

**Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place**




**Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis**




### SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON


**Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team**



**Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person**



**Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful**



## Year on year charts


\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

\*\* No score available for these years.


The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

### DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT


Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand



Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment



Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options




Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options



### CARE PLANNING

Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment




## Year on year charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.


\*\* No score available for these years.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

**Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns**




**Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date**




### SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF


**Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support**



**Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff**



**Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits**





## Year on year charts


\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

\*\* No score available for these years.


The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

### HOSPITAL CARE


**Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital**




**Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital**




**Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital**



**Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed**



**Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff**




## Year on year charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.


\*\* No score available for these years.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.


**Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain**




**Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital**



**Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital**




**Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case**



### YOUR TREATMENT

**Q41\_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery**




## Year on year charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.


\*\* No score available for these years.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.


**Q41\_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy**




**Q41\_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy**



**Q41\_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy**



**Q41\_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy**



**Q42\_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery**



## Year on year charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

\*\* No score available for these years.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

**Q42\_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy**



**Q42\_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy**




**Q42\_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy**



**Q42\_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy**



**Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right**



## Year on year charts


\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

\*\* No score available for these years.


The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

### IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS


**Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand**




**Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment**




**Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment**



**Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment**



**Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects**



## Year on year charts


\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

\*\* No score available for these years.


The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

### SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME

Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home




Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services




### CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE

Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment




Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice



### LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER

Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services




## Year on year charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.


\*\* No score available for these years.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

**Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment**




**Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading**




### YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE


**Q56. The whole care team worked well together**



**Q57. Administration of care was very good or good**



**Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient**



## Year on year charts

\* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

\*\* No score available for these years.

The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good

