

Cancer Patient Experience Survey

2023 Results

NHS Staffordshire and Stoke-on-Trent Integrated Care Board

Published November 2024

The Cancer Patient Experience Survey is undertaken by Picker on behalf of NHS England

Contents

Executive summary	3
	4
Methodology	4
Understanding the results	5
Further information	6
Response rate	8
Expected range charts	10
Comparability tables	14
Tumour group tables	18
Age group tables	23
Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables	27
Ethnicity tables	32
IMD quintile tables	36
Long-term condition status tables	40
Year on year charts	44

Executive summary

Questions above expected range

	Case	mix adjusted s		
	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	85%	78%	84%	81%

Questions below expected range

	Case	mix adjusted s	cores	
	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	89%	89%	93%	91%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	71%	71%	85%	78%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	84%	84%	90%	87%

Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2023 is the thirteenth iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was undertaken by Picker on behalf of NHS England and it was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2023 survey involved 132 NHS trusts. Out of 121,121 people, 63,428 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 52%.

Methodology

Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2023. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between November 2023 and February 2024.

As in the previous eight years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

Note on question comparability

The questionnaire was redeveloped for the 2021 National Cancer Patient Experience Survey. Year on year comparisons between 2021, 2022 and 2023 are included in this report for most questions. A review of the questionnaire in 2023 saw four changes being made:

- The question text for Q23 and Q42 were amended. These questions are no longer deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.
- The long-term condition question (Q67) was amended to include "Autism or autism spectrum condition" as a response option. And the "Neurological condition" answer option was updated to include an example condition changing it to "Neurological condition, such as epilepsy". These changes see the answer option "Neurological condition, such as epilepsy" as no longer being deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.
- The ethnic group question (Q71) was amended to include "Roma" as an answer option. The ethnic group question is still deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.

How alliance and ICB results are generated

Alliance and ICB results are derived using the post code of each patient, rather than by mapping trust results to ICBs or alliances. This mapping is achieved using lookup files released by the Office for National Statistics.

Alliance and ICB results therefore reflect the experience of people referred from within the geographical footprint.

Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allow us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how an ICB is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, ethnicity, deprivation, and cancer type.

Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2023

NHS Staffordshire and Stoke-on-Trent Integrated Care Board

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the ICB. Casemix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

Scoring methodology

Sixty-one questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q59), the score shows the percentage of respondents who gave the most favourable response to a question. For Q59, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

In 2022, following a review of the scoring methodology, a change was made to the scoring of Q12 such that the response option "No, I was told by letter or email" is no longer considered neutral and is now scored as negative.

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2023 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by chance.

Suppression

Data is suppressed for two reasons: to ensure unreliable results based on very small numbers of respondents are not released, and to prevent individuals being identifiable in the data.

In cases where a result is based on fewer than 10 responses, the result has been suppressed. For example, where fewer than 10 people answered a question from a particular ICB, the results are not shown for that question for that ICB.

For ICBs with an eligible population of 1,000 or fewer, data relating to the respondent and their condition has been suppressed where 5 people or fewer were in a particular category. In instances where only one has been suppressed, the next lowest category has been suppressed to prevent back calculation from the total number of responses.

Additional suppression

Additional suppression happens if only **one** ICB has a score suppressed. If this happens, we will suppress another ICB's results (both the ICB level and sub-group results for the question) based on the next lowest number of respondents for the score. We do this so that the national score cannot be used to work out the score for the individual ICB.

The same rule applies to groups in each sub-group breakdown. For example, if only one ICB has the 85+ age group suppressed for Q25 we will need to suppress another ICB's results for the 85+ age group on Q25. This suppression is based on the 85+ age group with the next lowest number of respondents for Q25.

Understanding the results

This report shows how this ICB scored for each question in the survey compared with England results. It is aimed at helping individual ICBs to understand their performance and identify areas for local and regional improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

Expected range charts

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (within the grey bar) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this ICB.

ICBs whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the ICB performs better than what ICBs of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the ICB's size and demographics.

Comparability tables

The comparability tables show the 2022 and 2023 unadjusted scores for this ICB for each scored question. The Change 2022-2023 and Change overall columns show whether the scores show a statistically significant variation between years. This is shown between 2022-2023 and as an overall between 2021-2023. An upwards arrow indicates a statistically significant increase, a downwards arrow indicates a statistically significant change.

The adjusted 2023 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

Sub-group breakdowns

Unadjusted scores are shown for tumour group, Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, IMD quintile, long-term condition status and ethnicity breakdowns. Unadjusted scores for the same sub-group across different ICBs may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

Tumour group tables

The tumour group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

Age group tables

The age group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the eight age groups.

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

These tables show the unadjusted scores for the following groups male; female; non-binary; prefer to self-describe; and prefer not to say.

Ethnicity tables

The ethnicity tables show the unadjusted scores for six ethnicity groups.

Long-term condition status tables

The long-term condition status tables show the unadjusted scores for two groups: those who indicate they have one or more long-term conditions and those who indicate that they have no long-term conditions.

