

Cancer Patient Experience Survey

2023 Results

NHS Suffolk and North East Essex Integrated Care Board

Published November 2024

The Cancer Patient Experience Survey is undertaken by Picker on behalf of NHS England

Contents

Executive summary	3
	4
Methodology	4
Understanding the results	5
Further information	6
Response rate	8
Expected range charts	0
Comparability tables	4
Tumour group tables	8
Age group tables	3
Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables	7
Ethnicity tables	2
IMD quintile tables	6
Long-term condition status tables	0
Year on year charts	4

Executive summary

Questions above expected range

	Case	mix adjusted s	cores	
	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	94%	91%	94%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	87%	80%	87%	83%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	82%	76%	81%	78%
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	95%	89%	94%	91%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	87%	81%	86%	83%
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	77%	68%	76%	72%
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	94%	89%	94%	91%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	75%	66%	74%	70%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	91%	83%	91%	87%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	64%	56%	64%	60%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	61%	50%	59%	55%
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	69%	56%	67%	62%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	91%	84%	90%	87%

Questions below expected range

NHS Suffolk and North East Essex Integrated Care Board has no scores below expected range.

Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2023 is the thirteenth iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was undertaken by Picker on behalf of NHS England and it was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development. The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Picker, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

The 2023 survey involved 132 NHS trusts. Out of 121,121 people, 63,428 people responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 52%.

Methodology

Eligibility, fieldwork and survey methods

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2023. The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between November 2023 and February 2024.

As in the previous eight years, the survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post, with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete the questionnaire online. A Freephone helpline and email was available for respondents to opt out, ask questions about the survey, enable them to complete their questionnaire over the phone and provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

Note on question comparability

The questionnaire was redeveloped for the 2021 National Cancer Patient Experience Survey. Year on year comparisons between 2021, 2022 and 2023 are included in this report for most questions. A review of the questionnaire in 2023 saw four changes being made:

- The question text for Q23 and Q42 were amended. These questions are no longer deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.
- The long-term condition question (Q67) was amended to include "Autism or autism spectrum condition" as a response option. And the "Neurological condition" answer option was updated to include an example condition changing it to "Neurological condition, such as epilepsy". These changes see the answer option "Neurological condition, such as epilepsy" as no longer being deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.
- The ethnic group question (Q71) was amended to include "Roma" as an answer option. The ethnic group question is still deemed comparable to 2021 and 2022.

How alliance and ICB results are generated

Alliance and ICB results are derived using the post code of each patient, rather than by mapping trust results to ICBs or alliances. This mapping is achieved using lookup files released by the Office for National Statistics.

Alliance and ICB results therefore reflect the experience of people referred from within the geographical footprint.

Case-mix adjustment

Both unadjusted and adjusted scores are presented in this report. Case-mix adjusted scores allow us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how an ICB is performing given their patient population. The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, ethnicity, deprivation, and cancer type.

Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2023

NHS Suffolk and North East Essex Integrated Care Board

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the ICB. Casemix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results taking account of the patient mix.

Scoring methodology

Sixty-one questions from the questionnaire are scored as these questions relate directly to patient experience. For all but one question (Q59), the score shows the percentage of respondents who gave the most favourable response to a question. For Q59, respondents rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10, of which the average was calculated for this question's score. The percentages in this report have been rounded to the nearest percentage point. Therefore, in some cases the figures do not appear to add up to 100%.

In 2022, following a review of the scoring methodology, a change was made to the scoring of Q12 such that the response option "No, I was told by letter or email" is no longer considered neutral and is now scored as negative.

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2023 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'. A statistically significant difference means that the change in the result is very unlikely to have occurred by chance.

Suppression

Data is suppressed for two reasons: to ensure unreliable results based on very small numbers of respondents are not released, and to prevent individuals being identifiable in the data.

In cases where a result is based on fewer than 10 responses, the result has been suppressed. For example, where fewer than 10 people answered a question from a particular ICB, the results are not shown for that question for that ICB.

For ICBs with an eligible population of 1,000 or fewer, data relating to the respondent and their condition has been suppressed where 5 people or fewer were in a particular category. In instances where only one has been suppressed, the next lowest category has been suppressed to prevent back calculation from the total number of responses.

Additional suppression

Additional suppression happens if only **one** ICB has a score suppressed. If this happens, we will suppress another ICB's results (both the ICB level and sub-group results for the question) based on the next lowest number of respondents for the score. We do this so that the national score cannot be used to work out the score for the individual ICB.

The same rule applies to groups in each sub-group breakdown. For example, if only one ICB has the 85+ age group suppressed for Q25 we will need to suppress another ICB's results for the 85+ age group on Q25. This suppression is based on the 85+ age group with the next lowest number of respondents for Q25.

Understanding the results

This report shows how this ICB scored for each question in the survey compared with England results. It is aimed at helping individual ICBs to understand their performance and identify areas for local and regional improvement. Below is a description of the type of results presented within this report and how to understand them.

Expected range charts

The expected range charts in this report show a bar with the lowest and highest score received for each question nationally. Within this bar, an expected range is given (within the grey bar) and a black diamond represents the actual score for this ICB.

ICBs whose score is above the upper limit of the expected range (in the dark blue) are positive outliers, with a score statistically significantly higher than the national mean. This indicates that the ICB performs better than what ICBs of the same size and demographics are expected to perform. The opposite is true if the score is below the lower limit of the expected range (in the light blue); these are negative outliers. For scores within the expected range (in the grey), the score is what we would expect given the ICB's size and demographics.

Comparability tables

The comparability tables show the 2022 and 2023 unadjusted scores for this ICB for each scored question. The Change 2022-2023 and Change overall columns show whether the scores show a statistically significant variation between years. This is shown between 2022-2023 and as an overall between 2021-2023. An upwards arrow indicates a statistically significant increase, a downwards arrow indicates a statistically significant change.

The adjusted 2023 score will also be presented for each scored question along with the lower and upper expected range and national score. Scores above the upper limit of the expected range will be highlighted dark blue, scores below the lower limit of the expected range will be highlighted light blue, and scores within the lower and upper limit of the expected ranges will be highlighted grey.

