

Cancer Patient Experience Survey

2023 Results

NHS North East London Integrated Care Board

Published November 2024

Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2023 NHS North East London Integrated Care Board

Contents

Executive summary	. 3
ntroduction	4
Methodology	4
Inderstanding the results	5
Further information	6
Response rate	8
Expected range charts	10
Comparability tables	14
Tumour group tables	18
Age group tables	23
Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables	27
Ethnicity tables	32
MD quintile tables	36
ong-term condition status tables	40
ear on vear charts	44

Executive summary

Questions above expected range

NHS North East London Integrated Care Board has no scores above expected range.

Questions below expected range

	Case	mix adjusted s	cores	
	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	61%	62%	71%	67%
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	89%	91%	94%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	79%	80%	87%	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	73%	74%	82%	78%
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	78%	78%	84%	81%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	81%	81%	87%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	94%	94%	97%	96%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	90%	91%	96%	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	98%	98%	100%	99%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	71%	72%	80%	76%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	74%	76%	83%	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	74%	77%	90%	84%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	64%	71%	86%	78%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	43%	46%	59%	52%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	58%	59%	69%	64%

Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2023 is the thirteenth iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was undertaken by Picker on behalf of NHS England and it was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2023 survey involved 132 NHS trusts. Out of 121,121 people, 63,428 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 52%.

Methodology

Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2023. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between November 2023 and February 2024.

As in the previous eight years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

Note on question comparability

The questionnaire was redeveloped for the 2021 National Cancer Patient Experience Survey. Year on year comparisons between 2021, 2022 and 2023 are included in this report for most questions. A review of the questionnaire in 2023 saw four changes being made:

- The question text for Q23 and Q42 were amended. These questions are no longer deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.
- The long-term condition question (Q67) was amended to include "Autism or autism spectrum condition" as a response option. And the "Neurological condition" answer option was updated to include an example condition changing it to "Neurological condition, such as epilepsy". These changes see the answer option "Neurological condition, such as epilepsy" as no longer being deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.
- The ethnic group question (Q71) was amended to include "Roma" as an answer option. The ethnic group question is still deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.

How alliance and ICB results are generated

Alliance and ICB results are derived using the post code of each patient, rather than by mapping trust results to ICBs or alliances. This mapping is achieved using lookup files released by the Office for National Statistics.

Alliance and ICB results therefore reflect the experience of people referred from within the geographical footprint.

Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allow us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how an ICB is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, ethnicity, deprivation, and cancer type.

Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2023 NHS North East London Integrated Care Board

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the ICB. Casemix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

Scoring methodology

Sixty-one questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q59), the score shows the percentage of respondents who gave the most favourable response to a question. For Q59, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

In 2022, following a review of the scoring methodology, a change was made to the scoring of Q12 such that the response option "No, I was told by letter or email" is no longer considered neutral and is now scored as negative.

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2023 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by chance.

Suppression

Data is suppressed for two reasons: to ensure unreliable results based on very small numbers of respondents are not released, and to prevent individuals being identifiable in the data.

In cases where a result is based on fewer than 10 responses, the result has been suppressed. For example, where fewer than 10 people answered a question from a particular ICB, the results are not shown for that question for that ICB.

For ICBs with an eligible population of 1,000 or fewer, data relating to the respondent and their condition has been suppressed where 5 people or fewer were in a particular category. In instances where only one has been suppressed, the next lowest category has been suppressed to prevent back calculation from the total number of responses.

Additional suppression

Additional suppression happens if only **one** ICB has a score suppressed. If this happens, we will suppress another ICB's results (both the ICB level and sub-group results for the question) based on the next lowest number of respondents for the score. We do this so that the national score cannot be used to work out the score for the individual ICB.

The same rule applies to groups in each sub-group breakdown. For example, if only one ICB has the 85+ age group suppressed for Q25 we will need to suppress another ICB's results for the 85+ age group on Q25. This suppression is based on the 85+ age group with the next lowest number of respondents for Q25.

Understanding the results

This report shows how this ICB scored for each question in the survey compared with England results. It is aimed at helping individual ICBs to understand their performance and identify areas for local and regional improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

Expected range charts

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (within the grey bar) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this ICB.

ICBs whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the ICB performs better than what ICBs of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the ICB's size and demographics.

Comparability tables

The comparability tables show the 2022 and 2023 unadjusted scores for this ICB for each scored question. The Change 2022-2023 and Change overall columns show whether the scores show a statistically significant variation between years. This is shown between 2022-2023 and as an overall between 2021-2023. An upwards arrow indicates a statistically significant decrease and no arrow indicates no statistically significant change.