IMD quintile tables

The IMD quintile tables show the unadjusted scores for five quintiles based on relative disadvantage, with quintile 1 being the most deprived and quintile 5 being the least deprived.

Year on year charts

The year on year charts show three columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last three years (2021, 2022 and 2023) for each scored question.

National level and England level data

In some cases (343 respondents in 2023), patients from outside England (from Wales, Scotland, Northern Ireland, the Channel Islands or the Isle of Man) are referred to English NHS trusts for treatment. These patients are described as 'Non-England' in other reports.

Overall response rate at response rate sections shows national level counts and response rate. For ICBs and its comparison at comparability tables section, all data is presented at the England level.

Further information

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting market and social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2019; certificate number

Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2023

NHS Staffordshire and Stoke-on-Trent Integrated Care Board

GB08/74322). Our statistical practice is regulated by the Office for Statistics Regulation (OSR). OSR sets the standards of trustworthiness, quality, and value in the Code of Practice for Statistics that all producers of official statistics should adhere to. You are welcome to contact us directly with any comments about how we meet these standards. Alternatively, you can contact OSR by emailing regulation@statistics.gov.uk or via the OSR website.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2023 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at <u>www.ncpes.co.uk</u>. For all other outputs at ICB level, please see the Excel tables and dashboards at <u>www.ncpes.co.uk</u>.

Response rate

Overall response rate

1,643 patients responded out of a total of 3,216 patients, resulting in a response rate of 51%.

	Sample size	Adjusted sample	Completed	Response rate
Overall response rate	3,442	3,216	1,643	51%
National	129,231	121,121	63,438	52%

Respondents by survey type

	Number of respondents
Paper	1,356
Online	285
Phone	2
Translation service	0
Total	1,643

Respondents by tumour group

	Number of respondents
Brain / CNS	5
Breast	380
Colorectal / LGT	259
Gynaecological	88
Haematological	225
Head and neck	44
Lung	115
Prostate	99
Sarcoma	17
Skin	68
Upper gastro	88
Urological	96
Other	159
Total	1,643

Respondents by ethnicity

	Number of respondents
White	
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	1,480
Irish	*
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	*
Roma	*
Any other White background	14
Mixed / Multiple Ethnic Groups	
White and Black Caribbean	*
White and Black African	*
White and Asian	*
Any other Mixed / multiple ethnic background	*
Asian or Asian British	
Indian	*
Pakistani	8
Bangladeshi	*
Chinese	*
Any other Asian background	*
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British	
African	7
Caribbean	*
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	*
Other Ethnicity	
Arab	*
Any other ethnic group	*
Not given	
Not given	104
Total	1,643

* indicates the count is not shown due to suppression

Lower expected range Within expected range The left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all ICBs.			••	•	ed range ars is the			Case m achieve	•		ore
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twi before cancer diagnosis Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	0% ce	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80% 80%	90%	100%
 DIAGNOSTIC TESTS Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results 	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70% 75°	80% 849 % € 8% €	91% ♦	%
 FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer of friend with them when told diagnosis Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis 		10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70% 749 76		% ***	100%
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very of quite helpful	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80% 849	91% ◆	100%

Lower expected rangeWithin expected rangeThe left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all ICBs.		ght oute	••	expecte of the ba	0			Case r achieve	,		ore
DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80% 83%	6	100%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment									80% ◆	-	
 Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options 	}					-	58% ◆		83%		
CARE PLANNING	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	r							72%	D		
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns										939 ◆	
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date											99% •
SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support										89% ◆	
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff								749	%		
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits								69% ◆			
HOSPITAL CARE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital								·	6% ♦		
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	1						6	67% ♦			
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital								70% ◆			
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed								71% ◆			
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff							65	5% •			
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain									83% •	, D	
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while hospital	ín								8	38% ◆	
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital										89% ◆	
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case									79% ◆		

Lower expected range Within expected range The left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all ICBs.	The r	ight o		•	•	ed rang ars is th				mix adju ed of all		core
YOUR TREATMENT	0%	10%	6 20	0%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery											91%	
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy										85	5% ▶	
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy										8	88% ♦	
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy										81% ♦		
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy										81% ♦		
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery											88% •	
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy										81% ♦		
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy										84	%	
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy										78% ♦		
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy									7	77% ♦	ı.	
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right									71% ◆			
MMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	0%	109	6 20	0%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand									74 ⁰	% •		
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	ı								70% ♦			
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access abou support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	t									849	%	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment								59% ♦				
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects							54°	%				
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	0%	10%	6 20	0%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the nformation needed to help care for the patient at home								60%				
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care an support at home from community or voluntary services	d						51% ♠					

Lower expected rangeWithin expected rangeThe left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all ICBs.	The ri			•	ed range ars is the			Case r achieve			core
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	ו		23%	6	45	% •					
Q32. Fallent has had a review of cancer care by GF practice			•								
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary service	s			32% ◆							
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment									7% ◆		
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	,						64 ⁰	%			
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q56. The whole care team worked well together										90%	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good									5	37% ◆	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patien	t			3	7% ◆						
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good										8.8	