Sub-group breakdowns

Unadjusted scores are shown for tumour group, Male/Female/Non-binary/Other, age, IMD quintile, long-term condition status and ethnicity breakdowns. Unadjusted scores for the same sub-group across different ICBs may not be comparable, as they do not account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results.

Tumour group tables

The tumour group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the 13 tumour groups. Central nervous system is abbreviated as 'CNS' and lower gastrointestinal tract is abbreviated as 'LGT' throughout this report.

Age group tables

The age group tables show the unadjusted scores for each scored question for each of the eight age groups.

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

These tables show the unadjusted scores for the following groups male; female; non-binary; prefer to self-describe; and prefer not to say.

Ethnicity tables

The ethnicity tables show the unadjusted scores for six ethnicity groups.

Long-term condition status tables

The long-term condition status tables show the unadjusted scores for two groups: those who indicate they have one or more long-term conditions and those who indicate that they have no long-term conditions.

IMD quintile tables

The IMD quintile tables show the unadjusted scores for five quintiles based on relative disadvantage, with quintile 1 being the most deprived and quintile 5 being the least deprived.

Year on year charts

The year on year charts show three columns representing the unadjusted scores of the last three years (2021, 2022 and 2023) for each scored question.

National level and England level data

In some cases (343 respondents in 2023), patients from outside England (from Wales, Scotland, Northern Ireland, the Channel Islands or the Isle of Man) are referred to English NHS trusts for treatment. These patients are described as 'Non-England' in other reports.

Overall response rate at response rate sections shows national level counts and response rate. For ICBs and its comparison at comparability tables section, all data is presented at the England level.

Further information

This research was carried out in accordance with the international standard for organisations conducting market and social research (accreditation to ISO20252:2019; certificate number

Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2023

NHS Suffolk and North East Essex Integrated Care Board

GB08/74322). Our statistical practice is regulated by the Office for Statistics Regulation (OSR). OSR sets the standards of trustworthiness, quality, and value in the Code of Practice for Statistics that all producers of official statistics should adhere to. You are welcome to contact us directly with any comments about how we meet these standards. Alternatively, you can contact OSR by emailing regulation@statistics.gov.uk or via the OSR website.

For more information on the methodology, please see the Technical Document. It can be viewed along with the 2023 questionnaire and survey guidance on the website at <u>www.ncpes.co.uk</u>. For all other outputs at ICB level, please see the Excel tables and dashboards at <u>www.ncpes.co.uk</u>.

Response rate

Overall response rate

938 patients responded out of a total of 1,636 patients, resulting in a response rate of 57%.

	Sample size	Adjusted sample	Completed	Response rate
Overall response rate	1,729	1,636	938	57%
National	129,231	121,121	63,438	52%

Respondents by survey type

	Number of respondents
Paper	769
Online	169
Phone	0
Translation service	0
Total	938

Respondents by tumour group

	Number of respondents
Brain / CNS	2
Breast	218
Colorectal / LGT	111
Gynaecological	57
Haematological	115
Head and neck	20
Lung	36
Prostate	106
Sarcoma	8
Skin	45
Upper gastro	52
Urological	73
Other	95
Total	938

Respondents by ethnicity

	Number of respondents
White	
English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British	851
Irish	6
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	*
Roma	*
Any other White background	15
Mixed / Multiple Ethnic Groups	
White and Black Caribbean	*
White and Black African	*
White and Asian	*
Any other Mixed / multiple ethnic background	*
Asian or Asian British	
Indian	*
Pakistani	*
Bangladeshi	*
Chinese	*
Any other Asian background	*
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British	
African	*
Caribbean	*
Any other Black / African / Caribbean background	*
Other Ethnicity	
Arab	*
Any other ethnic group	*
Not given	
Not given	46
Total	938

* indicates the count is not shown due to suppression

Lower expected range Within expected range The left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all ICBs		ght oute	••	expecte of the ba	0		◆ st score	Case m achieve	,		ore
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twi before cancer diagnosis	0% ce	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80% 7% ♦	90%	100%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand								68% ◆			
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance										94%	6
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient									8	7% ∳	
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right									81%		
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand									82%		
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results										959	%
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer of friend with them when told diagnosis	or								849	6	
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	•								7% ◆		
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand									79% ◆		
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place									8	88% •	
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis									86	S% ▶	
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team										95	%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person									8	88%	
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	or									96	5% ∳

Lower expected range Within expected range The left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all ICBs.	The ri	ght out		expecte of the b	0				nix adju ed of all		core
DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80% 85		100%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment									82%		
 Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options 	;						62% •)	8	7% ∳	
CARE PLANNING	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	r								77% 🔶		
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns										94 •	
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date											99%
SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%		100%
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support										94	%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff									79% ◆		
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits								74	%		
HOSPITAL CARE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital									81%		
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospita	1							73% ♦	0		
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital								73% ♦	6		
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed								75	%		
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff								68% ◆			
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain									84	% •	
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while hospital	in									90% •	
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital										90% ◆	
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case									79% ♦		

Lower expected range Within expected range The left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all ICBs.	The r	right ou		•	•	ed rang ars is th					usted so ICBs.	core
YOUR TREATMENT	0%	10%	5 20)%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery											91% ◆	
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy										84	·%	
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough inderstandable information about radiotherapy											91% ♦	
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy									7	′7% ♦		
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough inderstandable information about immunotherapy										80% ♦		
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to surgery										8	6% ◆	
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to chemotherapy										81% ♦		
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to radiotherapy										85	5% ●	
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to hormone therapy									75	5%		
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to immunotherapy									7	6% ♦		
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right										83% ♦	6	
MMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	0%	10%	5 20)%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand									74	·%		
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing wit any immediate side effects from treatment	h								75	5%		
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	ıt										91%	
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their reatment								64	%			
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing he impact of any long-term side effects	g							61%				
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	0%	10%	5 20)%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the nformation needed to help care for the patient at home									69% •			
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care ar support at home from community or voluntary services	nd							59% ◆				