The adjusted 2023 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

Sub-group breakdowns

Unadjusted scores are shown for tumour group, Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, IMD quintile, long-term condition status and ethnicity breakdowns. Unadjusted scores for the same sub-group across different ICBs may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

Tumour group tables

The tumour group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

Age group tables

The age group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the eight age groups.

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

These tables show the unadjusted scores for the following groups male; female; non-binary; prefer to self-describe; and prefer not to say.

Ethnicity tables

The ethnicity tables show the unadjusted scores for six ethnicity groups.

Long-term condition status tables

The long-term condition status tables show the unadjusted scores for two groups: those who indicate they have one or more long-term conditions and those who indicate that they have no long-term conditions.

IMD quintile tables

The IMD quintile tables show the unadjusted scores for five quintiles based on relative disadvantage, with quintile 1 being the most deprived and quintile 5 being the least deprived.

Year on year charts

The year on year charts show three columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last three years (2021, 2022 and 2023) for each scored question.

National level and England level data

In some cases (343 respondents in 2023), patients from outside England (from Wales, Scotland, Northern Ireland, the Channel Islands or the Isle of Man) are referred to English NHS trusts for treatment. These patients are described as 'Non-England' in other reports.

Overall response rate at response rate sections shows national level counts and response rate. For ICBs and its comparison at comparability tables section, all data is presented at the England level.

Further information

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting market and social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2019; certificate number

Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2023 NHS North East London Integrated Care Board

GB08/74322). Our statistical practice is regulated by the Office for Statistics Regulation (OSR). OSR sets the standards of trustworthiness, quality, and value in the Code of Practice for Statistics that all producers of official statistics should adhere to. You are welcome to contact us directly with any comments about how we meet these standards. Alternatively, you can contact OSR by emailing regulation@statistics.gov.uk or via the OSR website.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2023 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at www.ncpes.co.uk. For all other outputs at ICB level, please see the Excel tables and dashboards at www.ncpes.co.uk.

Response rate

Overall response rate

969 patients responded out of a total of 2,557 patients, resulting in a response rate of 38%.

	Sample size	Adjusted sample	Completed	Response rate
Overall response rate	2,708	2,557	969	38%
National	129,231	121,121	63,438	52%

Respondents by survey type

	Number of respondents
Paper	731
Online	238
Phone	0
Translation service	0
Total	969


Respondents by tumour group


	Number of respondents
Brain / CNS	3
Breast	225
Colorectal / LGT	119
Gynaecological	59
Haematological	99
Head and neck	30
Lung	63
Prostate	117
Sarcoma	7
Skin	20
Upper gastro	38
Urological	68
Other	121
Total	969


Respondents by ethnicity


	Number of respondents
White	
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	572
Irish	17
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	*
Roma	*
Any other White background	65
Mixed / Multiple Ethnic Groups	I
White and Black Caribbean	8
White and Black African	8
White and Asian	*
Any other Mixed / multiple ethnic background	6
Asian or Asian British	
Indian	37
Pakistani	24
Bangladeshi	20
Chinese	8
Any other Asian background	15
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British	
African	48
Caribbean	35
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	*
Other Ethnicity	
Arab	*
Any other ethnic group	10
Not given	
Not given	88
Total	969

^{*} indicates the count is not shown due to suppression









Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2023 NHS North East London Integrated Care Board

Comparability tables

Adjusted score below lower

 Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size. ** No score available for 2022. 	▲ or	▼	significantly high	2023: Indicates where ther or lower than 202 : Indicates significant and 2023).	2 score.	Adjusted score between upper lower expected ranges Adjusted score above upper expected range	er and
				heall	instad scores	Case mix adjusted scores	

UPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2022	2022			Chanas					
	n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or ice before cancer diagnosis	375	72%	447	72%			76%	74%	82%	78%
Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the stient could completely understand	533	59%	600	60%			61%	62%	71%	67%
	533	59%	600	60%			61%	62%	719	6

	Unadjusted scores							Case mix adjusted scores			
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score	
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	700	91%	779	88%			89%	91%	94%	92%	
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	750	79%	809	77%			79%	80%	87%	83%	
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	754	75%	819	72%			73%	74%	82%	78%	
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	756	77%	821	75%			77%	76%	81%	78%	
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	758	94%	827	93%			93%	93%	96%	95%	

	Unadjusted scores							Case mix adjusted scores			
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	811	75%	881	78%		A	78%	78%	84%	81%	
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	866	71%	941	74%			74%	71%	78%	74%	
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	871	75%	942	76%			77%	74%	79%	77%	
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	868	86%	948	86%			86%	83%	88%	86%	
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	761	82%	839	81%			81%	81%	87%	84%	

		Unadjusted scores							Case mix adjusted scores			
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score		
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	840	92%	916	93%			93%	89%	94%	91%		
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	721	78%	785	80%			81%	81%	88%	84%		
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	745	94%	799	93%			94%	94%	97%	96%		

Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2023 NHS North East London Integrated Care Board

Comparability tables

Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

▲ or ▼

Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).