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

ra ▲ _{or} ▼

Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023). Adjusted score below lower expected range Adjusted score between upper and lower expected ranges Adjusted score above upper expected range

** No score available for 2022.

			Unadjust		Case n					
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	765	79%	770	81%			80%	75%	81%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	994	65%	1066	66%			66%	63%	70%	67%

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case n	nix adjuste	ed scores	
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	1260	92%	1293	91%			91%	91%	94%	92%
${\sf Q6.}$ Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	1316	84%	1355	84%			84%	81%	86%	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	1320	72%	1354	75%		▼	75%	74%	81%	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	1320	78%	1353	78%			78%	76%	81%	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	1324	95%	1358	95%			95%	93%	96%	95%

			Unadjust	ted score	es		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	1462	80%	1537	85%		•	85%	78%	84%	81%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	1520	75%	1613	75%			74%	71%	77%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	1520	77%	1616	76%			76%	75%	79%	77%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	1518	86%	1622	86%			86%	84%	87%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	1349	84%	1437	82%			82%	81%	87%	84%

			Unadjust	ed score	s		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	1466	93%	1562	91%			91%	89%	94%	91%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	1238	84%	1288	85%			84%	81%	88%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	1296	95%	1345	96%			96%	95%	97%	96%

Comparability tables

 * Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).

Adjusted score below lower expected range Adjusted score between upper and lower expected ranges Adjusted score above upper expected range

** No score available for 2022.

			Unadjust	ted score	s		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	1432	82%	1524	84%			83%	80%	85%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	1509	80%	1608	80%			80%	77%	82%	80%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	1321	82%	1416	84%			83%	82%	85%	83%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	**	**	887	58%			58%	53%	61%	57%

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
CARE PLANNING	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	1371	71%	1458	73%			72%	69%	76%	72%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	823	91%	874	93%			93%	92%	95%	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	638	99%	699	99%			99%	98%	100%	99%

			Unadjust	ted score	s		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	1270	89%	1357	89%			89%	89%	93%	91%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	1512	75%	1603	74%			74%	72%	80%	76%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	897	69%	924	69%			69%	65%	76%	70%

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

a ▲ _{or} ▼ Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023). Adjusted score below lower expected range Adjusted score between upper and lower expected ranges Adjusted score above upper expected range

low base size.** No score available for 2022.

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
HOSPITAL CARE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	687	80%	730	76%			76%	74%	80%	77%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	552	64%	605	67%			67%	66%	74%	70%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	683	66%	718	70%			70%	67%	74%	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	678	73%	709	70%		▼	71%	69%	76%	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	656	63%	703	65%			65%	61%	68%	65%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	606	85%	629	83%			83%	81%	87%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	685	88%	723	88%			88%	85%	90%	87%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	669	91%	710	89%			89%	86%	91%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	1337	76%	1427	79%			79%	76%	82%	79%
			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
	2022	2022	2022	2022	Change		2022	Lower	Upper	England

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
YOUR TREATMENT	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	841	90%	808	91%			91%	88%	92%	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	875	86%	909	85%			85%	83%	88%	86%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	460	87%	443	88%			88%	86%	92%	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	272	78%	245	79%			81%	74%	84%	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	210	83%	227	81%			81%	79%	89%	84%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	**	**	792	89%			88%	84%	89%	86%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	**	**	911	81%			81%	79%	84%	81%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	**	**	440	84%			84%	82%	88%	85%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	**	**	239	77%			78%	71%	82%	76%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	**	**	225	78%			77%	75%	86%	81%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	1487	74%	1540	71%		▼	71%	71%	85%	78%

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

`a ▲ _{or} ▼ Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023). Adjusted score below lower expected range Adjusted score between upper and lower expected ranges Adjusted score above upper expected range

** No score available for 2022.

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	1447	73%	1507	74%			74%	72%	77%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	1386	67%	1453	71%			70%	67%	73%	70%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	1117	85%	1185	84%			84%	84%	90%	87%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	1373	57%	1450	59%			59%	57%	64%	60%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	1202	50%	1271	54%			54%	51%	59%	55%

			Unadjust	ted score	s		Case m	nix adjuste	d scores	
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	1094	58%	1139	61%			60%	57%	66%	62%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	639	52%	663	52%			51%	46%	58%	52%

			Unadjust	ted score	s		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	843	40%	930	45%			45%	41%	52%	46%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	1466	18%	1522	23%		A	23%	20%	25%	23%

			Unadjust	ted score	es		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	336	28%	344	33%			32%	27%	37%	32%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	694	74%	699	77%			77%	75%	83%	79%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	1193	61%	1294	64%			64%	59%	68%	64%

			Unadjust	ted score	es		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	1449	89%	1519	90%			90%	88%	92%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	1508	86%	1584	88%			87%	84%	90%	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	874	33%	948	37%			37%	36%	53%	45%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	1456	8.8	1556	8.8			8.8	8.8	9.0	8.9