Lower expected range Within expected range The left outer edge of the bars is the lowest score achieved of all ICBs.	The ri	Upper expected range e right outer edge of the bars is the highest score achieved of all ICBs.									
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	n					52%					
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice			22%	, D							
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary service	es			32%							
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment									83% ♦	6	
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	/							68% ◆			
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Q56. The whole care team worked well together										91%	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good										91%	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patien	t				4	.7% ◆					
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good										9.0	

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

`a ▲ _{or} ▼

Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023). Adjusted score below lower expected range Adjusted score between upper and lower expected ranges Adjusted score above upper expected range

			Unadjus		Case n					
SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	525	81%	444	78%			77%	74%	82%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	676	67%	611	69%			68%	62%	71%	67%

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case n			
DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	872	93%	769	94%			94%	91%	94%	92%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	900	86%	804	87%			87%	80%	87%	83%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	901	77%	804	81%			81%	74%	82%	78%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	902	80%	808	83%			82%	76%	81%	78%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	899	95%	811	95%			95%	93%	96%	95%

			Unadjust	ted score	es		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	942	77%	862	84%		•	84%	78%	84%	81%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	1017	73%	920	78%			77%	71%	78%	74%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	1019	77%	927	79%			79%	74%	79%	77%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	1020	84%	916	88%			88%	83%	88%	86%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	915	84%	811	86%			86%	81%	87%	84%

			Unadjust	ed score	S		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	997	94%	890	95%			95%	89%	94%	91%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	878	83%	788	88%			88%	81%	88%	84%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	902	95%	821	96%			96%	94%	97%	96%

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

ra ▲ _{or} ▼ Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023). Adjusted score below lower expected range Adjusted score between upper and lower expected ranges Adjusted score above upper expected range

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case n	ed scores		
DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	937	81%	860	85%			85%	80%	85%	82%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	1003	79%	907	82%			82%	76%	83%	80%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	852	79%	793	87%			87%	81%	86%	83%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	**	**	496	61%			62%	52%	62%	57%

			Unadjust	ed score	s		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
CARE PLANNING	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	911	71%	820	78%			77%	68%	76%	72%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	534	95%	518	94%			94%	91%	96%	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	437	99%	402	99%			99%	98%	100%	99%

			Unadjust	ed score	s		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	812	91%	796	94%		•	94%	89%	94%	91%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	1007	74%	909	79%			79%	71%	80%	76%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	506	66%	474	74%			74%	64%	76%	70%

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

a ▲ or ▼ Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).

Adjusted score below lower expected range Adjusted score between upper and lower expected ranges Adjusted score above upper expected range

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
HOSPITAL CARE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	459	77%	433	81%			81%	73%	81%	77%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	364	68%	361	72%			73%	65%	75%	70%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	450	66%	426	73%			73%	66%	75%	70%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	447	72%	422	74%			75%	68%	77%	73%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	439	64%	404	67%			68%	60%	69%	65%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	397	84%	372	84%			84%	80%	88%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	458	88%	429	90%			90%	84%	91%	87%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	445	89%	419	90%			90%	85%	91%	88%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	899	78%	794	80%			79%	76%	83%	79%
			Unadius	ted score	20		Case r	nix adjuste	d scores	
YOUR TREATMENT	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower	Upper expected range	England score
Q41 1. Beforehand patient completely had enough	610	909/	E 4 0	010/			010/	970/	0.20/	0.00/

YOUR TREATMENT	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	612	89%	542	91%			91%	87%	92%	90%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	505	83%	447	84%			84%	82%	89%	86%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	320	91%	273	91%			91%	85%	93%	89%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	183	79%	157	77%			77%	73%	86%	79%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	112	82%	137	79%			80%	78%	90%	84%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	**	**	534	87%			86%	84%	89%	86%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	**	**	436	81%			81%	78%	85%	81%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	**	**	274	85%			85%	81%	89%	85%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	**	**	156	75%			75%	70%	83%	76%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	**	**	137	75%			76%	74%	87%	81%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	997	82%	893	83%			83%	71%	86%	78%

Comparability tables

* Indicates where a score is not available due to suppression or a low base size.

▲ or ▼

Change 2022-2023: Indicates where 2023 score is significantly higher or lower than 2022 score. Change overall: Indicates significant change overall (2021, 2022, and 2023).

Adjusted score below lower expected range Adjusted score between upper and lower expected ranges Adjusted score above upper expected range

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case r	nix adjuste	d scores	
IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	958	74%	861	74%			74%	71%	77%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	912	70%	826	75%			75%	66%	74%	70%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	753	88%	663	91%			91%	83%	91%	87%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	891	58%	810	64%			64%	56%	64%	60%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	763	54%	715	60%			61%	50%	59%	55%

			Unadjust	ed score	s		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	698	60%	599	69%			69%	56%	67%	62%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	356	55%	328	59%			59%	45%	59%	52%

			Unadjust	ted score	es		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	548	48%	506	52%			52%	40%	53%	46%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	976	18%	886	22%		•	22%	19%	26%	23%

			Unadjust	ed score	s		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	214	34%	198	32%			32%	26%	39%	32%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	473	78%	447	83%			83%	75%	84%	79%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	809	62%	721	68%			68%	59%	69%	64%

			Unadjus	ted score	es		Case n	nix adjuste	d scores	
YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	2022 n	2022 score	2023 n	2023 score	Change 2022- 2023	Change overall	2023 score	Lower expected range	Upper expected range	England score
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	972	90%	868	91%			91%	87%	93%	90%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	1002	86%	911	91%			91%	84%	90%	87%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	581	40%	573	46%			47%	36%	54%	45%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	989	8.9	899	9.0			9.0	8.7	9.0	8.9