Adjusted score below lower expected range

Adjusted score between upper and lower expected ranges Adjusted score above upper expected range

**	No	score	available	for	2022.
----	----	-------	-----------	-----	-------

			Unadjust	ted score	es		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	817	79%	900	79%			80%	80%	85%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	861	76%	930	76%			78%	76%	83%	80%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	713	80%	813	83%		A	84%	81%	86%	83%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	**	**	563	55%			54%	52%	61%	57%

			Unadjus	ted score	es .		Case n	nix adjuste	ed scores	
CARE PLANNING	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	776	67%	861	69%			69%	68%	76%	72%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	482	90%	548	90%			90%	91%	96%	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	370	99%	411	98%			98%	98%	100%	99%

			Unadjust	ted score	es		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	744	89%	829	91%		A	91%	89%	94%	91%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	862	74%	942	71%			71%	72%	80%	76%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	522	63%	608	68%			69%	64%	76%	70%

Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2023 NHS North East London Integrated Care Board

Comparability tables

Adjusted score below lower

HOSDITAL CADE				2022	2022	Unadjus	ted score	 Change		nix adjuste	d scores Upper	England
** No score available for 2022.			(2021, 2022, ar	nd 2023)).				Adjusted expected	d score ab d range	ove uppe	er
* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.	▲ or ▼	•	Change 2022-2 significantly hig Change overall	her or lo : Indicat	wer tha es signif	n 2022 s	score.			J		oper and

			Unadjus	ted score	S		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
HOSPITAL CARE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	420	81%	457	75%			75%	73%	81%	77%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	337	64%	363	66%		•	65%	65%	75%	70%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	403	68%	441	68%			68%	66%	75%	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	406	73%	443	70%			70%	69%	77%	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	393	64%	424	61%			61%	60%	69%	65%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	355	84%	408	83%			83%	80%	88%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	419	86%	449	84%			84%	84%	90%	87%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	407	88%	435	89%			89%	85%	91%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	744	72%	819	72%			74%	76%	83%	79%

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
YOUR TREATMENT	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	504	85%	514	90%	A		91%	87%	92%	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	449	84%	495	87%			87%	83%	89%	86%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	259	87%	293	89%			90%	85%	92%	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	122	75%	145	73%			74%	73%	86%	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	105	84%	127	75%			74%	77%	90%	84%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	**	**	516	84%			85%	84%	89%	86%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	**	**	499	80%			80%	78%	85%	81%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	**	**	287	85%			85%	81%	89%	85%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	**	**	141	72%			72%	69%	83%	76%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	**	**	125	75%			76%	74%	87%	81%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	845	67%	901	62%		•	64%	71%	86%	78%

Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2023 NHS North East London Integrated Care Board

Comparability tables

Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a

Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score.

Adjusted score below lower expected range Adjusted score between upper and

low base size. ▲ or ▼ Significantly Change ove					erall			pected ra	nges	pei and
** No score available for 2022. (2021, 2022	, and 2023).					Adjusted expected		ove uppe	r
			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case m	nix adjuste	d scores	
IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely	816	72%	886	73%			72%	71%	77%	74%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	816	72%	886	73%			72%	71%	77%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	776	66%	839	67%			67%	66%	74%	70%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	656	84%	697	84%			85%	83%	91%	87%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	769	57%	850	59%			58%	56%	64%	60%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	679	51%	741	51%			51%	50%	59%	55%

			Unadjust	ted score	es		Case n	nix adjuste	ed scores	
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	599	58%	640	58%			58%	56%	67%	62%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	369	47%	437	41%			43%	46%	59%	52%

		,	Unadjust	ted score	s		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	482	39%	562	41%			42%	40%	53%	46%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	808	24%	873	26%			24%	19%	26%	23%

			Unadjust	ed score	s		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	211	25%	247	30%			30%	26%	38%	32%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	382	76%	443	76%			78%	75%	84%	79%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	694	55%	739	56%			58%	59%	69%	64%