Tumour group tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	our gro	oup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	92%	77%	70%	70%	83%	68%	86%	*	93%	85%	85%	69%	81%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	81%	71%	65%	54%	57%	50%	72%	45%	70%	53%	63%	61%	66%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS							Tumo	our gro	oup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	91%	94%	84%	89%	92%	91%	96%	87%	91%	91%	89%	95%	91%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	84%	89%	74%	86%	80%	91%	88%	86%	92%	74%	77%	82%	84%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	75%	82%	63%	88%	65%	75%	78%	80%	68%	75%	74%	62%	75%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	80%	82%	69%	77%	88%	78%	70%	79%	80%	81%	78%	78%	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	96%	96%	91%	96%	90%	94%	96%	93%	98%	90%	91%	96%	95%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER							Tumo	our gro	oup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	90%	90%	81%	85%	74%	82%	81%	79%	83%	91%	74%	80%	85%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	82%	79%	64%	74%	84%	70%	61%	69%	79%	74%	66%	69%	75%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	80%	81%	72%	72%	80%	71%	73%	75%	85%	80%	74%	67%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	90%	89%	79%	84%	84%	81%	81%	75%	97%	85%	77%	84%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	87%	85%	75%	84%	85%	82%	69%	92%	91%	81%	68%	81%	82%

Tumour group tables

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N						Tumo	our gro	pup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	91%	94%	92%	97%	91%	89%	85%	100%	92%	94%	78%	90%	91%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	79%	89%	90%	92%	92%	85%	80%	87%	87%	88%	74%	78%	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	95%	97%	95%	97%	97%	96%	95%	94%	96%	99%	95%	94%	96%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT							Tumo	our gro	oup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	85%	87%	77%	85%	89%	83%	85%	88%	92%	76%	80%	79%	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	79%	85%	76%	84%	80%	85%	74%	76%	89%	84%	74%	73%	80%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	80%	91%	82%	83%	87%	87%	84%	80%	92%	91%	73%	79%	84%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	*	58%	59%	50%	63%	48%	63%	42%	*	70%	65%	56%	56%	58%

CARE PLANNING							Tumo	our gro	oup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	71%	78%	67%	77%	84%	71%	61%	87%	73%	83%	61%	70%	73%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	90%	98%	89%	95%	90%	100%	86%	*	93%	100%	93%	91%	93%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	100%	100%	98%	99%	94%	100%	100%	*	100%	100%	97%	100%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF						-	Tumo	our gro	oup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	90%	92%	77%	89%	89%	93%	81%	92%	93%	96%	78%	86%	89%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	72%	77%	68%	78%	74%	79%	71%	69%	79%	76%	65%	69%	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	75%	71%	70%	70%	65%	69%	38%	*	84%	74%	60%	65%	69%

Tumour group tables

*

HOSPITAL CARE							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	76%	82%	71%	69%	77%	79%	81%	*	83%	77%	70%	70%	76%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	65%	72%	64%	68%	69%	78%	47%	*	75%	72%	57%	60%	67%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	72%	75%	70%	60%	70%	79%	64%	*	76%	70%	63%	64%	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	73%	73%	65%	64%	79%	76%	63%	*	82%	76%	64%	62%	70%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	67%	70%	64%	60%	69%	76%	52%	*	71%	62%	58%	55%	65%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	85%	88%	79%	81%	82%	85%	95%	*	92%	89%	69%	72%	83%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	88%	90%	81%	85%	93%	95%	100%	*	100%	86%	78%	82%	88%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	91%	94%	87%	87%	80%	87%	96%	*	100%	95%	81%	85%	89%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	73%	86%	81%	83%	82%	80%	76%	87%	82%	82%	73%	76%	79%

YOUR TREATMENT							Tumo	our gro	oup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	94%	94%	91%	88%	87%	98%	81%	*	88%	89%	87%	83%	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	84%	89%	78%	88%	80%	83%	93%	*	*	80%	94%	82%	85%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	88%	97%	79%	92%	93%	89%	87%	*	*	85%	93%	77%	88%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	75%	*	*	*	*	*	84%	*	*	*	*	86%	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	77%	83%	*	89%	*	85%	*	*	90%	60%	88%	61%	81%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	*	90%	94%	88%	80%	83%	96%	77%	*	92%	89%	79%	81%	89%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	*	75%	86%	72%	85%	75%	81%	87%	*	*	84%	91%	78%	81%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	*	83%	97%	87%	92%	93%	83%	84%	*	*	80%	80%	71%	84%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	*	73%	*	*	*	*	*	88%	*	*	*	*	81%	77%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	*	78%	100%	*	86%	*	70%	*	*	87%	60%	69%	68%	78%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	66%	77%	65%	66%	76%	69%	77%	71%	73%	77%	81%	72%	71%