Tumour group tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	our gro	oup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	96%	84%	70%	57%	*	50%	83%	*	97%	59%	79%	68%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	79%	61%	73%	50%	79%	53%	78%	*	78%	62%	65%	72%	69%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS							Tumo	our gro	up	_				
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	95%	93%	90%	93%	88%	96%	96%	*	98%	91%	98%	96%	94%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	88%	85%	90%	80%	89%	80%	95%	*	93%	82%	93%	87%	87%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	85%	70%	86%	86%	78%	72%	81%	*	81%	78%	83%	76%	81%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	82%	80%	88%	82%	94%	77%	86%	*	88%	82%	88%	77%	83%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	97%	95%	96%	96%	94%	93%	97%	*	91%	88%	93%	94%	95%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	93%	89%	85%	82%	80%	88%	87%	*	59%	82%	67%	79%	84%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	86%	74%	79%	70%	79%	83%	78%	*	77%	76%	79%	69%	78%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	84%	75%	88%	70%	89%	72%	83%	*	87%	75%	86%	72%	79%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	94%	87%	87%	81%	89%	83%	92%	*	88%	86%	89%	80%	88%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	93%	81%	84%	81%	93%	90%	96%	*	79%	81%	81%	80%	86%

Tumour group tables

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N						Tumo	our gro	oup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	99%	98%	96%	99%	90%	97%	92%	*	93%	94%	91%	90%	95%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	89%	89%	89%	88%	89%	81%	88%	*	91%	89%	84%	88%	88%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	95%	97%	98%	97%	100%	97%	99%	*	97%	96%	96%	95%	96%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	85%	82%	92%	84%	89%	88%	83%	*	90%	84%	88%	81%	85%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	84%	77%	91%	81%	85%	75%	82%	*	89%	76%	86%	77%	82%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	89%	86%	90%	85%	100%	71%	88%	*	94%	83%	84%	87%	87%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	*	65%	64%	70%	57%	57%	50%	67%	*	48%	63%	63%	52%	61%

CARE PLANNING							Tumo	our gro	oup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	81%	74%	83%	67%	74%	70%	85%	*	76%	80%	80%	79%	78%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	94%	97%	92%	95%	*	91%	98%	*	90%	91%	92%	94%	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	95%	*	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF						-	Tumo	our gro	oup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	98%	94%	93%	94%	84%	94%	95%	*	100%	96%	84%	94%	94%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	79%	79%	85%	78%	80%	81%	79%	*	78%	71%	79%	80%	79%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	84%	76%	80%	63%	55%	78%	67%	*	60%	78%	73%	69%	74%

Tumour group tables

*

HOSPITAL CARE							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	81%	82%	76%	82%	87%	76%	86%	*	75%	79%	87%	73%	81%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	65%	74%	74%	74%	69%	77%	77%	*	*	77%	81%	59%	72%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	79%	65%	78%	76%	60%	65%	91%	*	67%	73%	73%	62%	73%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	78%	70%	74%	79%	73%	82%	79%	*	64%	76%	71%	67%	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	72%	67%	67%	57%	73%	63%	79%	*	67%	74%	73%	53%	67%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	88%	89%	86%	82%	77%	71%	90%	*	*	87%	85%	74%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	92%	89%	95%	86%	93%	94%	89%	*	92%	88%	93%	84%	90%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	90%	94%	95%	85%	86%	94%	94%	*	100%	88%	89%	81%	90%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	80%	82%	85%	76%	75%	77%	83%	*	86%	78%	75%	76%	80%

YOUR TREATMENT							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	94%	90%	86%	78%	100%	100%	94%	*	97%	92%	83%	90%	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	82%	86%	93%	83%	*	84%	93%	*	*	81%	80%	84%	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	95%	70%	90%	86%	*	*	92%	*	*	94%	90%	88%	91%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	73%	*	*	*	*	*	85%	*	*	*	*	77%	77%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	69%	*	*	73%	*	100%	*	*	79%	*	95%	67%	79%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	*	88%	85%	85%	81%	88%	88%	86%	*	97%	88%	83%	81%	87%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	*	75%	82%	96%	76%	*	88%	87%	*	*	81%	79%	86%	81%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	*	85%	80%	91%	77%	*	*	89%	*	*	94%	73%	80%	85%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	*	74%	*	*	*	*	*	81%	*	*	*	*	62%	75%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	*	71%	*	*	65%	*	91%	*	*	79%	*	90%	69%	75%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	85%	83%	89%	71%	95%	75%	91%	*	93%	81%	84%	76%	83%

Tumour group tables

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS						Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	77%	76%	83%	69%	74%	72%	65%	*	79%	79%	75%	76%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	77%	69%	76%	70%	79%	71%	68%	*	79%	79%	81%	83%	75%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	93%	92%	92%	89%	88%	86%	90%	*	100%	89%	86%	89%	91%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	69%	62%	70%	54%	58%	45%	68%	*	71%	61%	62%	70%	64%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	66%	61%	68%	49%	59%	43%	63%	*	70%	49%	56%	66%	60%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	70%	73%	72%	67%	82%	67%	68%	*	75%	70%	64%	64%	69%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	61%	61%	71%	51%	67%	50%	52%	*	*	56%	70%	65%	59%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE							Tumo	our gro	oup					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	56%	45%	52%	41%	69%	60%	54%	*	68%	45%	41%	58%	52%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	26%	25%	11%	14%	35%	27%	22%	*	23%	22%	16%	26%	22%

Tumour group tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	36%	50%	*	17%	*	27%	40%	*	*	38%	19%	42%	32%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	85%	89%	84%	77%	79%	65%	88%	*	92%	90%	76%	81%	83%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	66%	66%	74%	70%	56%	65%	66%	*	89%	61%	62%	68%	68%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE							Tumo	our gro	up					
	Brain / CNS	Breast	Colorectal / LGT	Gynaecological	Haematological	Head and neck	Lung	Prostate	Sarcoma	Skin	Upper gastro	Urological	Other	All cancers
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	93%	85%	94%	90%	95%	91%	92%	*	95%	96%	88%	90%	91%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	94%	85%	94%	93%	100%	86%	86%	*	96%	88%	89%	89%	91%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	43%	55%	40%	51%	*	46%	31%	*	59%	43%	54%	45%	46%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	9.2	8.9	9.0	9.2	9.0	8.9	8.9	*	9.1	8.7	8.9	9.0	9.0