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	823	88%	874	88%			88%	87%	92%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	850	85%	930	85%			85%	84%	90%	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	534	49%	604	51%			50%	36%	54%	45%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	826	8.7	917	8.7			8.8	8.7	9.0	8.9

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	90%	57%	72%	65%	78%	65%	78%	*	67%	58%	65%	68%	72%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	75%	55%	54%	48%	54%	38%	64%	*	71%	65%	63%	49%	60%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	85%	82%	89%	90%	83%	94%	89%	*	87%	97%	96%	93%	88%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	74%	79%	74%	83%	58%	77%	86%	*	82%	84%	76%	75%	77%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	73%	70%	60%	82%	63%	65%	75%	*	76%	63%	75%	71%	72%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	75%	81%	72%	78%	60%	81%	77%	*	94%	69%	77%	71%	75%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	94%	94%	96%	95%	80%	91%	94%	*	88%	97%	90%	91%	93%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	82%	84%	86%	82%	67%	84%	68%	*	67%	77%	70%	77%	78%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	78%	80%	78%	77%	70%	71%	68%	*	80%	63%	64%	72%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	80%	81%	69%	82%	69%	77%	77%	*	80%	69%	71%	71%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	92%	85%	90%	90%	79%	84%	85%	*	85%	81%	82%	83%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	84%	88%	78%	83%	91%	81%	77%	*	84%	71%	76%	78%	81%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N						Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	95%	92%	96%	98%	100%	92%	83%	*	84%	94%	88%	95%	93%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	76%	77%	82%	88%	84%	86%	72%	*	83%	77%	85%	83%	80%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	93%	90%	94%	97%	88%	100%	96%	*	100%	87%	92%	92%	93%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	82%	82%	80%	84%	62%	88%	73%	*	84%	79%	78%	75%	79%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	73%	75%	80%	82%	67%	82%	77%	*	95%	74%	71%	76%	76%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	81%	86%	88%	84%	76%	87%	77%	*	78%	86%	78%	84%	83%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	*	55%	53%	43%	61%	63%	62%	48%	*	*	61%	49%	54%	55%

CARE PLANNING							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	65%	70%	81%	71%	69%	70%	67%	*	57%	57%	67%	72%	69%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	87%	92%	87%	92%	89%	86%	87%	*	*	91%	91%	93%	90%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	95%	96%	100%	98%	100%	100%	97%	*	*	100%	97%	98%	98%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	93%	86%	96%	97%	77%	93%	89%	*	79%	97%	91%	90%	91%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	67%	66%	71%	79%	74%	77%	70%	*	65%	73%	69%	70%	71%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	69%	61%	88%	73%	77%	74%	49%	*	*	52%	64%	75%	68%

HOSPITAL CARE							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	76%	77%	62%	65%	67%	90%	87%	*	*	72%	73%	73%	75%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	65%	58%	50%	78%	69%	67%	94%	*	*	71%	55%	68%	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	69%	60%	67%	67%	50%	85%	86%	*	*	61%	67%	69%	68%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	81%	69%	52%	68%	67%	80%	86%	*	*	63%	62%	67%	70%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	66%	61%	43%	75%	57%	68%	74%	*	*	57%	46%	64%	61%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	84%	78%	71%	83%	88%	94%	93%	*	*	90%	75%	81%	83%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	89%	76%	69%	85%	78%	85%	95%	*	*	74%	87%	81%	84%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	83%	93%	92%	91%	71%	94%	95%	*	*	83%	93%	93%	89%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	67%	72%	82%	83%	77%	75%	76%	*	53%	68%	60%	73%	72%