Tumour group tables

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS						Tumo	our gro	pup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	73%	79%	67%	74%	79%	75%	75%	87%	71%	72%	74%	70%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	65%	81%	59%	74%	78%	75%	64%	80%	75%	73%	64%	70%	71%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	82%	88%	80%	89%	87%	83%	76%	83%	88%	90%	79%	82%	84%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	56%	68%	48%	62%	71%	60%	66%	53%	67%	66%	48%	49%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	46%	61%	44%	58%	55%	58%	51%	54%	73%	70%	47%	46%	54%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME							Tumo	our gro	oup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	52%	70%	45%	66%	66%	70%	57%	57%	70%	68%	53%	58%	61%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	47%	57%	36%	58%	60%	66%	36%	70%	76%	56%	26%	48%	52%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE		_					Tumo	our gro	oup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	43%	45%	45%	44%	44%	48%	48%	*	63%	44%	42%	35%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	21%	22%	26%	20%	19%	25%	22%	41%	30%	31%	21%	21%	23%

Tumour group tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER							Tumo	our gro	oup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	22%	46%	34%	39%	36%	37%	13%	*	40%	42%	40%	30%	33%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	68%	84%	69%	81%	86%	82%	69%	80%	94%	87%	63%	80%	77%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	53%	65%	53%	78%	57%	69%	63%	75%	85%	66%	64%	63%	64%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE							Tumo	our gro	oup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	89%	95%	84%	90%	91%	96%	89%	94%	91%	91%	82%	85%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	85%	93%	78%	94%	88%	91%	83%	88%	81%	94%	78%	88%	88%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	32%	42%	28%	57%	36%	37%	21%	*	35%	38%	22%	27%	37%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	8.8	9.0	8.6	9.1	9.0	8.9	8.7	8.6	9.0	8.9	8.3	8.6	8.8

Age group tables

*

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	*	76%	78%	80%	79%	85%	78%	81%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	74%	72%	63%	64%	66%	64%	66%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	*	93%	81%	92%	92%	93%	89%	91%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	*	83%	79%	82%	86%	86%	82%	84%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	*	69%	65%	69%	74%	82%	84%	75%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	68%	68%	78%	79%	82%	84%	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	*	93%	93%	93%	95%	96%	98%	95%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	*	90%	82%	83%	85%	88%	87%	85%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	*	79%	77%	69%	74%	78%	74%	75%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	79%	72%	73%	75%	80%	77%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	*	85%	83%	81%	85%	89%	88%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	*	94%	83%	85%	83%	80%	63%	82%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N				Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team $% \left({{\left[{{{\rm{A}}} \right]}_{{\rm{A}}}}_{{\rm{A}}}} \right)$	*	*	94%	89%	93%	89%	93%	89%	91%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	*	81%	81%	83%	86%	85%	85%	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	*	89%	94%	95%	97%	95%	98%	96%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	84%	85%	82%	81%	88%	80%	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	*	82%	77%	78%	77%	85%	84%	80%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	*	83%	79%	80%	85%	87%	83%	84%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	*	*	58%	59%	58%	59%	58%	48%	58%

Age group tables

CARE PLANNING					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	*	78%	71%	70%	71%	76%	72%	73%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	*	89%	87%	92%	93%	96%	100%	93%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	*	100%	100%	99%	99%	100%	100%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	*	94%	88%	88%	89%	88%	98%	89%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	*	55%	68%	69%	72%	80%	88%	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	*	83%	77%	68%	71%	64%	63%	69%

HOSPITAL CARE					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	*	69%	65%	65%	80%	81%	90%	76%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	*	75%	62%	60%	69%	71%	79%	67%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	*	88%	63%	65%	71%	76%	62%	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	*	75%	60%	62%	72%	77%	83%	70%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	*	69%	63%	59%	65%	69%	74%	65%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	*	87%	75%	79%	84%	88%	89%	83%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	*	94%	78%	83%	89%	91%	100%	88%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	*	87%	93%	85%	90%	91%	86%	89%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	*	76%	75%	75%	78%	82%	87%	79%

Age group tables

YOUR TREATMENT					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	*	86%	92%	93%	90%	92%	96%	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	*	86%	91%	84%	84%	85%	95%	85%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	*	88%	91%	88%	85%	88%	90%	88%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	*	71%	74%	86%	81%	*	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	78%	76%	86%	81%	*	81%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	*	*	84%	81%	90%	88%	92%	81%	89%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	*	*	74%	80%	79%	80%	83%	91%	81%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	*	*	88%	83%	82%	84%	88%	64%	84%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	*	*	*	73%	74%	79%	85%	*	77%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to immunotherapy	*	*	*	69%	76%	82%	77%	*	78%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	*	55%	71%	69%	70%	73%	86%	71%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS				Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	*	80%	73%	74%	73%	74%	74%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	66%	67%	68%	71%	73%	72%	71%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	91%	81%	84%	88%	81%	79%	84%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	*	66%	58%	59%	59%	59%	65%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	*	44%	45%	54%	54%	55%	56%	54%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	*	52%	54%	55%	62%	66%	67%	61%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	50%	51%	50%	51%	54%	50%	52%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE			Age						
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	*	43%	44%	45%	44%	47%	33%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	*	27%	20%	24%	22%	23%	24%	23%