Age group tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	*	*	75%	81%	70%	75%	85%	86%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	71%	72%	62%	70%	70%	73%	69%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	*	*	86%	91%	97%	95%	94%	92%	94%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	*	*	82%	83%	84%	89%	90%	86%	87%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	*	*	74%	73%	74%	84%	83%	93%	81%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	74%	73%	84%	85%	84%	84%	83%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	*	*	87%	94%	91%	96%	96%	100%	95%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	*	*	89%	84%	85%	83%	85%	74%	84%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	*	*	79%	71%	78%	78%	80%	76%	78%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	79%	72%	76%	80%	82%	87%	79%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	*	*	90%	83%	86%	88%	90%	87%	88%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	*	*	88%	89%	88%	86%	84%	81%	86%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N				Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	*	*	96%	95%	97%	96%	95%	91%	95%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	*	*	77%	82%	87%	92%	89%	90%	88%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	*	*	96%	94%	98%	96%	97%	100%	96%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	*	*	79%	78%	90%	87%	82%	84%	85%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	*	*	76%	81%	80%	83%	85%	72%	82%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	*	*	81%	83%	88%	85%	90%	83%	87%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	*	*	69%	69%	61%	63%	56%	72%	61%

Age group tables

CARE PLANNING					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	*	*	85%	68%	81%	78%	78%	74%	78%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	*	*	100%	88%	93%	93%	95%	100%	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	*	*	100%	100%	99%	99%	99%	100%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	*	*	96%	95%	96%	94%	94%	78%	94%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	*	*	68%	72%	77%	81%	81%	80%	79%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	*	*	78%	84%	74%	73%	74%	40%	74%

HOSPITAL CARE					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	*	*	69%	75%	73%	82%	89%	76%	81%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	*	*	54%	59%	64%	74%	83%	82%	72%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	*	*	63%	70%	74%	73%	75%	56%	73%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	*	*	69%	74%	65%	78%	79%	63%	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	*	*	64%	69%	60%	69%	75%	29%	67%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	*	*	77%	73%	80%	88%	92%	64%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	*	*	75%	86%	87%	91%	93%	100%	90%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	*	*	87%	83%	91%	90%	95%	87%	90%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	*	*	70%	70%	80%	82%	81%	80%	80%

Age group tables

YOUR TREATMENT					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	*	*	100%	81%	94%	92%	92%	91%	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	*	*	83%	74%	85%	85%	89%	*	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	*	*	*	86%	92%	93%	93%	90%	91%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	*	*	63%	69%	87%	76%	*	77%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	*	*	65%	82%	77%	86%	*	79%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	*	*	100%	74%	88%	86%	88%	95%	87%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	*	*	88%	71%	86%	77%	85%	80%	81%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	*	*	*	69%	86%	85%	87%	100%	85%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	*	*	*	56%	83%	84%	69%	*	75%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	*	*	*	63%	82%	68%	87%	*	75%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	*	*	93%	82%	80%	82%	85%	84%	83%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	TS				Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	*	*	75%	71%	82%	74%	72%	71%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	79%	71%	78%	74%	76%	73%	75%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	*	*	95%	88%	94%	91%	91%	77%	91%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	*	*	56%	58%	68%	67%	63%	55%	64%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	*	*	56%	52%	59%	63%	63%	56%	60%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	*	*	67%	47%	69%	70%	74%	77%	69%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	*	54%	49%	57%	69%	71%	59%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	*	*	50%	48%	47%	52%	58%	50%	52%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	*	*	28%	27%	23%	18%	24%	27%	22%

Age group tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER					Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	*	*	25%	19%	35%	30%	36%	*	32%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	*	*	100%	75%	82%	86%	86%	71%	83%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	*	*	54%	50%	65%	72%	72%	61%	68%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE				-	Age				
	16 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 - 74	75 - 84	85+	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	*	*	89%	87%	91%	92%	92%	86%	91%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	*	*	93%	84%	91%	92%	92%	84%	91%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	*	*	54%	53%	49%	46%	42%	*	46%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	*	*	8.7	8.6	9.0	9.1	9.1	8.5	9.0

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	78%	78%	*	*	*	78%	78%	
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	70%	67%	*	*	*	73%	69%	

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	92%	96%	*	*	*	97%	94%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	86%	88%	*	*	*	94%	87%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	81%	79%	*	*	*	91%	81%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	82%	83%	*	*	*	85%	83%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	94%	95%	*	*	*	97%	95%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	86%	82%	*	*	*	82%	84%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	78%	78%	*	*	*	74%	78%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	78%	*	*	*	91%	79%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	87%	89%	*	*	*	86%	88%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	87%	85%	*	*	*	82%	86%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N		Male/Fema	le/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	97%	93%	*	*	*	97%	95%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	89%	87%	*	*	*	86%	88%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	96%	97%	*	*	*	94%	96%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All		
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	85%	85%	*	*	*	86%	85%		
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	82%	83%	*	*	*	73%	82%		
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	87%	86%	*	*	*	84%	87%		
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	60%	64%	*	*	*	61%	61%		

CARE PLANNING			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	76%	79%	*	*	*	78%	78%	
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	93%	95%	*	*	*	94%	94%	
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	100%	98%	*	*	*	100%	99%	

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	93%	95%	*	*	*	97%	94%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	76%	82%	*	*	*	82%	79%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	74%	74%	*	*	*	80%	74%

HOSPITAL CARE			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	78%	84%	*	*	*	79%	81%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	65%	78%	*	*	*	92%	72%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	72%	74%	*	*	*	71%	73%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	71%	77%	*	*	*	79%	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	61%	73%	*	*	*	86%	67%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	82%	86%	*	*	*	92%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	89%	90%	*	*	*	93%	90%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	88%	92%	*	*	*	93%	90%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	76%	83%	*	*	*	92%	80%