YOUR TREATMENT							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	90%	90%	93%	*	76%	93%	98%	*	83%	94%	88%	93%	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	84%	88%	89%	88%	*	88%	82%	*	*	95%	75%	89%	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	89%	97%	90%	86%	77%	93%	88%	*	*	*	*	90%	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	66%	*	*	*	*	*	79%	*	*	*	*	86%	73%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	56%	*	*	90%	*	86%	*	*	*	*	59%	83%	75%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	*	84%	85%	91%	*	59%	80%	90%	*	92%	95%	83%	86%	84%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	*	72%	81%	89%	85%	*	84%	68%	*	*	78%	69%	87%	80%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	*	84%	86%	89%	86%	75%	93%	88%	*	*	*	*	88%	85%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	*	66%	*	*	*	*	*	72%	*	*	*	*	85%	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	*	64%	*	*	100%	*	82%	*	*	*	*	52%	81%	75%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	47%	77%	70%	62%	85%	59%	80%	*	63%	46%	62%	60%	62%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS						Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	71%	77%	80%	81%	69%	68%	71%	*	100%	66%	68%	68%	73%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	64%	70%	68%	74%	60%	70%	66%	*	88%	63%	65%	67%	67%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	83%	80%	89%	90%	86%	86%	75%	*	83%	96%	78%	87%	84%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	61%	61%	62%	66%	72%	59%	63%	*	71%	41%	52%	50%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	49%	58%	50%	54%	52%	55%	51%	*	54%	52%	53%	46%	51%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	51%	59%	63%	64%	43%	59%	67%	*	*	63%	40%	61%	58%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	35%	36%	59%	51%	50%	59%	40%	*	*	57%	26%	34%	41%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	ur gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	37%	45%	60%	41%	29%	36%	47%	*	18%	38%	53%	40%	41%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	23%	32%	21%	22%	32%	28%	30%	*	21%	43%	20%	27%	26%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	23%	28%	39%	35%	45%	39%	22%	*	*	50%	33%	29%	30%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	70%	82%	78%	76%	79%	65%	80%	*	80%	67%	76%	83%	76%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	49%	54%	61%	75%	41%	59%	53%	*	81%	59%	53%	61%	56%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS Breast Colorectal / LGT Gynaecological Haematological Head and neck Lung Prostate Sarcoma Skin Upper gastro Urological Other											All		
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	88%	91%	91%	90%	84%	90%	85%	*	89%	85%	85%	90%	88%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	84%	81%	82%	92%	74%	85%	84%	*	89%	89%	82%	88%	85%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	48%	54%	63%	57%	43%	36%	44%	*	*	68%	68%	42%	51%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	8.6	8.5	8.7	9.0	8.5	8.8	8.9	*	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.7	8.7

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	*	59%	77%	68%	71%	79%	100%	72%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	73%	52%	64%	55%	66%	55%	58%	60%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	70%	85%	88%	87%	89%	90%	91%	88%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	80%	71%	69%	77%	81%	79%	75%	77%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	50%	50%	61%	70%	77%	79%	69%	72%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	64%	64%	72%	73%	77%	78%	86%	75%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	92%	100%	84%	94%	91%	96%	100%	93%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	69%	69%	69%	79%	79%	83%	79%	78%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	69%	72%	63%	76%	76%	74%	75%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	77%	70%	73%	77%	78%	75%	86%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	77%	87%	84%	86%	87%	85%	93%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	91%	83%	82%	81%	84%	77%	74%	81%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N				Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	100%	94%	96%	91%	93%	92%	90%	93%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	62%	76%	76%	78%	81%	82%	91%	80%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	92%	86%	91%	93%	94%	95%	100%	93%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	62%	72%	77%	79%	82%	80%	80%	79%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	62%	67%	73%	75%	79%	80%	74%	76%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	75%	67%	83%	81%	82%	87%	88%	83%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	*	*	49%	51%	54%	58%	55%	43%	55%

CARE PLANNING					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	75%	58%	73%	67%	72%	68%	59%	69%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	*	91%	91%	90%	90%	88%	89%	90%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	*	100%	94%	97%	98%	98%	100%	98%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	92%	81%	92%	92%	93%	92%	73%	91%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	62%	56%	68%	68%	72%	76%	69%	71%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	67%	71%	79%	67%	66%	66%	41%	68%

HOSPITAL CARE					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	*	58%	61%	73%	79%	83%	84%	75%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	*	61%	51%	66%	73%	63%	69%	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	*	65%	64%	68%	71%	72%	72%	68%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	*	63%	71%	71%	70%	72%	78%	70%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	*	57%	59%	61%	63%	58%	63%	61%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	*	79%	80%	78%	88%	86%	75%	83%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	*	84%	74%	83%	83%	90%	83%	84%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	*	88%	82%	91%	91%	90%	82%	89%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	58%	54%	65%	73%	72%	78%	79%	72%