Age group tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER			Age						
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	17%	23%	31%	31%	41%	40%	33%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	*	65%	58%	78%	76%	83%	89%	77%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	*	36%	57%	63%	64%	68%	68%	64%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE				-	Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	*	81%	89%	89%	87%	93%	96%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	*	85%	82%	86%	86%	91%	91%	88%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	*	16%	39%	39%	35%	38%	45%	37%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	*	8.5	8.6	8.7	8.9	9.0	8.7	8.8

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	83%	80%	*	*	*	63%	81%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	71%	60%	*	*	*	58%	66%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	89%	94%	*	*	*	90%	91%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	84%	85%	*	*	*	85%	84%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	71%	79%	*	*	*	84%	75%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	79%	*	*	*	81%	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	95%	96%	*	*	*	92%	95%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	84%	86%	*	*	*	85%	85%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	76%	73%	*	*	*	69%	75%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	76%	76%	*	*	*	79%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	86%	85%	*	*	*	81%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	83%	81%	*	*	*	80%	82%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N		Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	91%	92%	*	*	*	93%	91%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	84%	85%	*	*	*	83%	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	95%	96%	*	*	*	96%	96%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	83%	84%	*	*	*	89%	84%		
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	80%	80%	*	*	*	89%	80%		
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	83%	84%	*	*	*	91%	84%		
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	56%	60%	*	*	*	60%	58%		

CARE PLANNING		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	71%	75%	*	*	*	72%	73%	
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	92%	96%	*	*	*	90%	93%	
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	99%	100%	*	*	*	100%	99%	

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	86%	92%	*	*	*	88%	89%		
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	71%	78%	*	*	*	75%	74%		
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	68%	70%	*	*	*	79%	69%		

HOSPITAL CARE			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	72%	80%	*	*	*	76%	76%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	66%	68%	*	*	*	73%	67%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	67%	74%	*	*	*	65%	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	67%	74%	*	*	*	73%	70%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	63%	69%	*	*	*	57%	65%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	80%	85%	*	*	*	96%	83%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	85%	90%	*	*	*	91%	88%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	88%	90%	*	*	*	97%	89%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	78%	81%	*	*	*	80%	79%

YOUR TREATMENT			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	93%	89%	*	*	*	100%	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	85%	85%	*	*	*	88%	85%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	87%	88%	*	*	*	94%	88%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	76%	87%	*	*	*	*	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	81%	80%	*	*	*	100%	81%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	89%	88%	*	*	*	97%	89%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	78%	85%	*	*	*	83%	81%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to radiotherapy	84%	85%	*	*	*	81%	84%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to hormone therapy	72%	88%	*	*	*	*	77%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to immunotherapy	81%	72%	*	*	*	94%	78%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	68%	75%	*	*	*	79%	71%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	ГS		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other				
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	72%	76%	*	*	*	81%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	68%	75%	*	*	*	69%	71%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	84%	85%	*	*	*	79%	84%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	56%	63%	*	*	*	64%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	47%	62%	*	*	*	57%	54%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME			Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	56%	65%	*	*	*	72%	61%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	49%	55%	*	*	*	48%	52%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	ARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All			
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	45%	45%	*	*	*	38%	45%			
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	23%	24%	*	*	*	16%	23%			

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	28%	39%	*	*	*	41%	33%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	75%	81%	*	*	*	71%	77%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	59%	70%	*	*	*	69%	64%

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	88%	92%	*	*	*	90%	90%	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	86%	90%	*	*	*	88%	88%	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	35%	39%	*	*	*	40%	37%	
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.8	8.9	*	*	*	8.9	8.8	

Ethnicity tables

*

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	82%	*	*	*	*	64%	81%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	66%	*	54%	*	*	59%	66%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	Ethnicity								
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	91%	*	100%	*	*	91%	91%		
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	84%	*	100%	*	*	80%	84%		
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	75%	*	67%	*	*	84%	75%		
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	*	67%	*	*	81%	78%		
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	95%	*	100%	*	*	90%	95%		

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	85%	*	94%	*	*	85%	85%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	75%	*	75%	80%	*	66%	75%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	76%	*	78%	90%	*	76%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	86%	*	84%	100%	*	80%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	83%	*	76%	100%	*	78%	82%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	91%	*	100%	100%	*	91%	91%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	85%	*	81%	70%	*	85%	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	*	94%	*	*	96%	96%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT							
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	84%	*	72%	90%	*	87%	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	80%	*	80%	100%	*	87%	80%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	83%	*	74%	*	*	90%	84%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	57%	*	56%	*	*	63%	58%

Ethnicity tables

*

CARE PLANNING		Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	73%	*	72%	70%	*	72%	73%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	94%	*	93%	*	*	92%	93%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	99%	*	100%	*	*	100%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF		Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	89%	*	83%	90%	*	88%	89%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	74%	*	75%	100%	*	76%	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	68%	*	67%	*	*	75%	69%

HOSPITAL CARE				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	76%	*	*	*	*	83%	76%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	67%	*	*	*	*	84%	67%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	70%	*	*	*	*	68%	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	70%	*	*	*	*	78%	70%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	65%	*	*	*	*	68%	65%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	82%	*	*	*	*	100%	83%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	88%	*	*	*	*	92%	88%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	89%	*	*	*	*	97%	89%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an butpatient or day case	79%	*	74%	*	*	80%	79%