YOUR TREATMENT			Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	89%	94%	*	*	*	95%	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	82%	87%	*	*	*	84%	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	92%	90%	*	*	*	91%	91%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	73%	83%	*	*	*	*	77%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	77%	78%	*	*	*	*	79%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	85%	88%	*	*	*	100%	87%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	77%	85%	*	*	*	83%	81%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	84%	86%	*	*	*	*	85%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	72%	83%	*	*	*	*	75%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to immunotherapy	70%	80%	*	*	*	*	75%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	82%	84%	*	*	*	87%	83%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFEC	ГS		Male/Fema	ale/Non-bina	ry/Other		
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	74%	74%	*	*	*	79%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	74%	76%	*	*	*	74%	75%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	91%	90%	*	*	*	96%	91%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	63%	64%	*	*	*	75%	64%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	59%	61%	*	*	*	67%	60%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME					Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All					
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	65%	73%	*	*	*	88%	69%					
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	57%	60%	*	*	*	71%	59%					

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All			
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	51%	51%	*	*	*	68%	52%			
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	23%	21%	*	*	*	26%	22%			

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER		Male/Female/Non-binary/Other						
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	31%	33%	*	*	*	*	32%	
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	81%	86%	*	*	*	92%	83%	
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	65%	70%	*	*	*	79%	68%	

Male/Female/Non-binary/Other tables

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE			Male/Female/Non-binary/Other					
	Female	Male	Non- binary	Prefer to self- describe	Prefer not to say	Not given	All	
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	90%	91%	*	*	*	97%	91%	
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	92%	89%	*	*	*	92%	91%	
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	48%	44%	*	*	*	48%	46%	
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	9.0	9.0	*	*	*	9.0	9.0	

Ethnicity tables

*

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	79%	*	*	*	*	74%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	69%	*	*	*	*	76%	69%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	Ethnicity								
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All		
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	95%	*	*	*	*	89%	94%		
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	87%	*	*	*	*	89%	87%		
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	80%	*	*	*	*	84%	81%		
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	82%	*	*	*	*	89%	83%		
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	95%	*	*	*	*	95%	95%		

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER		Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	84%	80%	*	*	*	79%	84%	
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	78%	80%	*	*	*	77%	78%	
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	79%	80%	*	*	*	89%	79%	
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	88%	80%	*	*	*	86%	88%	
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	86%	90%	*	*	*	79%	86%	

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N			Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	95%	100%	*	*	*	95%	95%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	88%	100%	*	*	*	81%	88%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	97%	100%	*	*	*	94%	96%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT		Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	85%	90%	*	*	*	92%	85%	
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	82%	80%	*	*	*	72%	82%	
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	87%	*	*	*	*	88%	87%	
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	62%	*	*	*	*	57%	61%	

Ethnicity tables

*

CARE PLANNING			Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All	
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	77%	90%	*	*	*	82%	78%	
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	93%	*	*	*	*	100%	94%	
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	99%	*	*	*	*	100%	99%	

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF		Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	94%	*	*	*	*	97%	94%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	79%	90%	*	*	*	84%	79%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	74%	*	*	*	*	79%	74%

HOSPITAL CARE				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	81%	*	*	*	*	72%	81%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	72%	*	*	*	*	71%	72%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	73%	*	*	*	*	78%	73%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	74%	*	*	*	*	82%	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	67%	*	*	*	*	72%	67%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	83%	*	*	*	*	93%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	91%	*	*	*	*	89%	90%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	90%	*	*	*	*	89%	90%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an butpatient or day case	79%	*	*	*	*	87%	80%

Ethnicity tables

YOUR TREATMENT				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	91%	*	*	*	*	91%	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	85%	*	*	*	*	79%	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	91%	*	*	*	*	*	91%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	77%	*	*	*	*	*	77%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	77%	*	*	*	*	*	79%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	86%	*	*	*	*	95%	87%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	81%	*	*	*	*	82%	81%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	85%	*	*	*	*	*	85%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	76%	*	*	*	*	*	75%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to immunotherapy	73%	*	*	*	*	*	75%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	83%	80%	*	*	*	83%	83%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	S			Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	74%	80%	*	*	*	81%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	75%	70%	*	*	*	71%	75%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	91%	90%	*	*	*	96%	91%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	64%	70%	*	*	*	71%	64%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	60%	*	*	*	*	63%	60%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME		Ethnicity					
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	69%	*	*	*	*	81%	69%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	58%	*	*	*	*	60%	59%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Ethnicity						
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	51%	*	*	*	*	59%	52%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	22%	20%	*	*	*	23%	22%

Ethnicity tables

*

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	32%	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	83%	*	*	*	*	80%	83%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	67%	*	*	*	*	75%	68%

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE				Ethnicity			
	White	Mixed	Asian	Black	Other	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	91%	80%	*	*	*	97%	91%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	91%	80%	*	*	*	88%	91%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	46%	*	*	*	*	42%	46%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	9.0	8.7	*	*	*	9.0	9.0

IMD quintile tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	69%	76%	78%	81%	79%	78%
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	63%	67%	72%	69%	66%	69%

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS			IMD q	uintile		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	89%	94%	94%	96%	95%	94%
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	79%	84%	91%	88%	86%	87%
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	79%	81%	81%	80%	82%	81%
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	80%	81%	85%	80%	84%	83%
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	95%	92%	97%	92%	96%	95%

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER			IMD q	uintile		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	86%	88%	82%	83%	83%	84%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	79%	81%	78%	75%	77%	78%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	81%	81%	79%	78%	80%	79%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	87%	92%	86%	86%	89%	88%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	90%	85%	84%	88%	87%	86%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSO	N					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	100%	96%	93%	95%	97%	95%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	91%	82%	89%	90%	88%	88%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	93%	96%	97%	96%	97%	96%

IMD quintile tables

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	86%	82%	86%	84%	87%	85%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	87%	79%	82%	82%	82%	82%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	85%	85%	88%	86%	89%	87%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	65%	59%	65%	56%	63%	61%

CARE PLANNING		IMD quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	81%	70%	78%	80%	80%	78%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	96%	94%	93%	97%	90%	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF		IMD quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	97%	91%	94%	96%	93%	94%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	75%	77%	79%	79%	83%	79%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	80%	69%	71%	83%	70%	74%