YOUR TREATMENT					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	80%	75%	89%	88%	93%	96%	95%	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	80%	69%	86%	91%	88%	89%	90%	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	*	87%	84%	88%	94%	93%	*	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	*	57%	78%	74%	82%	*	73%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	65%	81%	72%	81%	*	75%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	*	80%	76%	79%	84%	86%	92%	81%	84%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	*	70%	61%	77%	81%	80%	86%	90%	80%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	*	*	86%	82%	89%	87%	82%	*	85%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	*	*	*	56%	85%	70%	82%	*	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	*	*	*	78%	80%	68%	76%	*	75%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	15%	40%	56%	64%	64%	68%	78%	62%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	TS				Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	85%	69%	75%	73%	73%	71%	68%	73%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	69%	58%	63%	69%	68%	67%	72%	67%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	91%	80%	88%	83%	86%	80%	88%	84%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	77%	57%	58%	62%	58%	58%	50%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	58%	43%	43%	50%	58%	50%	43%	51%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	45%	42%	50%	56%	63%	59%	69%	58%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	17%	33%	43%	45%	48%	48%	41%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	*	25%	37%	50%	44%	41%	24%	41%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	38%	39%	23%	30%	26%	23%	13%	26%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	22%	23%	27%	31%	40%	*	30%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	*	62%	73%	74%	82%	78%	67%	76%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	30%	49%	57%	51%	56%	61%	68%	56%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	92%	70%	87%	87%	89%	91%	94%	88%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	62%	73%	85%	82%	89%	85%	88%	85%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	27%	67%	51%	58%	47%	48%	40%	51%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	7.6	7.6	8.6	8.6	8.8	8.9	8.9	8.7

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	73%	72%	*	*	*	70%	72%	
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	62%	58%	*	*	*	48%	60%	

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other								
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	86%	93%	*	*	*	86%	88%		
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	74%	82%	*	*	*	75%	77%		
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	71%	73%	*	*	*	68%	72%		
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	72%	79%	*	*	*	79%	75%		
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	92%	94%	*	*	*	96%	93%		

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	78%	77%	*	*	*	84%	78%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	73%	75%	*	*	*	77%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	75%	77%	*	*	*	79%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	87%	86%	*	*	*	81%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	80%	84%	*	*	*	70%	81%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	94%	90%	*	*	*	98%	93%	
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	79%	80%	*	*	*	82%	80%	
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	93%	94%	*	*	*	93%	93%	

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other							
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	80%	*	*	*	79%	79%		
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	74%	78%	*	*	*	85%	76%		
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	83%	81%	*	*	*	89%	83%		
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	56%	54%	*	*	*	52%	55%		

CARE PLANNING			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	68%	69%	*	*	*	68%	69%		
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	91%	89%	*	*	*	83%	90%		
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	97%	98%	*	*	*	100%	98%		

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	91%	92%	*	*	*	91%	91%	
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	69%	72%	*	*	*	83%	71%	
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	73%	61%	*	*	*	71%	68%	

HOSPITAL CARE			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	69%	80%	*	*	*	78%	75%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	61%	68%	*	*	*	85%	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	66%	71%	*	*	*	76%	68%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	69%	72%	*	*	*	70%	70%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	57%	65%	*	*	*	57%	61%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	81%	83%	*	*	*	95%	83%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	81%	85%	*	*	*	91%	84%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	86%	92%	*	*	*	87%	89%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	69%	74%	*	*	*	81%	72%

YOUR TREATMENT			Male/Fema	ile/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	90%	91%	*	*	*	88%	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	86%	88%	*	*	*	90%	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	91%	88%	*	*	*	80%	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	72%	76%	*	*	*	*	73%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	72%	79%	*	*	*	*	75%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	86%	83%	*	*	*	77%	84%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	77%	83%	*	*	*	85%	80%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	85%	87%	*	*	*	70%	85%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	73%	69%	*	*	*	*	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	72%	78%	*	*	*	*	75%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and lay unit for cancer treatment was about right	54%	72%	*	*	*	67%	62%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	ΓS		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other				
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	72%	74%	*	*	*	65%	73%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	66%	69%	*	*	*	66%	67%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	85%	84%	*	*	*	80%	84%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	58%	61%	*	*	*	56%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	50%	53%	*	*	*	56%	51%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME			Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	54%	60%	*	*	*	74%	58%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	40%	41%	*	*	*	50%	41%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	40%	45%	*	*	*	34%	41%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	26%	28%	*	*	*	19%	26%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER			Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	31%	30%	*	*	*	25%	30%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	73%	79%	*	*	*	77%	76%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	54%	59%	*	*	*	53%	56%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	89%	88%	*	*	*	87%	88%	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	84%	85%	*	*	*	88%	85%	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	51%	51%	*	*	*	52%	51%	
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.6	8.8	*	*	*	8.7	8.7	