Ethnicity tables

YOUR TREATMENT				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	91%	*	*	*	*	100%	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	85%	*	85%	*	*	90%	85%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	87%	*	*	*	*	90%	88%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	80%	*	*	*	*	*	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	80%	*	*	*	*	100%	81%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	88%	*	*	*	*	98%	89%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	81%	*	69%	*	*	83%	81%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	84%	*	*	*	*	81%	84%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	77%	*	*	*	*	*	77%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	76%	*	*	*	*	95%	78%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	72%	*	41%	*	*	76%	71%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	S			Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	73%	*	75%	90%	*	81%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	71%	*	75%	*	*	70%	71%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	85%	*	88%	*	*	77%	84%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	59%	*	67%	80%	*	66%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	53%	*	67%	80%	*	58%	54%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME		Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	60%	*	61%	*	*	70%	61%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	51%	*	62%	*	*	55%	52%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	45%	*	40%	*	*	39%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	22%	*	53%	40%	*	18%	23%

Ethnicity tables

*

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER		Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	33%	*	0%	*	*	38%	33%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	77%	*	83%	*	*	76%	77%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	64%	*	50%	*	*	64%	64%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE				Ethnicity	Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All			
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	90%	*	84%	100%	*	91%	90%			
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	88%	*	84%	100%	*	86%	88%			
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	37%	*	40%	*	*	42%	37%			
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.9	*	8.3	8.6	*	8.9	8.8			

IMD quintile tables

*

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	76%	75%	82%	84%	80%	81%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	55%	65%	70%	64%	71%	66%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS		IMD quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All		
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	95%	89%	93%	92%	89%	91%		
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	86%	84%	84%	86%	81%	84%		
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	78%	75%	79%	74%	71%	75%		
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	78%	77%	81%	80%	77%	78%		
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	94%	96%	96%	95%	94%	95%		

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER			IMD q	uintile		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	89%	85%	89%	85%	80%	85%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	74%	76%	77%	74%	73%	75%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	77%	79%	77%	76%	74%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	87%	87%	85%	87%	83%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	85%	86%	82%	80%	81%	82%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON		IMD quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	92%	93%	92%	91%	91%	91%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	87%	83%	85%	83%	86%	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	97%	97%	96%	96%	93%	96%

IMD quintile tables

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	85%	83%	85%	84%	83%	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	82%	80%	79%	82%	78%	80%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	86%	84%	84%	83%	84%	84%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	69%	60%	59%	58%	48%	58%

CARE PLANNING						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	78%	75%	73%	71%	70%	73%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	92%	97%	94%	94%	91%	93%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	100%	99%	100%	99%	99%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	IMD quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All	
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	90%	87%	89%	91%	86%	89%	
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	78%	75%	78%	73%	69%	74%	
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	73%	68%	66%	68%	70%	69%	

HOSPITAL CARE		IMD quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All		
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	79%	75%	76%	73%	77%	76%		
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	77%	66%	72%	59%	69%	67%		
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	70%	62%	73%	70%	72%	70%		
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	78%	69%	72%	66%	71%	70%		
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	77%	66%	61%	62%	64%	65%		
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	86%	84%	81%	81%	84%	83%		
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	89%	86%	88%	86%	89%	88%		
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	88%	92%	91%	89%	87%	89%		
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	82%	79%	82%	77%	77%	79%		

IMD quintile tables

YOUR TREATMENT			IMD q	uintile		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	93%	92%	91%	92%	89%	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	85%	85%	90%	85%	82%	85%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	90%	94%	89%	90%	78%	88%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	83%	71%	71%	89%	77%	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	90%	73%	83%	81%	80%	81%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to surgery	94%	91%	87%	88%	87%	89%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to chemotherapy	82%	81%	81%	79%	82%	81%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to radiotherapy	90%	90%	83%	82%	82%	84%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to hormone therapy	79%	73%	74%	82%	76%	77%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to immunotherapy	87%	68%	83%	79%	71%	78%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	75%	73%	75%	71%	66%	71%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	ſS		IMD q	uintile		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	78%	77%	71%	75%	70%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	74%	76%	69%	68%	70%	71%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	90%	86%	82%	86%	80%	84%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	71%	63%	55%	58%	55%	59%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	64%	59%	50%	53%	48%	54%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME		IMD quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	65%	59%	60%	62%	58%	61%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	52%	56%	48%	54%	48%	52%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	44%	43%	49%	41%	49%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	29%	28%	21%	19%	22%	23%

IMD quintile tables

*

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER		IMD quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	41%	22%	27%	34%	35%	33%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	77%	80%	76%	74%	80%	77%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	74%	69%	65%	59%	60%	64%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	93%	93%	89%	90%	86%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	90%	88%	88%	89%	84%	88%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	44%	37%	38%	33%	37%	37%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	9.1	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.7	8.8

Long-term condition status tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long-term condition status				
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	79%	85%	72%	81%	
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	63%	73%	65%	66%	