HOSPITAL CARE			IMD q	uintile		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	79%	80%	82%	81%	77%	81%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	70%	65%	81%	73%	60%	72%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	78%	69%	69%	78%	73%	73%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	74%	79%	73%	74%	73%	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	70%	62%	66%	71%	66%	67%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	89%	83%	83%	85%	83%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	94%	92%	90%	87%	89%	90%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	90%	86%	92%	91%	89%	90%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	78%	75%	79%	81%	84%	80%

IMD quintile tables

YOUR TREATMENT			IMD q	uintile		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	98%	94%	90%	90%	91%	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	89%	85%	83%	82%	86%	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	89%	86%	90%	93%	96%	91%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	*	68%	78%	78%	83%	77%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	*	90%	76%	79%	73%	79%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to surgery	87%	89%	87%	85%	85%	87%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to chemotherapy	86%	81%	81%	82%	76%	81%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to radiotherapy	83%	74%	84%	88%	88%	85%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable information about their response to hormone therapy	*	71%	78%	74%	65%	75%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to immunotherapy	*	86%	72%	83%	59%	75%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	78%	78%	85%	84%	84%	83%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECT	ſS		IMD q	uintile		
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	79%	71%	73%	77%	75%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	75%	76%	79%	73%	69%	75%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	96%	87%	89%	91%	94%	91%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	69%	64%	65%	65%	60%	64%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	64%	55%	60%	63%	63%	60%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME		IMD quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	78%	61%	70%	69%	71%	69%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	62%	60%	54%	60%	64%	59%

CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	IMD quintile					
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	30%	51%	51%	55%	60%	52%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	28%	20%	21%	21%	26%	22%

IMD quintile tables

*

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	IMD quintile						
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All	
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	30%	35%	29%	36%	31%	32%	
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	81%	81%	84%	86%	81%	83%	
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	53%	71%	68%	69%	69%	68%	

YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE		IMD quintile				
	1 (most deprived)	2	3	4	5 (least deprived)	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	91%	92%	91%	90%	92%	91%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	87%	90%	92%	89%	93%	91%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	51%	49%	40%	53%	41%	46%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	9.0	9.0	8.9	9.0	9.2	9.0

Long-term condition status tables

SUPPORT FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long-term condition status				
	Yes	No	Not given	All	
Q2. Patient only spoke to primary care professional once or twice before cancer diagnosis	76%	81%	85%	78%	
Q3. Referral for diagnosis was explained in a way the patient could completely understand	69%	67%	74%	69%	

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	Long-term condition status					
	Yes	No	Not given	All		
Q5. Patient received all the information needed about the diagnostic test in advance	94%	94%	98%	94%		
Q6. Diagnostic test staff appeared to completely have all the information they needed about the patient	87%	87%	94%	87%		
Q7. Patient felt the length of time waiting for diagnostic test results was about right	80%	81%	80%	81%		
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	82%	84%	90%	83%		
Q9. Enough privacy was always given to the patient when receiving diagnostic test results	95%	94%	96%	95%		

FINDING OUT THAT YOU HAD CANCER	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q12. Patient was told they could have a family member, carer or friend with them when told diagnosis	85%	82%	84%	84%
Q13. Patient was definitely told sensitively that they had cancer	80%	73%	79%	78%
Q14. Cancer diagnosis explained in a way the patient could completely understand	80%	76%	87%	79%
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place	89%	86%	85%	88%
Q16. Patient was told they could go back later for more information about their diagnosis	87%	84%	87%	86%

SUPPORT FROM A MAIN CONTACT PERSON		Long-term con	dition status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q17. Patient had a main point of contact within the care team	96%	95%	97%	95%
Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person	89%	85%	91%	88%
Q19. Patient found advice from main contact person was very or quite helpful	98%	95%	96%	96%

DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand	85%	84%	91%	85%
Q21. Patient was definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their treatment	83%	81%	75%	82%
Q22. Family and/or carers were definitely involved as much as the patient wanted them to be in decisions about treatment options	88%	86%	82%	87%
Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options	62%	62%	59%	61%

Long-term condition status tables

CARE PLANNING	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment	77%	76%	84%	78%
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns	94%	92%	100%	94%
Q26. Care team reviewed the patient's care plan with them to ensure it was up to date	99%	100%	100%	99%

SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q27. Staff provided the patient with relevant information on available support	94%	93%	96%	94%
Q28. Patient definitely got the right level of support for their overall health and well being from hospital staff	79%	78%	81%	79%
Q29. Patient was offered information about how to get financial help or benefits	72%	77%	75%	74%

HOSPITAL CARE		Long-term cor	dition status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all of the team looking after them during their stay in hospital	77%	87%	86%	81%
Q32. Patient's family, or someone close, was definitely able to talk to a member of the team looking after the patient in hospital	68%	75%	95%	72%
Q33. Patient was always involved in decisions about their care and treatment whilst in hospital	69%	77%	86%	73%
Q34. Patient was always able to get help from ward staff when needed	71%	79%	86%	74%
Q35. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff	62%	74%	91%	67%
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain	81%	89%	94%	84%
Q37. Patient was always treated with respect and dignity while in hospital	87%	95%	95%	90%
Q38. Patient received easily understandable information about what they should or should not do after leaving hospital	86%	97%	100%	90%
Q39. Patient was always able to discuss worries and fears with hospital staff while being treated as an outpatient or day case	78%	80%	88%	80%

Long-term condition status tables

YOUR TREATMENT		Long-term con	dition status	
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q41_1. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about surgery	90%	92%	90%	91%
Q41_2. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about chemotherapy	84%	84%	92%	84%
Q41_3. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about radiotherapy	91%	93%	88%	91%
Q41_4. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about hormone therapy	81%	70%	75%	77%
Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy	81%	72%	93%	79%
Q42_1. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to surgery	85%	88%	94%	87%
Q42_2. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to chemotherapy	82%	78%	81%	81%
Q42_3. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to radiotherapy	86%	83%	85%	85%
Q42_4. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to hormone therapy	78%	71%	64%	75%
Q42_5. Patient completely had enough understandable nformation about their response to immunotherapy	77%	68%	92%	75%
Q43. Patient felt the length of waiting time at clinic and day unit for cancer treatment was about right	82%	83%	88%	83%

IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM SIDE EFFECTS	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q44. Possible side effects from treatment were definitely explained in a way the patient could understand	73%	76%	81%	74%
Q45. Patient was always offered practical advice on dealing with any immediate side effects from treatment	76%	72%	77%	75%
Q46. Patient was given information that they could access about support in dealing with immediate side effects from treatment	90%	92%	92%	91%
Q47. Patient felt possible long-term side effects were definitely explained in a way they could understand in advance of their treatment	62%	67%	68%	64%
Q48. Patient was definitely able to discuss options for managing the impact of any long-term side effects	59%	64%	60%	60%

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q49. Care team gave family, or someone close, all the information needed to help care for the patient at home	69%	68%	75%	69%
Q50. During treatment, the patient definitely got enough care and support at home from community or voluntary services	57%	59%	82%	59%


CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment	51%	52%	63%	52%
Q52. Patient has had a review of cancer care by GP practice	23%	20%	25%	22%


Long-term condition status tables

LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q53. After treatment, the patient definitely could get enough emotional support at home from community or voluntary services	32%	34%	*	32%
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment	83%	86%	78%	83%
Q55. Patient was given enough information about the possibility and signs of cancer coming back or spreading	68%	65%	80%	68%


YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE	Long-term condition status			
	Yes	No	Not given	All
Q56. The whole care team worked well together	91%	91%	96%	91%
Q57. Administration of care was very good or good	91%	91%	89%	91%
Q58. Cancer research opportunities were discussed with patient	47%	44%	45%	46%
Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	9.0	9.0	9.1	9.0


Year on year charts







DIAGNOSTIC TESTS










 Indicates where the suppress 	here a score is not available due ion or a low base size.	** No score available for these years.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.		
Q8. Diagnostic test results were explained in a way the patient could completely understand					
100% 80%	82%	80%	83%		
60%	02,0	0070			
40% 20%					
0%	2021	2022	2023		











 Indicates w to suppress 	here a score is not availab ion or a low base size.	le due ** No score available for these years.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.	
Q15. Patient was definitely told about their diagnosis in an appropriate place				
100%				
80%	86%	84%	88%	
60%				
40%				
20%				
0%	2021	2022	2023	







 Q18. Patient found it very or quite easy to contact their main contact person

 100%


 80%
 88%

 60%
 88%

 40%
 60%


 20%
 60%


 0%
 2021
 2022



Year on year charts

Indicates where a score is not available due ** No score available for these years. The scores are unadjusted and based on to suppression or a low base size. England scores only. **DECIDING ON THE BEST TREATMENT** Q20. Treatment options were explained in a way the patient could completely understand 100% 80% 85% 83% 81% 60% 40% 20% 0% 2021 2022 2023





 Q23. Patient could get further advice from a different healthcare professional before making decisions about their treatment options

 100%

 80%

 60%

 60%

 60%

 60%

 60%

 60%

 60%

 61%

 20%

 0%
 2021 **


 2021 **
 2023

CARE PLANNING


Q24. Patient was definitely able to have a discussion about their needs or concerns prior to treatment			
100%			
80%			78%
60%	71%	71%	1070
40%			
20%			
0%	2021	2022	2023


Year on year charts


 Indicates whe to suppression 	ere a score is not availat on or a low base size.	ble due ** No score available for these	years. The scores are unadjusted and based England scores only.	lon	
Q25. A member of their care team helped the patient create a care plan to address any needs or concerns					
100%	95%	95%	0.497		
80%	93%	93%	94%		
60%					
40%					
20%					
0%					
0,0	2021	2022	2023		





SUPPORT FROM HOSPITAL STAFF

























 Indicates where to suppression 	e a score is not available de or a low base size.	Je ** No score available for these years.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.		
Q36. Hospital staff always did everything they could to help the patient control pain					
100%					
80%	89%	84%	84%		
60%					
40%					
20%					
0%	2021	2022	2023		


















 Q41_5. Beforehand patient completely had enough understandable information about immunotherapy


 100%


 80%
 86%
 82%
 79%


 60%
 79%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 79%
 60%
 60%
 79%
 60%
 79%
 60%
 79%
 60%
 60%
 79%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 79%
 60%
 60%
 79%
 60%
 60%
 79%
 60%
 60%
 79%
 60%
 60%
 79%
 60%
 60%
 79%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 79%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 79%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 79%
 60%
 79%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 79%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%
 60%</





* Ind to s	icates where a score is not available due ** N suppression or a low base size.	lo score available for these years.	The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.
Q42_2.	Patient completely had enough understanda	able information about their response to	chemotherapy
100%			
80%			81%
60%			
40%			
20%			
0%	2021 **	2022 **	2023

























Year on year charts


Indicates where a score is not available due ** No score available for these years.
 The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.

SUPPORT WHILE AT HOME





CARE FROM YOUR GP PRACTICE Q51. Patient definitely received the right amount of support from their GP practice during treatment 100% 80% 60% 40% 49% 20% 49% 20% 2021 2022 2023




LIVING WITH AND BEYOND CANCER


Q53. After	treatment, the patient definitely could ge	et enough emotional support at home from	community or voluntary services
100%			
80%			
60%			
40%			
20%	34%	34%	32%
0% —			
	2021	2022	2023

Year on year charts


 Indicates to suppres 	where a score is not availal ssion or a low base size.	ble due ** No score available for these ye	ears. The scores are unadjusted and based on England scores only.	
Q54. The right amount of information and support was offered to the patient between final treatment and the follow up appointment				
80%	80%	78%	83%	
60% 40%				
20%				
0%	2021	2022	2023	




YOUR OVERALL NHS CARE



Q57. Administration of care was very good or good





	here a score is not availa sion or a low base size.	le due ** No score available for these y	vears. The scores a England sco	are unadjusted and based on res only.
	average rating of care so	ored from very poor to very good		
0			_	
8	9.1	8.9		9.0
6				
1				
2				
0				
r	2021	2022		2023