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	77%	*	65%	53%	*	70%	72%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	64%	46%	45%	54%	*	51%	60%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	89%	87%	84%	90%	*	88%	88%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	79%	69%	74%	74%	*	72%	77%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	73%	63%	58%	80%	*	73%	72%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	71%	69%	71%	*	80%	75%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	93%	81%	92%	91%	*	94%	93%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	77%	82%	82%	75%	90%	81%	78%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	73%	73%	75%	77%	92%	74%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	76%	83%	79%	77%	75%	76%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	86%	81%	89%	90%	100%	81%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	82%	90%	84%	79%	64%	74%	81%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	SON Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	93%	89%	95%	90%	92%	95%	93%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	80%	73%	76%	85%	60%	80%	80%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	94%	71%	97%	91%	91%	91%	93%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT		Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	80%	77%	80%	74%	100%	80%	79%	
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	78%	90%	73%	71%	50%	77%	76%	
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	84%	89%	75%	76%	*	85%	83%	
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	59%	40%	46%	33%	*	60%	55%	

CARE PLANNING	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	69%	55%	70%	64%	67%	69%	69%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	90%	75%	89%	92%	*	87%	90%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	98%	*	100%	95%	*	97%	98%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	92%	86%	92%	84%	75%	94%	91%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	69%	68%	75%	66%	58%	82%	71%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	69%	69%	71%	65%	40%	63%	68%

HOSPITAL CARE				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	75%	64%	71%	73%	*	80%	75%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	60%	85%	65%	79%	*	80%	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	71%	58%	62%	69%	*	66%	68%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	70%	77%	75%	65%	*	69%	70%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	61%	62%	62%	67%	*	58%	61%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	84%	82%	76%	80%	*	91%	83%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	84%	85%	79%	81%	*	94%	84%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	90%	83%	89%	91%	*	85%	89%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	74%	70%	65%	65%	55%	77%	72%

YOUR TREATMENT				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	90%	*	92%	91%	*	90%	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	87%	*	82%	88%	*	94%	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	89%	*	86%	89%	*	92%	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	72%	*	87%	*	*	73%	73%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	74%	*	71%	*	*	82%	75%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	84%	*	87%	87%	*	85%	84%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	79%	*	77%	78%	*	85%	80%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	86%	*	83%	81%	*	84%	85%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	70%	*	73%	*	*	91%	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	74%	*	62%	*	*	100%	75%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	64%	65%	56%	56%	36%	64%	62%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	S			Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	74%	70%	73%	68%	73%	69%	73%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	71%	55%	59%	57%	50%	66%	67%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	85%	75%	86%	79%	*	83%	84%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	58%	48%	65%	62%	58%	61%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	51%	48%	50%	46%	40%	59%	51%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	57%	37%	56%	64%	*	65%	58%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	43%	17%	36%	41%	*	44%	41%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	40%	43%	47%	46%	*	43%	41%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	24%	33%	33%	32%	36%	25%	26%

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER			Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	35%	*	26%	24%	*	24%	30%	
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	78%	64%	71%	70%	*	79%	76%	
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	57%	57%	51%	58%	*	58%	56%	

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE		Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	89%	76%	88%	85%	91%	91%	88%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	84%	87%	85%	86%	92%	88%	85%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	51%	44%	56%	50%	*	47%	51%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.8	8.4	8.1	8.4	8.5	8.7	8.7

IMD quintile tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	68%	74%	69%	77%	76%	72%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	55%	61%	59%	66%	57%	60%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS			IMD q	uintile		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	88%	89%	89%	84%	94%	88%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	73%	79%	74%	81%	81%	77%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	73%	71%	66%	76%	74%	72%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	74%	73%	74%	83%	74%	75%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	91%	95%	90%	96%	91%	93%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER		IMD quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All		
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	78%	78%	79%	76%	79%	78%		
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	71%	75%	76%	72%	73%	74%		
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	77%	76%	76%	75%	76%		
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	84%	88%	87%	90%	79%	86%		
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	79%	84%	81%	76%	85%	81%		

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON			IMD q			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	91%	93%	93%	94%	93%	93%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	83%	82%	77%	75%	81%	80%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	91%	94%	94%	93%	95%	93%

IMD quintile tables

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	80%	80%	80%	79%	79%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	72%	77%	74%	83%	78%	76%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	81%	85%	79%	84%	83%	83%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	53%	53%	59%	48%	61%	55%

CARE PLANNING	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	69%	65%	70%	68%	76%	69%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	92%	88%	89%	89%	90%	90%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	99%	96%	97%	100%	96%	98%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF		IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All	
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	87%	92%	93%	91%	91%	91%	
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	68%	72%	70%	71%	73%	71%	
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	70%	68%	75%	62%	58%	68%	