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	Long-term condition status					
	Yes	No	Not given	All		
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	91%	92%	92%	91%		
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	84%	86%	81%	84%		
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	75%	73%	84%	75%		
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	78%	77%	78%		
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	95%	96%	94%	95%		

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	85%	85%	88%	85%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	74%	78%	71%	75%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	75%	80%	76%	76%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	86%	86%	81%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	81%	87%	81%	82%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON		Long-term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	91%	91%	91%	91%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	85%	85%	81%	85%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	95%	95%	96%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	83%	86%	86%	84%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	80%	80%	88%	80%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	82%	85%	89%	84%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	57%	58%	61%	58%

Long-term condition status tables

CARE PLANNING	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	71%	76%	71%	73%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	93%	95%	88%	93%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	100%	98%	100%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF		Long-term condition status		
	Yes	All		
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	87%	94%	88%	89%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	73%	76%	72%	74%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	67%	74%	70%	69%

HOSPITAL CARE		Long-term cor	dition status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	76%	74%	76%	76%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	65%	72%	73%	67%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	71%	69%	68%	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	71%	68%	74%	70%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	65%	65%	65%	65%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	81%	83%	96%	83%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	87%	89%	90%	88%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	88%	90%	96%	89%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	78%	80%	79%	79%

Long-term condition status tables

YOUR TREATMENT		Long-term cor	ndition status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	92%	90%	94%	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	83%	89%	90%	85%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	88%	86%	93%	88%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	80%	81%	50%	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	79%	84%	90%	81%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	88%	88%	90%	89%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	79%	85%	81%	81%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	86%	83%	76%	84%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	77%	80%	67%	77%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	77%	77%	85%	78%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	71%	71%	75%	71%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	S	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	73%	75%	80%	74%	
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	69%	74%	73%	71%	
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	83%	88%	81%	84%	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	58%	59%	66%	59%	
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	53%	54%	57%	54%	

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	60%	61%	66%	61%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	48%	64%	45%	52%


CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	42%	52%	43%	45%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	23%	24%	18%	23%


Long-term condition status tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	30%	37%	36%	33%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	75%	82%	72%	77%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	63%	66%	66%	64%


YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE		Long-term condition status		
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	89%	92%	90%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	87%	88%	87%	88%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	35%	40%	44%	37%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	8.8	9.0	8.7	8.8


Year on year charts







DIAGNOSTIC TESTS










 Indicates to suppress 	s where a score is not available d ession or a low base size.	ue ** No score available for these years.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.
	tic test results were explained i	n a way the patient could completely understa	nd
100%			
80%	79%	78%	78%
60%		1070	1070
40%			
20%			
0%			
	2021	2022	2023













 Indicates where a score is not available due ** No score available for these years. to suppression or a low base size. 		The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.	
	definitely told about their diag	nosis in an appropriate place	
80%	050/	86%	86%
60%	85%	0070	00%
40%			
20%			
0%	2021	2022	2023













Year on year charts

 Indic to su 	ates where a score is not available due appression or a low base size.	** No score available for these years.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.	
DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT				
Q20. Tre	atment options were explained in a wa	ly the patient could completely under	stand	
100% -				
80% -	84%	82%	84%	
60% -				
40% -				
20% -				
0% -	2021	2022	2023	





 Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options

 100%

 80%

 60%

 40%

 20%

 0%

 2021 **


 2022 **

CARE PLANNING


Q24. Patient was o	definitely able to have a	discussion about their needs or concerns prior	r to treatment
100%			
80%			
60%	72%	71%	73%
40%			
20%			
0%	2021	2022	2023


Year on year charts


* Indicates where a score is not available due ** No score available for these years. The scores are unadjuste to suppression or a low base size.				are unadjusted and based pres only.	lon	
	their care team hel	ped the patient create a care p	lan to address	any needs or concer	ns	
100%	000/				020/	
80%	92%		91%		93%	
60%						
40%						
20%						
0%						
	2021		2022		2023	





SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF

























* No score available for these years.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.
ould to help the patient control pain	
85%	83%
2022	2023
	ould to help the patient control pain




























* Inc to:	licates where a score is not available due ** suppression or a low base size.	No score available for these years.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.	
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy				
100%				
80%			81%	
60%				
40%				
20%				
0%	2021 **	2022 **	2023	

























Year on year charts

Indicates where a score is not available due ** No score available for these years. The scores are unadjusted and based on to suppression or a low base size. England scores only. SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home 100% 80% 60% 61% 58% 56% 40% 20% 0% 2021 2022 2023





CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment 100% 80% 60% 40% 39% 40% 20% 0% 2021 2022




LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER


Q53. Af	ter treatment, the patient defir	nitely could get enough emotional support	at home from community or voluntary se	rvices
100%				
80%				
60%				
40%				
20%	26%	28%	33%	
0%	2021	2022	2023	L

















able due ** No score available for these years.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.
scored from very poor to very good	
	0.0
8.8	8.8
2022	2023
	scored from very poor to very good 8.8