HOSPITAL CARE	IMD quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All	
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	68%	77%	80%	69%	77%	75%	
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	57%	71%	68%	59%	67%	66%	
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	60%	71%	69%	72%	70%	68%	
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	61%	72%	76%	65%	81%	70%	
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	51%	62%	69%	47%	76%	61%	
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	72%	82%	90%	89%	83%	83%	
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	73%	84%	89%	91%	84%	84%	
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	87%	89%	93%	93%	79%	89%	
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	65%	76%	74%	70%	71%	72%	

IMD quintile tables

YOUR TREATMENT			IMD q	uintile		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	81%	93%	90%	94%	96%	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	85%	86%	90%	88%	88%	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	80%	91%	91%	95%	93%	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	59%	81%	76%	78%	53%	73%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	67%	82%	75%	82%	63%	75%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	74%	85%	85%	94%	87%	84%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	78%	79%	75%	88%	82%	80%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	76%	89%	84%	86%	92%	85%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	61%	76%	80%	76%	53%	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	72%	85%	69%	88%	50%	75%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	62%	62%	61%	63%	65%	62%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	ΓS		IMD q	uintile		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	69%	72%	73%	76%	74%	73%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	66%	66%	65%	69%	72%	67%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	83%	82%	86%	83%	88%	84%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	61%	59%	59%	53%	64%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	51%	51%	50%	47%	59%	51%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME		IMD quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	57%	56%	60%	59%	59%	58%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	37%	37%	49%	46%	43%	41%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	42%	39%	49%	38%	42%	41%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	29%	26%	25%	22%	31%	26%

IMD quintile tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER			IMD quintile			
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	39%	26%	30%	22%	40%	30%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	73%	72%	81%	78%	82%	76%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	57%	53%	55%	61%	61%	56%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	84%	88%	89%	92%	88%	88%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	83%	85%	85%	85%	86%	85%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	52%	54%	53%	47%	42%	51%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.5	8.6	8.7	8.9	8.8	8.7

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long-term condition status						
	Yes No Not given All						
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	70%	75%	72%				
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	56%	60%					

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		Long-term con	dition status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	87%	92%	88%	88%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	74%	83%	79%	77%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	69%	75%	74%	72%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	73%	77%	80%	75%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	92%	93%	95%	93%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER		Long-term con	dition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	77%	79%	79%	78%	
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	71%	79%	74%	74%	
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	74%	80%	79%	76%	
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	85%	91%	81%	86%	
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	80%	85%	77%	81%	

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	91%	95%	95%	93%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	77%	82%	89%	80%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	93%	93%	95%	93%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	80%	81%	79%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	74%	79%	81%	76%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	82%	82%	90%	83%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	50%	62%	59%	55%

CARE PLANNING	Long-term condition status					
	Yes No Not given All					
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	66%	72%	75%	69%		
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	88%	93%	91%	90%		
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	97%	98%	100%	98%		

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Long-term condition status				
	Yes No Not given				
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	89%	93%	96%	91%	
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	66%	76%	83%	71%	
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	63%	76%	71%	68%	

HOSPITAL CARE		Long-term co	ondition status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	70%	82%	78%	75%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	61%	70%	79%	66%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	68%	69%	71%	68%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	69%	74%	69%	70%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	56%	70%	59%	61%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	79%	88%	86%	83%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	81%	90%	81%	84%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	86%	96%	89%	89%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	70%	73%	78%	72%

YOUR TREATMENT		Long-term co	ndition status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	90%	92%	89%	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	84%	90%	93%	87%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	88%	91%	92%	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	74%	72%	73%	73%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	76%	69%	91%	75%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	83%	87%	84%	84%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	76%	84%	85%	80%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	83%	87%	88%	85%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	71%	71%	82%	72%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	69%	83%	*	75%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	59%	67%	64%	62%


IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS		Long-term con	dition status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	69%	79%	74%	73%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	63%	71%	76%	67%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	82%	86%	88%	84%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	54%	69%	60%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	47%	56%	60%	51%


SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	54%	62%	68%	58%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	37%	48%	51%	41%


CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long-term condition status				
	Yes No Not given All				
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	38%	47%	47%	41%	
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	24%	29%	27%	26%	


LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER		Long-term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	30%	35%	23%	30%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	73%	80%	80%	76%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	55%	56%	65%	56%


YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Long-term condition status				
	Yes No Not given				
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	86%	92%	91%	88%	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	83%	86%	94%	85%	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	48%	53%	60%	51%	
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.5	8.9	8.8	8.7	










































































































































































































Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2023 NHS North East London Integrated Care Board

